

Name	Post code	Why do you personally oppose the gas plant proposed for Kurri Kurri?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
A Thomas	2456	The private sector rejected this idea as unviable and high risk. The 600 million should be invested into our clean energy future. It is unethical and displays contempt for science and common sense	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Aaron Quinnell	2284	I'm concerned about the framework that the government works within in regards to the fossil fuel economy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Adam Alexander	2090	Given the evidence, investment in fossil fuels is idiotic government policy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Adam Driscoll	2303	Sooo dumb	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Adam Lucas	2502	This proposal makes no economic or environmental sense. It is simply a sop to a Liberal Party donor and to the dinosaurs within the Coalition who seem intellectually incapable of accepting the evidence that solar and wind with storage are already cheaper and more reliable than any newly built gas or coal fired power station. The Federal Government's commitment to this project demonstrates that its rhetoric about 'free markets' and 'letting the market rule' are simply a smokescreen for the naked exercise of power to further the interests of their fossil fuel patrons and donors.			The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Adam Micolich	2016	Tax payer funds should not be used to invest in what will obviously be stranded assets. It's fiscally irresponsible.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Adrian kotzen	2016	Makes no commercial sense. The industry has refused to invest. This will not drive prices down. If it is not economical it will only drive prices up. The government needs to transition the economy away from fossil fuel. More fossil fuel will cause more damage to the environment and to the economy	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Adrian Smith	2287	The fossil fuel industry is dying. The general public wants to see a shift away from the old ways of producing power to a more greener sustainable (for the planet) future. People power seems to be the only way to achieve this as the government refuses to do so, is operating it's own agenda. Who benefit's from this proposal? Not the people of Kurri Kurri.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Aidan Sheerin	2022	I don't agree to my tax money going towards fossil fuels	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Alan Bedford	2043	I am opposed to wasting public money on outmoded technology which will do nothing to reduce greenhouse gas emissions especially when all evidence demonstrates this is unnecessary to meet the future demand for electricity. This is a political rather than a rational decision and should be reversed.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		

Alan Leslie	2330	No commercial entity is interested in investing in these kind of projects, as they have no future in a world affected by greenhouse gases. I object to my tax dollars being spent on outdated highly polluting energy production which is unneeded. There's already a gas fired power plant nearby that's running at about 10% capacity.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Albert Martin	2076	It is not needed. It will be used for about one week a year. It will burn fossil fuel which should be phased out as soon as possible.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Alex Shepherd	2099	Any further spending on fossil fuels is a waste of money and will not provide the future for our children, grandchildren and all future generations.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Alexa Stuart	2299	This doesn't make ecomic sense and goes against the advise of most people including the Government's own Energy Market Operator. We need to transition to renewables now, and as a young person I am scared about the impact of climate change on my future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Alexander James Simpson	2320	This is an investment in an expensive plant with little to no benefit, from a government who generally oppose public investment. On top of that, battery technology options already exist that could fill the energy shortfall. I don't want to see another regressive and expensive step towards yesterday's technology.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Alexander Miteff	2138	The earth is our sacred home not resource that economic elites can exploit for profit gain . It is time to wake up too the reality that we need to live in harmony with nature to secure our future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Alice Baker	2450	I am concerned that the effect of using gas on the climate. I am concerned that people are killing themselves and the wildlife with whom we currently share this planet. I am concerned about Australia, it is being destroyed by the people who should be looking after it's beautiful wild places. I am concerned for the future of today's children.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Alison Ainsworth	2508	Federal government SHOULD NOT be investing in polluting fossil fuels. There has been a clear lack of public consultation and it is not needed.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Alison Flippence	2264	I dont feel in this day and age we should be investing in fossil fuels	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Alistair Doole	2550	Firming power can be created with renewable SOLAR PUMPED HYDRO STORAGE. This would also create jobs for construction and operation but without ongoing carbon pollution. We are in an emergency - we need to think and act differently to change business as usual to avoid the climate catastrophe that will make our current extremes everyday events. We need government to redirect thinking from short term fixes to long term solutions.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Alister Sharp	2110	Like coal, gas is a fossil fuel	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Allan Kelly	2546	Hugh step backwards. Should be planning for the future, supporting financially viable industries with far lower emissions. Massive waste of public money.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Amanda Hector	2300	All of the above & also the conflict of interest, with Liberal Party Donor & Member, Jeff McCloy, owning the site on which the gas plant is.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Amanda Lockton	2325	This is the worst idea in the history of ideas.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anarkali Papalkar	2321	Kurri historically has provided a manufacturing service to our area and now rather than support inferior and outdated technologies here we have an opportunity to bolster this town and our region with new and innovative ways of conducting business into the future. The Hunter has powered our greater region to the detriment of our ecological systems including the damage to soil systems and air quality. Future concerns relate to this latter matter in particular. As we have capacity to grow our region in a better and cleaner manner that leaves a positive legacy let's do that. Thankyou	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Andrew Burgess	2035	All of the experts agree that this plant will be white elephant before it's even completed. It's merely another instance of Morriscum's devotion to his true constituency: the oligarchs of the fossil fuel industry.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Andrew conn	2321	Lack of local jobs. High environmental impact. Proximity to urban areas.		There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Andrew Joseph Bezzina	2533	It is a short sighted approach to energy management that ignores science to cynically play politics. It fails to come to grips with the reality of our situation and create long term, sustainable approaches that create create new jobs and new industries with linked transition supports for workers but don't continue to burn our children's' future. That investment would be far better spent in industry transition rather than setting old unsustainable industry in formalin. It shows a serious lack of leadership and misleads the workers in the current high carbon industries implying that somehow it's sustainable and the sensible pathway forward. Political cynicism, deceit and short term selfish thinking.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Andrew McKenzie	2324	I am concerned about the federal government investment in polluting fossil fuels, the lack of public consultation, the small number of new jobs provided (10), or the large amount of public money being used to fund the project. I am also concerned about years environmental damage to the Pilliga, potential contamination of the Great Artesian Basin AND light pollution from well heads causing having for astronomical observations from the world class Siding Spring observatories.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Andrew Parr	2321	The Liberal Government are just playing their games again. Selling a small job opportunity as the saviour of the region. This is all just to line their buddies pockets with our taxpayer money again.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Andrew Pik	2070	As advised by the energy regulator, it is not required	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Andrew Townsend	2550	I am suspicious of the governments agenda. Kurri Kurri is an overinvestment in a technology that is widely acknowledged to be detrimental to the world's environment. A goverment and a leader that embraces a transition away from fossil fuel based energy supply with a program that consists of massive deployment of renewable technologies (wind, solar, community battery hubs, battery R & D, electric cars/trucks and networks) is one that I would be inspired to support. The nation needs to be on a "war footing" on this existential issue.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

andrew winton-brown	2479	continued promotion of fossil fuels for electricity generation, obviously buying votes in the Hunter region, relies on coal seam gas fracking under prime agricultural land	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.		The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Ann Chatham	2506	Are we not supposed to be looking for alternatives to oil and gas for our energy needs? This would have been a great opportunity for the leaders of our country to put their money where their mouth is and stop propping up an industry that is slowly killing our planet.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.			The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Ann Clarke	2321	As far as I was concerned it was pure pork barrelling because of the Upper Hunter by-election. How many rural communities have had money through grants thrown at them to ensure the re-election of a National party member? I am disgusted with the amount of public money being used by this government to shore up its electoral chances.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anna Kalliomaki	2016	The Federal Government should be investing in clean energy to provide more jobs and to get to net-zero emissions	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anne Kelly	2280	As far as the rest of the world is concerned the continued use of Fossil Fuels is criminal behavior now. That says it all.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anne Coutts	2536	For all three reasons	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anne Newton	2132	I feel that such a large amount of public money should not be used to fund such an outdated and ultimately redundant gas plant which not only does not provide much opportunity for future employment but also does nothing to help further Australia's proper use of renewable energy installations.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anne Pender	2090	We don't need more gas plants: the Kurri Kurri plant will be taxpayer money sunk at no benefit to taxpayers and soon the government would be claiming they had to spend more of our money to prop it up. The need and the benefit are laughable. I say let's all laugh out loud!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anne Withell	2281	Fossil fuels and climate	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
ANTHONY BANISTER	2193	The federal Govt is investing in dead technology that damages the planet and does not provide long term employment for very many people. They are also just delaying the inevitable, those fossil fuel based jobs are about to become a thing of the past and those workers should be re-trained now, not in five years. The money would go a lot further spent on renewable power. My children deserve a clean and healthy planet.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anthony Brown	2065	Agree	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anthony Lind	2085	Climate change	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anthony Ross Baker	2010	This country should be investing in renewable energy to help move away from the fossil fuel old time ways. Its for our future. We must transition away and then help retrain the work force.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
antoine Blachair	2035	we need to invest in alternative sustainable energy systems	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anurago Reichelt	2450	Because my daughter and granddaughter (and all daughters and granddaughters - or sons for that matter) have a right to live on a life supporting planet.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Arthur Watson	2540	Australia must join with the rest of the world and build non-carbon emitting infrastructure. It is the only sensible way forward to avoid disastrous climate change.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Averil Drummond	2323	Because we need to get away from all fossil fuels as a matter of urgency. Plus all the other reasons above.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Barbara Hutchison	2159	Support shoe going into renewable energy	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Barbara Levick	2340	The "gas-led recovery" is a myth designed to support a destructive and polluting industry. To support this with public money is unconscionable.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Barbara Symons	2576	The time for coal & Gas energy is over. No private company is prepared to put up the money for a project that will only contribute to worsening climate change so why does the federal government think it has the right to waste our taxes on such a project. If industry sees it as not viable who is advising this government??? The money would be much better spent on retraining coal & gas workers for future jobs.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Baris Guner	2300		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Barry Fox	2290	It is not necessary.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Barry Laing	2069	This plant will prolong the high emissions and delay the much more difficult decisions that have to be made about NSW's energy mix as we move inevitably to renewables. The public money would be much more effectively spent by firming up the grid to use renewables more efficiently.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Barry Lance	2137	Climate change is the greatest threat facing the planet, and this move makes it worse not better - throwing fuel onto the fire!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Barry Yardley	2795	Keep the poisonous gas where it is.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Ben Burdett	2036	We are in a climate emergency. Our state and Federal governments need to declare and start governing on an emergency footing that breaks away from their fossil fuel donors.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Bency George	2135	First of all it's a waste of public money. It can cause health problems on a long run for those who lives around the areas.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Bernadette Allen	2795	It's time we start putting money into renewable energies, training and jobs in that sector. Enough with the fossil fuels, they are killing us. He obviously gets paid a lot from these fossil fuel companies to continue killing our planet.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Beryl Ford	2016	The Government and mining interests seem to loose sight of the fact that all the resources that are being mined, sold in massive quantities are finite resources many with a life of only tens to hundreds of years. Evidently future generations are of no account and all this while we are fortunate to have climate that is perfect for renewable energy. Renewable energy also makes many self sufficient, and other minerals and materials etc may be able to be used in new non damaging ways in the future. What is wanted is good governance a government by the people for the people something not practices by our current government.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Beverley Rees	2303	I care passionately about our environment. We cannot afford to continue to pollute/damage our planet.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Bob Aspden	2452	ridiculous perpetuation of fossil fuel use	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Bob Bellini	2099	Not what I want to hand on to my children	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Boerma	2700	Spending public money on electricity generation powered by a fossil fuel is a backward step & unnecessary. A number of sector analysts, AMEO and the IEA don't think it should be progressed; why does this bad idea have legs? It certainly doesn't appear to be based on merit.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Bonnie McLean	2132	I care about the environment and about children and indigenous people still being able to live in their country in another few decades.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Bonnie Murdoch	2284	Will only be open 2% of the year and give 10 people jobs	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Brendan Doyle	2782	Lack of public consultation about a gas plant that will pump huge pollution into the atmosphere.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Brendan Jones	2038	This gas plant is simply not needed and is a complete waste of public money. Almost no energy expert thinks it is needed or economically justifiable. By the time it is built, it will likely be a "white elephant" or a "stranded asset", selling expensive electricity that no-one needs. The International Energy Agency recently announced that no more fossil-fuelled energy generation projects should be built in order for the world to be able to transition to clean energy by 2050. This project is in complete defiance of that assessment and appears to be a purely political decision. And it is bizarre that the Liberal-National parties, who for so long have praised the "free market" and slammed subsidies for renewable energy, have now decided they do not support the free market, do support public ownership, and through this project are re-nationalising part of the energy grid.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Brendon	2323	Its time to move away from fossils	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Brenton Hemer	2033	This is a waste of public money when it will only create 10 jobs and I believe we should not be investing in more fossil fuels which pollute the environment and contribute to climate change when there are cheaper and more effective ways using to use renewable energy which could produce more jobs and contribute to Australia becoming a world leader in producing renewable energy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Brian Davies	2258	Australia has already approved enough new gas and coal projects to blow the Paris agreement apart and send the planet into 3 degrees plus warming meaning the end of life as we know it with catastrophic ecosystem collapses. I have children and grandchildren whose quality of life and even lives are being placed at risk by Federal and state governments gifting public money to planet destroying industries.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Brian Doherty	2311	I oppose the Gas plant because there is no reason for it to be built. The Australian energy regulator has said that a new gas fired power plant is not required and the International Energy Agency has said no new oil, gas or coal developments can be approved if the world is to avoid the worst effects of climate change. If it were viable the private sector would build it. It will not provide significant jobs and the claim that it will force energy prices down is highly contestable and an insult to all Australians that have already suffered the effects of climate change with burnt and/or flooded houses and wildlife destruction. These very real personal and national costs are not being considered and they should be. The argument could also be made that energy cost reductions could be better achieved by the Government adopting policies and targets to move quickly to renewables and provide certainty for private investment. It is a huge, unwarranted, and unnecessary waste of public funds and has had little public consultation. it is hard to see that there are any valid reasons for this expenditure of public funds. It seems the only identifiable reasons are party political and corruption.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Brian Fitzgerald	2282	All four of the above.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Brian Haebich	2328	Batteries will offer a quicker return on investment and with solar still leading the way. We need storage not a gas turbine.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Brian Norman Roach.	2290	Scandalous waste of money. Defies science, political stunt for next election. Worse still, those responsible will never be called to account for a profligate misuse of information and funds.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Brian Wilcock	2517	I am opposed because gas is polluting and is the fuel of the past. For the Kurri Kurri project, I oppose the blatant rent seeking of the government.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Brian& Marilyn Dean	2774	We believe it is not really necessary the money should be spent on cleaner energy production.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Brianna Brown	2725	It's not their money, its not their land, its not their future, its everyone's... and they don't have the right to destroy the land and the planet.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Bronwyn Harrison	2257	#NAME?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Bronwyn Monro	2041	Tell government to work on renewables.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Bruce Allen	2070	Gas is not longer viable both econiomically and defineitely not for our continuing survival on ths planet. We would do better to invest in small batteries for some communities as a pilot project to help smooth the demands on power. It has been sugested that the plant would only be used 2 to 3 % of the time to maintain stable baseload. We could do this with small batteries recharged either at offpeak times or with renewable energies or both.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Bruce childs	2027		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Bruce Hewitt	2321	I live close enough to Kurri that any proposed gas fired power station there will lead to direct exposure to emissions from such. We already have a planned crematorium going in even closer. We are already exposed to dust from hunter coal mines and emissions from existing coal fired power stations. Enough is enough. The current federal budget has locked in unprecedented debt for our country and this unsustainable unneeded and high risk investment is additional burden that we cannot financially afford. This project is further unwarranted development which will only give justification to other unwanted projects including the Narrabri coal seam gas project and associated gas pipeline. Everyone is screaming don't do this. Why do we continue. To quote the Simpson's animated TV series, Monorail, monorail, monorail.....	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Bruce James McKaig	2077	Pork Barrelling is not O.K. Global Warming needs action now!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Bruce Noake	2760	the land will be useless for food production when they are finished, where are future generations going to produce our food? Knock down the remaining forests? Just to get Morrison and his cronies a bit more time to wreck the country. We can't forget that fool Fitzgibbon any true Labor man would be promoting training for the workers to moveon out of the dying fossil industries, there is no future in being a fossil.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Bruce Prior	2480	I can only think that the government is acting against the best interest of Australians and indeed the world!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Bruce Wallace	2450	Renewable energy with stored battery would be a superior option. Gas will continue to support the fossil fuel industry.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
C Jones	2782	I am concerned about how much public money is being poured into supporting fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
caitlyn brightmon	2567	Fossil fuels are NOT the way to go	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Carl Graham	2095	Too much public money investing in polluting fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Carly Phillips	2289	I am worried for the future for my family and future generations. We cannot justify wasting public money on this dirty gas plant at a time when we must be investing in renewables. A plant that costs 600M and will only be used 2% of the time is an outrageous idea and reports show that the gaps opened by Liddell closing can easily be filled by renewables.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
caroline friend	2035	I am concerned about the federal governments investment in polluting fossil fuels and their inability to listen to the science and acknowledge the very dire situation this planet is in. This is a short sighted government. There will be no jobs in the future if we continue down the path of global warming through the use of fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Caroyn May Erickson	2147	it's not way forward where is this money coming from NDIS recipients, Aged care ? this is a government who has no empathy and couldn't care less about the environment a government who has shown time and time again is mates in the big end of town	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Cassandra Bennett	2483	I want to see the government investing in renewable energy instead.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Cassandra EDWARDS	2042	I oppose this because of the large amount of public money going into fossil fuels when the science tell us that it is not what we should be doing now. I also object to the secrecy the LNP uses to do this. I am concerned about the future for grandchildren	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Cassandra Taylor	2327	I want clean renewable energy and I think the government spending 600million is crap with only 10 permanent jobs. It isn't good enough.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Catherine Philps	2515	I believe it is an unnecessary waste of public money when no private investors are interested in supporting it. I also believe it will become a stranded assett.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Cathy Kelly	2295	I am appalled that the Federal Government are investing in fossil fuels!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Celtic Virgo	2500	Don't want my hard earned tax dollars spent polluting the environment by corrupt politicians for profit and power.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Chabela Torres	2083	We must stop using fossil fuels	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Chad Pead	2326	The people in kurri kurri have already been subjected to the previous aluminium smelter, we can't grow anything outside as the ground is contaminated, and for the future health of our new born children	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Charles Richard Jarvis	2210	The Best Scientific advise is that 10 jobs and an operating period of just 2% of the time. The waste of taxpayer's is appalling. These halfwits are obviously attempting to buy votes from the luddite coal clique at our expense!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Charlie Blacker	2444	I think our money should be put towards more sustainable ways of energy production. In my opinion, this project is a step backwards.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Charlie Hewitt	2478	This is obviously commercial cronyism by the government - providing tax payer dollars to prop up their mates dying businesses. The business and environmental case clearly does not stack up.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Charlotte Bailey	2289	i'm very concerned about the pollution in fossil fuels and the lack of prevention strategies the government are providing	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Cheryl Kellehear	2131	Other types of clean fuel should be explored. Public money could be better spent in the state	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Chris Boorman	2777	Like many in our population, I am worried about the continuous damage to the environment that fossil fuel fired Power Stations are doing to our fragile environment. I have also seen first hand the damage to schools, residences and vehicles etc from the 'Acid Rain' fallout that constantly occurs around these Power Stations.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Chris Dunton	2540	I oppose the irresponsible wastage of valuable public funds on a frivolous, unnecessary, high emissions project that will harm the future wellbeing of everyone on the planet. There is no rational scientific or economic explanation for such a project. If the prime minister was sincere when announcing that he would like our emissions to be reduced then this project contradicts his own words. This clearly demonstrates our leadership's total disregard for the future well-being of our planet and it's people. Our leadership's disdain for credible, worldwide evidence based advice demonstrates our politicians's inability to engage in intelligent analysis on which to base its policies and has based its decisions solely on short term political ambitions.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Chris Dunton	2540	I oppose the irresponsible wastage of valuable public funds on a frivolous, unnecessary, high emissions project that will harm the future wellbeing of everyone on the planet. There is no rational scientific or economic explanation for such a project. If the prime minister was sincere when announcing that he would like our emissions to be reduced then this project contradicts his own words. This clearly demonstrates our leadership's total disregard for the future well-being of our planet and it's people. Our leadership's disdain for credible, worldwide evidence based advice demonstrates our politicians's inability to engage in intelligent analysis on which to base its policies and has based its decisions solely on short term political ambitions.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Chris Farnell	2489	I can see better use of the money if you helped the people of the Hunter Valley transiting from coal to other industries. Start a proper education training program. Get rid of lobbyists too.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Chris Helyar	2650	Because we shoudn't be further investing in expanding the 'farming' of gas. Fossil fues should be energy sources of the past.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Chris Pridham	2753	Public money can wisely and profitably be spent on sustainable electricity infrastructure, like batteries and solar.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Chris Rowbotham	2102	Taxpayer's money is being used to prop up a dying, polluting industry. Fossil fuels are already uncompetitive in the energy market. They will be even more uncompetitive in the years to come. Only the fossil fuel industry want this to go ahead.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Christina Hough	2092	Because it will continue to damage the planet when it no longer even makes financial sense! And because Australia could be the leader in a regenerative future but our politicians are insisting on funding dirty energy and holding up progress. They will make us all an embarrassment if we don't hold them to account.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Christine Barber	2370	The government should be investing in renewable energy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Christine Kleppen	2251	Hunter Valley is home to many agri businesses as well as mining- it deserves a sustainable future for those working in the coal mining area now and all following generations. While ever Australian governments insist on digging up fossil fuels we will always be likened to a third world country.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
CHRISTINE LINDA STURGESS	2284	Our govt SHOULD NOT be investing in more polluting fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Christine Mary Shearer	2444	Use of polluting fossil fuels is outdated thinking when their are so many renewable energy options available. Australia is continuing down a path of contributing to dangerous pollution and scientifically proven climate change both endangering our planet and our children's future. The large amount of public money being used to fund the Kurri Kurri Gas Plant is both irresponsible and against public opinion.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Christopher Anthony	2137	I am concerned that the Federal Government continues to rely on fossil fuels as a source of energy when the rest of the world is proactively moving to sources of green energy. I am concerned for the future of my grandchildren.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Christopher Brian Rutnam	2204	My reason is the first---taxpayers' money should not go into the support of fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.			The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Christopher Oakden	2444	we are trying to bring down pollution not increase it	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Christopher Stanley Wright	2340	It's unnecessary and the money would be better spent on renewables and batteries. A move in the wrong direction for climate change. Just pandering to the fossil fuel industry.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Christopher Wilmot	2289	It contradicts both NSW's and the Australian governments obligations to commit to, and reduce, greenhouse gas emissions. It is not ecologically sustainable development. It is not critical state significant infrastructure if it is only to operate at 2% of its proposed built capacity.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Clarita Norman	2281	Because I care about the planet and need to reduce pollution!!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Claudia Perry-Beltrame	2526	The money is better invested in other energy production. We need to stop investing in old technology and support manufacturing of new tech like solar and wind.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Clayton Smith	2101	Well we have enough capacity already, we could be adding batteries or renewables though...	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Cliff Dent	2321	Another bad decision by this corrupt Federal Government.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Clive Gunton	2000	All of the above	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Colin East	2300	Green energy is needed for manufacturing not fossil fuels that will severely limit export markets of the future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Colin Hall	2620	I oppose the proposed gas plant because it is totally inconsistent with the science on climate change and its causes; there was no public/scientific consultation on the merits of the proposal; it is completely inconsistent with Australia's intentional and moral, obligations to reduce our carbon pollution; and it was clearly a frivolous political motivated proposal to support the coalition candidates in the by-election. It will, like the Adani and Snowy Hydro claims made by state and federal governments, lead to significant and real jobs for Australian workers.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Colin Mondy	2290	Investment in fossil fuel infrastructure prevents the vital and urgent need to transition from fossil fuels to renewable energy sources in order to avoid the predicted devastating rise in global temperature and the catastrophic effect of climate change.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Colin Payne	2088	Waste of money, we're facing an urgent need to move away from fossil fuels and should be focussing on renewables plus battery storage to meet our future power generation needs.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Dan Heldon	2620	I am opposed to the use of my taxes funding fossil fuel power generation when the money could be better spent building a renewable power grid for Australia	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
daniel endicott	2296	climate chaos and concerned about the federal government investment in polluting fossil fuels, the lack of public consultation, the large amount of public money being used to fund the project (\$600 million).	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Daniel James Clarkson	2350	We don't need more pollution from any fossil fuel power plants when we should be doing all that we can to move over to renewable energy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Daniel Keeble	2294	Because it's wasting our taxpayers money on a power plant that will probably only last a few years before it's deemed redundant. Not to mention it will be privately owned so we will never see the so called "savings" on our bills. There are environmentally friendly options that will last a life time and create just as many if not more jobs.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Daniel Lubinski	2287	Time to start phasing out new fossil fuel projects!!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Daniel Turner	2320	fossil fuels are unsustainable	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Daniel Vincent -Paul Flesch	2454	all of the above ! And the fact Lib Nats are forever going on about the market being the main factor in economic decisions. Hypocrites when it suits them.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Daphne Paris	2040	It is crazy to be spending Tax Payer money on an asset that holds no real value to citizens and is damaging to the welfare of future generations!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Darrall Thompson	2324	Australia should be the solar centre of the world... Spend the money on solar infrastructure and don't keep digging holes and fracking that pollutes the water table.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Darren Newington	2565	Use of public money, environmental costs	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
darren segal	2031	its fairly obvious why this is a ridiculous idea, im not sure I would have anything to add.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		

Darrin Stoker	2096	This site would be ideal for a large solar generator with battery storage.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Darron Hull	2250	we need to concentrate on renewable s not fissile fuels	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Dave Brown	2325	It's ludicrous for the federal government to be wasting so much money on a fossil fuel powered dinosaur. All the experts say it's not needed. I live in the Hunter Valley and I know that there are more jobs in transitioning to renewables than in fossil fuel. We should switch to sustainable power generation NOW.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
David	2778	Fossil fuels are dying. Fossil fuels are killing us. It's time to go clean and green, and we have the technology right here and right now. Solar, wind, battery, and pumped hydro power in case there is a short fall at night.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
David Brookman	2315	Mining coal releases methane in vast amounts. Methane is a more powerful greenhouse gas than carbon dioxide. Collecting methane from fracking in a country dependent upon artesian groundwater for food production must be one of the most stupid short sighted industrial process ever conceived. To then oxidise the methane to produce hydrogen and 'capture' the carbon dioxide produced for storage underground is only slightly less short sighted. Using coal to produce hydrogen is even more polluting producing more carbon dioxide per unit of energy than methane oxidisation. If such vast amounts of money are to be invested it would be better directed at capturing solar energy to produce hydrogen to be stored to run the gas plant. Once established there would be a supply to drive hydrogen fuelling stations for EV with fuel cells. The decision is totally political because the people employed in the coal industry have never been offered alternative income and education. They are frightened and vote accordingly.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
David Dixon	2546	It is environmentally damaging, unnecessary and an appalling waste of our money.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
David Grealy	2550	We need renewables, not fossil fuels, if we are to reduce likelihood of catastrophic bushfires	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
David Mackay	2073	The "gas as a transitional fuel" argument is patently misleading and a thinly veiled attempt to support LNP donor industries and corporations. It is intended as a lifeline to help them run down their stranded assets slowly, wringing every last cent out of dirty energy, at a time when it is becoming increasingly apparent that climate change is accelerating faster than predicted and countries, especially Australia, are underperforming on their insufficiently ambitious carbon reduction targets.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
David Michael Hauser	2283	It is a financial Trainwreck and there is no supporting infrastructure.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
David Palmer	2484	Public investment in fossil fuel projects is criminal negligence. There is no need for the energy produced and the funds could employ more workers at higher wages in renewable energy projects. This negligence is CRIMINAL because it benefits the politicians and their benefactors; not the people to whom the funds belong.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

