

28 February 2013

Major Projects Assessment
Department of Planning and Infrastructure
GPO Box 39
SYDNEY NSW 2001

Re: Preferred Project Report, Application No. MP08_0207 and MP10_0219, Location 1, 1A & 5 Avon Road and 4 & 8 Beechworth Road Pymble, Council Ku-ring-gai

Dear Sir/Madam,

I object to the revised project proposal.

Whilst I do not live in Pymble, I am a resident in the North Shore and know this part of Sydney well.

The Developer has offered the community a proposal to preserve a conservation area at the centre of the site in return for being allowed to proceed with a development proposal that remains grossly over-sized and out of character with the surrounding neighbourhood. It will have an unacceptable impact on local streetscapes. It poses long-term risks to the remnant Blue Gum High Forest ("BGHF"), riparian areas and biodiversity, which it claims to protect. It will destroy a heritage property valued by the community, and detract from other heritage properties in the area. It will contribute to already significant peak hour traffic issues in the area.

The development site is constrained by its topography, the presence of areas of ecological sensitivity (BGHF), the residential and heritage character of the surrounding area, existing peak hour traffic congestion, the proximity to a railway line, to a major school and to a nearby bushfire prone vegetation site (PLC School). This is a site that requires carefully considered low-density development not rampant over-development.

Such a gross disproportion between the current proposal and the single residences in the area will have the effect over time of degrading the amenity and hence the value of the latter; and so high density will spread outwards into the degraded areas. This process will continue until there is only high density in the entire area. This would be an abuse of the planning process because future planning outcomes would be the result of economic factors instead of planning decisions consciously made by a responsible authority.

Please note this is not an argument about the relevance of value in planning decisions. It is an argument that a poor planning decision now will have inevitable degrading effects over time thus foreclosing any meaningful future planning decisions in this area.

It is also clearly inconsistent with the present government's policy to return local planning to local councils that this site is still subject to Ministerial planning control.

The proposed development site is part of a neighbourhood that has natural beauty, character, heritage value and amenity. This proposal despite its revisions will do significant damage to the natural and built environment that several generations of local residents have nurtured and protected.

Yours sincerely



Kenneth Chiu.

51 McLusagh St Gordon.