

>>> Doreen Ingram <wedgerock@aapt.net.au> 12/04/2013 12:50 pm >>>

From wedgerock @aapt.net.au

Date:

To: "wedgerock@aapt.net.au" <wedgerock@aapt.net.au>

Subject: submission to department of planning Mr. Paul Freeman in regard to MP 09-0175

Dear Paul,michael,howard

it was brought to my attention that the Karuh East Quarry's (KEQ) Environmental Assessment Report page 41 paragraph 2.13 claims that a "significant effort was made to secure access over lot 11 to quarry Lots 12 and 13."

Please note that this is news to me and absolutely not true, I was never asked, consulted or approached by KEQ in that respect whatsoever. Please ask KEQ to provide proof of their claim. ANY COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN HQ MANAGMENT AND MYSELF AND ADVISERS HAVE ALWAYS BEEN A THREAT MY WAY OR NOTHING WE WILL CLOSE EXISTING QUARRY DOWN if i do not agree to their demands on their conditions

However, I agree with KEQ' that it makes commercial sense to quarry lots 12 and 13 through my property Lot 11 (should they run out of resources on lot 11), instead of duplicating the existing infrastructure at a very high cost and at the expense of the destruction of larger than necessary parts of the unique environment of lot 12 and 13.including threaten ed species ,of plants ,flora and fauna,birds ,snakes ,migratory species,their is enough minable quality andersite resources within existing stages of [1] and [2] for the next 20 years at one and a half million tons per annum

The existing stage 2 of the present operating quarry on its current development still has an estimated resource of 10 Mill tons. i have a geological report from Larry Cook and Associates PL, dated the 23.3.2010. This report estimates that another 16.7 Mill tons resources are available directly adjacent to the South face of present Quarry stage 2.there is also millions of tons available on stage [1] materiel in pit stage

Please note that I'm prepared and willing to let KEQ quarry this resource at a going commercial rate of royalty per ton.rather than destroy more land and the animal habitat,loss of trees for koalas ,i note current development koala group with letter attachedin existing quarry on exhibition agree to new quarry ,in 2005 existing quarry they were completely against stage 2 and wanted quarry in 14 metre pit, now you look at new quarry they agree to new quarry their have been many donations of some materialsto some of these organizations,none of these people live within 2 kil.ometres of proposed new quarry ,there are letters from organisations from buladealah this is some 50 kilometres away from quarry area they will not be affected in any way ,have these organizations recieved materials in kind from proponent ?,not 1 resident was allowed to be on community consultative committee,check names and addresses,this ccc probably dis banded which left residents no independent group to complain,i asked by email to hq they threatened conflict of interest,yet as a landowner their is only 20.3hectares lease area on my land,,can you dept count or supply ,how many complaints to quarry that are recorded in its compliance reports to dept????since quarry approval ???when these questions are responded to it will be obvious that incomplete reporting to epa,and dipna compliance has been occurring,and now with all these issues plus investigations in the land and environment court for incorrect production records,incorrect AEMR RECORDS,DIPNA CHARGED HUNTER QUARRIES WITH THESE BREACHES OF REPORTING AND CONSENT CONDITIONS,THEY ARE STILL UNDER INVESTIGATION RIGHT NOW BY YOUR LEGAL DEPT REGARDING INCOMPLETE AND POSSIBLE FRAUDULENT PRESENTATIONS TO THAT COURT FOR THOSE

MATTERS ,CHECK LEGAL DEPT JENNIFER MANAGER OF LEGAL DEPT LAND AND ENVIRONMENT COURT.

It also has to be considered and noted that stage 1 on Lot 21 of the existing quarry has so far not been exploited, which is in original condition of the Develop Consent dated 3.6.2005, which clearly states in section "CONDITIONS OF CONSENT: The development includes – Implementing the remainder of the approved Stage 1 quarry operation." which was approved as a 14 metre pit so any quarrying would not be visible and dust and all compliance issues would be adhered too in the 14 metre pit, the community accepted that approval subject to quarrying ,a new quarry should not proceed until existing approvals be implemented as approved by all participants INCLUDING DIPNA involved in approval processes for existing quarry ,

PAUL,MICHAEL,DAVID could you please confirm that this e-mail of mine can be treated as valid objection to KEQ's quarry exhibition?there is no mention in current quarry on exhibition of rehabilitation of stage one which at present is a scar on mountain ,for all travellers heading north to see what great planning controls we have for our environment ,in fact the 15metre stockpiles sitting there are indictive of what has been missed,it would be nice to see and hear a concerned compliance officer investigate blatant breaches of current development consent,these stockpiles are visible from freeway for aprox 200metreswhether these stockpiles at 15metres allow dust to be blown to all residents in area let alone local township,i cannot believe the planning dept cannot implement its own conditions of consent for existing quarry,i look forward to responce from all dept officers including the compliance section,plesa be advised i am attempting to have the epa look at these contiguousbreaches ,doesthe dept of planning have no control over their own conditions of consentthese existing breaches i feel should be investigated,at the depts earlist convenience ,just imagine should this operator with their current behavior towards all of the community,absolute disregard for existing planning controls and its consent conditions for existing mine,be allowed to triple in size on another block of land when there are provable equivilent resources on existing quarry ,should the dept like me to prove these facts i have independant drillings on lot 11 and complete geoligical proof of these facts,dust and compliance issues, in this part of the worldTHERE AREpeople

GENUINLY CONCERNED ABOUT DAMAGE TO NATURE,THE BUSH AS WELL AS THEIR HEALTH AND THEIRS KIDS HEALTH ,WHEN THIS OPERATER IS MADE TO COMPLY WITH EXISTING DEVELOPMENT PLANNING CONTROLS ,WE CAN ALL HAVE FAITH THAT THERE CAN BE RESPONSIBLE MINING FOR RESOURCES WITHOUT RAPING THE LAND WE CALL AUSTRALIA,FOR EXAMPLE SEE COAL T4 EXPANSION DELAYED

,
Thanks and best regards
Michael Kiely