David Porter	2216	Spending public money on a dying industry is a waste of money and also will cause a lot of harmful pollution. Plus there is no need for this power station!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
David Reynolds	2540	Too bloody right I'm concerned! It's an unnecessary, polluting, fossil fuel plant. The cost of this plant could be spent on renewables and battery storage which would be cheaper and more effective.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
David Simpson	2076	It's totally unnecessary, will only be used 2% of the year and it's just using taxpayer funds to support their fossil fuel buddies (and future employers no doubt !) Disgusting - spend \$600,000,000 on batteries and pumped hydro, sponsor the rollout of electric vehicle chargers instead ! We don't need a new gas plant it's an appalling grift.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
David Suttie	2204	If the "new gas plant" is necessary AND will only be needed 2percent of the time, why not connect gas to Liddel & put a Gas generator into operation where it is already connected to the Grid.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
DavidJohn Wilcox	2428	All of your reasons but mainly the use of public funds in other than alternative green energy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.		The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Daya Gonsalkorale	2079	That money would be muc better spent on renewable energy!!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
deb dare	2784	I am concerned about the federal government investment in polluting fossil fuels, the lack of public consultation, the small number of new jobs provided (10), and the large amount of public money being used to fund the project (\$600 million).	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Debbie OConnor	2785	I oppose the building if new fossil fuel power plants, this is a backward step when the world is moving away from fossil fuels in order to tackle climate change. I also oppose tax payer money being invested in this project	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Deborah Burns	2777	A ridiculous waste of taxpayers' money for just a few jobs. I wish we had a government that cared about the planet instead of outdated and polluting methods of energy production. I am embarrassed to be ruled by such an ignorant government.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Deborah Tuck	2508	We do not need any more gas or coal mines. Our environment is polluted. Our flora and fauna need protecting, not destruction. Solar and wind is the way forward. We have not been asked if we want this in our state. We have just been dictated to and it is a waste of time and money. Surely that money could go towards helping the environment.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Deborah Wilson	2290	I am concerned about the federal government investment in: polluting fossil fuels, the lack of public consultation, the small number of new jobs provided (10), the large amount of public money being used to fund the project (\$600 million).	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Deborah Wilson	2517	I have major concerns the federal government is investing public money, with no consultation into a polluting fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Debra Hebbard	2460	Climate change is the most important threat to our future health and economic state. To add to this using DIESEL to power this stop gap until a gas pipe line goes in is ridiculous. Spend the money on battery storage of excess renewable power.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Decima Wraxall	2117	I'm concerned that federal government is clinging to the horse and buggy era of gas and coal. There has been no public consultation in this foolish decision to build a new gas plant, and a huge public cost (said to be \$600 million at least) and the scarcity of new jobs it will provide- 10, apparently. At a time of Climate Crisis, it is the greatest folly to ignore renewables and the many jobs which can be expected in this new era.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Dee Carter	2040	This project has no future and creates almost no jobs. At a time when we should be looking to renewable energy and creating new green jobs this locks us into the past and wastes our money. The government needs to hear the voices of the people. No more fossil fuels!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Deirdre Irwin	2287	I am strongly opposed for the above reasons and that the leaders of our country whether voted for or not they are representatives of our nation. currently they are representing short lived greed and convenient ignorance at the cost of the biosphere of which they and their loved ones are part of.. turn the other way	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Denis Russell Towner	2261		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Denis Smith	2534	The long term climate affect Poor use of public money	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Dennis Burt	2283	Now is the time to go SOLAR.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Derrin Stent	2090	I feel the government should be focussed on creating new jobs, skills, businesses and world leading innovation/technologies not giving slow, powerful companies even more time to reinvent their futures at the expense of local communities and the environment.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Derry Field	2203	Burning gas to create heat and electricity is an old technology and needs to be discontinued.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Derry Finkeldey	2107	I believe that our immediate and future economic health is inextricably linked to the health of the environment and that it is imperative that the government works now to support renewable and smart energy generation and distribution, and to build a full recycling industry. Investing in fossil fuels and a new gas plant is an irresponsible misuse of public funds and a poor investment for our future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Devleena Ghosh	2204	Fossil fuels are so 20th century. their day is over	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Diane Barry	2088	I'm most concerned about the plan to build a gas plant at Kerri Kerri because of the lack of public consultation, the small number of new jobs provided for an outlay of \$600,000.000, and most importantly because we certainly don't need more polluting fossil fuels when there are so many opportunities for much better alternatives.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

diane potter	2077	this planet is in a climate crisis and we must convert to renewable energy as soon as possible if our grand children are going to have food to eat, water to drink and air to breathe.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Diane Reilly	2018	It is a waste of money	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Diane Summers	2780	I can't believe the Morrison government wants to pour more money into fossil fuels. It is shameful.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Dianne Allen	2533	The gas plant will not contribute to a lower carbon footprint for Australia, and so will continue to ramp up the climate change that is so devastating to our world.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Dianne Hughes	2020	I personally oppose because of fossil fuel's role in climate change .	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Dietrich Hausler	2010	All of the above.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Dom Tych	2205	because not only is it polluting, it's helping ensure we miss this once in a lifetime opportunity to get on the front foot regards renewables, and creating more jobs with renewables. Nobody believes taylor's and morrison's lies about power, beyond the predictable newsorp sychophants (sp). is this really about scott morrison waiting for the rapture - so he's happy to destroy society.....	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Dominic Davis	2017	This is so unnecessary, we can have solar energy, we don't need this waste of taxpayers money	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Don Mckinnon	2782	America and Europe are building liquid sodium solar power plants that cost less than the gas fired relics and we have more sunshine than most other places so why not have one here	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Don Morris Lyda	2107	I seriously doubt the Government's plan to build a fossil fuelled Peaking Power Plant in the Hunter will come in on budget. However, that just means it is a bigger waste of Taxpayer Dollars. The fundamental reason why Angus' Thought-Bubble is ludicrously inappropriate are: A. It is unnecessary. B. It will be used so seldom the cost of its power will be astronomical. C. The 24/7 Operational costs of a Peaking Plant make the likelihood of ROI stretch into the next century. D. Government is NOT advised to compete with the private sector. Either utilities are privatised, or they are not, but there is no workable Half & Half when it comes to Public/Private Ownership of Utilities. D. It will inevitably become a stranded asset.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Doris Bollen	2095	It is high time to switch to renewables!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	

Dr Amoreaux McRae	2293	The planet is heading into a climate catastrophe- this is beyond irresponsible	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Dr Bernard J Conlon	2575	Environment: the impact on heating our planet but also the devastating impact on the land from which the gas is taken using CSG	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Dr Carol Lazer	2028	Putting large sums of money into any new fossil fuel energy generation is plain stupid. The technology now exists for a large variety of renewable energy generation systems, battery storage and the investment in new technologies. A lot of Australian development and design went into solar power and piped light technology, last century when universities were not under siege. I know because my friends were working on these while I was at university. Lack of foresight and support from government has seen these being modified and manufactured overseas so that we can buy our own technology back as fully developed products. Nothing much has changed, except that the current government has even less foresight, or worse, an agenda that is at odds with the future well-being of our country and the planet. I suspect it is the latter. I see a small group of self serving politicians making decisions in their own interests instead of the greater good. The Kurri Kurri gas fired power plant is a perfect example of this, along with a very long list of other environmentally disastrous projects, like the Adani mine, that are also on their agenda. If they had an ounce of foresight and a lot less corruption they would be investing in proven renewable energy options with the jobs and technologies of the near future for our citizens. Training our people in properly funded tertiary education facilities would also future proof the need to continually import overseas trained immigrants at the expense of our local potential. Prove me wrong and ditch the money from lobby groups and invest in a secure and profitable future along with the rest of the renewable technologies being developed and supported by the rest of the world.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Dr David Goodall	2093	Money,resources.employment is being directed away from energy production systems which will have a higher level of economic growth and benefits for the country		There has not been enough public consultation on this project.		The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Dr David Sharpe	2480	This move is a total sell out of this country's future. Australia is one of the countries most vulnerable to climate change. We currently have a great opportunity to capitalise on the shift to renewable energy and this is being squandered by a government pandering to the vested interests of the past to stay in power. This goes against all scientific and economic advice and ignores repeated market signals (the irony) and the advice of the insurance industry (experts in probability, consequence and risk). Instead, Australian taxpayers will be left with a stranded asset while the rest of the world captialises on opportunities we will have increasing difficultly accessing. It is difficult to state how stupid all this is.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Dr Ingrid Schraner GAICD, F Fin	2284	I am an economist by trade and can clearly see that this is not economically viable and will not lead to lower gas prices for the community. Spending \$600 million taxpayer money to create 10 long-term jobs is not justifiable. The Hunter region already suffers from extremely poor air quality and does not need an additional source of air pollution. I live here and want to enforce my human right to clean air!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Dr Kristen Klimpsch	2291	It is ludicrous to be "investing" in fossil fuels. Enough is enough. When will politicians get out of big business' pockets and start doing the right thing for our children and the planet? Australia is a clever country, let's invest in science and alternative solutions.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Dr Lyndy Scott	2577	- Federal government has not consulted the public - taxpayers like me - re funding a huge \$600 million project - An insignificant 10 jobs are to be created, this alone makes a farce of the project - Human health must have first priority and \$ spent to check the health status of those likely to be affected	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Dr Peter Holt AM	2333	It is unnecessary and batteries/renewables are the way to go in 2021	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Dr. Jacob Vromen	2019		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Dr. Richard Anton Marschall	2000	Gas is just wrong in every way. It causes more greenhouse gas emissions, it encourages fracking (and methane releases), and is just wasting taxpayer funds.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Drew Cowen	2291	all government money should be going to renewables.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Duncan McCrick	2316	It's corrupt,selfish and will not benefit the people or the planet	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
E O'Driscoll	2541	I'm concerned about the government wasting all that money on fossil fuels rather than clean renewable energy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Ed Harvey	2256	Would prefer use of battery technology wherever possible.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Edith Orwat	2250	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it when considering the damage it does to the environment and increasing climate change for all Australians to suffer in the near and far future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
EDWARD JOHN SEYMOUR	2285	It is not required as it will be only called upon 2% of the time, it is pollutant, it lines the pockets of LNP supporters.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Edward Rosales	2131	This government is helping to companies that donates money to the Liberal Party	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Elaine M Stranger	2627	all of the above	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Elaine O'Rourke	2048	I have visited Kurri Kurri for a festival and found it a quaint Australian township. The gas works will ruin it special quality of age.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Elaine Sherwood	2450	Need to be funding batyeries Bd renewables, not gas	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Elizabeth Andrews	2031	It costs too much; it provides hardly any jobs; it will produce climate-polluting CO2	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Elizabeth Argyle	2326	im 6th generation in kurri kurri this town is as old as morpeth but has not been looked after AT ALL its heritage GONE cessnock council if you ask ANYONE local will tell you just how corrupt and SHIT they are an have been for years. 6 million dollars would go a long way to preserve this HERITAGE town long forgotten history. if at all interested i can prove just how old kurri is and morpeth would take a back seat. i appose it because THERE IS COAL ALL AROUND THE AREA U JUST HAVE TO KICK DUST AN NOT EVEN THEN. early 1800s scottish irish immigrants that landed in hay were my ancestry they ended up in kurri very early on. my 3rd great grandfather brought soccer to NSW called "the minmi rangers" he lived in KURRI. dead set this poor little place long forgotten by corrupt shitty council	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Elizabeth Hindmarsh	2009	This is a terrible waste of taxpayers money. This money could be used for other important issues like low cost housing. This is a very poor decision in terms of addressing climate change. There are other options that would provide the access to power 'when the wind does not blow and the sun does not shine' as described by Mr Morrison like using batteries and hydro. This project would provide it is assessed - 10 ongoing jobs. This does not provide the - 'jobs, jobs, jobs' that is being promoted by the government. Overall the only 'positive outcome' appears to be is that this Government is doing the bidding of the coal and gas industry!!! This is not an outcome that will be of benefit to the community, the climate or the budget.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Elizabeth Mansell	2326	I have huge concerns for the spending of public funds on this plant which is completely unsuitable.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Elizabeth Rix	2482	Its an unethical and blatant act of defiance in the face of the looming global climate crisis that threatens all species including humans. Australia is already on the nose with the rest of the world for these kinds of climate wrecking policies. Morrison's government is prioritising the agendas of a handful of fossil fuel profiteers over the future of our planet.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Elizabeth Ruth Lyons	2576	We need a renewable led recovery NOT a \$600MILLION SLUSH FUND / DONATION FROM THE MORRISON government OF OUR MONEY to a polluting GAS company in the HUNTER valley. PLEASE Stand up and STOP THIS with the power of YOUR political voice.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Elizabeth Turnock	2577	It's taking the country backwards, not forwards, towards a low carbon future and a world that will be inhabitable by my descendants. Australia is therefore not being a good global citizen.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Emily Doherty	2116	All of the above and the destruction of natural environment.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Emma	2011	There is no information being provided to the public to help them understand what benefit it will bring them and how this will contribute to a better future. This is regressive and a policy!		There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
ERIC COTHER	2800	USELESS WASTE OF MONEY THAT SHOULD GO TO PUBLIC SCHOOLS AND HOSPITALS	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Eric White	2010	Our taxes should be spent om renewables not fossil fuel technology	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Erin	2326	Toxic emissions into our family homes I do not want my family to be affected by this		There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Erin Black	2008	This is not what a sustainable future looks like.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Erin Workman	2036	If Eraring Power station should fail like Calide Power Station in Queensland Most Of South East Australia would go Black and restoring power would be difficult and take time. The Kurri Kurri proposed plant would not address the main risk of system fail.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Ethan Le Duc	2322	Investing in a new gas plant and fossil fuels is only dragging out the inevitable of a complete transition to green energy. Spending \$600 million of tax payers money to build this is simply not justifiable and stinks of corruption.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Eva Cermak	2031	This is not the way to achieve zero carbon emmissions by 2050 or hopefully sooner than that.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Eva Pretty	2032	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away. We are destroying our children's future by increasing global temperatures. Investing in gas is diverting attention and funds from the inevitable transition to green energy	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Faith Bull	2304	I'm signing because I am outraged by this governments' irresponsible and sort-sighted investment in fossil fuels against the advice of climate scientists and experts in the field.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Felix Holmer	2479	A retrograde step, not supported by either science or business; apparently totally politically motivated.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Finbar O'Donoghue	2117	The announcement was outrageous but I do not believe this government driven by spin have any intention of delivering this project. It was a cynical ploy before the recent Hunter Valley election to get more of the support from the coal supporting community thereby ensuring that the Lib/Nat government kept the seat. A bigger concern is that such a large proportion of the community keep voting for them.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		

Fiona Giles	2031	Transition to renewables via gas is, as Malcolm Turnbull correctly noted, bonkers. Gas is dirty to produce and dirty to burn. We have enough sun and wind to produce energy for Australia and in the future to export and should be developing this form of renewable energy instead. The public money set aside for the gas plant should be diverted to research and development for solar and wind power.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Fiona Lee	2296	We need investment in renewables not outdated technology.					I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Fiona Maine	2583	As a member of a family involved in renewable energy supply and installations (predominately solar pv to the grid in the Central West of NSW) I am opposed to the burning of fossil fuels for power generation or any other purpose as it creates green house pollutants which are then being mixed into our earth's atmosphere causing even more global warming. Fiona Maine 2583	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Fiona Nash	2131	Because the location proposed is not actually on a gas pipeline, apart from the fact that the power plant is not required. It is a total waste of money.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Fiona Whyte	2323	Firstly I have issue with the amount of money being spent. And I would prefer we were going down the path of renewable energy	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Florence Howarth	2259	Mainly because it is not needed. Waste taxpayers money.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Frances Hoad	2445	I oppose the federal government investing \$600million of taxpayers money into a fossil fuel plant which all the energy providers and experts agree is not needed. All our future power needs will be met without it.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Frances jones	2080	I am appalled that a large amount of public funding is being invested in polluting fossil fuels, ie climate change!!!! It cannot be supported on the grounds that it will create jobs - Why should we sacrifice the planet to create jobs? There won't be any jobs when we've wrecked our environment!!!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Francesca Martinelli	2315	This policy just didn't make sense. A big expense for only a few years of use before becoming a stranded asset. Fossil fuels are a dying industry. We need to start transitioning communities that are reliant on fossil fuels now so they can cope when the world no longer wants or coal or gas. We should have learnt from the Tasmanian native timber logging indusdy how devastating it is when these industries are shut down over night. We need plans not sound bites.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Frank Birchall	2062	Don't have confidence in federal government's justifications and \$600 million is a huge amount to pay for it.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Frank Donaghy	2086	I am opposed to this gas plant because it involves public money - my money - being spent to unnecessarily prolong the use of fossil fuels, which is clearly against the advice of climate science and delays essential progress to a clean energy future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
frank schaar	2134	a hugely costly project, just when we need to cut down on plluting fossil fuels	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	

Frederick Mohr	2044	climate change	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
G P Lam	2065	We need to find alternative energy solutions to gas/fossil fuels to achieve zero emissions target. The technology for renewables have improved and should be at the forefront and scaled up. This is a better investment of tax payers money while creating sustainable jobs, thinking about the future and making the planet more cleaner and liveable for generations to come.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Gabriele	2076	Ecologically unsound, waste of taxpayer money	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Gail Galloway	2372	All the above reasons. This is another blatant rort. Let's not forget Angus Taylor's hand in water theft from the Murray Darling. It's time for accountability	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Garry Clubley	2041	Government shouldn't be wasting public money on a dying technology. No benefit analysis has been prepared that takes into consideration likely the rapid private uptake of non-fossil fuel technologies over the anticipated life of the Hunter Power Project.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Garry Rose	2295	Even the governments own advisers say this is unnecessary. It is sheer stupidity!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Gary Pyman	2481	The Australian government shouldn't be using the taxpayers money on supporting new fossil fuel projects.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Gary Shaw	2527	Diverting public money meant to help renewable technologies and mitigate climate change toward fossil fuels is corrupt.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Gas is a serious pollutant that we need to	2230	This is a disgraceful waste of money for purely political reasons to pacify climate deniers in the Coalition and the powerful fossil fuel lobby forces that control this government. It will be a stranded asset before is even completed.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Gavin Gibson	2423	I would rather see the money spent on researching storage options for renewable energy from hydro, solar, wind and tide, and re jiggig the grid to make roof top solar generation and transfer back to the grid actually meaningful!!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Gaye Haworth	2132	I am concerned that this money is not being used to improve the delivery of green energy. It is a huge outlay with inherent dangers. In addition the proposed gas plant creates few jobs.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Geoff Ayton	2069	The enormous expenditure of public money on a project which the private sector doesn't see fit to finance. This is extreme hypocrisy on the part of the neo-liberals who preach that the markets should dictate policy direction.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Geoff Maine	2583	As a member of a family involved in renewable energy supply and installations (predominately solar pv to the grid in the Central West of NSW) I am opposed to the burning of fossil fuels for power generation or any other purpose as it creates green house pollutants which are then being mixed into our earth's atmosphere causing even more global warming. Geoff Maine 2583	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Geoff Skinner	2300	Stop fracking.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Geoffrey Owen Barker	2732	Propping up any fossil fuel burning facility is in my opinion somewhat like boring holes in the bottom of your lifeboat.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
George Dobbins	2321	Huge expense for a plant that will only be utilised part time. We don't need extra gas we have enough as it is. It just needs to be priced accordingly instead of selling it overseas.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Georgina Taylor	2088	Waste of public money for so few jobs on gas industry that will keep polluting planet & destroying our scarce good agricultural land NSW. The Gas plant is not needed by the electricity grid, the regulator AEMO says so & is a Trojan horse to build the gas pipeline required for Santos Narrabri gas field to be viable. The Narrabri gas field is firmly rejected by local farmers & produces so few jobs for the spend & damage to environment. Profits go overseas to Santos who will pay no company tax in Australia with asset write offs, depreciation, "loans" from other parts of Santos etc. Disgraceful, unneeded & must be stopped. Focus on the poor economics & stories of farmer & indigenous owner opposition & how few jobs in it	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Gerard Carey	2322	I would rather the government develop a policy for transitioning from fossil fuels to give private enterprise some certainty	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Gillian Hewitson	2780	The Federal govt needs to stop investing in and/or subsidising fossil fuel production. Their ethos is the "free market" so it doesn't make economic sense even in their world to support firms and industries which would otherwise fail.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Gillian M Lord	2074	I am opposed to any new fossil fuel powered energy plants being built. It is important that Australia reverses its reliance on fossil fuels and takes genuine steps to do OUR PART in limiting emissions in keeping with the Paris Agreement. I do not believe the current government is genuine in doing this. I do not believe many jobs will be created as most of this industry is robot driven, and will be even more so in the future. I believe workers already in the fossil fuel industry should be transitioned to a renewable industry future. Get on with it, Morrison Government. Renewables are the Future. Gillian Lord Sydney	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Glen David Short	2204	Pollution and waste of money better spent on green power	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Glenda Hodge	2800	I grew up in Newcastle (hunter). Such beautiful rich land in the hunter- absolutely decimated by mines. What a disaster a gas power station would be! But this government wants to ensure that the miners etc vote for them at next election. (And they will)	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Glenn Selmes	2290	Climate change is very real and this is just to get hunter votes in fed election	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Glenn W Turton	2285	For all the reasons stated below	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Gloria Ann Jeffrey	2533	I cannot believe this, what a retrograde step in this day and age. Our money can be better spent on essential things - health, hospitals education, education and traineeships for the younger generation. Set up new industries and replace the rubbish we get from China.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Gordon Chirgwin	2427	It is a waste of money, a step backwards and is only there as a sop to the reactionaries in Canberra.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Graeme Clarke	2620	Because there are renewable technologies available and these are a better investment in the future for us and for future generations.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Graeme John Sanders	2130	Clearly not needed as shown by many analyses and comments		There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Graeme W Stevens	2290	federal government is demonstrating that it still does not believe in climate change by promoting this public investment in fossil fuel energy production when there are so many other options available to use new technology and renewable energy and allow Australia to emerge as a leader on climate change	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
GRAHAM HEATH	2220	I'm concerned that the federal government is ignoring requests not to build any more coal or gas plants and although the industry isn't interested in doing so the Morrison government is doing so when we should be moving away from fossil fueled power plants.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Graham Leslie	2081	Does nothing for jobs. To save the planet, no new fossil fuel projects should be started.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Grant Mitchell	2017	My concern is the the government is pumping millions of dollars into projects that continue to pollute the environment and are driven by powerful lobby groups and their interests when those dollars could go to promoting renewable energy and new sustainable jobs. It's time for politics and financial interests to be more transparent.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
GREG G GIBBON	2300	This Kurri Kurri plant is expensive, polluting and surplus to requirements according to all the experts. It is a disgraceful political stunt just before an election.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Greg Lee	2131	To halt the expansion of dangerous greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, we have much less time than most are telling us. The fossil fuel industry would have us 'do our bit' to help the climate, but at the same time they are continuing their ways. I think the government generally are only interested in the status quo, because that's where the money is. They are deluded to think that more growth is a good thing.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Greg Major	2325	This country is behind left behind in the crucial need to transition to renewable energy. It is blindingly obvious what needs to be done and still we move in the opposite direction.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Greg Mossop	2016	What a waste, it is not needed and will employ as many as a restaurant would. The source of gas is problematic and involves risk to rural productivity and amenity. This is ideology over commercial reality.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
GREG PONCHARD	2281	The coalition governments, in the main, are climate change denialists.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Gregory John Olsen	2257	Gas is NOT an environmentally friendly alternative to coal. Any investment in gas will become a stranded asset in the next few years, not to mention the accretion of atmospheric GHG emissions it will create. The direct deleterious effects on public health and the environment must prohibit any new gas extraction, processing and utilisation. :-)	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Gregory John ryan	2015	its a rip off aust dont need to be spending that kinda money on old tech and anything to do with aust Govt is a rip off so they can put some commision in their back pockets		There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Gregory Robertson	2049	It is outdated, will not significantly increase employment and the money is better spent elsewhere. Energy cost calculations are fraudulent.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Gregory Thomas Dowd	2126	1. If mature, multi-million dollar public companies know that a gas-fired power station is not economically feasible, then it is a complete waste of taxpayer's money to build and run it. 2. It is environmentally irresponsible, especially given the greener alternatives. 3. There's an existing gas-fired power station which didn't come on-line during the last 'crisis', so why would you build another one, except for political reasons?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Gwen Challenger-Scotman	2170	Governments ignore science. I want a future for my grandchildren. Too much public money for 10 jobs. I am sick to death of governments not listening to the people who elect them. I am bloody tired of signing petitions because of apathetic Australians. Over 70% Australians realise the need for action on the climate crisis but they keep electing the same idiots - I'm being polite.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Hamish Cameron	2076	The plant is not only unnecessary as iterated by AEMO and other independent bodies, it will be barely used and provide very expensive power when it is. It is a gigantic waste of taxpayers money being committed for political/ideological reasons flying in the face of all economic and environmental advice. Any such investment in building capacity headroom for dispatchable power should be directed into battery or other storage and fed from renewables. This will give us the cheaper electricity, on an economically reliable basis, to take advantage of Australia's potential as a renewables superpower and to power the reestablishment of a local manufacturing industry. It is this reliably cheaper electricity which can provide investors with confidence that our competitive edge will be maintained over decades and generations.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Hannele Revell	2042	We want Green Energy. We want new ideas. We want Solar, wind, waves, hydrogen and BIG Batteries.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Harold mcsheffrey	2210	Should build liquid air battery. Highview Power is developing up to 2GWh of long duration, liquid air energy storage projects across Spain. renews.biz/69673/ #renewableenergystorage #Spain #innovation 200 plus jobs	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Harry Bergsteiner	2073	This undermines efforts to reduce toxic emissions AND reduces our capacity to invest in renewables. It will saddle us with a stranded asset in the foreseeable future. It is disrespectful to what a majority of the public wants. It does not respect the consensus among economists and climatologists. It makes Australia a pariah among the world's nations.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Heather Haughton	2536	I am concerned about the federal government investment in polluting fossil fuels, the lack of public consultation, the small number of new jobs provided (10), and the large amount of public money being used to fund the project (\$600 million)	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Helen Ayliffe	2093	I am concerned for the future generation and the health of this beautiful Earth.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Helen Banister-Clow	2486	I believe it is a waste of money to pour it into fossil fuels and flies in the face of science which has determined fossil fuels participate in the climate crisis. It is ridiculous to say this is a stop gap measure until renewable energy infrastructure can take over. This money should be granted to renewable energy companies. Australia is in a great position with our large desert areas to establish solar and wind energy. It is obvious that Scott Morrison and his Ministers are keen to fly in the face of public opinion and the advice of scientists. The question is why?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Helen Chalk	2525	We should be moving towards renewables for environmental and economic reasons not propping up the fossil fuel industry.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Helen Diacono	2323	I've read the science and it's clear we need to dramatically reduce our fossil fuel emissions if we are to prevent any further global warming and catastrophic climate change. Investing in renewable energy and zero emissions technology and businesses is a much better use of tax payers money. It's a huge opportunity for Australia to develop new industries and skills for the future workforce.. rather than investing money in what is going to become a stranded asset and degrade our planet. As a resident who lives just 15minutes from Kurri Kurri, I am appalled that there has not been more public consultation!!! Independent committees have found that the gas plant is not necessary... this is an outrageous decision in my opinion.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Helen Douglass	2484	It is an utter misappropriation of taxpayers money for a political whim. It goes completely against logic to promote the fossil fuel gas industry which has no longevity and will employ so few people. It is shining example of poor vision and bad policy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Helen Weavers	2280	Funding a fossil fuelled gas plant goes against any effort to combat climate change. As a registered nurse and previously Clinical Nurse Consultant in Respiratory with asthma myself, this project goes against all the science we have on climate change. It will be very detrimental to health in the Hunter	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Howard Charles solicitor	2038	Because I care about the survival of all of humanity, our flora, fauna and the planet. Because I listen to the scientific experts not disingenuous politicians who as a collective have sunk to the lowest level of honesty and integrity I have witnessed in my 66 years. Howard	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Hugo Muirhead	2042		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
I want gas	2257	I don't oppose it.				The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
I want gas NOW.	2257	We need gas now MORE THAN EVER, you dip shits, or electricity will run out and load sharing will begin in this first world country. You dumb bastards.				The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	

