

Toby Philp - Objection to proposed modification to approval for GIWF-part 2

From: ashley peake <ashley.peake7@gmail.com>
To: <toby.philp@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 8/04/2014 9:44 PM
Subject: Objection to proposed modification to approval for GIWF-part 2

Dear Toby,

I have an extension to provide further information relevant to my previous submission which I still want considered.

As previously related we did not receive the notification letter until the 24/3/14 which was after the start of the public exhibition and GIWF/Aurecon made no real attempt to contact us before this.

This makes a mockery of any notion of consultation.

Given a tad more time to investigate the 500 +pages of Aurecon report I can see that that they have simply doctored their past efforts at photomontages but even these demonstrate that the visual amenity effect will be even more overpowering than previously.

The turbines are already on a ridge and their increased height will confirm their dominance of the landscape and our house with no realistic mitigation remedy available.

I am mistaken also in that the maximum tip height is actually increased by **20 m** (not 9m) but Aurecon/GIWF do not appear to think that this is a significant change!

Already the lives of non-windfarmers in the Furracabad Valley have been irrevocably changed and the proponents and their consultants appear to suggest that we should just wear it without regard or dare I say ,compensation.

We have to put up with uncertainty, a potentially unsaleable property of greatly reduced value as well as noise and loss of visual amenity if we or anyone else ever chooses to live there.

As previously stated I believe that the health effects of wind farms are mostly related to the powerlessness of wind farm neighbours who seem to be treated as if they do not matter and this again appears to hold true.

After much investigation 2 Km setbacks have been recommended but even now GIWP can apply for a modification to their approval for much bigger turbines without any consideration of the 2Km setback.

The 2Km setback should be mandatory. We are not opposed to alternative power generation but simply want neighbours' interests adequately considered. This is a big country.

This is a new application for modification and it must be considered in the light of community opinion and the community representative's recommendations.

Dr Ashley Peake

Please note that I do not object to the publication of this submission.