

### **One page of the EIS says it all**

The Jupiter wind farm EIS is some 1500 pages long, we are advised.

The stupidity of this project can be shown by Page 8 (attached) of the Preliminary Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan (PDRP)

### **The turbines are overwhelmed by the surrounding non-associated residences.**

A wind farm in such an obvious area of rural residential character will guarantee that:

Many more residences than in any other NSW wind farm location will be impacted visually.

Many more residences than in any other NSW wind farm location will be affected by noise.

Many more residents than in any other NSW wind farm location will be opposed to that industrial development.

Many more residents will take up the challenge should the wind farm contravene its Terms of Consent.

Many more residents will be looking for someone to blame for their loss of amenity and asset value, be it a politician, a planner, a developer or a host.

ERM has been kind enough to include the “sheds” on non-associated properties, many of which we would describe as “weekenders”.

Go to Google Earth and bring up the “shed” 727 (seven hundred and twenty seven) metres SE of turbine 29. Whilst you are down south, check out the “shed” south of residence J237. Both of these “sheds” are clearly visible in the map on Page 7 of the PDRP.

These are indicative only.

Both have panoramic views of southern turbines

They show a substantial commitment to that property by the owner. Those “sheds” are most likely within the curtilage of the future residence. Those “sheds” indicate that the property has residential rights.

Those “sheds” should have been considered in the EIS as required by the SEARs. We are currently in the process of determining why they weren't.

Three years ago we started the process of developing a large map to show the impacts on this area of rural residential character.

The member for Hume, the Hon Angus Taylor described it as our most powerful argument against the Jupiter development.

Epyc's consultants have reinforced this in one page.

Should the Department recommend against the development, they will enjoy massive support.

On the other hand...