Ian Barnard	2443	We have abundant sunshine and space. Use them.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Ian Bruce Sutton	2787	Our survival depends on stopping the use of fossil fuels	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Ian Hay	2300	1. It's waste of money 2. It will operate just 2% of the time and employ only 10 full-time workers 3. It's contrary to IEA advice that there should be no new investment in fossil fuels 4. Liddell's closure creates only a 150MW gap in the market, which will easily be filled 5. \$600 million should instead be spent on renewable energy projects.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Ian Ladyman	2290	Fracking gas is worse for our country, our water resources, than burning coal. Keep the Coal stations in preference to Fracking Gas.			The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Ian Muir	2758	Humanity has already done too much to destroy this beautiful planet. This idea is total madness and a waste of tax payers money for nothing else but to line the pockets of big corporations who dictate to their government mates.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Ian Murry	2650	I hate how the government has destroyed the Hunter Valley environment	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Ian Roche	2753	Gas is not the solution to anything except increasing the largesse of those vested interests in GAS	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Iona Jones	2484	It's just fucking stupid and obviously corrupt.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Isabel Dempsey	2321	I live near the site and I'm nervous about health and environment impacts. They will burn diesel before gas which is terrible. No local benefit from this project.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Isobel Glennly	2036	Disgusted that the government is still investing in climate damaging fossil fuels in preference to supporting renewables.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Isobel Waters	2480	Taxpayers money could be used more wisely on schools, hospitals, roads which would create many many more jobs and also prevent the destruction of land and protect the environment from more pollution.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jacob Etherington	2325	All of the above. I have lived in the Lower Hunter my whole life and it is a small minded maneuver to deal with land that could be used far more suitably for energy production including through the adoption of renewables	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Jacqueline Shimeld	2780	Our money is needed for public services not to contribute to stranded assets and a broken planet.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
James Duncan	2010	Gas plant is not needed. There is enough renewable power and old power to grid.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
James Herd	2119	The Federal government continues to - deny the science of climate change - the expert proven opinions of scientists - protect the interests and profits of big business fossil fuel companies	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
James Mansell	2326	Concerned about the federal government investment in polluting fossil fuels, the lack of public consultation, the small number of new jobs provided (10), or the large amount of public money being used to fund the project (\$600 million)	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
James Meredith Fitz-Gerald	2325	Climate change is the most dangerous problem we face, and fossil fuel investment is the worst thing possible.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Jamie Buckton	2021	The science is in, leaving little doubt, that the next 10 years are going to be vital in terms of decarbonisation and lessening the effects of Climate Change. Even the International Energy Agency says it's time to halt the approval of all new fossil fuel projects and embrace renewables. It's time for Australia to heed these calls and take action.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jamie Loutides	2256	I personally believe that Australia needs to move to sustainable clean energy NOW such as wind, solar and hydrogen and move away from fossil fuels completely. We can provide more jobs than 10 at a cost of \$600 million. Whose pockets are the Coalition government really in?? They are certainly NOT looking after the country they were elected to serve. Hopefully their shabby performance across so many areas will see them ousted at the next election.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jan Harland	2500	Public money should not be used to support fossil fuel industries.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jane Dempster	2024	The government needs to wake up and start seriously investing in education and training and pivoting the fossil fuel energy workforce into a renewable energy workforce. Germany has done an incredible job doing this so it can be done. Get your heads out the sand and do something for the future of the planet.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jane FitzGerald	2046	Investing in fossil fuels makes no sense economically or socially or environmentally. We need to protect our children's futures by investing in renewable energy, which will create new jobs and provide a cleaner environment.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jane Hoppe	2303	I would prefer to see the money being put into renewable energy options	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jane Isaacs	2259	Not energy efficient. Not the way we should be going. More pollution for us to live with and the destructive effect on the earth. Wrong! Wrong, wrong. Backward thinking.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

jane lawrence	2795	Fossil fuels are something that should be in the past and we need to focus on new technology and renewables and transition to carbon zero.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Jane Mary Bennett	2097	We need to urgently extract ourselves from fossil fuel dependency and invest in renewable and clean energy. We have the technology and the resources. Why are we throwing money away on environmental destruction? It is suicidal behaviour.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
janet ashton	2777	We have got to take a stand with regard to climate change NOW. Australia could be a world leader in this field. The Australian Energy Council, which represents electricity and gas companies, has also disputed the government's claim that this plant is needed, saying it's distorting the market by intervening. The International Energy Agency released a report arguing that "there is no need for investment in new fossil fuel supply in our net zero pathway". We shouldn't be replacing one fossil fuel with another and that the money would be better spent on more solar, wind and storage.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Janet Boyle	2082	We should be investing in renewable energy as in other countries. The mistruths about the number of jobs created. Waste of money investing in fossil fuels just to make a stand on outdated schemes. The government should listen to the science.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Janet Jones	2480		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Janet Laurence	2041	and all money and time invested in fossil fuels is amplifying climate change and damaging health and is taken away from developing into a low carbon way of life	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Janet Lavis	2478	Climate change is already killing people in Australia! I don't want my home inundated by rising sea levels. I don't want my home threatened by firestorms. I don't want my home threatened by extreme Cyclones. I don't want to live in scorching temperatures in Summer or catch deadly tropical diseases like malaria. I don't want any of these things to happen to my fellow Australians or anyone else in the world.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Janet Zambesi	2217	Fossil fuels, using the taxpayers money and liberals looking after their mates	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Janice Hawley	2294	We should be looking at renewable energy not using coal and gas! Honestly does Morrison want a future for his children? And he forgot to ask us (the source of his funds) if we were happy to waste \$600mill! Cheers Jan	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Janice May Kemper	2500	The whole world is moving to green energy so why are we creating more fossil fuel enterprises. We should be setting an example by creating more green energies and eliminating our fossil fuel energies.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Janine Wilson	2263	Doesn't make sense. Another stranded asset after wrecking the region	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Janine Wilson	2263	Doesn't make sense. Another stranded asset after wrecking the region	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Janis Pearson	2485	Everyone needs to be contributing to the elimination of the use of fossil fuels. We all need to be aware of the upcoming generations. Our children can't sign up so we need to support them until they can.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jason Crosskey	2517	I am tired of corrupt self serving governments being in the back pockets of self serving companies and doing what is not in the wider public interest just to win votes in one electorate. This project is proven to be a bad investment financially and environmentally and should never have been considered.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Jason Mullen	2321	A lot of money for a destructive outcome and little energy			The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Jean Hay	2047	AEMO says this gas is not needed. No one would know better than AEMO how much gas is required in the future. That is their job. It is a total waste of money and no private company has been prepared to touch it. They know that transition from coal and gas is inevitable and that this has a very high chance of becoming a stranded asset. It is being done at the behest of the fossil fuel lobby who donate huge amounts to the COALition. It is urgent to do as Germany has done: close all coal mines and coal plants WITHOUT ON WORKER LOSING A JOB. Put the money and energy into building new businesses and industries that can use the skills of miners along with renewable power. We have all the resources we need to become a renewable superpower. Please stop the foolish proposal.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jean Morreau	2632	Angus Taylor wants energy security and low prices. The gas industry has let us down on both fronts, They have had their day.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Jeanette McAlpine	2251	Lack of consultation with coal miners to prepare for the future Total lack of thought by coalition government to confront the problem If I was a coal miner of course I would be concerned No good having jobs if there's no liveable planet!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jeanie	2022	I am amazed that such an enormous amount of public money has been earmarked for this project. The government is deaf to public concerns about the urgent need to switch to renewable energy sources. The Hunter itself could be a huge beneficiary from such a switch providing many more long employment opportunities for people in the region.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jeff Eyles	2745	Gas and other fossil fuels are killing our planet. I am against continuing all fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jeff Goode	2785	Spend the money on the future survival of the worlds biosphere not the end of it, due to polluting effect of old power producing technology's	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jennie Sharpe	2131	Why is the government still investing money in the destruction of our planet? I do not understand how the people in charge can destroy our future so blithely. It fills me with rage. And all for just 10 extra jobs?!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Jennifer Ann Gray	2481	All of the above	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jennifer Day	2011	I'm thoroughly sick of our governments ignoring science on the matter of climate change; it is blatantly wrong and destructive behaviour. Now they are even ignoring the experts on Covid related matters; they obviously don't care about the people they represent; they are short sighted, self obsessed and draconian.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jennifer Jungheim	2024	All the above, especially the first.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
jennifer laura bell	2581	madness to be investing public money in fossil fuels , th efuture is renewable and the government must accept this.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Jennifer Marie Cornish	2261	It is simply ridiculous to be funding fossil fuels, environmentally and fiscally.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jennifer Tozer	2622	Australia has access to sustainable resources. Why gas which has a shelf life, when there is always sun, wind and waves. Let's get on with the sustainable option. And more employment opportunities. Many more than 10!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.			The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jennifer Wardle	2422	I believe that for the future of the planet renewable energy is the only environmentally sustainable and responsible way for our economy to proceed. Science and public opinion both support the use of renewable energy. The government can support private investment in renewable sources as well as plan to attract alternate jobs to areas dominated by fossil fuel industries so there can be a gradual reduction of coal mines and coal fired power stations. A clear and visionary energy policy based on renewable energy sources is vital for our long term survival.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jenny Saunders	2230	We need to refocus on non polluting forms of energy generation and move from our dependence on fossil fuels	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jeroen Jaap timmer	2880	It's a complete waste of money and just re- emphasises a complete lack of vision by this government regarding what needs to be done urgently to avoid a climate disaster.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Jess Pryor	2321	Call it gas plant, gas exploration whatever the hell you want at the end of day its FRACKING an i don't want fire and flames coming out of my 5aps and ruining a town you've already fucking ruined from MINING everyone In Australia an newcastle surrounds especially want you to invest in RENEWABLE energy!!!! Fuck ya gas an ya coal right off Scott Morrison is the dumbest piece of shit ever an obviously no one has taught that dumb ass how solar an wind power works cos the comments "sun don't always shine an wind don't always blow" is the fucking stupidest thing EVER ever said on national TV fucking may as well bring back Tony Abbott an his dumb ass mumbling	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jessica mooney	2580	We should be moving away from gas to renewables	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

jG	2010	money should be going to renewables and new jobs	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jil Chapman	2622	Because it pollutes & out of date	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jill Arthur	2229	Climate change	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jill Babicci	2010	Large amount of public money to fund polluting fuels	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jill Kelly-Williams	2452	Sick of the government destroying our environment	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jill Nash	2452	I am thoroughly disheartened about current energy policy. As a grand-mother I fear greatly for future generations.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jillian Macalpine	2290	Pouring public money into outdated and dangerous fossil fuels is harmful to the planet. It's also economically unjustified and shortsighted.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Jim murphy	2440	Because the sooner we go to solar power the better off we will be in this country with clear air to breathe and cheap power for everyone. A lot of people in this country will soon not be able to afford powered by fossil fuel. Only the rich will be able to afford it and while doing so will be polluting the of the people who cannot afford to buy it. How are they going survive every day living without power for lighting, heating, and cooking!!!!!!!! Comforts every Australian should have access to at affordable prices!!!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jim murphy	2440	Because the sooner we go to solar power the better off we will be in this country with clear air to breathe and cheap power for everyone. A lot of people in this country will soon not be able to afford powered by fossil fuel. Only the rich will be able to afford it and while doing so will be polluting the of the people who cannot afford to buy it. How are they going survive every day living without power for lighting, heating, and cooking!!!!!!!! Comforts every Australian should have access to at affordable prices!!!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jim Severino	2088	It doesn't seem to make even basic sense. The value of the benefits will be far less than the cost.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Jim Smart	2323	As every expert has pointed out spending \$600 million on a gas fired power generator is plain stupid, a complete waste of money. I would prefer to see that sort of money to be spent on the CSIRO labs at Waratah and at the University of Newcastle to research efficient generation of exportable hydrogen. That is the way to create jobs and export income for the NSW Hunter Valley.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	

jJudith Daley	2015	None of the experts have supported it and it is a very blinkered attempt to buy votes	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Joan Charman	2280	Batteries would be a far more sensible and forward thinking investment in providing power security than gas which is rapidly being bypassed overseas.				The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
joan everitt	2540	The government is catering to the fossil fuel industry as they give huge donations, the cost to the climate is devastating, our once beautiful country is scarred by the mining industry, our Barrier Reef is in crisis, First Nation's peoples sacred sites are being destroyed, where does it end?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Joanne Colquhoun	2121	We NEED TO STOP investing in fossil fuels and START a new energy economy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Jodi Lamb	2327	Should be building a solar power plant in stead of gas plant.Our State Government are fucking useless they love to wasting money.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jodie Nancarrow	2450	Gas is not clean energy. This project is a political publicity stunt by the LNP, to try and gain votes from a coal hungry producing electorate. Pushed along by gas companies who donate to the same political party.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Johann du Toit	2325	The large amount of public money, and that there are better alternatives such as a mega-battery for storage,	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Johanne Gardiner	2278	Our country is smarter than this! We should be leading the world in protecting out environment and investing in renewable energy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
john	2070		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
John	2578	Continuing investment in fossil fuel power generation.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
John Bardell	2074	The time for fossil fuels is past. New cleaner and sustainable fuels are now available in terms of solar and wind. To ensure the planet urvives the focus must be on these.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

John Burman	2444	The continued Coalition Government interference with the energy market, for which it refuses to set policies, drives out sensible commercial investment and in the end will only cause prices to rise (as a result of investment uncertainty). A gas-fired government investment will contribute to increased greenhouse emissions not only because that's necessarily what it does but because renewable energy investors and other energy providers will be repelled by the thought of their investments being rendered worthless or undercut by the infinitely deep pockets, and policy irrationality, of the Coalition Government. The wind might not always blow, and the sun might not always shine, but burning fossil fuels always emits more carbon dioxide and worsens the climate crisis.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
John Bushell	2010	Kurri Kurri gas plant is incompatible with zero emissions by 2050 a its economic life will be about 40 - 45 years. This is just getting taxpayers to pay for destruction of the planet on which their children and grandchildren will try to live. I have already made a submission to the government's so called Technology Investment Roadmap. As far as I am aware none of the submissions have been made public - all submissions should be made public.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
John Clark	2540	More fossil fuel burning only means one thing - it worsens the climate catastrophe we've already started to experience so it's an abdication of Government duty of care. The private sector won't finance such a project and so it's entirely inappropriate for public money to be used, especially as this ignores public opinion not to do so. There are far more jobs to be had for the same investment in renewable energy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
John Dooley	2429	I am opposed for several reasons. I am in favour of transitioning into full commitment to using renewable energy sources Asap. This includes providing skilling for workers currently in coal mining in the Hunter, Asap. The creation of only 10 longterm jobs attached to this project, if a correct figure, is a completely outlandish position in which to find ourselves. Etc etc!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
john dugas	2422	Fossil Fuels are not the way forward in a human induced climate crisis. The duping of current very hard-working and honest people in the existing fossil fuel industry is very regrettable. Suitable human capital transition programmes to new, emerging high value-add industries in and emanating from renewables need to be created by enlightened leaders and Government. \$600m directed towards human capability building is a far better investment than more money directed at a waning industry. In another instance, many decades ago, Whaling had it's day too and then for a range of reasons declined as an industry and people had to re-train, had to learn new skills to make their way in life. Current fossil fuel workers need also to be afforded the respect opportunity and shown well-founded hope to make the transition to newer ways of making a living. More investment in Fossil fuels, including gas, are not a plausible way forward for the Hunter, or indeed the country. The Hunter was a mighty Industrial Heartland and the Hunter could be a Mighty Renewables and NewTech Heartland. With the passing of the BHP Steelworks Hunter people showed that they could transit to new ways of working, of making a living. Given a chance and visionary leadership, they can do it again. Forward Looking and Visionary Leaders, step up now. We need you here in the Hunter, the State and Australia!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
John Forrest	2038	The science is that this plant is not necessary to support electricity supply, and that it is carbon polluting.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
John Gardiner	2038	Our money should be more wisely spent. We have an obligation to future generations to rapidly reduce greenhouse gases. This plant is unnecessary, a waste of money and a pollutant.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
John Gray	2320	There is no infrastructure in place, whereas it is already at Liddell where a new HELE plant could be built, to ensure the Tomago Aluminium plant will not go the way of the Kurri Kurri plant. Also the gas supply is not yet guaranteed.		There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	

John Hendry	2121	all of the above	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
John Kelman	2067	Release of greenhouse gases exacerbating climate change; lack of business justification for the power plant; continued investment in old technology with limited life; diversion of public funds which could be better invested in renewable energy generation, research and skilling local workers for jobs of the future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
John Kelvin Moody	2030	Australia should not act in defiance of world opinion and practice that seeks to protect further erosion of global warming and pollution.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.			
John Kelvin Moody	2030	Australia should not act in defiance of world opinion and practice that seeks to protect further erosion of global warming and pollution.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.			
John Lee	2036	Crazy, climate destroying decision that even industry won't touch with a barge pole.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
John Michael McCulloch	2304	No more corporate welfare for the fossil fuel industry	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
John Pardoe	2062	I believe the project to be unnecessary, and contrary to what Australia should be doing to counter climate change.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
John Pretty	2032	The long term impact on global temperatures of burning more fossil fuels - we are destroying our children's future. Investment in gas now will divert attention and funds from the orderly transition to clean energy which is inevitable.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
John Schultz	2321	Must stop investing in fossil fuels. No jobs in operating the plant. Pipeline to feed the plant is too small. Too much opposition to building the pipeline. Plant will not reduce electricity prices.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
John Sewell	2537	Totally wrong in the effort to reduce carbon emissions	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
John Sinclair	2450	We need to be moving away from fossil fuels. Investing in renewables is an investment for the future. Investing in gas is wasted money and opportunity. Gas extraction (fracking) can be worse for climate change than coal, with methane leakage.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
John Sutton	2297	It's an anachronistic technology at a time when we need to be moving away from fossil fuels to renewable energy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

John Tapper	2756	It's a complete waste of money, it defies the communities will only to feather the nest of its election campaign donors. Fed up with the Feds focus on its mates instead of governing for the people.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
John Watkins	2474	I don't want our Taxpayer dollars to fund a fossil fuelled power plant regardless of where it is. Any government funds directed towards future power generation should be for renewable energy projects including battery stoarge. There is no way that any government can justify building, let alone funding, a gas fired power plant under today's disastrous climate outlook for our future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
John William Smith	2088	I think it is a stupid idea.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
John Wilson	2456	Environmental concerns, and the fact that the gas, in all probably, comes from Fracking!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Jon	2250	Huge amount of money spent on old technology.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Jon Fulton	2291	there are better forms of power ready right now & they are cheaper too	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Jonathan Sutton	2429	Fossil fuelled industries worsen global warming. We need to adopt a new direction that produces energy in a clean way. Hopefully this would reverse the present direction towards catastrophic climate change. This proposal must be strenuously opposed.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Jorie Ryan	2850	Outmoded way of obtaining energy. Why government money? Why not private money (because no company thinks it is a an economically feasible idea !). The Hunter needs to be progressive not relying on energy sources that pollute.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Josephine McNeil	2100	Wasting public money by investing in polluting fossil fuels	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
josephine morehead	2094	Fossil fuel gas will soon be an obsolete fuel and we currently have more than enough being produced for the NSW. Today's news reported a natural gas plant using methane from sewerage has opened in NSW. The world is turning its back on fossil fuels and Australia will be penalised if we continue to develop more climate destructive energy. We must not destroy any more agricultural land or native habitat to the ravages of fossil fuel production which will also result in stranded assets.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Judi Emmett	2480	I am so confused and annoyed with the archaic direction our Federal Government continually takes with regard to our future power generation. Confusion stems from the blatant ignorance of our elected leaders to listen to the voters. My annoyance, or should I say rage, erupts when the possibilities of investing OUR money in a new and exciting power venture are once again wasted on the same old same old. Seriously it is easy to become completely and utterly deflated.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Judith and Robert Laird	2264	All of the above	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Judith Bossard	2073	We don't need another fossil-fuelled power plant - one which will most certainly be costly to build & run, but will have a brief "shelf life". Our energy needs can be met by renewables, with battery storage (the science is rapidly making great strides), the cost (\$600m) is totally unwarranted, running such a power plant will provide very few jobs (perhaps 10), we need to replace fossil-fuelled power because of the public health harm it causes.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Judith Bradford	2281	It is a small region of NSW, it is next door to one of the (if not the biggest) biggest wine-growing regions in NSW. There has been little public consultation about the plan. I strenuously object to such a waste of taxpayers money of a project such as this.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Judith Carroll	2539	Fossil fuels have no place in our "energy mix" now, or into the future. Its time to consult with the citizens of this country who, overwhelmingly, want investment into renewable energy projects to fuel our future energy needs.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Judith Charnaud	2102	Investing this amount of money into a dying industry is a complete waste. Also the worst problem facing the planet at the moment is climate change - we need to reduce our carbon emissions to zero as soon as possible - coal and gas fired power stations will not do this!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Judith Leslie	2330	I live opposite the Mount Thorley Warkworth extension and it is proceeding closer to my home every day. I suffer the harmful health effects of breathing coal-dust every day. I am 77 years old a Science and Social Science graduate, I have grandchildren and great grandchildren and I want them to have a healthy future and that does not include a "gas-led" recovery	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Judy Berwick	2280	I know the earth is struggling now and I'd like my future family members and everyone else to have a beautiful place like we did as kids sort of.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Judy Hanlen	2500	I'm very frustrated with the government's refusal to accept the science on fossil fuels and their damage to the earth, oceans and atmosphere. Our children and grandchildren will pay a high price for this deliberate government neglect.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Julia manley	2040	Why is the federal govt investing such a huge amount of public money in a fossil fuel industry when we know clearly fossil fuels are NOT the way to a cleaner energy future so needed to avert the rapid onset of climate change. This is simply a very bad abuse of power!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Julie Emery	2483	Given the global scientific evidence that supports clean renewable energy sources into the future the proposed funding of a gas plant in Kurri Kurri will no doubt leave Australia with yet another stranded asset. Whilst I understand that conventional gas extraction has been standard practice in Australia for decades I question the need to pour public funds into this project when so little is being offered to clean renewable energy projects. I believe that basic utilities should be returned to the state now that there is far better means for oversight and transparency. Many were outsourced as the public service was grossly inefficient. Times have changed and it is time to return water, postal, phone and power to the state fold for the people to own not private corporations who are making obscene profits and their own the rules now. Furthermore is it now blatantly obvious where the allegiances of the current government lie, in the pockets of big gas and oil and not with its constituents. Wake up and get with the strong global movement towards a fairer more equitable, cleaner less consumptive society for all of our kids kids kids sake.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	

