

T)Qr)

g Estori

30 October 2017

Department of Planning & Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Nixev,

WHITE BAY 6 MARINE PARK polication No. MP 06_0037

We live in the adjacent block of flats in Grafton Street and write concerning *Modification Request of the Refuelling & Supplyfacility at White Bay*.

When the present facility was proposed we realised that we would experience some inconvenience. and there are indeed noisy episodes when fuel tankers are delivering supplies (particularly annoying when it occurs early morning), when the ship lift is moving about the yard and during de-scaling operations etc.

These are. how-ever, entirely bearable and a small downside to having a busy, and interesting. harbour side facility at our front door.

When the existing installation was proposed we believed that the lease was for a limited period and vy•ould not be extended without community consultation. The amount of work involved in constructing the existing boat yard was such that it seemed unlikely that the lease period would be very limited and, as noted above. we have learned to live with it. Could you advise when the promised consultations occurred.

The modi fications now proposed are never-the-less very worrying.

- 1) Building I modified footprint results in a major intrusion to our view to the south which the approved location avoids.
- 2) The Building 3 and Building 2 extension shown on the Alternative Site Plan (Drg. DA 1003) will have a similar effect.
- 3) The proposal to extend operating hours without quantification of the amount of extension is unacceptable. This boat yard is adjacent to many residences and the propose additional boat storage space will inevitably put pressure on working hours. If there is any sort 'good neighbour' intention on the part of the proponents working hours Inust be strictly limited and policed.

As it stands the application should be refused.

Sincerely.

Wandson

Ell Wandase.

Alan Donaldson.

Margaret Donaldson