

The Director

Industry, Key Sites & Social Projects

Department of Planning & Infrastructure

GPO Box 39

Sydney NSW 2001

ray.lawlor@planning.nsw.gov.au

MODIFICATION OF MAJOR PROJECT APPROVAL NO. 08_0194 (MOD 2)

Regarding the Modifications to Kings Forest by Project 28 Pty Ltd I have the following comments.

It is nothing short of tragic that this development has come this far as it should never have been approved in the first place. Not a word is spoken by the developer about the fate of the Wallum Sedge Frog who will be buried alive, squashed, displaced and stressed during earthworks as its habitat is annihilated.

We know this developer has a long history of illegal clearings in not only Kings Forest and Cobaki Lakes but also at properties on the Gold Coast. I am therefore not surprised to see them wanting to take as many shortcuts as possible and deny the best protection for the environment and the future residents.

For example:-

a) In 5.1 the developer argues that there is no dedicated land to council because no agreement has been reached. If this was the case, why does council have a 'Council Dedicated Land Plan' and a 'Potential Council Land Plan'? It seems to me the developer is looking for an escape clause to dedication of council land.

b) In 5.6 the department requires the developer to be responsible for managing land dedicated to council yet the developer is saying that only from the commencement of bulk earthworks will they manage contiguous council land. My concern is what if there is damage to council dedicated land prior to bulk earthworks? Why does council dedicated land need to be excavated anyway? Why not leave it as is and give the poor Wallum Sedge frogs a chance? And what about council land that is not contiguous with earthworks, does the developer not intend to manage that land? Irrespective of whether or not EMPs need to be revised and the need for final approval from EPBC, the developer could still be managing council land as per the current agreement and change methods of management if necessary.

c) In 5.8 the developer states they do not want to subdivide land prior to earthworks. How can they provide infrastructure to each lot when there has been no subdivision delineating the lots?

d) In 5.9 'Environmental Offsets' they claim they are not bound by Project Approval conditions until they commence construction of bulk earthworks. It seems to me that unless they prepare a survey plan that permanently marks the boundaries of land dedicated to council and the offset areas then those areas could easily be damaged by the operating staff prior to earthworks. I fail to see what difference it makes if bulk earthworks don't occur for years. The point is that once it is survey pegged then it won't have to be redone and the chance of operating staff making errors is reduced. They say it would be onerous and unreasonable to place survey pegs in areas that may not be undertaken for years – but how else can accidental damage to those areas be avoided unless they are clearly pegged? There is the possibility that down the track the developer could accidentally develop what is to be council dedicated land saying that there was an error in boundaries. That is why it is critical to dedicate land to council and OEH NOW so there are no grey areas.

e) In 5.11 I also feel that is essential that baseline monitoring of fauna/flora, groundwater etc. occur before the construction certificate is issued because the certificate may not be issued depending on what species is found:

f) In 5.12 the developer wants to stage the planting of koala trees with the earthworks. However since no koala trees will be planted where people and their dogs live, the planting

should immediately commence in the East-West corridor and the 27 ha parcel offsite identified by OEH right away – actually should have commenced years ago considering koala trees take 10 years to mature. Once again it looks suspiciously like the developer is not wanting to plant the trees and looking for excuses. If the developer was concerned about koalas they would not be looking to postpone koala tree planting for up to a year.

g) In 5.13, while the developer says that the Summary of Management Plans should be revised prior to the issue of a construction certificate, they fail to give a time frame. The PAC requirement is that all relevant management plans be revised to the satisfaction of the Director General within 12 months of this approval or prior to issue of construction certificate, whichever comes first.

h) In 5.14 with regard to the 'Environmental Audit Reports' the developer appears to object to being punished for non-compliance in terms of being refused further stages of development, saying that 'other remedies are available.' In this respect I strongly submit that the developer is being unreasonable. It is so important that all gloves are off with this developer as they have proved themselves in the past to be irresponsible in complying with conditions.

i) In 5.15 the developer objects that a cash bond be lodged with council prior to the issue of a construction certificate because it is unclear as to the land being referred to. It seems to me that the land the construction certificate refers to is the land being referred to. The developer says there is no provision for refund of the bond when it clearly states in Condition 50

(b) The cash bond or bank guarantee will be refunded on the satisfactory completion of works providing acceptable arrangements have been made for the long term ownership and management of the relevant lands.