Karen Bones	2325	The plant will increase greenhouse emissions and is totally unnecessary- Put the money into a battery or pumped hydro to backup wind at night. I strongly oppose tax payers money being used to support coal seam gas production in the Pilliga.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Karen Bradley	2482	Public money can be much better used.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Karen Eldridge	2283	I did not expend my hard earned money on solar panels to help the environment, for the government to spend my taxes on a gas fueled project.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Karen G	2010	Waste of money, build a battery instead - not on Liberal Party donor land	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Karen Goodall	2460	The whole thing is just an electoral con, and hopefully just another announcement. The idea is backward looking to the point of stupidity.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Kasmah Husain	2144	The economics of this project is a waste of taxpayers money, this money should be invested in improving the capacity of renewable energies and creating new jobs in the Renewable Energy Industry, creating a transition of employment from Fossil Fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Kate Lewin	2578	I am opposed to any Government investment in coal and gas fired power. Now is the time to invest in long term sustainable power sources with low impact on the surrounding environment and global warming. The Hunter Valley and our underground water systems need protection from further irreversible damage caused by mining and gas extraction. What do Indigenous people in the area have to say about this project?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Kathleen Smith	2428	There is no need for this polluting gas project as the experts on power supply have repeatedly stated that there will be adequate power when Liddell closes. This Government either has a tin ear or are determined to support their fossil fuel supporters. This is tantamount to the Coalition using taxpayers money to support their reelection. Ten \$600 M are not party funds.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Kathryn Blunden	2283	I oppose because it is just a investing in fossil fuel pollution which we don't need. We are supposed to be getting down the pollution in the atmosphere but supporting Kurri Kurri gas plant is doing the reverse.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Katie Fisher	2299	The federal government should not be investing tax payer money in fossil fuels. The government should be spending this money on renewable and sustainable sources of energy, such as geothermal, solar, hydro, wind, etc. This shows a complete lack of awareness of the climate change crisis that we are facing and is not reflective of a goal to be carbon neutral by 2050 (and we all know that goal should be a lot sooner than 2050!). This plan for a gas plant in Kurri Kurri just shows to me that the Liberal government are in the pockets of the fossil fuel industry. The federal government has claimed that this gas plant is needed to replace the existing Liddell coal plant when it shuts in 2023 as there will be insufficient firm generation capacity, however, multiple experts have argued that this is not true.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Katie Frankiewicz	2305	I oppose the proposed gas plant as we owe a duty of care to our children and future generations to do all in our power to halt climate change. This needs to include investing in renewable energy, not fossil fuels. It is irresponsible of the government to be spending such a large amount of public money, without adequate public consultation, on fossil fuels when the research clearly tells us that this is the wrong decision to make for the future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Kaylan Moloney	2283	Because I care about the environment	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Keith Dykes	2315	The fact that it doesn't make economic sense (i.e. only 10 ongoing jobs once complete) and that there is no evidence that it will lower electricity costs, in fact there seems to be strong evidence that it will do nothing to lower costs. The money could be better targeted towards initiatives that will slow climate change and generate more jobs long term. This Governments feeble actions towards reducing climate change are embarrassing and clearly demonstrates it's alliances with the fossil fuel industry. We need proper positive, decisive and constructive action not misleading and ill informed rhetoric.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Keith Lyons	2777	All of the above	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Keith Pearson	2320	Because it will not bring long term good quality manufacturing industries to the Hunter Region, Kurri and Cessnock have one of the highest youth unemployment rates in the country, they need training and skilling up for the technologies.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Kelvin Merriman	2421	Much better to build battery hubs or local community systems; employment transition needed for current mine employees similar to what we did when BHP steelworks closed; better to support solar and wind etc on Liddell's land; the proposed gas fired power station will also rely on diesel as the fuel especially if there is a problem with pipelines. Better to spend the \$600 plus on converting the car and trucks to be electric!!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Ken Bramble	2287	We don't need another polluting power station that will only provide 10 full time jobs, spend the 600 million on renewable energy, thanks.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Ken Sewell	2048	I am opposed to the gas plant on the basis that it is yet another milksop to the fossil fuel industry and inparticular PM Morrisons gas cosultants and cronies. Gas is as big a dinosaur as coal and should be assigned to the dust bins of history and recognised as the threat they are to both climate, land and water resources.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Ken Shepherd	2040	It's a fossil fuel plant and shows very little consideration for the long term future of our communities and natural environment.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Kenneth Olah OAM	2095	An egregious misuse of taxpayers' money, an astonishing contradiction in what the party says it stands for, an unforgivable denial of climate science, an unforgettable sellout of public interest for political gain.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Keren Peter	2261	Why are we not using this money towards a green economy? It's so short sighted (a three year trajectory you might say!)	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Kerrie Sawyer	2217	Exactly as stated above. We need investment in clean energy. How dare they make such an outreaching devastating sting decision with public money .	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Kevin Chaloner	2257	Gas fired power plants are obsolete technology that continue environmental degradation. It is absurd to invest public money in an activity that will be detrimental to the public in the face of overwhelming evidence of its damaging effects when alternatives are available.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Kevin Curby	2230	The Hunter is a vital area for food production and for livestock breeding. Coal production in the area would destroy its potential for continued success. It's time the federal govt took this into consideration, listened to experts in the field and stopped being so arrogant that they override science. The Morrison Govt is leading Australia into an abyss of ignorance and debt.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Kevin Mahoney	2282	Waste of money. Better spent on renewable energy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Kevin Stemm	2594	It's a complete waste of my money. We need better Health and Mental Health care, don't waste my money on something that is not needed.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Khaalyd Brown	2282	I work at Kurri Kurri and am concerned with the amount of money being spent and the lack of outcomes for the people who live in the area. There will be so few jobs and negative health impacts. This project is not helping the people of the Hunter Valley!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Kim Allan	2120	It is based on lies Gas is not cleaner than coal The extra power is not needed Mining the gas creates more problems than it is worth. The profits of the gas companies goes overseas, they don't even pay tax! I could easily have a profitable business if the Government covered my costs and then didn't expect anything in return!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Kim Dunphey	2745	Public money should be used to fund projects which are of benefit to the public now and in the future. This project is not in the public interest now or ever.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Kim Grierson	2284	I want the Federal government to take some action regarding climate change. The first step is no new gas, coal or oil. I think this plant will be too expensive to run to be useful, too expensive to build, create too many emissions- and let us not forget that air pollution is an existing problem in the Hunter Valley. It's a waste of money- our money- that could be better used. The gas lobby has our government fooled into using public money to support their industry. If it was a viable project private money would be available. The proposed site is not suitable as it is home to rare species.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Kim Harding	2294	I see it as nothing more than 'pork barrelling' for the recent By-Election. It is an unnecessary waste of taxpayer money, and will likely be redundant in a couple of years. It will provide minimal long term employment for a small number. It will be negative to bringing climate change under control.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Kip Powell	2330	If the federal government is truly committed to mitigating the climate crisis this project simply would have been proposed. There are no major benefits to this power plant and it only increases Australia's reliance on fossil fuels. It is absolutely disgraceful that a project like this was even proposed.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Kristian King	2015	Australia needs to be world leaders in protecting our environment and promoting a green economy	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Kym of Quaama	2550	The \$600 million should be INVESTED in batteries for existing or new domestic solar installations. This will then become a VIRTUAL POWER PLANT that can be used when & where needed. The \$600 million can be doubled to \$1.2 BILLION by offering it as a 50% subsidy Grant for households to install batteries in existing or new solar installations. This strategy will more than cover the transition to full renewables in a much cleaner and economical way. Well Scomo, what's stopping you?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
L Johnson	2484	Yesterday instead of Tomorrow! That's what "planning for the future" means for this government and to heck with the wishes and health of the people they pretend to represent. The thought of fracking and a pipeline that will spoil much pristine and agricultural land fills me with horror. We have a choice. Clean energy or pollution. It's a no brainer!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Laena Stephenson	2460	I am concerned about the Federal Government seemingly ignoring the science and still investing in fossil fuels. There has not been adequate public consultation and the small number of jobs provided versus the employment that can be provided with renewable energies does not justify this ludicrous idea. Please listen to the people.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Laura Augros	2322	Let's listen to the experts, no one believes that this is a good option. We need clean energy NOW	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Laurel Lacroix	2090	I'm extremely concerned the federal government is investing in dinosaur technology!! The gas industry giants have deemed this project unviable, yet the feds are planning to spend \$600 million of our hard-earned dollars to set up a money losing plant that will only employ a small number of long term employees, have public health impacts and will pollute our precious environment that's already suffering greatly. Had there been proper public consultation, it would be clear most Australians want to move towards renewable technology along with the rest of the world. The feds should invest heavily in building capability to support our own and other countries renewable components. This project will ensure Australia is left behind with severe damage to this country's environment, public health and future international trade capabilities.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Laurie Hooker	2482	Green power is our future, otherwise, we keep on carbon polluting & we diminish the chance of future generations knowing a livable environment & having any chance of a future at all.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Laurie Lash	2027	I choose to not respond	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Lawrence Timothy JONES	2194	The proposed project doesn't stack up for private enterprise, banks or the market place so why is the Liberal Government being so liberal with tax-payers money? IT DOESN'T STACK UP!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Le Pham	2285	All above	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.			
Leigh Leslie	2484	Renewables is the only way forward	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Len Hobbs	2147	I just don't understand why no one in the mainstream media is mentioning one of the owners the Kurri Kurri plant will be built on, who only purchased the land in 2019. Jeff McLoy, the corrupt former mayor of Newcastle a self confessed "walking atm" and major donor to the Liberal party ! I went up to Muswellbrook for a couple of days to handout for the Greens at the Prepoll. We only got 3.6% of the vote. The people are brainwashed into believing the Nationals are the answer, and the One Nation vote was worryingly high as well !!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Les Gully	2325	I don't think gas is a good solution, either economically or environmentally. I particularly despise fracking.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Lesley Harvey	2257	Economists, academics, engineers & local people can see this \$600m spend on Kurri Kurri gas plant will become a stranded asset AND continue to pollute. Minister Matt Kean - please stand up to Angus Taylor & his fossil fuel lobbyists & just say NO!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Lesley Houston	2641	Public money used to fund gas plant, whole population didn't give endorsement to this especially when tax payers are paying for this Government investment when there are many other projects that could be developed and owned by Australians to increase future employment for Australian citizens without having to add to fossil fuel.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Libby Horth	2780	The amount of public money being used to fund this project	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Libby Manning	2300	It is time for those in power to consult and listen to the communities impacted by these developments, as well as a consideration of the cost and benefits of the local community, including jobs and health impacts. The lack of transparency regarding the huge government investment in old technology is an ongoing problem with this government. They need to invest in cleaner energy technology to save the climate for future generations	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Linda Curry	2500	We need to wind down investment in polluting fossil fuels. That includes not opening any more gas plants and no more fracking.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Linda Myerson	2480	Australia can not continue to be plundered for its natural resources. It's time to consider its people first. Stop ruining our natural environment.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Linda Polosak	2580	My Geography teacher in 5th form (Year 11) in 1971 told us about global warming, what caused it and the repercussions of a warming planet. This is not new news! Are our politicians that stupid? (Incidentally, my Economics teacher explained that trickle down economics doesn't exist.) Scomo, Gladys and their mates need to go back to school, and this time, pay attention.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Linda Wilson	2281	I strongly oppose this gas plant for a number of reasons: * Australia is far behind other developed countries in reducing carbon emissions and transitioning to renewables. This project will increase carbon emissions and contribute to global warming. * I strongly resent the expenditure of public money to fund this power station because the majority of tax payers want climate action from this government, not a waste of public funds. * This is an affront to the millions of Australians who want decisive action on Climate Change. The last thing we need is a fossil fuelled power station. I feel insulted by the mindless climate denialism of this government.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Lindt brown	2480	All of the above!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Lindy A Henderson	2300	All of the above. It seems like a project doomed to be a stranded asset, with public funds employed to support a couple of developers who have a significant interest in the land and the pipeline, with minimal benefit to anyone else.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Lindy Jones	2065	It is a retrograde step. We should be investing in renewable sources, not fossil fuels. The lack of public consultation is worrying, but it is scandalous that only a handful of jobs result from a wasteful amount of money	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
lisa hawkridge	2265	Long term economic rationalisation is nonsense in relation to fossil fuels. Spend the money on industry creation that is sustainable and carbon friendly. This country needs infrastructure planning for the future - not for populist voting patterns please.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.		The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Lisa Knight	2477	HOW MANY EXPERTS NEED TO KEEP ADVISING AGAINST FOSSIL FUELS before this government starts listening? Its not rocket science and Scientists REPEATEDLY state AND GIVE EVIDENCE why it is NOT a good idea. Do the government know how much they can actually make AND jobs they can create from RENEWABLE ENERGY? Might be a good idea to spend the money in that sector rather than a sector most countries in the rest of the world are moving away from!!! AGAIN, NOT ROCKET SCIENCE!!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Lisa Metcalfe	2515	This investment of \$600 million pushes Australia further away from a sustainable, renewable pathway for power. Locking future generations into a fossil fuel economy. It cost not only the environment but also the possibility of jobs in the renewable sector and competition at the global level. It is not strategic thinking or responsible fiscal management of public funds.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.			The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Lisa Newling	2038	Fossil fuels of any kind are stranded assets and it is unconscionable for the Federal Government to be even thinking of pouring tax-payer money into propping up an out-moded industry that will only contribute to further environmental devastation through the impact on the immediate surroundings as well as through escalating climate change through carbon emissions.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Liz de Rome	2043	The scientific evidence and the national regulator agree that there is no economic justification for this gas plant. It is a politically driven and very cynical exercise by the Morrison government using tax payer funds for the Coalition election campaign.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Liza Norman	2230	One world ï£ one life!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Llynda Nairn	2325	\$600M could be spent more wisely. The gas plant is not necessary.,its environmentally unsound.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
LMC	2060	The way forward for energy is SUSTAINABLE and ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Louise Katz	2230	. Environmental vandalism . Waste of public money	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Louise Taylor	2157	I feel very strongly that we need to start transitioning from fossil fuels to renewables. I do not want to see any further fossil fuel power plants opened. Also I feel it is a complete and utter waste of tax payers money. We already pay Billions in subsidies to fossil fuel companies that are fast becoming stranded assets. Our climate cannot tolerate this nonsense any more. I want a cleaner. cheaper and more reliable energy source and that is renewable energy, for my children and grandchildren.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Louise West	2323	I object to public money being used to invest in polluting fossil fuels, especially when it only creates 10 jobs.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Luke Fox	2577	I have the increasing sense that the current government is beholden to fossil fuel interests. It represents an un-Australian way of governing and amounts to political corruption. In addition, to claim to be concerned about the environment and global climate chaos on the one hand and to ignore valid environmental concerns in favour of fossil fuel interests, on the other hand, is morally corrupt. The lack of social licence the government enjoys for this project is a direct result of their non-consultative, corrupt, money-wasting, uneconomic and frankly dangerous actions. If good people in positions of power do not see the wood for the trees before it is too late we will smirk our way into a world we wouldn't wish on our worst enemies.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Lyndal Foley	2482		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Lynette Hobson	2320	I disagree with our governments investing in fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Lynette Levy	2340	It is a criminal waste of public funds to subsidise a dying power source. There is unbelievably limited return in terms of usefulness or employment. The lack of public consultation is a further indication of a government being out of touch with the needs of our time and its role in our democracy. It is a disgrace.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Lynette Ryan	2530	I am concerned that the Federal Government is wasting millions of dollars on climate wrecking projects to keep their donors happy. I consider this to be theft of public money.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Lynn Benn	2323	We urgently need to transition to a fossil fuel economy - this is exactly the wrong direction This money could have been used to develop future friendly jobs for the Hunter, instead we get a white elephant that will be a totally stranded asset before it is complete. It benefits the donors to the LNP - federal ICAC now. It will produce more expensive electricity not cheaper! It makes development of the Narrabri gas field and the pipeline more likely - also terrible way to go. For the record I live near Kurri Kurri	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Lynn Hughes	2620	Contradicting climate change	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Lynn koepl	2035	A waste of public money from a government with no vision. Still living in the dark ages.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Lynne Montgomery	2320	I live in the Hunter Valley and don't want this type of non-renewable producing electricity at my front doorstep or anywhere in Australia for that matter.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Mac Wilson	2448	This proposal has absolutely nothing going for it - unless you are a gas company or gain financial benefit from one. An investment of this size could be used to provide battery or hydro storage and/or to provide retraining in renewable energy jobs for coal industry employees.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Malcolm Conn	2094	Appalling backward thinking project in the face of climate change with many major nations drastically reducing fossil fuels. A great waste of public money with a project so poorly conceived it has been rejected by the market.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Malcolm Tompson	2428	A gas-fired plant is environmentally unsound; use renewable energy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Marcia Horvai	2120	All of the above and the burying the head in the sand regarding the benefits of renewables and the ignoring of the fact that this also creates jobs and local opportunities for using innovative skills of Australians.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Maree Weir	2800	Fossil fuels and cost	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Marette Gale	2303	There is evidence from a number of sources that this is not required. There is greater need to utilise any \$ to support community, such as investing in housing, transport, health.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Margaret Nguyen	2117	I'm concerned about the federal government funding the wrong projects, creating stranded assets, not addressing climate change, and not supporting the creation of industries of the future. They think that what was done in the past was the only way to do things.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Margaret Berger	2260	We should not be investing in fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Margaret Bodie	2533	Pure folly to be funding fossil fuels	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Margaret Gibberd	2305	I think it is unnecessary and is more about right wing ideology and an election approach. Also fracking causes damage to the environment which is not fixed by mining companies.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Margaret Maloney	2579	Why are the people of NSW/Australia paying when banks will not back these projects. More and more companies are recognising that we need to reduce carbon emissions and not be on the back burner in a modern world.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Margaret O'Shea	2540	It will increase greenhouse gases into the atmosphere at a time we need to drastically reduce greenhouse gases.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Margaret Page	2097	I oppose the Federal Government knowingly investing in polluting fossil fuels that are not suitable for a sustainable future, known to be directly harmful to our health and our environment. The Federal Government politicians must full responsibility for destroying this and future generation's normality of life - this being a fundamental economic, social and environmental necessity.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Margaret Phelan	2533	I think it is mad to invest money and human energy (jobs) in old energy wich will pollute, and will run out. It makes sense to switch the investment of money and people to developing energy projects which will be sustainable into the future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Margaret Rafferty	2032	We should not be investing any money in fossil fuel production. The public money used for this project should be put towards more sustainable energy projects.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Margaret rapsey	2081		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Margaret Sim	2570	Gas is still a polluting fossil fuel which should not be used. Environmentally unsound. More pollution for the wonderful Hunter Valley area. Unsound economics and poor use of public funds which could go to a better use - sound renewable energy sources and storage.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Margaret Tomlinson	2795	1. Fossil fuels: more damage to climate and environment. 2. Use of taxpayers' money to invest in a damaging, last-century industry. 3. Minimal local long-term employment prospects. 4. Lack of foresight and concern for the common good in failure to invest \$600 million in viable, sustainable projects for the local area.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Maria Peterson	2230	Because I want to government to invest in more renewable energy sources that have less environmental problems	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Marie Giles	2230	Mmmmmmm	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Marie Kennedy	2011	I am very concerned at the total disregard of the Federal Govt for the future if the planet and even their own children by investing in polluting fossil fuels IT IS NOT THEIR MONEY HOWEVER, , IT IS OUR FUTURE THEY ARE RISKING WITH OUR MONEY	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Marie Scholes	2470	Environmentally it's very undesirable, even though it's supposed to less polluting than earlier models. The money should be spent on renewables and the jobs they generate. It's to satisfy right-wing ideology, not business opportunity, and will end us as an expensive stranded asset. It will consume vast amounts of taxpayer dollars far better spent elsewhere and will take years to commission. When finally built, it will be almost fully automated, so jobs will be minimised.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Marie Stewart	2024	All of the above. It's pork barrelling at its worst. We need clean, green energy, not gas plants.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Marina Gilmore	2146	Investing our \$ in unsustainable energy is not the future I want to see for my grandchildren and the generations to come for all families in Australia.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Marina Lucero	2621	I am devastated and outraged by the proposal to set up a new gas station at Kurri Kurri in the face of overwhelming evidence that failing to transition to clean energy will cost our children's future in a safe and prosperous climate. This is absolutely a gross misuse of public money and is nothing short of condemnable.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Marion Giles	2303	The gas industry is destructive and is not an answer to the climate crisis. Renewals need to be the industry of our future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Marita Kohl	2481	I am concerned and convinced that we need to stop investing and using, gas, oil and coal and switch to clean energy and focus on better standards and ways to conserve energy. The damage caused by fracking to the environment, the relationship to the land, quality of water and soil are of grave concern. The government is taken Climate change and its threat to the natural world not seriously. I find it cowardly to not face our biggest crisis or to tell us that we have plenty of time. Whole ecosystems, and us eventually, are disappearing so that we can have cheap energy. It is short term gain instead of long term well-being.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Mark Anderson	2125	Give people Solar rebates instead. Give people with a rooftop solar system a FREE battery and draw some of that power at night.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Mark Andrews	2285	I just believe governments all over the world should move away from fossil fuels as much as possible.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Mark Burslem	2300	A waste of public money More jobs in renewables No consultation with the lower hunter or Australian community Does not help in lowering green house gasses	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Mark Christofides	2518	The proposed political reasoning for this project is simply illogical from the perspective of providing new employment and further extending the need to move our power grid to a non-carbon dependent network. The far more attractive and socially acceptable alternative would have been to invest public money in non-carbon dependant technologies which create sustainable energy and in the process create new jobs in new desired industries while simultaneously reducing carbon emissions and providing for a cleaner future for my children's generation. This stinks of powerful lobby groups putting profit before logic and common human decency.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Mark Dunstan	2011	Stop funding fossil fuels	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Mark Jacobs	2024	Gas is a fossil fuel, not a clean form of renewable energy. Gas fired power stations still contribute to global heating and will not help Australia reach zero emissions targets required to avert catastrophic climate change. If the proposed gas fired power station was the answer to Australia's energy needs the private sector would be investing in this project and government funding wouldn't be required.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Mark Lauer	2041	Subsidising fossil fuels with long-term investments makes no sense in any framework of economics -- it is thoughtless ideology (or worse, outright corruption).	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Mark Singer	2000	I oppose the proposed Kurri Kurri gas plant that appears to be without public benefit, with an obsolescent technology, built with hard-earned taxpayer money, at an opportunity cost that siphons public funding from worthy renewable energy projects into a polluting and useless monument to the political power and influence of the fossil fuel lobby in Australia.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Mark stewart	2444	Spend \$600 on wind, solar and a battery. No stranded assets please!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Martin Mansfield	2153	We need to stop all new fossil fuel developments now if we are going to effectively combat climate change. The idea that a government would fund a gas plant is simply absurd. It will be a stranded asset. It is extraordinary that the government is going to spend \$600 million on a plant which will only ever operate at 2% capacity and with a full-time workforce of only 10.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Martin Markotsis	2250	Our future should not be using fossil fuels	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Martin Markotsis	2250	Waste of money for out dated environmentally damaging technology	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Mascha Chiu	2478	The risk re exacerbating climate change, delaying more sustainable jobs for people in the area, the disrespect shown towards First Nations people's fundamental cultural needs, the poor consideration for long term economic advances plus the obvious disrespect for our younger generations need to look forward to a safe environment to exist in... surely all of these and more issues would indicate that further substantial investments of public monies into any fossil fuel industries is non-sensical, arrogant and even criminal.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Matt Middleton	2127	There should be zero investment in fossil fuels. Any politician that does so should be held personally accountable for the crime on negligence, or dereliction of duty, for all the lives that will be lost due to climate change.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
matthew Allison	2223	All of the above	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
MATTHEW SMITH	2021	There is no need for this generation capacity. There is no financial justification. This is a waste of our taxpayer's money. They might as well be throwing millions of dollars from a helicopter over the Pacific Ocean.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Matthew Smith	2586	600 million dollars would buy a lot of battery storage, negating the reason to build this greenhouse and fracking well producing plant.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Maureen Saunders	2739	Govt investment in promoting fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				

Maurice Ian Ripley	2210	The government needs to listen to the scientists about climate change in the same way that it has done re the COVID pandemic. AEMO says that the plant is not needed - the government should listen to those who know, not pander to the fossil fuel lobby. Good on Matt Kean for his policies in NSW, and to the other states - the Federal Govt appears to be entirely ideologically driven in this area.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Maxim Carling	2025	Lack of community consultation. Pork barrelling.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Maxwell Menyhart	2304	Invest in a just transition away from fossil fuels	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
maya louise	2290	i want a future	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Meghann Smith	2304	It's absurd that the federal government is even contemplating investing in new fossil fuels when we are accelerating towards 2 degrees or more of global heating. This is archaic and a outrageous waste of public money	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Mel Llewelyn	2280	The gas plant is likely to be unnecessary at the rate at which renewable and battery technology is improving both in efficiency and costs. I can't see any way that this proposed plant will reduce electricity costs unless you ignore the \$600M of state residents money. If the power companies, banks and other investors won't build such a plant then that should tell you it is a bad investment. A good forward thinking government would be spending this money on renewable energy sources and batteries plus training mining employees in other trades or professions.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Melanie Lean	2481	I lived in the Hunter for 25 years and have seen the lovely agricultural,horse breeding and vineyard land dispoiled by coal mines. We don't need another fossil fuel blight on the landscape. The Kurri site should be reclaimed	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Meleah McInnes	2326	The lack of public consultation		There has not been enough public consultation on this project.			
Melinda Fitzpatrick	2323	Unnecessary, should be renewables, shouldn't be public money	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Melissa Lamrock-George	2577	The burning of fossil fuels is scientifically proven to create green house gas which is destroying living ecosystem on the planet. No more fossil fuel industries - they are a blight on our past.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Meredith Budd	2352	It's bad enough that this disorganised, ineffective government isn't doing anything positive to stop climate change, but is actively subverting all attempts by progressive governments and industry to minimise the use of fossil fuels and move into a renewable future	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Micah McIntyre	2452	I am concerned about the federal government investing in polluting fossil fuels, the lack of public consultation, the small number of new jobs provided (10), and the large amount of public money being used to fund the project (\$600 million).~â€	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Michael Burfield	2011	Using public money to prop up a polluting fossil fuel burning power plant is the exact opposite of how the government should take action; by facilitating the transition to renewables.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Michael Cowley	2830	I reject the idea of propping up private energy companies using fossil fuels	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Michael Hambrook	2069	Waste of public money	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Michael john mellowes	2095	Total waste of public money	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Michael Marston	2153	My reasons for opposing the gas plant are numerous: - it is not economically viable - it is unnecessary - it is bad for the environment - it will become a stranded asset - it sends a bad message to the world... I mean, we are on the nose as it is over this poor excuse for a government's total inaction on climate change...	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Michael niccol	2777	Gas is a not the future. It's anti future. Our energy security is in renewables which gas is not.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
michael noel fawcett	2015	i have grandchildren	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Michael Oldfield	2122		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Michael Serafim	2118	The money would better be utilised in providing a more environmental solutions to energy generation. In the very near future we need to move away from fossil fuels. Investing \$600 million in a short term solution is a waste of money and not a good long term financial decision.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Michael Stranger	2533	Totally unnecessary use of tax payers money. Technologies such as batteries and pumped hydro perform the same role that a gas plant would, and a more economically viable as a business case. And it is not just me saying this, plenty of industry experts, analysts and advocates say the same. Vigorously disagree with this announcement and request that the government re-consider.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Michele Cassidy	2126	Fossil fuels are a dying industry. Money would be better spent investing in retraining and boosting renewable industries. And c'mon, climate change is real, let's start getting serious	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				

Michele Jurlina	2322	Our Government is so bound to the fossil fuel industry that they persist in investing without vision or long-term sustainability as a priority. Australia is very well placed to embrace many options that, over time, will be far cheaper and less damaging to our environment.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Michelle Gilchrist	2318	Australia is well placed to be a renewable energy powerhouse and example for the world. Stop wasting public money on the past and stop destroying our wonderful planet, with an absolutely unnecessary gas plant. We need to invest in jobs that are good for the people and the planet. It is disappointing that this government will not embrace the big picture and is only thinking as far as the next election. Please lead Australia responsibly and with a vision of a better future and make us all proud Australians.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Michelle Hancock	2304	This is a redundant, climate-change promoting folly. What a waste of money.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Michelle McCann	2293	To quote Ross Garnaut, it's like burying money and asking the unemployed to dig it up as a way of keeping them productive. SMH 27/5/21. It's a "waste of money" and "The pity is its not being spent on anything productive "	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Mike Faine	2430	It a massive waste of public money, a very dubious return on investment, it is not carbon neutral technology and will likely burden our children with a massive clean up bill. Very unwise decision by this government .	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
mir Abdur Rashid Mostafa	2780	We need to reduce our carbon output, not wait to be forced top do so by trade sanctions. We could put the money into non-carbon technologies. Also, our country needs to be governed for the benefit of the people, not the benefit of the big energy producers. Creating jobs in the sustainable energy sector, encouraging non-polluting technologies like electric cars and making the polluters pay is to the benefit of the nation.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Miranda Mills	2484	Disgraceful. Nothing good about it.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Moir only no more is necessary	2263	All of above but sick of this government constantly supporting fossil fuels in opposition to world advice	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Morna Nancarrow	2018	Should be investing in green energy, not fossil fuel. Climate change.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Morris Cronin	2483	The timing of the announcement just a few days before a crucial bi-election in the hunter valley had all the hallmarks of a scam or con. As it transpired the con worked and the coalition in NSW achieved an un expected win. Most of this federal government announcements are usually just that announcements of proposals to win votes and never followed up. We hope this is the same!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Mort miller	2483	Future generations have to pay the bills for stranded assets. Freebie for government mates from public purse is unethical	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		

