Attention: Director, Metropolitan and Regional Projects North Major Projects Assessment Department of Planning and Infrastructure GPO Box 39 Sydney NSW 2001

Dear Director

RE: MP10 0150 & MP 10 0149 GRAYTHWAITE CONCEPT PLAN

Submission in objection to this revised EA and Concept Plan

· · · · ·

060

Suppression of Name:

I do not want my name to be made available to the Proponent, other interested public authorities. I do not wish my name to appear on the Department's website

I declare that I have made no political donations in the past two years

Yours Sincerely

ĥ

Dated: 7th December 2011

7 December 2011

The Director

Metropolitan and Regional Projects North

RE: MP 10 0150 & MP 10 0149 GRAYTHWAITE REVISED EA AND CONCEPT PLAN

Dear Director

I strongly object to this Revised EA and Concept Plan on the following grounds: The revised plan has not fully addressed all issues raised by the first plan. The plan still does not give a satisfactory solution to the traffic problems that daily access of an additional 450 students and 45 staff will cause.

There has been no attempt to solve the traffic problem on Shores own grounds. There needs to be as well as vehicular student pick-up/drop-off, extensive room made for the queuing of the cars with in the school grounds, not on the public roads. The current proposals to have students dropped off and picked up with all vehicles entering and/or exiting from Union Street can only cause considerable traffic chaos. Union Street so often is often very congested with traffic in AM and PM peaks that it is hard to imagine what cars for an extra 450 students as well as 45 teachers will do to traffic flow. The impacts have not been fully assessed or analysed. There seems to be a selection of traffic proposals on offer. I feel that there should be one solution proposal.

Following the first proposal much time, thought and effort was invested by local residents to come up with more sympathetic solutions for the placement of the West Building to house the additional 450 students, on the boundary of the homes of Bank St residents. Apart from moving the building 2metres and reducing some of the height and bulk, the revised plan does little to address the issues and objections raised by the initial plan.

I request that there is more consultation time and that the position, height and bulk of the West Building be further refined. Also that the traffic issues be given more definite solutions so that the local community has all the details of a solution that does not impact so severely on the community. At this point in time there are still many unresolved issues for this version of the plan to be approved

I strongly object to the revised plans as they stand and request that Shore resubmit plans with more consideration of the community as well as workable solutions.

1 Sydney 2060