25 June 2021

Ferdinando Macri Planning Officer Infrastructure Assessments Department of Planning, Industry & Environment Email: ferdinando.macri@dpie.nsw.gov.au

Dear Ferdinando,

## RE: Response to submissions The Children's Hospital at Westmead Stage 2 Redevelopment State Significant Development Application No. SSD-10434896

This letter is to accompany the revised architectural drawings and design report for the above project application.

Please refer to the below table for BLP response to submission queries.

Comment from Agency / Organisation	BLP response
a) further detail of the proposed solar	The architectural design report has
panel façade and/or roof canopy	been revised to include detail of the
design in accordance with the ESD	PV glass façade.
report.	
b) confirmation of compliance with	The parking space dimensions have
relevant Australian Standards for off-	been developed in accordance with
street parking facilities (parking space	the relevant Australian Standards for
dimensions).	off-street parking facilities and the
,	traffic engineer's recommendations.
c) identify the location of motorcycle	Motorcycle spaces have been
parking spaces.	identified on the architectural plans.
d) the inclusion of public amenities,	Public amenities are not a code
preferably in the vicinity of disabled	requirement and have not been
parking spaces.	requested by the BCA or DDA
	consultant. As the car park is a
	24hour operational facility the
	provision of public amenities within
	the building could pose a security risk
	during quieter hours. Accessible
	parking spaces are positioned close to
	the lift lobby and in turn, the car park
	is sited within close proximity to the
	Galleria and its facilities. Wayfinding
	signage will also be used to direct
	patrons to the Galleria
e) the integration of a retail offering	The building design has been
adjacent to the kidsway and	developed to include an open
playground area.	undercover area and lift lobby,
	situated adjacent the main pedestrian
	pathway and playground. It is
	envisaged this space could provide
	opportunity for pop up style retail such

Level 20/485 La Trobe Street Melbourne VIC 3000 T+61 3 9656 5000

Level 6/72-80 Cooper Street Surry Hills NSW 2010 T+61 2 8096 4066

E info@blp.com.au www.blp.com.au

## P

Principals

Ron Billard Emily Gilfillan Tonya Hinde Matthew Hughes Paul Longridge Ariel Lopez Mark Mitchell Adam Muggleton Raj Senanayake Ken Tsen Tara Veldman Shane Wood

Nominated Architect in NSW Tara Veldman Reg No. 8790

Billard Leece Partnership Pty Ltd ABN 36 069 344 038

	as a mobile coffee carts, enhancing
	the amenity for park and playground.
It is unclear from the architectural	It is our understanding Health
plans which spaces will be allocated	Infrastructure will confirm the location
to staff and which will be allocated to	of the visitor parking spaces at a later
visitors. This is requested to be	date. The traffic engineer has also
marked on further iterations of plans.	provided a response.
The submitted architectural plans	The architectural plans have been
show only four motorcycle spaces	amended to include 26 motorcycle
accommodated in the car park	spaces.
although 21 motorcycle spaces have	
been identified to be required in the	
TA report. The car park design should	
be amended to accommodate 21	
motorcycle parking spaces.	
The dimensions of the parking spaces	AS2890 identifies the acceptable
and aisle width as shown in the	minimum dimension for small car
submitted architectural plans are 2.6m	space of 2.3 x 5.0m. The parking
wide and 5.4m long for normal car	bays in query (aligned with gridline C)
spaces except for the ones along the	have been designed meet the
fencing in Level P1 where they are	minimum dimensions for a small car
2.6m wide and approx. 5.1m long.	space.
Dimensions for small cars are 2.3m	
wide and 5.4m long and accessible	
car spaces are 2.4m wide and 5.4m	
long. The length of the car spaces	
along the fencing in Level P1 will need	
to be lengthened to at least 5.4m to	
comply with Australian Standards.	
Motorcycle parking dimensions are	
1.2m wide and 2.5m long and aisle	
widths are at least 5.8m wide which is	
considered acceptable.	

During the past few months, the site and building design has developed to response to site-specific constraints which impact the project in terms of feasibility and environmental response. The visual manifestation of these changes is mostly limited to an increase in building height and adjustment to Redbank Road alignment. These changes are further identified on page 3 of the architectural design report.

Regards,

ngoi

Albert Gregori Project Architect