Moya Langtry	2575	Yesterday's story,...	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Mr Stewart Reeve	2370	No need; AEMO says the 'gap' is much smaller, and will be filled, soon, by the private sector. Better to invest in grid upgrades and big batteries, hydro, etc. Further, the gas to be soruced, much of it from the Narrabri fields, is actually quite expensive - as a result, the produced gas will be marginally expensive and will actually push up gas power prices. I also dislike that the Fed government will seek to mitigate the above by granting itself a power price subsidy. None of the above is good.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Ms Ohno	2575	No more fossil fuels, you fscken fossil fools, despicable knuckle-dragging Luddite flat-earth anti-science COALition Liebs & Nuts!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Murray Gooch	2305	\$600 million of our money for a gas peaking plant that take 30 minutes to start up. Community scale batteries take less than a second to react to power shortages.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
murray hetherington	2283	makes no sense economically or environmentally	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Natalie	2304	Invest in a sustainable future. Invest in renewables. Train staff in renewables. Encourage local manufacturing of renewables. Innovate in renewables. Patent and Export our renewable ideas. Silly arguments about it taking too long. It takes a decade to build a fossil fuel plant. Solar produces power as soon as the sun hits it. Go Renewables.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Natasha P	2304	I am concerned that we keep doing the same thing to our environment over and over and expect a different outcome? Or do we just not care about the outcome??.? Time for sustainable alternatives ... we are in the 21st and should be moving forward.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Neil Hardie	2063	adds to pollution; totally unnecessary; poor investment	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Neil Thomas Leo	2257	I am strongly against the government's waste of money towards polluting fossil fuels. Our nation has the chance to become a super power In green energy. Trying prop up archaic means of technology is not the answer.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Neil Wales	2299	If the gas plant needs to be built then market forces would determine it. I am opposed to putting public money into a venture that big business can see no future in.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Nel	2000	As noted	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Niall Higgins	2037	Its a dead duck from the start, the Govt money would be better spent on a pumped hydro system feasibility study for the upper hunter in one of the mines that is nearing its end of life. The mining companies will come on board especially if they can divert the money reserved for rehabilitation to a sustainable pumped hydro system combined with solar and wind at the same location. I'm fed up listening to Barnaby Joyce and other liberal's mantra "when the sun doesn't shine and the wind doesn't blow"	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Nicholas Cowlard	2477	Australia continues to be left behind again. Can we please have some visionary leadership in this country? The way forward is obvious to anyone not blinded by fossil fuel lobby groups. We need politicians who will lead this country forward.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Nicholas Gerhard	2794	Three simple things: a large number of independent analysis has shown that it is not needed, it is investing public funds into a project without a qualified business case, and as international recommendations advise, all fossil fuel exploration and development must stop now, consequently making Kurri Kurri investment a stranded asset.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Nicholas Grosfeld	2650	We are experiencing the impacts of climate change all across the world already, including our own country and state. We cannot afford to continue to build new facilities that add more greenhouse gas emissions.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Nicholas Maher	2077	It's a waste of money, and the plant only creates 10 jobs. That could easily go into renewable energy infrastructure that wouldn't become obsolete	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Nicholas Stokes	2076	It won't be a valuable asset in 10 years time, so it is not that kind of long term investment that we need governments for.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Nick Chapman	2045	When the rest of the world is moving away from fossil fuels, it makes no sense for our Government to invest money in propping up gas. Their justification is totally unconvincing and Angus Taylor is, in my opinion, not to be trusted. I think he's pandering to the fossil fuel sector in the hope that he might secure a well-paid job when his political fortunes start to slide. His policies are lethal for future generations, as has just been reaffirmed in the Federal Court judgement about the NSW Whitehaven coal project.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Nick Schaefer	2482	We should be moving away from fossil fuels, not supporting the industry.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Nicola Purcell	2299	We need renewables not gas	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Noel Clarke	2305	I refer you to a rather long response I wrote for an earlier request, which maybe now on Facebook.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Noel Hodda	2017	It is a waste of money investing in old polluting technology. That money should be spent on new, job creating technology. Using our money to buy a few votes and destroy the environment disgusts me.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Noel Jugovac	2131	This government is owned by the fossil fuel industry and relies heavily on their funding for its survival. This appears to trump the irrefutable evidence that this project is misplaced. It belongs in the bin.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Noel West	2257	It is not commercially viable, won't increase employment or future proof anything.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Noel West	2257	It is not commercially viable, won't increase employment or future proof anything.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Norm Short	2756	Not needed.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Nyssa Roze	2429	I am concerned about the fossil fuels being used, excessive public funds, unnecessariness of the project. We need to consider the purpose of this project and cleaner alternatives.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Owen Bailey	2281	The government is putting I don't know what before action to limit climate change, the scourge of our generation.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
P J Lobach	2280		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Padraic Gibson	2205	There is an urgent need for public investment in the Hunter region to drive a transition away from fossil fuels. We DO need publicly owned and operated energy infrastructure. But this project is a crime against the climate. Let's fight to the money to publicly owned renewable energy instead!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Padraic Gibson	2205	There is an urgent need for public investment in the Hunter region to drive a transition away from fossil fuels. We DO need publicly owned and operated energy infrastructure. But this project is a crime against the climate. Let's fight to the money to publicly owned renewable energy instead!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Palmira ARIAS SIMSON	2538	It's a short-sighted project with enormous short and long term consequences for the area and the environment.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Pam Jeans	2443	I oppose public money going into polluting fossil fuel projects instead of sustainable green energy initiatives	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Pam Sharp	2300	That money could be better spent on education, health or jobs in renewable energy	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Pamela Frances Whitehead	2454	Climate change has already started, and we must stop using fossil fuels as soon as possible. \$600M could be used to generate electricity and jobs from renewable energy projects	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Patricia O'Neill	2132	The people's money / no future in gas	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Paul E D'Agostino	2303	It is so stupid and corrupt.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Paul Firkin	2204	It is silly to be building fossil fuel fired power stations when batteries and renewables are far cheaper. Also we cannot mitigate climate change risk as quickly if we build this.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Paul Harper	2750	We need to be spending money on renewable energy research not old world outdated power plants.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Paul Judge	2214	It is a waste of taxpayers' money, and more importantly, it will make it more difficult to meet our carbon emissions reduction target.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
paul McDonall	2281	More fossil fuel being utilised when all new systems should and could be renewables. There are backup stations already under utilised nearby. Purely used to benefit the local elections recently. Will hardly create any longtime work for the future anyway.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Paul Pattie	2481	Time is running out. We need to encourage renewables.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Paul Ramzan	2323	My family has lived nearby for 50 years. We are nurses, doctors, teachers, tradespeople, students and parents. We all are outraged. At this unjustifiable spending of taxpayers money. At this missed opportunity to foster jobs for locals transitioning out of the coal mines. By a Liberal Government who should know better. Because the market thinks it unviable, the energy experts advised against it, the consumers say they don't want it. But mainly for the obscenity of it. Our children will judge this Government as criminals for spending public money on a large carbon-emitting plant when there is a desperate immediate need for the economy to de-carbonise.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Paul Raymond Kelly	2281	The proposed gas plant is based on a number of false and misleading assertions, mostly made by Federal Minister Angus Taylor. Firstly he asserts that Liddell power station's capacity must be replaced , however it is being closed because it is worn out and actually is no longer a key part of the grid. Secondly, having conjured a false need out of thin air, the Minister presents the gas plant as the only solution . No mention of the actual energy need, or the solar installation at Lidell by AGL, no mention of renewables and battery storage projects already uunder construction, etc The whole exercise only makes sense as a very expensive opiate for the fossil fuel lobby. A fossil opiate for climate change fossils provided by a fossilized federal government.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	

Paul Robertson	2305	Should be zero tax payer investment in fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Paula Forrest	2103	Gas is not a long term viable solution. Long term sustainable energy solutions ought to be invested in immediately - as the science clearly demonstrates.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Pauline McCarthy	2089	Investment in fossil fuels has been shown to be the wrong decision environmentally and economically. At best there seems to be no interest in hearing what community thinks, at worst community has been denied any genuine avenues for engagement.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Penelope Anne Cantle	2283	Power no longer needs to be generated using fossil fuels. The power it will generate is not needed anyway. The number of jobs it will create is insignificant. The public funds would be better used for battery storage and/or upgrading grid infrastructure to allow full access to existing renewable generation projects.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Penelope Lumb	2539	Where to begin...? The Morrison government is a global outlier, a climate pariah insisting on protecting the fossil fuel industry and heading in the completely wrong direction. As an older Australian, I feel ashamed of their actions and lack of action. Their decision to continue to support fossil fuels, in the face of expert advice, global pressure and public opinion, is criminally negligent. As with many issues, they avoid responsibility, ignore the risks and with eyes wide open, drag us into a dire future. And using public money to do this is despicable.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Penny Hanan	2021	I reject the Federal government's archaic commitment to fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
penny pearce	2580	There is no reason for it. Few jobs. Pollution. old energy. Our money.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Peter Alan Meldrum	2101	The climate crisis needs to be taken much more seriously and with more urgency. It should be the No1 priority of every government. I think the proposal for this gas plant is highly irresponsible.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Peter Barker	2034	Mis-use of public money, which should be used supporting jobs to replace those lost when fossil fuels can no longer be used.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Peter Cross	2099	It is not necessary when renewable energy options make more sense.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Peter Doyle	2045	Why should the Federal Government spend taxpayer's money on an asset that private sector financiers won't invest in?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
PETER FOSTER BUNCH	2107	Simple. Gas is a fossil fuel and it is too late to use as an interim power source with global warming perilously high. It is also already more expensive to use compared with renewable energy such as solar or wind power and it is likely to be a stranded asset before it has any chance of being used. In other words a total waste of taxpayers money.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	

Peter Garrard	2121	Because it is a blatant attempt to hoodwink the community that it is renewable. A total lie.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Peter J Dadswell	2065	Because we must move as soon as possible to a non-fossil fuel country.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Peter Johnson	2073	Private investment will not touch this project because it fails to meet economic criteria for a long-term future, yet the Coalition politicians continue to back this dying industry. The money should be spent on planning for the transition of the affected Upper Hunter communities to new skills and jobs in renewable energy, clean hydrogen and related industries. Regrettably, planning has never been their strong suit.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Peter Ljubic	2289	Because its another example of how desperate the Government are to win federal seats of parliament. Destroy the environment but stay in Governemnt	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Peter Long	2780	For all the talk of moving forward and stimulating the economy, this would have to be one of the most ill-advised and patently backward ideas that the government have come up with yet. The proposed gas plant at Kurri Kurri will only generate more greenhouse gas emissions, waste a vast amount of public money and contribute further to the national debt. When the chief scientist opposes the idea and says it's like burying money in the ground, the government needs to take notice. Stop destroying our future with these pointless infrastructure projects and help rebuild the economy by investing in the natural resources we have available to us	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Peter Malinowski	2263	All the people that run or involved in the power industry say that a peaking plant that size is not necessary.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Peter McCallum	2060	We have known for decades that urgent action on climate change is needed.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Peter METHAM	2316	We should be getting away from carbon based fuels for a cleaner environment.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Peter Orre	2283	This is a ridiculous waste of public money that does nothing to solve climate change ,gives almost no new worthwhile jobs, and lines the pockets of corrupt developers and ex politicians who are liberal party donors. This gas plant stinks!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
peter prewett	2640	There are more important issues that need funding that will create jobs. It is diesel the will fire the unit which we all know is carcinogenic and polluting. Some modern plants do not need on site staff. RED energy advertising itself as climate aware this will not do their image any good and hypocritical.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Peter Richardson	2066	This investment flies in the face of all scientific and expert advice the Federal government seems to have been given. What is their real reason for this investment, or are they just plain stupid?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Peter Riordan	2518	I believe the gas plant proposed for Kurri Kurri is totally unnecessary. I note that the gas plant will only be operational for about one week per year. This seems to me to indicate a very small amount of time when it is thought we will have a lack of power. Surely cheaper and greener power plants can be built to cover this outage of power.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Peter Smith	2577	Waste of money and we were never consulted	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Peter Taylor	2021	It's unnecessary, has been introduced over the state government's authority and will be a "back-up", like the desalination plant that has to be kept operating, whether needed or not.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Peter Ward	2095	A gas peaking plant is not needed in the electricity system- peaking generation and grid support to stabilise grid frequency can be better done by big batteries. The government should be doing more to encourage more big batteries at strategic locations in the grid!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
peter wilson	2281	Spending that amount of money on something which has little merit. In the context of a federal energy minister who has proved he is devoid of integrity there can be no confidence in the decision making process.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Peter Wynd	2440	To stop using fossil fuels	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Petra B	2225	inappropriate and unnecessary and wasteful investment by govt, lack of addressing solutions to climate change.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Phil Perry	2233	All of the above	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Phil Ryan	2121	I signed in the best interests of future generations and overall Social Justice.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Philippa Beeston	2065	Unnecessary expense and redundant before it begins. No energy expert supports its development.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Philippa Cutter	2480	I oppose use of fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Phillip Howe	2325	Private industry were not willing to be involved in a gas plant as it was felt that the extra electricity supplied would only be called on rare occasions. It is not economically feasible. It is a waste of public monies at at time when there are great demands on the public purse.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	

Phillip Mar	2780	The Federal government would be better supporting renewable alternatives and a just transition to create jobs more effectively in the region.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Phillipa Byrne	2785	Old technology and fuel,source.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Phillipa Schultz	2477	Our climate is changing. We have recently seen the devastating impacts within our state. We need to move away from the use of fossil fuels as soon as possible.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.			The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Pia Larsen	2048	I oppose the proposed gas plant at Kurri Kurri as it is a fossil fuel industry long past its use by date being propped up by a Federal Government who do not represent the interests of Australians, the heath of its people or the environment in an increasingly warming world. Public money should be spent on projects that support renewable industry and employment training and the health and well being of Australia's people and environment.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Piercarlo	2780	I oppose the Gas project being unnecessary and it is environmental damage while monies can be invested in renewable and training the mining labor in the transition to cleaner energy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Rach King	2320	It is irresponsible. I care about my children's future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Rae-lee Diamond	2429	These massive expenditures make me sick when we've done so much damage to our planet and such measly funding is being provided for urgent human services; child protection, women and childrens safety, Indigenous Services, public school infrastructure. There is a severe absence of humanity in this budget, it's leader and Government. We, the sunburnt country, are acting against International advice repeatedly about climate change, and responsible transitioning to renewables. We ARE burying money! I'm ashamed to be Australian whilst this Government remains ... #notmyprimeminister	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Rafael Palacios	2540	âˆš am opposed to the project because ,fossil fuels are the source of energy that is the of cause global warming. There are alternatives available now, clean energy sources that do not polute .	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Ray reid	2540	The proposal is outdated,appears to be of little value.Let us persue renewables.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Ray Thomas	2040	Our future in energy should be renewables. We must stop burning more and more fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Raymond Dawes	2327	Burning of all forms of fossil fuels has to cease in order to reduce the concentration of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere. The climate is changing as a direct consequence of our use of fossil fuels. Solar energy in combination with battery storage can and does provide for immediate supply of electricity when a coal fired plant fails. Other forms of storage also need to be in the mix of supply. All coal fired power stations need to be replaced with renewable sources together with a country wide interconnected transmission system to fully and efficiently utilize cleaner ie renewable sources of energy that do not have the impact on the production of carbon dioxide as does the combustion of fossil fuels. For the sake of our survival then all fossil fuel usage must be drastically reduced in the short term before being phased out. It is just sheer stupidly to continue burning coal, oil and gas to produce electricity.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Raymond John Lane	2462	Climate change	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Raymond John Mullins	2650	Unnecessary expenditure especially to a site with no infrastructure connection.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Renee Engl	2479	I support much more money being spent on renewables and agree with all statemetns above	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Ricahrd William Nichols	2008	All avoidable contributions to global warming must be prohibited	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Richard Bâ~šÂ@dard	2257	Clear case of vote buying. Forget the state election. Let's see how the fed goes.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Richard Bruce Barnard	2062	This project makes no sense economically or environmentally. My concern for my grandchildren let alone the planet continues to grow.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Richard Mills	2780	It is a really stupid decision.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Richard Walters	2204	There are far better uses for this money when it comes to supporting the transition to net zero carbon. Of course we do need solutions to manage the intermittency of solar and wind power, but we already have a gas peaker in the Illawarra that has reached financial close and large batteries being built. These are more than adequate to manage this intermittency. This funding could have been used to fund grid upgrades that would support the renewable energy zones.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Richard Weller; richard.w.gos@gmail.com	2250	It is madness to invest in fossil fuel projects when we must be cutting emissions urgently to avoid more deaths and destruction from bushfires, storms, floods and heatwaves. Extreme events must all now be considered to be worse due to the increased Australian average temperature of 1.3C. The bushfires of 2019/2020 will return again and it will be worse. The government must be acting to cut emissions not building any new fossil gas facilities that lock in emissions for 30 years.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Richelle Hatton	2227	It's time for the federal government to invest in renewable energy, not more gas. I understand this gas plant will only employs small number of people on an ongoing basis, so it seems like a lot to spend for a small gain and perhaps even a step backwards.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Rick Cavicchioli	2232	No fossil fuel-based energy generation. Only renewables - solar or wind.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Rick coombs	2027	Because it is an outdated form of energy generation and it is supporting an industry which needs no handouts.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Rob Delemare	2650	We have two children and this is only going to be detrimental to their need to fix up the environmental damage caused by current and past generations. This political driven proposal clearly shows who the government represents and goes against the wishes of the public majority.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
rob wines	2082	Why does our federal government continue to use our taxpayer money to prop up these dying industries? Its us the taxpayers who will probably be left with a stranded asset. Spend this money on something more sustainable that brings us into line with like minded democracies around the world. Apart from the obvious irresponsibility towards the climate that we all rely upon for our very existence, these types of projects will lead to Australia becoming even less competitive with exports to global markets (e.g. the EU) if we continue on this path (Trade tariffs for products that have 'fossil fuel/carbon inputs' in their production). Its time to move ahead and create jobs in an energy that will be ongoing rather than a few jobs in a dying industry.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Robert Adamson	2015	Why are we investing money in an industry that is damaging our environment and is currently being phased out. Spend that money investing in renewable energy, up-skilling current and new workforce for that transition and educating the public around how these new forms of power can be efficiently used.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Robert Ayliffe	2653	Gas is Old Tech; renewables are the future if we're interested in saving our planet.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Robert Elphinston	2230	A new gas plant is totally unn necessary and a waste of public money.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Robert Laughlin	2285		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Robert Lawton	2576	Climate change deniers will create an unliveable world unless we stop them	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Robert Lawton	2576	Climate change deniers will create an unliveable world unless we stop them	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Robert Moore	2795	It is absurd and irresponsible to provide \$600 million for a project so blatantly damaging to the environment. We urgently need to support non-polluting alternative energy sources.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Robert Thomson	2323	No economic justification. High environmental and climactic impact.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Robert Wheen	2100	This is a backwards step. That amount of money could be used to open up and encourage a whole raft of industries whose objective is to reduce carbon dioxide emissions and carry us towards a real future for our children and their children. Show us the way to the future and stop dragging your feet. The whole world is watching.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Robin Holmes	2540	The government should not be spending tax payer money on Coal and gas projects. With all overwhelming scientific evidence we need to transition immediately to renewables. Australia will be left behind as globally the race to renewables has already begun. Stop thinking about your mates in the industry and start thinking about future generations... your own children!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Robin Sands	2515	It is not needed and a backward step. The future is clean renewable energy which not only will provide clean power it will provide cheaper power which will lead to jobs, industry, innovation and a better future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Robin Silvester	2680	I am a greeny.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Robyn	2798	A gas lead recovery is short sighted. We need to focus on a transition to clean renewable energy sources or we risk billions of stranded assets.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Robyn Kay PETTIT	2068	All of the above!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Roderick Littlw	2261	I don't believe that any government money (my tax dollars) should be used on a project which will continue emitting greenhouse gases for years to come. Put my tax dollars towards projects which will help us to meet low emission targets for our energy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Roderick Weir Anderson	2206	We must urgently reduce burning of fossil fuels, not increase it, to avoid catastrophic global warming.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Roger Lainson	2076	We urgently need to reduce our use of fossil fuels, and this is a step - an unnecessary and expensive step - in EXACTLY the wrong direction.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Roger Martyn Hurley	2110	There is sufficient gas for a future that will increasingly rely on renewable energy. Eventually gas will be phased out and this money wasted.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Roger Price	2046	Governments the world over simply do not accept that they work for, and are paid by the people of the Country. It is not their money that they splash around in a grab for power and support from major industry players. No Government can ever give back to you what they haven't already taken away in the form of taxes or - more now than recently - what they borrow (without the permission of the people) to further their own personal agendas. They believe that they do not have to be accountable for their actions and provide legislation to protect themselves from any repercussions. That would not, and could not work in business - yet they believe this is their right. They might have the 'power' but they do NOT have the right to go against the will of the people - yet they do this on a daily basis. The Kurri Kurri Gas Plant is a perfect example of this attitude. NOTHING about it makes any sense apart from sucking up to and pandering to the demands of the new owners who only bought the site in 2020. If this doesn't smack of collusion - nothing does. It is NOT NECESSARY. There are far better alternatives - but those will not reward the 'Liberal Donors' - one of whom was found by ICAC to have made illegal donations to Liberal Party Politicians. It stinks - in more way than one and Morrison should not have the power to make these decisions without any checks or balances.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Roger Wellesley-Smith	2487	I believe that this is a political decision, trying to "buy" votes from people and businesses in th fossil fuel business. Such a power station will shortly become a "stranded asset" that nobody needs or wants; just a huge waste of public money. Anything suggested or supported by Angus Taylor; is almost certainly a scheme which will benefit him personally. Angus Taylor is not a man to be trusted with public money. Now is the time to move to non-carbon renewable sources of energy.....not gas fired power plants.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Ron Abbott	2315	It will become a stranded asset. It is a waste of taxpayer money. The Aust Government should not be investing in fossil fuels. There has been no consultation. Energy experts have all said it is not necessary. It will create only 10 jobs.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Ron Huby	2121	Stupid to invest in gas as opposed to pumped hydro, batteries etc	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Ronald Lavis	2320	My foremost concern is lack of consideration for the environment i.e. Climate change. Also wondering if this gas fired power station is tied in with Tomago Aluminium Smelter's disproportionately huge power requirement....overseas owned company with profits going overseas. Fed up with this government's Game of Mates.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Ronda Duong	2166	It's time to invest in renewable resources	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Rosemary Fox	2481	The federal government seems intent on keeping Australia at the back of the international pack when it comes to renewable energy by continuing to support and invest in fossil fuels. We need to look to the future and that future is not in coal and gas. We could be a world leader in renewable energy, future proofing our country in terms of jobs and mitigating the worst effects of climate change.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Rosemary Gasson - retired	2774	\$600 million for 10 jobs? Are you crazy?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Roslyn Bean	2047	Government money should be used to facilitate the transition from fossil fuels to a sustainable energy economy. It certainly should not be used to fund a facility that is adding fossil fuel pollutants to the atmosphere and which has failed to attract private investment because it makes no economic sense.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		

Ross Arnett	2480	As a macadamia farmer climate change is making my job harder all the time. Building a gas plant will only exacerbate the problem. Australia needs leadership with truly believes in climate change.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Roy Robinson	2230	Taxpayers money being used to build a white elephant. If it was a good idea, one of the major energy companies would have invested. Lets move to future technologies	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Ruby kasteel	2323	Fossil fuels destroy the planet	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
RUSSELL MINTO	2529	Morrison government not listening to the science, retarding , not only Australia's future, but damaging the planet	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Ruth Erby	2099	I oppose this project because the money could be better spent on so many other things - for example the COVID vaccination program, on quarantine, aged care, domestic violence support, social housing, solar on public buildings like schools/hospitals/councils, a solar power plant, ev charge stations. It's poor economics, poor planning, obsolete infrastructure, provides minimal jobs, creates dangerous emissions, uses gas which is to be extracted from the earth causing pollution, damage to the environment / water table. There's been hardly any public consultation. It's the wrong decision, and I implore you to see reason and stop this gas power plant from going ahead. It's just going to add to the climate crisis and leave a dreadful legacy for our kids. Please use the money to start sustainable projects in Kurri Kurri to create more jobs, to assist with transition away from fossil fuels. Retraining, employment/careers advice to help workers find alternative work.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Ruth Mackay	2323	I live in the area. I am a Friend of Tumblebee (an organisation committed to saving areas of natural heritage in the lower hunter for endangered bird and other species), & understand that the project will only employ few after its construction and be utilised rarely. Surely the Govt would be better to change course from fossil fuels to renewable while there is still time. This feels like another waste of public money to support the big polluters. The land currently mooted for the Gas fired power plant would be a far better sit for the battery project that Morris lemma is attempting to get up - unfortunately in the very forested area that the Friends of Tumblebee are trying to save! These ironies at a time when half of NSW underwent catastrophic fires wiping out untold numbers of flora and fauna (estimated 3 billion animals) should surely give those we elect some pause for thinking differently. WE need statesmen in our politics who can think and act for future generations, not politicians who are only motivated by ego and the next election.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Ryan Riboldi	2291	The gas plant is blatant pandering to liberal party political donors. More economical and effective solutions are available such as solar/wind + storage solutions. An example is the 100MW/129MWh Hornsdale Power Reserve lithium-ion battery. https://hornsdalepowerreserve.com.au	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Sally Humphrey	2030	Let's focus on solar or wind energy, for obvious reasons	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Sally-Anne Brown	2550	Emissions emissions emissions.. Must be stopped for a safer planet. Good ancestors and good global citizens will urgently stop emissions.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Sam Robertson	2083	Unnecessary use of government money to fund a energy source that has too many detrimental environmental factors for the benefit it will provide	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Samir Hussein	2289	I'm a GP and I see the impact of respiratory disease on people's lives as well as the health cost. Not only will the gas plant cost a huge amount of money, it will also damage the health of the surrounding population.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Sandra McEwen	2515	It is ludicrous to spend any public money propping up the fossil fuel industry. If we don't stop burning fossil fuels and producing carbon dioxide there will be uncontrolled global temperature increase. Life as we know it can't continue if the global temperature increases by 4 degrees centigrade...so Humans and most of all life on earth will become extinct. It's happened before (250 million years ago) and it can happen again. Volcanoes were responsible for the carbon dioxide last time. Humans are responsible this time.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Sara Farmer	2577	None of the government reasons are valid when the whole world is at a tipping point with global warming & climate change.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Sarah Connaughton	2320	It is yet another fossil fuel that we don't need to be using. It has also been stated it will run on diesel until the gas pipeline is in place amd will only be required to run 2-6 weeks of the year. Waste of money!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Sarah Lukeman	2320	Australia should not be investing in more fossil fuel power plants if we want to keep global warming below 2 degrees. If private industry does not think this gas plant is economic, why should my taxes be put into it? This is not a good use of public funds, which could be better used to diversify the Hunter economy away from fossil fuels, rather than make us more exposed. Lets put this \$600million into Health, education, early childhood and aged care in the Hunter and to industries of the future like the circular economy, green hydrogen and electric vehicle parts and construction.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Sarah Taylor	2291	This is outdated technology and totally unnecessary. It is only being built to placate the climate change deniers in the Liberal Party. This project is misleading workers in the fossil fuel industry that these polluting types of power generation can go on and on. There are more jobs in renewables this is what we need. The cost of power will only go up to fund this project as the wasteful gold plating of the poles and wires did.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Sarah-Jane Madden	2325	This is a huge waste of public money on an outdated, damaging, and useless plant that is only being proposed in an attempt at an election grab for the region. Empty, hollow, dirty promises that will only be a detriment to the actual value of the area. How scenic can one really claim the Hunter to be when surrounded by coal mines and gas plants? There are so many reasons this plant is wrong.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Scott Ferguson	2281	Firstly, iwork in the mining sector and am a parent seeking a better future for my children. I am concerned that the plant employs so few local people longterm. I would rather see an environmentally astute peak loading solution developed that employs many more taxpayers and offer the regional footprint a demonstrated way to get off the belief that only fossil based solutions create jobs. This large investment should continue in the region, however not in this format. The idea is completely flawed. Thank you	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Scott Maddock	2226	A waste of money and an imperfect solution to base load power. Certainly not addressing climate change. Overall really failing to address the issue which is how to transition jobs and the economy off carbon. Time to get creative rather than use the knee-jerk easy solution that doesn't really deliver.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	

