

Re: Modification Request for Cultural Events Site, North Byron Parklands, Yelgun (MP09_0028 MOD 4)

I object to North Byron Parklands (NBP) application via MOD 4 to extend its current Trial by 20 months because NBP has not complied with numerous consent conditions set out by the Planning & Assessment Commission (PAC).

My submission needs to be considered in conjunction with my wife's submission which provides further information and reasons for our objection.

My home is identified as a sensitive receiver in the Project Approval. NBP is required to attenuate our home in accordance with consent conditions C16 & C18 of the Project Approval.

I wish to remind the Department that we have lived in our family home at Yelgun for 38 years and have existing use. NBP failure to soundproof our home has exposed us to prolonged periods of very loud noise during festival events.

We presented this information to the DoP in MOD 3 and then again at the PAC Hearing in 2016.

Repetitive exposure to festival noise is having a negative impact on our health and well being. Some of the symptoms we suffer, range from headaches and stress to extreme sleep deprivation.

Despite the conditions of consent and despite Director Heather Warton (DoP) instructing NBP to attenuate our home before the first festival in 2013, NBP has still not complied.

We informed the PAC that because NBP had not soundproofed our home, my wife and I continue to suffer with health issues because of our ongoing exposure to days of continual festival music and other associated noise.

It is difficult to comprehend why the DoP supported both

incremental increases in festival patrons as well as increased noise limits at our home, whilst we continue to suffer from intrusive festival noise without the benefit of attenuation or at the very least alternative accommodation.

The PAC did not consider or respond to the non compliance issues raised in our submission. Nor did the PAC consider the health impacts we suffer due to festival noise and Parklands failure to soundproof our home.

All this and still the DoP has not enforced its consent conditions.

We have had endless meetings with NBP in relation to its requirements to attenuate our home.

NBP has said that it wants to resolve the attenuation and the impacts from festival noise. We have been amiable and have cooperated with NBP remaining hopeful to reach a resolution.

To date, the building works proposed for our family home have still not been resolved.

We have found some of NBP terms unreasonable, and in particular the term that requires us to relinquish our sensitive receivership by way of an easement over our titles in perpetuity.

Given that NBP have not complied with consent conditions I ask the Department to refuse NBP request to extend the current Trial.

Sincerely