Scott Shimada	2206	I oppose the gas plant proposed for Kurri Kurri because it is bad for people, the environment and the economy. All independent experts agree that investment in renewables is the best option.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Sean King	2031	I am concerned that we have a government that is recklessly obsessed with clinging to yesterdays technologies for no good reason. Outdated, dirty, destructive , we know better now. Instead of trying to hold back the future investment should be made in providing employment in industries that will thrive now and into the future. Are country communities going to thank Morrison when fossil fuel dependent industries inevitably die out and there are no jobs to take their place? This fossil government has to take Australia into the future not desperately throw an anchor into the past.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Shanne McGinniss	2067	Federal Governement should not be using tax payer money to prop up the fossil fuel industry when the need for this transitioning is minimal; many commentators who work in this area predict it will become a stranded asset so certainly a waste of tax payer money. We should be thinking about using existing renewable energy technology and developing a firming strategy that allows for greater reliance of renewables energy supply.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Sharnie Connell	2166	We need to transition to renewables and away from fossil fuels as quickly as possible.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Sharon Page	2046	Should be moving to renewables immediately AND definitely NOT investing Tax Payers funds in dirty Gas.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
sharyn brown	2780	govt support for polluting fossil fuels, the lack of public consultation, the small number of new jobs provided (10), or the large amount of public money being used to fund the project (\$600 million).	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.			The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Shaun Pollington	2289	This is not where we should be spending our money. Renewable energy should be the focus of energy funding. It's just another handout to the big end of town who are milking the planet for all they can get before the major energy turn coming soon.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Sheena Worrall	2485	I am deeply concerned about global warming and it's impact on both plants and animals, the warmer waters and conditions driving many species towards extinction.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Sheila perkins	2782	Complete waste of money. It's only going to be operating for a fraction of each year, only 10 jobs when construction complete. They are telling us our power will be cheaper exactly what Tony Abbott said when he was leader, we all know what happened there. I'd rather that money was spent on more essential services like education and health.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Shirley Heaney	2464		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
SILVIO ROSSI	2527	If the setting up of the NBN is an example of their business skills and prudent government we are in for an expensive not fit for purpose enterprise !	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

simon upward	2620	It's a disgrace the LNP Government yet again using tax payers hard earned money to prop up the outdated political agenda of a few National Party and Liberal dinosaurs on the back bench. This just shows how out of touch and dangerous these hard right politicians are, they need to be voted out of parliament and left in the past where they come from	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Sonia Freiburg	2089	As an attendee of multiple school strikes, it continues to baffle me why the federal government continues to invest in fossil fuels. As a nation we have done a great job listening to the science and the facts when it comes to COVID-19, but the current government seems completely unwilling to afford the same attention and urgency to the ongoing and accelerating climate crisis.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Sophie Allen	2291	As a country, we need to be investing in sustainable energy sources. By spending even more money on fossil fuel energy, we are not only wasting public money but we are using that money on an energy source that will run out and destroy the planet. Instead of looking at energy usage in the short term, we need to be making long term plans for better energy, and that starts with not investing in even more fossil fuel sources.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Sophie Dayton	2303	I'm concerned about lack of public consultation, investing in polluting fossil fuels and the large amount of public money being used to fund the project.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
SStephen Joseph Muldoon	2283	To my way of thinking it is a complete waste of money, apart from the fact that we should not at this time be opening new COAL MINES OR GAS LINES OR PLANTS.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
stephen byrnes	2035	climate change is caused by the carbon in fossil fuels.the \$600 million could build a number of large storage batteries to complement the new solar and wind facilities.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Stephen Cashel	2304	Australia is perfectly placed to be fully powered by renewables. Our government however is only interested in lining the pockets of their donors. The lack of climate policy in our country makes us a laughing stock on the world stage.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Stephen Church	2035	In a nation with unlimited supplies of solar power capacity, and the skills to be the most advanced producers of related technology on earth, only an ideologically twisted fool would give up our comparative advantage chasing obsolete non-sustainable industries that dont stack up economically.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Stephen Floyd	2077	I have a number of concerns, firstly if the private sector can not see the benefits from doing this then that is a sure sign this shouldn't be done by the government. Secondly we need to urgently move away from these types of projects and focus on renewable's rather than spending money on short term fixes, its a waste of public money that should be spent elsewhere. Last these projects have damaging and lasting affects on out environment and are only usually done to shore up flagging popularity in the build up to elections, this is the wrong reason to make these decisions.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Stephen Griffiths	2120	It is an utter disgrace that the federal government are even considering such a proposal. NO to new fossil fuel investments, NO to additional fossil fuel investments. Governments should be working in the best interests of the country - NOT the obvious interest of themselves and associated business.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Stephen John Howlett	2317	This plant should be driven by clean energy, specifically solar and battery storage.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	

Stephen Willis	2228	If this is a gas turbine alternator plant to be used only to supply unexpected loads then it would be preferable to starting up a coal fired steam turbine plant then it might be justifiable. Otherwise it is not.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.			
Steve Armstrong	2304	I oppose investment in redundant and polluting technology. I'd prefer public money was invested in building a renewables industry and infrastructure in the Hunter that will create a jobs for the future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
steve bradney	2775	Because this is not our planet to destroy, it belongs to the future and futures are becoming bleaker and bleaker thanks to economic and popularist politics making decisions that solely consider the short term	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Steve Cleary	2525	I do not think it will be built but only put up to win the bi election. Morrison will back flip.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Steven James Gosch	2450	I believe that here in New South Wales, Australia, we have enough sunlight and technology to provide solar power to the extent that a new \$600million gas plant would be unnecessary, while at the same time creating green jobs in the renewable energy sector. Furthermore, solar power is much safer to produce than gas-fueled energy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Steven Richards	2483	Gas is not the way for the future. Nor is it a stepping stone. We can go totally free of fossil fuel with our current technology within the next ten years. It is obvious that the government is trying to keep a s many people happy as possible and especially the large fossil fuel companies who will loose out if when we go totally carbon free. But the role of the government is to do what is best for the population. Not what is best for the government or the very rich few who support them.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
STUART JOHN GIBBONS	2480		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Stuart Walker	2041	Because I care about my children's future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Sue McLeod	2430	This is such a wrong use of public money. Imagine if this money was put into clean energy generation and neighbourhood battery storage.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Sue Nakkan	2480	Gas plants are bad for our planet. I'm sick to death of the Morrison Government giving his mates millions of dollars of tax payers money.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Sue sherratt	2287	Pollution,use of fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Sukalpa Goldflam	2550	We are in a Climate Emergency. We need to URGENTLY pivot all our policy and actions to lowering carbon emissions. The World is watching us. Our Children and Grandchildren are watching us.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				

Susan Berryman	2287	Gas is not clean energy; this money would be far better spent on renewable energy generation; it is unnecessary; it will only be used 2 percent of the time and use diesel !!!!! initially; it will only create 10 ongoing jobs; it appears to be payback for political donations made to the coalition.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Susan English	2440	This money could be better spent on developing renewable energy which could potentially become a major industry employer and world leader.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Susan Eschebach	2299	Environmental concerns. The need for enact change due to global climate change.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Susan Golightly	2580	Al if the above - the planet won't be liveable for humans if we keep going with this form of energy	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Susan Nolan	2525	Renewable energy it the way of the future for the entire world so why is this current Australian Government so focused on living in the past and not give any consideration to our children and their children's generations! A total disgrace!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Susanne Jeffreys	2297	The money should be invested in development of renewable energy. I believe it was announced to influence voters in the by-election.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Susie Crick	2515	Gas is an obsolete fossil fuel and we should be investing money into renewables. I run a preschool and even the preschool children know that fossil fuels are bad for the environment and cause climate change. The government really can't be so stupid as to think that the public can be fooled. It will only create 10 jobs whereas investing in renewables will create many more and lead to a healthier future for the next generation.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Susie Stubbs	2040	Investing in fossil fuels into the future doesn't make sense economically or environmentally. I'm concerned for my children's future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Suvira McDonald	2482	It goes totally against science - including the science of economics.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Suzanne bartos	2062	Because it is postponing what we need to do which is give subsidies such as this \$600 m to support renewables. It's an outrage that a government committed to outsourcing to private industry, when that doesn't stack up, steps in and commits public money to a predictably losing industry. We'll have to foot the bill now and in the future when it's defunked.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Suzanne Fitzgerald	2041	We need for both our economy and the earth's climate to move away from fossil fuels and to renewable energy sources	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Suzanne Langker	2203	I strongly oppose the government's wasting of 600 million on an obsolete gas plant, something it must be the only government in the world doing! There are far more jobs to be created in solar and wind energy. If countries like Norway can phase out fossil fuel, why can't Australia?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Tanya Stephens	2045	I object to the federal govt using taxpayer money to fund polluting fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Tara Jane Price	2474	Because we should invest in renewable non polluting energy production	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Taylah Kaye Bell	2850	It is a Waste of money	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Teresa Walker	2299	All of the above....spend the money on science !!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Terese McGrath	2327	Absolutely no community consultation. Funding an expensive, outdated ,dirty ,polluting power source in a residential area. As always follow the money trail . Who is behind this development, who are the shareholders and which political party will benefit?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Terry Alan Oberg	2232	We should move away from fossil fuels and adopt renewable energy	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Terry Cummins	2221	I'm concerned about the effects of AGW, now and in the future, so I'd like to see all fossil fuels replaced by renewable and non-polluting energy sources where practicable. Besides, the cost of the gas plant will be higher than renewables and shouldn't be borne by the taxpayer. This plant is all wrong, resulting in a lose-lose situation.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Terry McKean	2370	Investment in fossil fuel	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Terry Robinson	2257	Renewable energy provides lower wholesale prices. This money should be spent on energy storage projects.			The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Terry Thomas	2200	There is a pressing environmental need to forsake the consequent gases from burning fossil fuels. The transition from fossil fuels to alternate energy sources is of immediate and earnest attention. Such is the urgency of this transition that the squandering of \$600 million for gas fired power generation will only add to destabilising climate.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Thalia Nunis	2572	Because any investment in new fossil fuel plants is wrong and the fact that public money needs to be spent indicates that it's also not economically viable. The government should be investing in renewables if it has money to spend on energy and finally facing up to its responsibilities.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Theo Maksor	2357	Gas fired power plants require: GAS - extracted by ... Fracking and a source of Methane which is even more polluting as Coal. A BIG No NO !	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Theres Lord	2462	The government needs to step up,get real, face the future and invest in renewables. This is an industry waiting to be developed. Australia is in a position to take hold of this opportunity to create industry with many new jobs, covering a range of qualifications, all over the country and become a world leader. WAKE UP AUSTRALIA !!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Therese Seymour	2318	I'm concerned about the burning of fossil fuels and the damaging effect on the environment	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Thomas Gates	2077	I am most concerned that the federal government appears to be wilfully ignoring scientific consensus and clear directives from the International Energy Agency (among others) that we cannot afford to be investing in any large-scale fossil fuel polluting projects such as this.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Thomas Hopkins	2304	600 million to create more damaging emissions whilst propping up 10 jobs is hardly a good deal.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Tim	2021	I thoroughly resent my public money being wasted on an outdated form of energy	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Tim Browning	2482	At a time when the rest of the world is showing intelligence and responsibility to future generations, and to the planet, it is inexcusable to throw the tax payers money into a gas fired power station with dubious outcomes and no public consultation. It appears to be a cynical proposal designed to appeal to the governments masters in the fossil fuel industry. It is a betrayal of our children and grandchildren.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Tim Doman	2288	1. It's not needed 2. It's polluting 3. The taxpayer shouldn't be funding it. 4. It's clear corruption by the coalition	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Tim Trembath	2672	I oppose the Kurri Kurri gas plant because all the experts are saying it's not necessary, including the energy market operator, private investors and energy companies. The money would be better spent on a big battery on the site and maybe some pumped hydro nearby. it would only be used less than ten percent of the time, when other cheaper and cleaner energy wasn't available.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Tim Welsby	2066	I oppose the Kurri Kurri gas plant, because I believe the money provided to build it can be better spent in other areas like renewable energy projects, the health sector and in education. It is a backward step for our country.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Tom King	2077	World should not be investing in ANY MORE fossil fuel projects, including expansion of existing ones, starting from NOW. Wasting \$600m dollars goes against the Governments duty of care (see recent court case Sharma vs Crown) It also is a waste - \$600m invested in renewable projects would see Australia well on the way to a sustainable future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Toni Smith	2039	I oppose the gas plant proposed for Kurri Kurri because gas is a polluting fuel and money would be better spent on renewable energy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Tony & Sandra Greville	2580	The Government needs to be implementing action to reduce the effect of climate change not increase it: in addition social welfare issues should rank higher in government budgetary priorities the futile gas plants that are not even endorsed by the private energy sector.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Tony Dean	2550	Electricity from burning fossil fuels is contributing to climate change from CO2 emissions. We have plenty of proven renewable resources for generating our electricity requirements that should be invested in as an alternative to this new gas plant. I don't want this plant to go ahead as it will contribute to CO2 emissions.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Tony Walters	2050	It ignores the existential threat of climate change brought about by fossil fuels	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
tracey keogh	2023	This is a huge amount of taxpayers money to be spent on polluting fossil fuels when the world has made a commitment to cleaner energy. It does not make any sense as academics and experts agree. It will only be creating a small number of jobs so no long term benefit to the community , only damage to the environment. The next generations will suffer immensely both from an environmental and economic aspect. Let's think about their future rather than the selected greedy few who stand to gain from this venture.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Trevan Johns	2107	All of the above. Plus the myopic view of the Scomo government.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Trevor Ellis	2536	The gas fired power station is a total waste of money and opportunities for long term renewable energy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Tuan Kaffideen Shaideen	2770	Waste of taxpayer funds just to win a federal seat	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Val kotlash	2540	I want a country that cares about the future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Vicki McDuie	2077	The gas plant will add to the already polluted atmosphere and take away money which could be used to increase renewable energy projects, and create more jobs in the renewable sector. Federal Government is so out of touch on climate change, or else they are just beholden to the powerful fossil fuel industry. It beggars belief that this government can continue to pursue fossil fuel technology when there are so many alternatives in the renewable sector.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Vicki Powys	2846	Our governments must think of upcoming generations. Those who are now toddlers will inherit the consequences of our mistakes. Let's set an example to the world of what can be done with renewable energy in NSW and Australia!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Victa Kay Collister	2317	The voters have not been given the chance to discuss this and report any objections. It is a huge expense for only 10 jobs. Far too costly for the small value and benefits . WE SHOULD NOT BE CONTINUING TO USE FOSSIL FUELS WHICH POLLUTE THE EARTH AND ARE CAUSING DANGEROUS CLIMATE CHANGE	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Viktor Daskus	2216	Future of my kids.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Vince Todd	2094	* the engineering/energy supply case for this plant does not stack up. * initial operation on diesel is ridiculous. * the promise of future conversion to hydrogen operation is bogus. Batteries are a more direct, efficient and available technology for energy storage. * it is a market intervention that is swimming against the tide of the transition to renewable energy. It risks tying public money in a stranded asset.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Vlasta Chiang Soto	2042	I am concerned about the lack of foresight of this government and the little they are prepared to push for Australia to join the rest of the developed countries in creating a healthier Planet Earth for future generations.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Warren Dell	2260	Listen to the IEA, Ross Garnaut, the School Children strikers, the AEMO and simply commonsense. Renewables are the only way we should be now directing finances. Fix the Grid and continue with batteries. Keep going with green hydrogen. There are so many avenues with renewables; a gas fired power station will be a stranded asset. Hpw can we rid ourselves of fossil fuel subsidies, relevant lobbyists and those in government tied to the coal and gas industries?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Warren Holland	2120	With sun galore, hydrogen soon and wind, the future is not fossil fuels. \$600m for 10 ongoing jobs is ridiculous. The Hunter needs many job opportunities like in manufacturing, not operating a small power station. 10 jobs ... is NOT a job creation nor earth friendly investment.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
warren johnston	2281	1. not required 2, private sector not interested in construction of these facilities 3. no scientific evedence to support the outlay of such a sum of public money 4. of no benefit to the local community.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Warren Speicher	2042	I feel that ALL of our energy investments should be for creating renewable energy sources. The nearing climate catastrophe should be our number one concern and ZERO public money should go to fossil fuel polluters.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Wayne Harpur	2483	Digging up the country with no intention of remedial work when finished there dirty work is beyond comprehension. Not to mention the complete denial that the traditional owners even have a say or even exist is sadly also incomprehensible. Feeling sad. Still living through a Phillip K Dick Novak. Wayne Harpur	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Wayne Levi	2780	This is not his money, stop drunken spending to buy votes, always scomo Scotty marketing. He is not leading the country to a great place. pooh!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Wayne Newton	2132	The planet is burning up! We already produce enough gas for a transfer to renewable energy. This government must stop supporting the fossil fuel industry. People working in the fossil fuel industry can be retrained for renewable energy. Stop polluting our planet and leave something for our children and grandchildren!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Pauleen Rita Morrison	2107	Because it is the wrong thing for the planet as a whole. We have to take a stand for future generations.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
wendy bishop	2066	Australians are smarter than this.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Wendy Davidson	2283	I oppose the Hunter Power Project as it is an abomination. The only way we can ensure a planet that has bearable living conditions for those living on this rapidly degrading planet, the world must do everything possible to stop (not just reduce) unnecessary burning of fossil fuels. The commonwealth government has continually said they are listening to the scientists when dealing with the Covid emergency, so why are they not listening to the scientists for the climate emergency??	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Wendy Ward	2298	It's a waste of money. It will only employ a handful of people. The gas pipeline is not connected and will make the plant use diesel in the first years. It will only operate a few days a year.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Yvonne Hartman	2480	We do not need another gas plant. Gas plants contribute to global warming, which is perilously close to a tipping point. Its a waste of money that should be spent on renewables. The jobs the gas plant would provide can be taken up in the renewables sector. It seems that the government wants to do this simply to line the pockets of their mates, whose pockets are already very well lined.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Yvonne Simonetti	2259	I agree with the statements provided. Concerned about the federal government investment in polluting fossil fuels. The lack of public consultation. The small number of new jobs provided (10) The large amount of public money being used to fund the project (\$600 million).	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Zoe Legge	2447	The government needs to be investing in clean energy not polluting fossil fuel. Listen to the scientists gas is bed for the climate.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Zoe Pead	2326	I'm concerned about the health affects, I live within a 5km radius and I have a very young family. I feel the people of Kurri have suffered enough due to the pollution of the aluminium plant, why do we have to now cop this?? Furthermore, how is this progressive to a renewable future! Why not put a solar farm?!?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2280	Should be investing in renewable energy, the hunter valley has already been destroyed by mining so time to green it up.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2280	We should be looking forward to the future for our children and our children's children and investing in clean energy sources. Climate change is real, it is happening RIGHT NOW, and our governments continuous commitment to burying their heads in the sand and refusing to do even the bare minimum to invest in renewable energy is reprehensible.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2280	Not enough ongoing local jobs, and the \$\$ should be spent on developing renewable power sources.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2287	It's not a sensible solution, being too polluting, too expensive and risky to be funded by taxpayers, and too politically motivated	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2303	This was a cheap shot to catch a few votes in the recent bi election. The last thing the Hunter needs is more patronising. We need real jobs growth into the future with new technology for energy production. We have already been left with the scars of coal production on our landscape and pollution in our air. Now we need statesmen and women to replace people who only think in terms of their length of tenure and what is right for their future employment.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2315	Hunter region ideally placed to support new clean energy options. We have resources and manpower and skillbase. We contribute to the nation's wealth.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2315	Federal government is using taxpayer money to build a stranded asset. I am angry that our taxes are used for this corporate welfare.This project would otherwise not proceed as it couldn't be privately funded. Phase out fossil fuels and phase in renewables like green hydrogen.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2317	All of the above. Invest the money in a non fossil fuel energy project instead.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2324	We should be subsidising home battery storage not the gas industry.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2324	1. The environment. 2. Public money going to greedy developers.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2327	There was no consultation from residents. The impact this will have on the climate is beyond a joke and tells the world Australia has zero commitment to reducing greenhouse gas. While there is a push that this will create jobs it is only for the construction period. The plant will only put back ten jobs long term.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2337	Climate emergency	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2009	A stupid decision on all fronts!!!!!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2011	Why would the government support an industry that is obsolete instead of helping the transition to renewable energy, which is inevitable and also is desperately needed by all of us here on planet earth?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2449	Focus and public money should be on renewable energy not gas	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2515	Because I understand the consequences of releasing more carbon into the atmosphere especially when there are alternative energy sources available.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2518	It's an outdated method we must move forward with renewables to help with climate change.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2770		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2067	Not environmentally friendly	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.		The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2800	The continued mantra from the State and Federal governments about gas being 'the future' is undermined by the overwhelming scientific fact that that CO2 comes from burning fossil fuels: coal, oil and gas. Furthermore the evidence also shows that increasing CO2 is warming the planet, resulting in the disappearance of flora, fauna, and sealife, and threatening viable agricultural production, of fish, crops and meat. No grass? No grub!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.			I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2325	\$600 million for 10 jobs and destroying and polluting the area is ridiculous. Another stupid idea by the Corruption king Scott Morrison.		There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2016	I think it's unbelievably poor policy to invest in any sort of fossil fuel generation today, when the evidence is solid (both economic and environmental) that renewables are the better option.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2119	The government is not looking to the future but pandering to the fossil fuel lobby in trying to sell this gas mine as a clean alternative. Industry groups and the financial sector have voiced concerns at the waste of money in investing in the old approaches to our energy requirements. The government is not showing any leadership in this area and does not have a viable plan to bring the country out of dependence on fossil fuels and into a renewable future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2705		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2290		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2454	I don't see it as a responsible pathway for our future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2021	This looks like an election rort to me - anyway fossil fuels are on the way OUT	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2350	We need to go down the renewable path without having this gas catastrophe in the middle as a stop gap. I fully believe it is not a necessary step and feel it is another caving in to lobby groups.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2576	it appears that it'll likely never be used. gas is still a fossil fuel. there are cheaper options for grid backup. even if it were needed it wouldn't add much to generation capacity	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	

Anonymous	2480	It shows a lack of vision in light of the current climate change debate !	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2539	We need renewables.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2620	I don't want public money invested in an asset which will soon become stranded by fast developing technology. Our knuckle dragging Neanderthal politicians need to wake up to the world around them.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2283	We need to catch up with the test of the world and plan for a future with green energy	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2021	How many palms does this government have to grease to stay in power?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2041	It's public money and it doesn't pass the pub test.. More concerning is the that politicians responsible will be long gone from office when the asset right off is accounted for.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2485	because it interferes with the water table abd is bad for the environment	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2770	Relocating to The area I don't want to live near pollution when there is sustainable options	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2090	Gas is not the way forward, even when it comes out of politicians mouthes. The last thing the Hunter needs!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2031	Why is the government investment in polluting fossil fuels? !!!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2300	Because Capitalism is the scourge of the earth and the planet is dying	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2089	It's a terrible idea, should be a solar farm or a big battery or a hydrogen plant	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2250	I feel so much concern for the state of the climate with changes to our weather and the loss of trees plus the disappearance of many of our precious wild life.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2300	It does not stack up as an investment, given the availability of alternative energy sources such as renewables. Credible commentators including the International Energy organisation, usually conservative ,has criticised the project as totally unnecessary in current situation of viable alternatives to provide energy. From the taxpayers viewpoint it will be a stranded asset in a very few years as alternatives are and will be much cheaper. There is pumped hydro and other gas capacity in NSW not being utilised fully. Please don't be influenced by the notion that if the Federal Government wants to spend money in NSW on gas you would not oppose it. Private industry was not prepared to invest in a gas plant. Banks are not prepared to finance it which is a good indication that it will be a useless investment. 10 additional jobs in the area is not enough to justify this plant. It is not in the interests of NSW Federal taxpayers to have their tax spent on an absurd investment when there are so many opportunities to spend money on worthwhile activities. The only reason the federal government is doing this is to gain or keep the votes of those who see a gas plant as somehow attractive as it diverts money away from fuels that are not fossil fuels. Those people are unfortunate as they refuse to adjust to the reality of the modern world.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2323	Concerned about investment into fossil fuels which is at odds with other countries stance on climate change. As well as the environmental impact on the local area.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2088	I do not accept polluting fossil fuels where there is Any alternative.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.		The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2285	Renewables are the future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2482	WE should not be investing in fossil fuels. Global warming has to be addressed NOW!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2290	Concern for our environment, climate action is paramount for my children and grandchildren	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2290	It makes no sense, economic or environmental . There are sound reasons why the private sector isn't interested. It's just a really dumb idea. There is plenty of power for the Tomago smelter. The owners just don't want to pay for it when electricity becomes periodically expensive. Sco Mo and his Ministers are misleading the public.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2526	It is so obviously the wrong thing to do. I'm ashamed of our government and the blatant corruption driving their climate change denial.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2250	It's a waste of taxpayer funds to build a gas plant that will function only a small amount of the time, employ very few people and is still old polluting technology. Investment in the future not the past is what's required here - we will be left behind by the rest of the world and will squander our potential to be a front runner in the renewables market.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	

Anonymous	2454	The last thing we need is more pollution. We need to invest in renewables. I oppose to tax payers' money to go towards wrecking our environment.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2096	I am concerned about the government investing 'our' money in fossil fuels, when most other countries in the world are investing their money in renewables. The government keep on talking about the jobs its creating, but in reality the number of jobs in the fossil fuel industry isn't that great.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2290	The South Australian Tesla battery has been reported to have cost \$90 million and provide 150 megawatts of dispatchable power. The Energy Security Board predicts a shortfall in NSW energy needs of about this same about following the closure of Liddell Power Station. Surely a \$600 million gas fire power plant that operates at a predicted 2% capacity is a monumental waste of tax payers money. Multiple Tesla battery systems (or equivalent) could be installed at a fraction of the price without the climate change consequences. Surely this project is also contrary to the recent Federal Court judgement whereby the Minister is required to consider the impacts on young people. The lack of jobs provided by the facility is also a contributing factor as to why this is a monumental waste of tax payers money. This is from a government that has historically suggested that the market should decide....ridiculous!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2259	Don't agree in investing in polluting fossil fuels or the large amount of \$600 mil	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2320	The International Energy Agency has said that every country must switch to renewable energy to give us any chance of avoiding more catastrophic effects of climate change. As the rest of the world moves away from gas and fossil fuels the Morrison Government wants to lock the Hunter Valley into the past. It's outdated and non-progressive. It would happily leave the Hunter high and dry with outdated, polluting energy instead of investing in clean renewables that could shore up the local economy for generations by creating future-proof jobs.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2000	I can see no justification for this mine. Everything I have read confirms my belief.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2084	I am opposed because gas is not needed as a transition fuel. This govt lies about the need for more gas fired power and the need for gas jobs. Many more jobs would be created by funding renewable energy projects. e.g. \$600m could put a lot of solar on schools, creating 100s of jobs. The AEMO said more gas fired power was not needed. Funding gas projects is a waste of public funds as they will soon be stranded assets. This govt's plan is a gas industry bail out for an already floundering industry which should be ending anyway. It goes against their supposed free market values. And above all else...is totally at odds with keeping global warming under 1.5-2C. Funding gas industry infrastructure is a death warrant for the GBR!! Sadly Labor also supports the plan for spending on gas fired power. The plan is built on lies...we don't need more gas fired power and it would create very few jobs.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2749	CONSIDERATIONS Environmental Damage. YES Traditional Owners ignored. YES Outrageous amount of Money YES Devaluation of Agricultural Land. YES Public Consultation. NO Health of Communities. NO Food Security. NO	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2538	We are going backwards - we used to be the smart country but we are investing in old technology while our earth is being destroyed	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		

Anonymous	2297	It goes against all scientific evidence which opposes gas and coal pollution. It is extremely expensive and was proposed after the budget. Apparently it will deliver only a handful of jobs for local people which does nothing beneficial for the area.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2130	I want to see Australia have a future and no reason for there to be \$600 million spent on renewable energy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2290	Lack of public notification, a ridiculous \$600 million on fossil fuels and no care for our current climate issues. Its scientifically proven we are behind the rest of the world and now Australia a country I use to feel safe and proud to live in is being destroyed by our government. EXTREME Health concerns for my home town	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2780	There are cleaner healthier ways both for the environment and all who live on this planet to create energy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2229	\$600m could be better spent in other areas such as new quarantine stations or batteries to store unused daytime energy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2031	We do not need any more pollution. We should be finding ways of cleaning up the ecosystem not ruining it	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2530	The gas plant shows lack of vision by investing in old technology that continues to pollute the planet. Australia is in a prime position to be a leader in renewable energy but instead large amounts of taxpayer's money is being used to fund projects that intensify global warming. When the states are doing their best to reduce carbon emissions, the actions of the Federal government counteract their efforts. The gas plant should be stopped.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2093	An outrageous step backwards. This government should be held accountable for what they are doing to our children and grand children by ignoring climate change science for pure reasons of greed.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2478	I've heard that this plant would only be used once or twice a year. \$600m for that, is a complete waste of public money. It's also a backward step in what NSW needs for power. Renewables and batteries are the way of the future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2850	Friends family and fri3nds live there	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2850	Fri3nds family home and i just dislike this idea in general ot wont be the best envoronment for people	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2300	Concerned about continued reliance on fossil fuels. Concerned about shortsighted economic considerations when investment and jobs could come from turning towards renewables and their production.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2218	The NSW Government has no right whatsoever to financially support polluting fossil fuel projects of any kind. This support is being provided to prop up a dying industry, with this particular highly toxic project only on track to create 10 new jobs. NSW must move away from fossil fuels and it must do so now to ensure our planet and our children have a sustainable future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2025	Pollution, expense, uneconomical.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2324	Not economical as stated by qualified economists.The money would be better spent in this locality removing the traffic gridlock at Hexham bridge.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2064	Why invest in fossil fuel and not renewables	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.		The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2010		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2298	We need to be moving away from fossil fuels if we are ever going to advance as a society, and holding on to failing industries from yesteryear is how you stunt growth. It's too much money for not enough return, \$600 million for only 10 jobs is ridiculous, especially when all it's going to achieve is adding to the already horrendous job we've done dealing with the climate crisis	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2454	The economics & science doesn't stack up to a viable energy solution especially in the context of Global Climate Change mitigation!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2025	I don't like the government spending public money on things that the majority of the public don't support and don't want.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2210	I consider a gross waste of public money. I am astonished at the arrogance of the Federal Government investing in fossil fuels when the scientific evidence is so clear that we must cease the use of fossil Fuels as soon as possible. It is overdue that our Federal and State governments invest in renewable energy and support all initiatives to reduce our emissions. We need to be smart and create jobs in the new industries of the future not bury our heads and allow big business(who pay minimal tax) to control where our taxpayer money goes.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2284	Experts say it's not needed, politicians want it - if only there was a Federal ICAC.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2104	I am opposed to future investment in fossil fuels and the mistruths spoken and lack of transparency shown by this Federal Government.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2750	The Hunter region is a wine growing area. Why is the Govt. paying millions to build a gas plant when we should be investing in solar and wind power?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	

Anonymous	2535	As I know and trust the science and keep up with news reports consequently I am appalled by the governments continuing support of fossil fuels. I think of my children and grandchildren and all the children of the world and wish that all governments would stop for five minutes to reflect on what you are doing to our precious earth. No matter what your religion or faith you need to think deeper and more long term, not just for your particular beliefs and sect. Learn from the indigenous of the world, they all protected their countries until colonisation.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2115	Climate change	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2227	* The lack of commitment by the Federal Government to renewable energy and their stubbornness to do anything positive for our climate. * Their love of fossil fuels despite what the rest of the world and the people of Australia want. * The fact that they seem to think that Public Money is their money to do with what they want without any positive consultation with the people of this 'supposed' democracy. * Their disdain for public opinion.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2026	The government needs to stop investing large amounts of money into a power project that is unsustainable for future generations. Endangering the environment and not even at the benefit of local jobs is wrong.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2090	It is not needed and is a waste of public money	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2880	The Hunter is a beautiful area, we should be looking at solar or wind power which is sustainable	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2539	The government decision to prop up gas industry with public money to please the industry will come at the enormous cost to our environment and, most importantly, the future generations who are affected by the consequences when those who make these decisions and profit from them are long dead. That is totally irresponsible and against the positions they are trusted with.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2068	It could delay the march of renewable energy to provide the energy that we need. This sort of action dislocates the energy market.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2428	For the future of the planet. For the future of the coming generations.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2538	because I care and fear for our our planet.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2213	We should be investing in renewable energy, not fossil fuels, and I object to taxpayers' money (which includes mine) being spent on outdated, polluting fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2304	The cost for public infrastructure that is not required and pollutes our local environment. The investment should be into creating a new renewable industry in the Hunter that will around for generations. Not outdated before it's even built. It's basically like pouring money into a road that goes no where.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2780	Environmental repercussion for the future of the planet due to unsustainable energy.. nah, not into that	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2478	The massive amount of money for 10 jobs and Pollution	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2428	federal government investment in polluting fossil fuels, the lack of public consultation, the small number of new jobs provided (10), or the large amount of public money being used to fund the project (\$600 million).	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2320	Public money for old technology equals corruption!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2291	No energy expert thinks building this plant is required or a good idea. The land it's being built on was bought by the corrupt ex mayor. We need to invest in renewables not gas.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2300	\$600 million being spent on old non renewable fuel...	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2289	I'm concerned there hasn't been a detailed business case and the spending might not be justified. What are the other options (renewables) and what are the overall impacts (including costs and other impacts).	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.			
Anonymous	2303	The NSW government should not use public money to fund investment in polluting fossil fuels. \$600 million invested in renewable energy would be a much better choice, locally, nationally and globally.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2099	All of these reasons	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2062	I want the Australian government to invest in alternatives to fossil fuels, there's been no public consultation about this gas plant in Kurri Kurri, the small number of new jobs doesn't justify this gas plant, and the money being used to fund the project could be better spent on alternative energy proposals.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2322	This "investment" should be better directed at smarter and alternate energy and emission reducing projects noting how the government is relying on technology to solve the emissions problems. We need leadership and support to foster these future technology industries in order to provide future employment opportunities for those in the Hunter and other coal producing regions. Waiting on "others" to solve the problems will not be beneficial to Australia - we have the skills in Australia and should be using them to help identify, develop and market those solutions.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	

Anonymous	2576	Time to put money into renewables jobs, not into the pockets of Liberal party donor.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2285	All of the above!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2745	I haven't heard the alternative considered (of \$600 million worth of batteries) using alternate sources (solar/wind)	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2257	Lack of public consultation / polluting fossil fuels / waste of taxpayers money	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2780	Just ten full-time jobs for \$600M? Are these people smoking crack?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2221	Environmental	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2035	We need to quickly wean ourselves off fossil fuels for energy production to limit already serious levels of global temperature rise. There is no place for new fossil fuel energy plants or related mines.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2304	I think it is incredibly short sighted for the government to be investing in fossil fuels, when even their own advisors have stated that there is little benefit from another gas plant.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2282	The present Federal Government have failed utterly to grasp the problem of fossil fuels being major culprits in causing drastic changes to our environment. Why? Blind Freddy can see it is about political donations from vested interests and nap all to do with serving the electorate	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2086	Waste of money and ignoring the evidence about climate change. AEMO says we don't need it.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2780	we need to invest in future technologies that will produce power besides solar, wind, and hydro technologies and improve battery storage technologies.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2294	I question the investment of money in a fossil fuel power plant, and spending \$600000000 but only providing 10 jobs doesn't seem like a wise investment.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	

Anonymous	2318	<p>There are a number of reasons I oppose the gas plant in Kurri Kurri. The first being the ongoing damage it's doing to our environment using fossil fuels. I don't understand why politician's keep pushing this agenda when clearly Australian's have and want to move away from this. What are our children & grandchildren going to inherit from our polluting generation? Secondly and more personally for me, I grew up in the shadow of four Power Stations on Lake Macquarie, one being Wangi Power Station which I could see from my back yard. My whole adult life has been dogged by autoimmune disease and I believe the pollution from these Power Stations were an environmental factor in this. Thirdly, there is another Gas/Diesel Power Station mooted for Tomago (application number SSI-9837). It's being touted as a 250 megawatt gas-fired power station and ancillary infrastructure. They don't mention it will also be run using diesel (you have to go online and look at the "small print" to see that). Then there's the cost of the Kurri Kurri project not to mention the small amount of jobs it will create in the longterm.. It just doesn't make sense to me.</p>	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2031	<p>I strongly oppose the gas plant in Kurri Kurri. Firstly, it is simply not needed - there is not the shortage of MW that the Coalition suggests there will be as coal plants close. As well, large scale battery back-up for renewables is streets ahead of gas, on both cost and efficiency grounds, and is a much more worthy investment as more renewables come online. Perhaps the Federal Coalition should look at what some of the first-rate brains in State Governments are doing e.g. the remarkable success of renewables plus battery storage in SA. Secondly, I strongly object to taxpayers' money being used for this private enterprise - no private-enterprise business thinks it's a worthy, sustainable or financially sensible investment. Furthermore, we haven't been provided with a business case by the Coalition Government; they have no right to be so reckless with our money. Thirdly, the long-term jobs provided work out at about \$60 million / job - this is utterly ridiculous and shows complete lack of respect for taxpayers' money. There are many more jobs to be had in the "renewables" industries and we should be actively pursuing these, the jobs of the present and future. Fourthly, we are already paying dearly for the lack of scientific understanding amongst our Federal politicians - could someone please explain to them what a "fossil fuel" is and that gas is, actually, a "fossil fuel" that the rest of the world is busily phasing out as fast as they can. Even the notoriously conservative International Energy Agency strongly recommended that there should be no new coal or gas infrastructure. I am a scientist and can read and interpret numbers and data. I am utterly sick of being lied to by this Federal Coalition government on energy issues. I am appalled by their lack of ethics and their failure to provide people with factual information. Their main belief seems to be that if you repeat a lie often enough it somehow, magically, becomes true. I guess it works for advertising, but there is no way that we can "advertise" our way out of climate change impacts. The Federal Coalition has done absolutely nothing to help Hunter Valley and other workers understand that coal is on the way out, and very rapidly so. Germany had a 10 year plan to assist miners in its coal areas to exit coal. Where is the forward thinking from our Federal politicians? Then again, Germany did have a physicist (Angela Merkel) in charge so was fortunate to have someone with brains and scientific rigour, not merely debating experience and marketing slogans as their tools of trade. So sad for Australia.</p>	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2046	<p>Private enterprise won't do it but we're going to spend taxpayers' cash funding another nail in the coffin of this planet!</p>	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2218	<p>I think the investment would be better directed to battery, hydro storage, or even hydrogen generation - we need to invest in technology and infrastructure that supports our future.</p>	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				

Anonymous	2640	Because it will destroy the environment and wildlife	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2047	Given that private investment is shying away from petroleum based power stations, the Commonwealth investment of substantial Public monies in this is ludicrous. A skeptic might wonder what motivates this investment!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2830	We shouldn't be spending public money propping up an industry that is contributing to climate change. This money would be better spent investing in carbon neutral energy production like solar panels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2138	Fossil fuels will speed up Climate Change	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2621	Because we don't need more fossil fuels. We can do it with renewable energy and battery. We don't want the pollution or the aquifer destroyed.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2067	I'm concerned about the environmental impacts. And I reject the idea of public money being spent on a project that is detrimental to public interests.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2358	I am horrified by the government starting up a new fossil fuel plant which is going to generate pollution when we need to drastically reduce our emissions. And what's worse, it's only going to create a small number of jobs. It would be much better to invest in environmentally friendly power generating systems and and battery storage. We need to stop the government squandering our money and endangering the future of our planet.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2043	Climate change	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2450	I object strongly to public money being directed to an outdated and polluting industry. Renewable energy sources and technology should be supported and encouraged. An exciting new era of clean green technology will support jobs and training in the sector and contribute to cleaning up our section of the planet, leaving a legacy of positive change rather than adherence to the pollution of the "industrial revolution".	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2323	It's 2021. Gas is dead. We have modern technology - but it's held back by old heads...	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2454	We do not want our mobey going into fossil fuel orohect	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2283	Australia is lagging when it comes to moving from fossil fuels to renewables and the lack of public consultation and few jobs ultimately supplied makes one wonder if its more to do with Corporate donations than the public's wishes.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2006	Simple - there are cheaper cleaner energy options that will employ more people for longer term. In addition to climate impacts, gas extraction may pollute ground water and cause ground subsidence.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2213	I'm against future investment in fossil fuels as I care about the environment	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2264	I am concerned with the continuation of fossil fuel use when there is now a clear alternative - the use of Solar and wind and battery storage. I am also concerned at the continual political pork barreling that occurs and in this case the state by-election. This type of behavior needs to be stopped. We all pay taxes and this in my mind is a total misuse of mine and many Australians taxes paid toward keeping a political party afloat - wake up Australia - this has gone on for too long - where is the Federal ICAC we have been calling for to restrict this outdated and irresponsible behavior. It might be within the rules but needs to be stopped.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2444	This proposal continues our reliance on fossil fuels. That money would be better put to research and development of non polluting modern technologies.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2024	Politics, not considered science, drives this proposal. Has anyone asked who owns the land to be procured for the project? (Developer, presently covering NE with bungalows.) Nor the effect of a probably forthcoming election? The bland cynicism of this government is beyond belief. I was born in the Hunter, and value its original rural qualities. Recall a local vet, saying, "It's going to be a bloody slag heap!"	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2041	Cynical politics, not considered science, drives this proposal. (It might be worth asking who owns the land on which it is proposed to develop this.) I was born in the Upper Hunter, recall a local vet saying in despair, "It'll be a bloody slag heap!", and consider the rural values that made the place important.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2287	The gas will be supplied via fracking coal seams. There are very low environmental considerations when it comes to fracking, its all about making money for short term gain, long term loss.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2022		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2515	This a large amount of public money going to building an old world resource. It doesn't make economic or environmental sense.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	

Anonymous	2089	I object because: 1. I believe not one more cent of public money should be spent by any Australian state or federal governments to support the so called gas led recovery - "gas is expensive (Energy Security Board chair Kerry Schott)", "and all energy experts agree that peaking gas makes little commercial sense given the alternatives in the market, including battery storage – which would operate and deliver a variety of services all year round rather than just a few days" (refer Renew Energy). 2. There is insufficient gas available to support this gas plant in Kurri Kurri so they will be using diesel for up to 6 months to start - the most expensive energy available (Refer Renew Energy). This is shameful and must be publicised much wider within the community. 3. This is a blatant waste of public money. This infrastructure is not required (refer AEMO /Australia Institute / Grattan Institute reports etc.). It will only run for about 150 hours each year (refer Renew Energy). It is throwing public money down the drain. GetUp needs to combine with Australia Institute, Grattan Institute, CEC, Scientific community etc. etc. and other reputable fact based organisations to gather the data and create a lies vs fact based campaign to publicise this gross waste of taxpayer monies by incompetent and dishonest politicians. 4. Angus Taylor is not a man to be trusted. He has been fact checked many times and has been found guilty of misinforming, obfuscating, and lying by omission and/or commission to the Australian people. I've had enough of Angus Taylor and question why a person so lacking in integrity can be a Minister in the Federal Government - let alone in charge of the energy portfolio.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2287	Expensive, toxic to the environment	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2204	Investing in fossil fuels when we should be reducing our carbon footprint	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2646	Absolute waste of money that could be better spent on battery storage for renewable energy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2580	I disagree wth federal government investment in polluting fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2042		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2786	Because it is a waste of resources into a dying technology. Renewable are not only environmentally beneficial, but economical beneficial due to not needing fuel that costs money.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2156	I strongly believe we should be concentrating on renewables!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2323	I'm concerned about the environmental impact of using fossil fuels. It's time the government turned to renewable resources.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2088	The proposed gas plant flies in the face of scientific research and forecasts. It is an outmoded and dangerous means of providing energy and has been totally rejected by more advanced and sophisticated societies than ours. I resent the amount of money being taken from the public purse to finance a foolish idea of progress. I would be happy for that amount of money to be allocated to further research and development of alternative energy sources. Personally I resent the effect this decision has on the world view of Australia. We must be able to do better than this.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2283	While countries around the world are turning to renewable energy sources our Federal government is going to spend \$600 million dollars of taxpayer money to build the Kurri Kurri gas plant in the Hunter region. This is insane. Our government should be spending taxpayer money on renewable energy not fossil fuels. The Hunter should be known for its equine and wine industries and not for the polluting coal and gas industries. We should be building wind and solar farms. These would provide hundreds of jobs and help cut our greenhouse gases. For 4 years I used to travel from Lake Macquarie to Muswellbrook to mind my grandson. This trip took me past the dust polluting open cut coal mines, especially those in the Singleton area and past the Liddell and Bayswater power stations. These have to be among the most unsightly areas in Australia. The sooner we get rid of these polluting monstrosities the better for our environment and for our tourist industry. There is already plenty of Gas being produced in Australia. Rather than selling gas overseas, the already plentiful gas industry should be supplying Australia. The fossil fuel industries already get 12 billion dollars each year in subsidies from the Government. The Government should not pour more money into a fossil fuelled industry.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2776	I am concerned about the federal government investment in polluting fossil fuels, the lack of public consultation, the small number of new jobs provided (10), or the large amount of public money being used to fund the project (\$600 million)	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2067	It is unnecessary and will only hinder the uptake of renewable energy in NSW, it also does nothing to help move the Hunter Region away from coal mining and finally it would seem to be spending money in a way that benefits only liberal party donators.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2571	Not their domain - state issue Wrong direction and stupid plan	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2011	We should be using batteries instead. We do not need anything like that amount of gas-fired electricity as a stand-by (according to AEMO). For other gas-uses we should be using the *** gas we are currently exporting (as the WA Government) to keep the prices down. This is an ad-hoc arse-about undesirable piece of the jigsaw required to meet and better the goals of the Paris agreement. It's an even worse government-required action policy failure than the COVID-vaccination roll-out - and that's saying something! Why are we the tax-payers paying for it? So-called free-enterprise won't pay !	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2016	All the above reasons.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2217	We need green energy, not more pollution. And there won't be many jobs created.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2304	Fossil fuels have got to go	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2031	Having lived in the country I know what pre election promises can do to a community. Make in haste repent in leisure, not good enough.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2017	Public money invested in polluting fossil fuels without forthought for future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2293	Because we will have more fossil fuels in the atmosphere and we could all die from it	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2319	pork barreling on a massive scale!!!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2340	Govt investment of public funds in fossil fuel	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2099	The Federal Government continues to invest in polluting fossil fuels. We need to stop this stupidity	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2320	We should be investing in green energy, not fossil fuels. People are sick of governments buying votes. There is no long term strategic plan for this country to assist the world in preventing global warming. This plant will provide jobs for 10 people, but the impact on this planet will be long lasting.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2540	Following the recently released International Energy Agency report that clearly advocates no further fossil fuel energy plants should be built anywhere in the world, it beggars belief that our Federal Government would waste \$600 million of taxpayers money (our money), at the sometime it claims that programs like the NDIS are unsustainable. Their decisions about how they spend our money are unconscionable.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2576	Public money shouldn't be used. If it's not attractive enough for investors why should taxpayers build an expensive stranded asset. The power would be expensive with negligible employment.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2326	If the government had consulted the community about this at all then they would already know how we feel. Using gas seems like a big, expensive step in the wrong direction.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2044	Gas isn't the way forward or even a step in the right direction. It would be far better to use that money for renewables, creates more jobs and builds for the future not lining the pockets of gas giants who pay no royalties about \$1 billion compared to \$16 billion to Qatar on roughly the same. And on top of that no corporate tax, it's disgraceful.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2315	This gas plant will force increases in energy prices. The Hunter Valley is polluted enough without a dangerous gas fired power plant being built where there are many communities. Wind and solar farms instead would create more than 10 jobs and reduce our greenhouse emissions. We Australian taxpayers will be exposed to a large debt because of this 'white elephant'. Keeping this gas plant fed with gas will result in more fracking of agricultural land and on Aboriginal land.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2305	We need to STOP mining fossil fuels now if we are to avert the calamities that a heating planet produces. NOW, not in 10 or 20 years. Even the fossils of the fossil fuel industries have grandchildren. Are they so greedy that they don't care?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2327	1. Our town needs real opportunities for employment that will last - not 10 here, and some short term construction. What industry or services could be set up here for \$600 million that could keep local kids employed for the next 100 years? 2. I'd also like the planet to be in a better state for those kids 100 years from now! When will politicians stop thinking in election cycles and start investing in a real sustainable future for this country? Give us sustainable energy, battery solutions and stop investing in fossil fuels. 3. Gas might be 'cleaner' energy, but it's still not clean. I'm concerned about the risk of more pollutants in the air locally and the impact that has on our health. Coal dust levels some days are bad enough, must we add whatever comes out of the gas plant?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2795	I'm opposed to using public money to fund more pollution in the Hunter Valley and especially to putting money into a fossil fuel project rather than supporting renewables technology.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2350	It is not a plan that wisely serves the ongoing energy needs of the human community in ecological context	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2251	I am concerned about the environmental effect and the large amount of money being given when commercial companies can't see that it can make a profit or have the right infrastructure for the gas plant. I am not sure that the local people want or need a gas plant close to them.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2479		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2029	More unnecessary pollution, expensive waste of tax payers money, totally out of synch with the modern world	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2207	Dear Minister Kean, The first priority of a government is the safety of its citizens, and the second, their prosperity. Throwing vast sums of public money at the private, predominantly foreign owned fossil fuel sector which is rapidly heating away the habitability of Earth as a life bearing planet directly runs counter to these obligations. It is entirely reasonable to consider this a direct assault on the right to life of our children. Australians deserve better than a government of the fossils, by the fossils and for the fossils.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2358	All of above but particularly 1st 3rd and 4th. Such a project would be a damaging waste of public money which could MUCH better (in fact usefully) be spent in so many other areas / ways.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2428	i believe fossil fuel is dangerous to our enviroment when their are other means to produce power	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2541	If the business community wasn't prepared to finance it it obviously isn't a viable proposition	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2176	we should not be putting more into fossil fuels, we are the most sun soaked country yet Germany uses more solar than us	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2000	The neither the federal government nor the state government should invest in fossil fuels. Instead they could consider using these funds in a more progressive ways, eg, investing in a nationwide chain of electric car charging stations.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2250	Why are we, the taxpayers, paying \$600 million? If it is such a good idea why are not private companies paying for it?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2088	Gas is fossil fuel and a greenhouse gas. There are so many cheap and easy, clean renewables.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2011	The plant isn't commercially viable. Therefore don't give it public money			The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2193	I object to the gas plant proposal at Kurri Kurri because the world is in a climate crisis, renewables could be used instead and the poor health outcomes for the general public and the utter wasteof public money.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2093	I am concerned that this public money is to be invested in a zombie asset, i.e. the plant is fossil fuel based and will never be required to fully operate, if at all.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2015	Two things: 1. Government shouldn't do things that distort the market; 2. The money would be better spent on sustainable solutions.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2282	Going backwards due to greed and interest groups...the lib's mates in fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2010	This will create 10 new jobs!! Jesus H Christ.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	

Anonymous	2570	I am against Australia using fossil fuels which exacerbate climate change and pollute the atmosphere. We need to be a forward looking country if the next generations are going to have a healthy planet to live in.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2340	Any sensible person would	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2515	I think this idea is a big con. Most people are concerned about the problems we will face due to climate change. Using so much fossil fuels will just increase this problem.This proposed project will cost way too much. Too much of our money. The people were not consulted whether they wanted this gas plant.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2300	All of the above. It is also not addressing the problem of creating jobs from renewable energy... it's outdated and lazy thinking to appease a small proportion of conservative politics	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2422	All of the above reasons	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2223	My hard earned money is being used to destroy our planet.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2320	I think in 2021 investing in these kinds of energy sources is a waste of time and money and is not looking into the future. I think it is especially worrisome for the area due to the pollution already in the air from coal dust etc.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2213	Definitely all of the above!!!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2232	dirty fossil fuels, lack of consultation about huge spend on public money, the landowners allegedly include two named in earlier ICAC hearings, there is already a gas fired power station that runs only for a very small percentage of time. In the end we all pay for it, either thru increased power bills and/or environmental and climate impacts.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2582	I am concerned that the fed govt is not investing in renewable energy especially when I understand there are not a lot of local jobs in the project!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2324	It is a poison potential waiting to happen	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2074	Gas is a fossil fuel. We need to move to renewables. Also, and is strange to say this about an LNP government, there is no demand or need for this. Purely political decisions are not sound evidence based decisions. Again, the LNP government is completely out of step with businesses moving to renewables.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2311	What is so disappointing is we have a government that seems determined not to 'give in' to world and community pressure to get with the real world (as though 'giving in' is weak) and recognise that climate change requires an immediate substantive response. Stubborn refusal to accept reality is not strength! Encouraging the community to focus on immediate hip pocket issues and ignore the future is irresponsible and divisive. The government could as easily take the decision to 'lead' the Australian community to find new innovative responses. We have been able to respond quickly and pretty effectively to covid19, and despite the direct impact on individuals the community has been supportive. Why does the government not have sufficient respect for the community to expect that the community will get behind a responsible and up to date response to climate change including the use of renewable energy technology and abandonment of old technology such as the technology that we will be locked into using at the Kurri Kurri gas plant?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2193	Because we need renewables not gas. I am so tired of all politicians being in the pocket of the oil industry donors.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2096	\$600 million would be better spent on projects that will benefit all Australians in the future, instead of outdated, polluting fossil fuels. All this for 10 jobs?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2444	This is not the way to "future proof" our country and planet. The Federal Government should pay attention to the facts.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2570	The gas industry is concealing the level of emissions generated by multi-well CSG projects. For example, the Santos CSG project at Narrabri EIS contained no data from the Santos project, even though Santos had been running a number of test wells for years. Some research from the US indicates that residual emissions of methane from CSG extraction are 2-3 times the rate actually acknowledged by industry and government. The CSIRO, supposedly overseeing this research in Australia, has been a captive of the gas industry and their so-called emissions data is neither independent nor credible. Until we have genuinely independent assessment of emissions from CSG projects, there should be a moratorium on any new gas extraction projects (with or without fracking) in Australia.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2050	This is not a good investment for the people of the Hunter and more generally not a way to reduce our emissions. Invest in technologies that better our livelihoods not ones that make us and our land sicker.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2640	We have stop putting more CO2 into the atmosphere. Now.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2305	worried about the proposed gas storage facility near Tourle St Bridge Mayfield . Dont trust the Govt, esp after their inaction re Incitec Nitrate storage on Kooragang .	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2100	It is plain STUPIDITY. It is counter to all common sense, economic sense and environmental awareness. I am astounded people that who are in positions of power in a democracy who are supposed supposed to be working for the good of others now and looking into the future , are corrupted into making decisions that benefit a very small "few". Is democracy also being choked by the fossil fuel lobby ?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2114	This is not a cost effective project to spend tax dollars on. It is polluting, contributing to carbon emissions when we should be developing and implementing a bold and credible plan to rapidly reduce emissions, and provide a just transition to a carbon neutral economy.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2047	The prime Minister has ignored, or over-ridden, the advice of the relevant advisory bodies, and acknowledged climate experts, in cluding Russ Garnaut.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2321	I am very concerned about the likely environmental and health impacts on the local community, as well as climate change impacts. The IAEA has recently urged all governments to shift away from coal/gas energy technologies, to enable us to meet climate targets. In addition, this is an outrageous expense for a facility that is expected to only be operational for less than 2% of the time. I understand a similar gas fired power plant is in operation south of Newcastle, which is operational less than 1% of the time. Why can't this plant be used for any additional power required, rather than building another plant? Or is this not helpful for a federal government prior to a federal election?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2291	gas is not renewable	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2323	I want us to invest in clean technologies which are the way of the future, not this dirty old technology. I also think the site should be kept as green space.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2011	Using fossil fuels harms the environment - particularly sources of precious water - and public funds are needed for public benefits including community housing, secure food supplies, clean drinking water and breathable air.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2300	I am concerned about my tax dollars being used in a federal government investment in polluting fossil fuels, the lack of public consultation, the small number of new jobs provided.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2641		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2017	\$600 million for just 10 new jobs and public money at that! Absolutely ridiculous and smells of cronyism or pork barelling. Put that money towards affordable public housing, a high speed rail network or power generation technology that will take us beyond the 21st century, not something from the Victorian/ Edwardian era.			The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2584	Waste of taxpayer money that would be better invested in renewables. Adds to pollution Pandering to those with vested interest in gas. Is there undue influence from this sector . Possibility of corruption.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2481	I do not support fossil fuels. The Australian government should be leaders in solar power and clean energy. Coal and gas power plants should be closing not opening and the country should stop delaying the switch to clean energy. I do not support public funding of fossil fuels, nor the lack of public consultation to oppose this project. This project should be cancelled for the people, our children and future generations and protecting country and the environment a priority. The economy can be supported by protecting the environment. We do not have to sell our resources to make money when we can protect them, make money and live in healthy ecosystems for generations rather than short term profit.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2620	I listen to credible people- Ross Garnault, Aust Institute etc. It is a totally inappropriate way of spending public money. I vote for my grandchildren and this in no way helps diminish climate change impacting their future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2620	I listen to credible people- Ross Garnault, Aust Institute etc. It is a totally inappropriate way of spending public money. I vote for my grandchildren and this in no way helps diminish climate change impacting their future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2450	I am concerned about the Federal Governments approach to the gas plant proposed for Kurri Kurri. I feel that it is time to support the community in other ways to future employment and a healthier alternative. I oppose public funds being used to fund the project and the unclear consultation or lack of consultation. I am not convinced that this is a viable long term initiative. I'm tired of the Federal Gov pushing its own agenda to garnish votes prior to the next election - it is a false promise for the people of Kurri Kurria and detrimental to our future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2483	I know from personal experience that renewables are cheaper and better for our planet. All Australians should have access to cheap renewable energy, NOT energy generated by fossil fuels! We need to stop being conned by politicians and their greedy fossil fuel donors and start thinking about what the future holds of our children and their children.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2107	I am concerned about the federal govt's investments in polluting fossil fuels, also their lack of public consultation in light of recent reports that there is no longer any need for further exploitation of gas or coal into the future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2300	I oppose the proposed gas plant for two reasons. Firstly, the owner of the Kurri Kurri site for the proposed gas plant, disgraced former Newcastle mayor Jeff McCloy, should not be permitted to benefit from this project. The details of this cynical liberal party deal should be open to public scrutiny. Second, and more importantly, the use of fossil fuels to generate power needs to stop immediately. My children will live with the climate consequences of this corrupt use of public money.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2284	It is an unnecessary waste of taxpayers money, will be a white elephant/stranded asset & contribute to climate change.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2095		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	

Anonymous	2065	I accept the advice from many that natural gas is an important transitional; energy source for Australia. I deplore the sloppy negotiations and lack of foresight which has seen local gas supplies sold at highest prices (greed) to overseas interests without securing at least 20% of our supplies for local use. The east coast has especially suffered form these serious errors. I fear the health effects of coal in the Bunter valley We do not need new coal or gas fields, and we must oppose fracking completely. Tax payer funds must be directed to renewables and transitioning the work force in mining towns to new employment opportunities. The Hunter could try following the Wolllongong model..... watch Back Roads on AB C for some brilliant ideas for transition to new and innovative employment for miners, and their families.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2023	Polluting fossil fuels	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2090	The Federal Govt investing in fossil fuels is my main concern. The funds would be better spent on exploring ways to provide alternative employment for working people in this region.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2478	We don't need more fossil fuel usage	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2015	It's way past time to get on with a just transition from fossil fuels. Timing is critical - and locking gas in is crazy and an attack on our kids and future generations. I strongly object to funding the stupid expansion of gas including this project. I object to all the lies from the coalition and the gas corporations about their devastating mines and pipelines and the impacts they have on the environment, people, water and food production - and now this and Tallawarra B. ï£¿Ã¼Ã²Â°ï£¿Ã¼Ã²Â´	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2747	anything which adds more carbon to our already polluted planet is anathema to me.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2291	It is not ethical that the government is investing taxpayer dollars in a project which uses a fossil fuel the use of which a majority of Australians are against. One also has to wonder about whether there is a possible conflict of interest between the owner of the Kurri Kurri plant's owner and the CEO of Snowy Hydro.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2233	I am appalled the the federal government is spending taxpayer money investing in fossil fuel project which will not provide many jobs and will contribute to global warming.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2097	Money could be better used for investment in renewable energies	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2640	When so much of the globe is crying out for action to decrease the use of fossil fuels and rid us of them this government frustratingly pushes this gas fired recovery notion. Since Tony Abbott came to power over ten years ago this country has stagnated when it comes to energy policies and the prospect of climate change. The 600m would be far better spent developing our capacity to use renewable energy. If this government is not blatantly or willingly ignorant then they may be culpable of supporting fossil fuel interests for personal or political gain.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		

Anonymous	2046	I am concerned that the Federal Govt is still investing large amountsd of money in fossil fuels. Climate change has to be taken more seriously by this Govt.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2539	The Federal Government's investment in polluting fossil fuels is against all the best advice. What a colossal waste of public money!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2076	I oppose the use of fossil fuels for generating power.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2016	Public money should only be used for public good, not private gain. The proposed gas plant is contrary to the interests of both current and future generations of Australians. I was so happy when I heard the aim of NSW becoming a renewables superpower - as the largest contributor to Australia's economy we could lead the whole nation to a healthier, sustainable future. So disappointing that the federal government allow themselves to be billionaires' pawns.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2122	Development of new energy projects should be 100% focused on green energy. Australia abundantly endowed with sun, wind and tidal energy...we are the lucky country so let's take the lead globally on realizing the benefits of these renewable and environmentally friendly energy sources.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2087	All of the above.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2068	Whilst I really enjoy warmth from my gas heater, I recognise it is essential that we phase out these fossil fuelled services in favour of our plentiful natural resources. We CANNOT continue to pollute our air and contribute to warming our environment. We Must be responsible.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2259	Waste of public money for political purposes	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2076	I grew up in the Hunter Valley and this is just another attempt to appease the fossil fuel industry. Ten ongoing jobs is not enough to offset the negative impacts. We need to be embracing renewables now!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2020	We don't any more pollution and we don't need any more additions to the list of things dragging Australia backwards. The Morrison Government must hate Aussies.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2327	I don't stand by polluting the earth more.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2460	Because it is a complete waste of money, and it is a lie to say it is necessary	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2485	There is no future for gas. Because of climate change we need to move away from all fossil fuels. The government needs to LEAD by example and invest in renewables and battery storage technology and technology for integrating renewable energy into a safe and reliable electricity grid. They have to start NOW, which means NO MORE new fossil fuel energy generating plants	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2289	Well, Jeff McCloy, affectionately known in the Hunter as 'the walking ATM', who just happens to be a 'developer' stands to be one of the biggest potential beneficiaries. Liberal government is subsidising the new gas plant through Snowy Hydro, which is fully owned, controlled and financed by the Commonwealth and its joint shareholder ministers are Angus Taylor and Simon Birmingham. It could be argued, no shonky deal is ever complete with Angus Taylor involved.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2326	It seems like a waste of resources for a tiny gain in electrical infrastructure and employment.			The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2073	The Govt should be encouraging battery technology with public money, rather than Carbon polluting gas technology.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.			
Anonymous	2321	I do not wish to have a gas plant in my own backyard; it is nonsensical in this day and age to pour vast amounts of public funds into a project that has no long term benefit, to the local economy (10 new jobs, Ha!) or otherwise. In fact, the environmental damage caused by polluting fossil fuels far outweighs any supposed gains. The money would be better spent in a renewable energy project.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2153	Anything that prolongs our dependence on fossil fuels is wrong and should be opposed at every opportunity.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2106	We need to invest in projects that support our community, stack up economically (note: Global financial institutions will not invest in energy generation using fossil fuels), and protect the environment for future generations. The Hunter Power Project is dumb science and dumb economics. Smarten up.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2122	I am saddened and angry that our government will not listen to scientists but insists on promoting polluting fossil fuels and continues to trample on our precious agricultural lands and those of our First Nations to allow filthy, damaging fracking which will be necessary to obtain the fuel for this gas plant.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2089	It's amazing how this money can be wasted on anything to do with polluting fossil fuels. This is a form of energy that is thankfully rapidly moving out of date and one that we, and the world, will regret having around in the very, very near future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2066	Total waste of money, for very few jobs. Plus is not good for the environment.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2333	Its a waste of public funds in light of the urgent need to move away from fossil fuels of any kind.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2284	We should be investing in renewables. The area in the Hunter Valley is scared enough with mines gouging out the land. the pollution and and quality is already affecting peoples lives.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2283	Seemingly this project is an outdated mode of supplying power and by all accounts it is not cost effective. It is polluting and it puts the whole Piliga region in jeopardy to say nothing of the huge disruption to agriculture inflicted by the pipeline. The gas power station is claimed to be a "back up" however the main user of power in our region is Tomago smelter so why not insist that they have a back up plan rather than make the tax payers fund this. The whole project is flawed from the outset - it is using old technology, pollutes and is commercially not viable. If the private sector cannot see the sense in providing a gas powered station then why is the government proposing this solution - politics???	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2560	\$600m is better spent on the public of Australia than on helping out the private company mates of the lieberals.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2446	The Kurri gas plant is a disgraceful waste of public money. It is a polluting and, now, antiquated technology for the production of electricity. Considering he lack of government investment in renewables and lack of government incentivisation/investment in EV's, the gas plant's approval is indicative of the corruption involved in the original appointment of the gas-led recovery commission and the associated conflict of interest of its members.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2325	Basically its not required, there is already a peaking plant located near by.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2225	It is not needed and only hurts Australia's future. Money should be used for better purposes and not ignore climate science.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2836	I have no confidence that this is the best solution for bridging any supply shortfalls and I am concerned that there is no communication around the regional and national plan for energy provision in any form.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2640	The government's continuing investment in fossil fuels is concerning. This money needs to be used for alternative purposes.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2325	I am concerned for environmental and public health impacts. The environment and public health in the low socioeconomic region of Kurri Kurri is affected enough without a gas plant.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2077	It's not just very bad for the future it is also a very bad investment. This is exactly the sort of project that should not be subsidised.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2015	Public funds are being wasted. Fossil fuels are not appropriate when there are viable green alternatives. What's Morrison's next trick? Taking a can of natural gas into Parliament - or perhaps there's enough there already. We need future facing leadership, not fossil leadership.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2444	It's a white elephant. I cannot see it ever being used especially if NSW Govt puts in more big batteries.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		

Anonymous	2515	We need to modernise our industry and go renewables over gas and coal.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2576	This Government is going the opposite way to what the science is yelling us with this project. Fossil fuels need to be replaced by renewables otherwise we will not have a planet to live on.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2729	Waste of MY money.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2229	A range of Australian and international agencies, scientists and economists have confirmed that a gas power plant is not the only way of achieving firming capacity in our electricity grid. Sustainable, renewable options should be prioritised over fossil fuel sources.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2324	I am old but I want my future grandchildren to experience the beautiful environment that I was privileged to live with	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2454	A waste of taxpayers money, spend money on less polluting options e.g. wind or solar.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2480	I'm personally opposing the gas plant because of all the reasons mentioned in the next question plus I like to point out the looming environmental damage. If the gas plant happens its trajectory would contribute to global warming. There are other more sustainable solutions to create energy, and last but not least we are part of a consumer society that is in dire need to curb using up resources.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2478	This is outdated, heavily polluting, uneconomic backward thinking from a Government intent upon dragging us back to the past due to their ongoing close monetary relationship with fossil fuel burning industries. Carrying on down this road, ignoring all the advice from the experts in the industries themselves, and the warnings from overseas trading partners will condemn this country to financial and social ruin in the near future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2096	The whole planet wants less fossil fuels and more renewables.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2335	Inefficient use of taxpayer funds and inefficient way to generate baseline power (probably not necessary anyway).	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2508	Is there such a thing as a right wing Dinosaur? I think there is!! Why would you give \$600 million to Big Gas? Could it be for donations?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2324	Yes	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2763	1. Concerned about the federal government investment in polluting fossil fuels 2. The lack of public consultation 3. Small number of new jobs provided 4. Large amount of public money being used to fund the project	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2041	I am concerned of a range of issues surrounding this latest announcement; Climate polluting fossil fuels, using public funds that should allocated in creating non polluting technology, the smell of corruption, environment destroying fracking, the lies, lies and more lies from this current government, and much, much more!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2015	Investing in polluting fossil fuels, wasting taxpayers money, and a very small number of new jobs. Money would be better spent on renewables and training for the new jobs created.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2594	This government is still in the '50s.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2261	I am very concerned about the Government spending taxpayer money on outdated energy. It would be more beneficial to spend the funds on setting up a renewable energy industry in the region. This would provide more jobs & a cleaner environment.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2251	This idea is pure nonsense under past, current and future planning for zero net fossil fuel emissions. Is the government so blinkered/blinded by money to the fossil fuel industry? Now is the time to move forward and become more self-reliant, think outside the narrow box and invest in another form of sustainable energy production, that has minimal or NO impact on people and the environment AND WILL provide more than 10 jobs over a longer period of time. Use science to 'our' benefit - and a better country overall.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2117	It is only to be used to cover peak load situations. Batteries would be a better, cheaper, less polluting, long term, alternative. The money is being pinched from the Snowy Hydro 2 project which is about using green energy to store water for future use, not burning more fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2326	I live near the proposed sight with a young family and can't understand why they would build a gas fired power plant that will run on diesel for the first 6 months that will cause health issues.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2480		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.			The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2641	It is an absolute waste of public money to be spending at this time, when money should be invested in Research & development to fast track the road to sustainability. Climate change is real & we in Australia should be at the forefront of solar & wind power. All the decisions by this government are made by egos just wanting to get back into power. This is a very disappointing decision made by the Morrison govt. I am a Former liberal voter, age 72, from a multi generational liberal voting family. Mr Morrison your ideas are outdated & stuck in a time warp.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2320	Lived in what was once the beautiful Hunter Valley for 33 years and am sickened by its decline and the disregard that both federal and state governments have for its environment. Unbelievable that they think we are going to embrace yet another insult.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2287	This is a ridiculous amount of money being spent to fund this project when this project can be solved in multiple other ways. There are more renewable and sustainable alternatives that will help aid the solution in a way better for the environment. Also, this doesn't provide sufficient jobs and Kurri kurri needs more job opportunities.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2400	It is a massive waste of money. This money could be spent encouraging people with solar panels to install batteries which would reduce the need to for this power station. Also where is the gas coming from. It has been reported that the power station will use diesel initially massive waste of fossil fuels	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2207	We should not be making any more investment in fossil fuels, it's stupid given the climate crisis we face.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2280	The risks don't outweigh the benefits ... Simpels					I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2287	The pollution the gas plant will make and its contribution to C02 levels. It's was never consulted with the public and needs to be reconsidered	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2820	Investment in fossil fuels is not going to curtail global warming as well as the use of public money that could be used for projects and communities in far more need than those who will benefit financially from the power station.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2106	We have a renewable energy hub planned for near there and there is no need for this Gas plant. This is a fossil fuel and we are to be moving away from this now, not more money wasted in a resource that is on it's way out. No consultation on this project and ignoring the science that says this is a bad idea.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2285	I reject the notion that Australia requires stepping stone gas to get us to renewables. Just invest in renewables. The cost of this plant is a waste. It is short sighted. It is promoted to be about bringing jobs, and it is unlikely to deliver.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2064		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2204	All of the above.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2203	Unnecessary waste of money. Plus gas is not the future energy source of choice for anyone.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2230	Public funding should only be invested in renewable energy sources.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2113	I want to have a safer Earth filled with renewable energy and clean air	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2484	My children's future is being destroyed by the stupidity of our politicians.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2527		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2064		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2060	Informed opinion suggests it is completely negative as an action and a total waste of tax payer money.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2745	The money would be better spent on research and development of renewable energy options that would replace environmentally damaging fossil fuel projects and put Australia on the front foot as an exporter of renewable technology	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2282	We need to plan for the future. Gas is a polluting fossil fuel and will harm the environment. Put the money into community batteries which will help to stop the spikes in power caused by the wonderful solar panels on so many roofs across the region. This is a gross waste of public money.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2251	All of above. The rest of the world is clearly moving against fossil fuels. No consultation, very few jobs available, huge waste of money. Just ask: why did no private company take up the offer? Because it is not viable. And all this in one of our most productive areas: world class horse studs, vineyards, top tourism area. Put \$600m into wind farming: how many jobs would that create? More than ten, I suspect.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2120	I believe that to save this planet, we must not dig up or harvest any more fossil fuels! I totally object to my hard earned taxes being used in this way.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2430	My grandchildren live in Newcastle. It is a prime location for Solar and renewables and a proper trasion plan and support for locals to get out of the coal jobs. The writing is on the wall for coal long term. Coal and coal trains have polluted Newcastle since forever. Just see what ends up on your clothes hanging out to dry on the line in Broadmeadow! This Kurri Kurri plant will be a stranded asset and just gives money to corrupt people... just look at who owns the land there and who benefits... mmmm! This money could be spent on transition efforts!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2795	I was absolutely appalled when I heard this proposal, this being a purely political move. This is massive expenditure of public money which will bring little benefit and will once again do nothing for climate change.The people of New South Wales should have been consulted and the people of Australia should have the opportunity to protest such a cynical approach to governnment.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2750	Fossil fuels pollution is not good enough for future growth	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2045	The cons outweighs the pros exponentially. Investing in polluting fossil fuels without the benefit of mass employment is useless. Investing in polluting fossil fuels at all is destructive, unthoughtful, selfish and ignorant.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2551	All the reasons listed against the project , plus the fact that it is being proposed by a crooked Prime Minister leading a crooked Coalition which is owned by the coal and gas industry and more crooks such as Clive Palmer.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2040	I oppose the spending of public money on any polluting fossil fuel projects. They should be used to grow the renewable energy sector instead	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2291	I want a future with clean energy	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2450	Outdated source of energy. Get with the times you bloody cowboys	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2323	Large cost and move away from renewables	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2261	I would prefer a cleaner form of energy be used than gas	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2107	We don't need the gas and it is a fossil fuel so will add to climate change	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2299	Beyond the obvious environmental concerns, why is the federal government prepared to waste so much money on this. That money could go to public schools or renewable energy developments creating more jobs than this will.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2046	The fact that this project is not backed by any energy agencies should be a huge red flag..	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2337		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2300	The gas-led recovery is financially and economically irresponsible. Why do the Liberals only believe we shouldn't intervene in the free market when it suits them and their donors?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2800		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.		The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2081		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2000	Tits hard to stay calm when thinking about why this is a bad idea. GET IT TOGETHER. THERE IS A CLIMATE CRISIS. Please, don't do this. It's just really a terrible idea, and I'm just so tired of rich white men with no imagination making bad decisions for me. Imagine a better future. Imagine what could be possible, for the earth and the people, and then invest in that. Radical imaginaries. Radical imaginaries. Radical imaginaries. It's what we need. It's what earth needs. Inspire the people, inspire the world, and stop thinking that fossil fuels are any kind of remotely reasonable response. Because, obviously this is an idea that sucks. It just really really sucks.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2031	The government should be moving away from all forms of fossil fuels and spending this money on renewables, battery and green hydrogen.They do not understand that any form of carbon from buried sources adds carbon to the Earth's atmosphere while burning wood (as in bushfires) simply recycles carbon already in the system. They are either scientifically ignorant or blinded by false ideology.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2007	The fact that the public money is being allocated poorly	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2250	It's a waste of public money when it could be used for bettering the lives of marginalised Australians, eg housing, DV support, projects to curb effects of climate change. Put a ceiling on political party donations to stop this type of "mates" project. What is Angus Taylor (and family) getting out of this gas plant and pipeline?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2037	its the wrong thing for the wrong place !!! The aluminum company just spent millions cleaning up that land and the Govt wants to contaminate it again WTF	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2580	It is such a backward step for our beautiful country & I for one are so sad for the future of the next generation that will be the ones to clean up our mess. Wake up Scott Morrison & Angus Taylor, start to work for the people instead of ignoring us.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2293	The Hunter needs renewables not fossil fuels!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2299	I am disappointed that the government refuses to consider moving toward renewable energy or puts in place retraining for workers who are currently in the fossil fuel industry so that they can transfer to new jobs. It is a joke that so few jobs will be provided by this scheme.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2319	Born & raised nearby. Enough pollution. Don't want it. Sick of the corrupt buying and selling of property by politicians.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2323	There's other places it can be built at	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2326	I oppose the proposed gas plant because of the pollution it will cause, the lack of jobs from a site so big, the effect it will have on property prices, would much rather it be a hospital or something that will employ alot more people	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2328	x	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2334	Fracking will destroy the land	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2035	The people in the upper hunter deserve much better than this sham. They live in a beautiful part of the country (I visit frequently) and they should be armed with tools to protect their area rather than a dangerous and polluting gas plant.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2042	I think we should be spending the money on more relable renewable options which will probably result in more than 10 new jobs.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2065	Why are the Liberals so bound to fossil fuel investment and are so out of step with world opinion? Ideology? Lobbyists? Donors? How about listening to and thinking of the constituents you are supposed to be representing?	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2084	If that money was invested in renewables, the whole country would benefit.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2086		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2093	I am concerned about the environmental destruction, the persistent neglect to find better ways of re-using the natural resources already mined and using tax payers funds to line the pockets of political friends and supporters. Ultimately neglecting the needs of all life, not only on this country, but the whole planet.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2113	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away. There has not been enough public consultation on this project. The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money. The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it. I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2131	I want to see that money invested in the development of alternative, environment friendly, sustainable energy sources. It is outrageous that our taxes are being put to propping up the polluting fossil fuel industries - we need to be investing public money into our future.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2193		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2203	Investing such a vast amount of public money in a fossil fuel project is retrograde step and breathtakingly shortsighted.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2250	Australia is becoming notorious for a complete lack of action on climate change, and in cases like this making actively damaging decisions, that are driven by corruption in the Federal government.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2250	More jobs in renewables so does not stack up	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2470	Ridiculous project on all fronts - there will be no benefit even short-term! Our government is living in the past!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2474	It is disgraceful for our government to even consider the proposal to create more polluting fuels! What planet are they living on? It is time all politicians got some backbone and stopped thinking of their prospects for re-election in the future and joined the rest of the thinking world and did some serious action instead of being idle or procrastinating and start now, not on 10-20 years time, on actively dealing with the reality our planet is facing!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2487	I'm concerned at the large amount of public money being spent that not only does NOT provide jobs in the area, but continues our shameful investment in polluting fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2519	concerned about the federal government investment in polluting fossil fuels, the lack of public consultation, the small number of new jobs provided (10), or the large amount of public money being used to fund the project (\$600 million). Matt Kean says he is for a renewable future now he must show that he is a man of his word.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2528	Makes no sense	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2539	I believe we should not be investing in fossil fuel production but funds should be used to produce environmentally responsible power.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2550		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2627	Doesn't align with the public interest of a cabin free furtur	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2747	Environment is number 1! lack of jobs 2! and 3 the cost put into the project	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2756	All of the above. Scott Morrison needs to be stopped.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2777	All of the above - an obscene ignoring of the true cost of this to the health of the planet, and the need to change our destructive old ways..	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2786		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2304	Gas power is not the right technology to be investing in at this time. Renewables are proven and entirely safer for our community.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.		The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2285	Need to start looking after this planet. The government will probably just sell it anyway.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2289	SEE STATEMENTS BELOW	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2298	It will be a stranded asset	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2452	I believe the sooner we exit fossil fuels the better off long term our country will be....for many reasons.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2540	All of the above but also the complete lack of forethought in planning for a transition for those communities to other future jobs.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2780	Investing in new fossil fuel plants is ignoring all the recommendations of scientists etc who have been warning us for years that we must transition to clean energy to keep global warming manageable. Investing in new fossil fuel mines and plants ignores the fact that the climate has already changed. How stupid, greedy and or willfully blind can the Federal government be?!!!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.

Anonymous	2830	I am concerned about environmental impact that has little value to industry and is an antiquated technology that is condemned worldwide.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2283	I am concerned with the government's investment in polluting fossil fuels.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.			The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2041	The Kurri Kurri Gas Plant makes no economic sense. The money would be far better spent creating many more jobs in renewable energy investment.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.			The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2106	.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.			The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2316	All of the above. Govt refusal to listen to science or public opt	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2322	Pollution	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2066		The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				I am concerned about the public health impacts of gas fired power generation.
Anonymous	2282	The money would better be spent on developing solar power.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2106	Because any investment in fossil fuel based power plants can not be supported by a single scientific shred of evidence it is simply a desperate attempt to buy votes!!!! imagine if this Government spent this kind of money on recycling waste or on a successful vaccine roll out!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2474	Public money needs to go to renewables. Fossil fuels need to be phased out ASAP	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2525	Public money being used in opposition to and in ignorance of a required path of transition to renewable energy schemes	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.	There has not been enough public consultation on this project.	The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2000	It's clearly a stupid investment driven by lobbyists and politicians' self-interest.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	

Anonymous	2121	Continuing in the direction of hydrocarbon based fuel for power generation is irresponsible - to ourselves, the environment, future generations and local inhabitants!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2650	\$600 million is a lot of money to invest when experts say it will only be used 2% of the time and there are an increasing number of new energy markets like battery storage technology and hydrogen fuel generation.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.			The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
Anonymous	2335	Should be supporting renewable energy sources instead of another fossil fuel. Impact of gasline on farmers and private property owners.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2079	There should be no new investment in fossil fuel industry.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2095	Project is not require to support the nem - ask AEMO	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.		
Anonymous	2296	I support a healthy planet	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2320	Opposed to fossil fuels	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2042	How is the government STILL essentially ignoring the rapidly increasing climate crisis, and investing in not only existing fossil fuel production, but creating MORE fossil fuel plants? Disgusting.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2063	We could be spending that same money on developing renewable energy sources and plants, without the cost to the environment. It's a no brainer.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2068	It is outdated thinking to support a power source of this nature. Our government and our politicians need to be leading the way to a more sustainable future. We do not need to prop up outmoded energy sources, let alone build new ones.	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2431	Because our world is dying any one who can't see that has rock's in head	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				
Anonymous	2620	I'm concerned about public investment in fossil fuels. There should be immediate de-investment!	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.				

Anonymous	2032	Wasting money on fossil fuel	The federal government should not be investing in fossil fuels when climate science says we need to break away.		The gas plant's cost of \$600 million is a waste of public money.	The project only creates 10 jobs - this doesn't justify the amount spent on it.	
-----------	------	------------------------------	---	--	---	---	--