The developer appears to be searching for a way not to pay a bond for environmental restoration works.

j) In 5.16 Dust Mitigation, the developer does not wish to follow the PAC requirements which they say are 'onerous' and 'unreasonable'. Instead they wish to monitor themselves using their own 'dust mitigation measures'. It is laughable that they would actually stop work if there was too much visible dust in lieu of taking measures outlined by the department. Considering they are moving 1 million cubic metres of earth, if they were to stop work every time there was visible dust it would take approximately 100 years to complete earthworks. Who would be there to make sure they stopped work every time there was visible dust? What is their definition of visible dust – when they can't see the hand in front of their faces? More smoke and mirrors from Leda. The strictest possible

terms need to be applied to Leda, irrespective of their protests and self-serving negotiations.

k) In 5.19 the developer once again finds the telecommunications infrastructure requirement 'onerous and unreasonable'. Perhaps they are unaware of the *Telecommunications Infrastructure Action Plan* which says on p.11 'Developers can play a significant key stakeholder role in the deployment of telecommunications infrastructure by including the provision of fibre ducts (pit and pipe) along with all the other underground utilities.' Developers need to embrace the importance of providing this essential and critical telecommunications infrastructure as retrofitting is prohibitively expensive for the new owners.' Perhaps the developer is hoping that NBN Co. will build a fixed wireless NBN tower to service the new residents of Kings Forest and save them the expense? If so they should be aware that fixed wireless is a major health hazard to humans and non-humans as it is 2.3 gigahertz RF (i.e. high level radio frequency) microwave that will literally boil our air waves / atmosphere and our cell membranes - like a frog cooking slowly in a pot of water.

Professor Barrie Trower (ex British Military in Microwave Weaponry Specialist 1950's - 90's and MI5 Agent) stated RF has become a generic term (Radio Frequency) to avoid using the term 'Microwave.' It poses less 'safety queries' as the word 'radio' itself, which used to refer to 'long wave radio' was domestically non threatening. He also said "The reader may think that the cell phone irradiation is different from WiFi /Wireless as it has more power. In fact WiFi can be more harmful because of its lower power! Low power can enter the body and cause harm. All electromagnetic waves are accumulative. If they are below the body's threshold to cause activation of the necessary proteins required to defend and repair tissues, the damage accumulates very slowly and is indictable like a cancer. Think of sunbathing on a cloudy day, you can still burn your skin." (See *Barrie Trower WiFi Report - 'Humanity At The Brink'*. Sept 2013 on www.Rense.Com/general96/trower.html)

Eldron Byrd, a scientist for *The Naval Surface Weapon Centre of the US Navy*, in one of his 1986 lectures on the effects of low-level microwaves, is referenced as stating; "...we can alter the behaviour of cells and tissue....cause up to six times higher foetus mortality and birth defects....." *The Mobile Telecommunications Industry* carried out very thorough and exhaustive scientific studies on its own product. This Industry's conclusion was: Sec 7 " ...it can be concluded that electro-magnetic fields with frequencies in the mobile telecommunications range do play a role in the development of cancer." ".....direct damage on the DNA as well as the DNA synthesis and DNA repair mechanisms."

The *Russian National Committee on Non-ionizing Radiation Protection* in their research document 'Health Effects on Children and Teenagers' found:-

85% Increase in central nervous system disorders

36% Increase in epilepsy

11% increase in mental retardation

82% increase in blood immune disorders and risk to foetus.

NBN Fixed & Mobile Wireless = Radio Frequency Microwaves affect our nervous systems, brains, endocrine systems (hormones) effectively destroying the functioning of our pineal gland which is responsible for humans' ability to be creative. The pineal gland is also essential for the production of melatonin which is a major player in our circadian rhythms. Prolonged insomnia produces insanity and nervous system meltdown. Melatonin is one of our body's greatest antioxidants and is a natural preventative of cancer. The blood and tissue of children, adults, animals, bees, butterflies, bats, birds and our water - the whole community will suffer from NBN towers.

Symptoms of exposure to Radio Frequency radiation (radiowave sickness) from 'The Health Effects of Electrical Pollution' by *The National Foundation for Alternative Medicine, Washington DC.*:-

Neurological:

Headaches, irritability, tremors, muscle spasms, altered reflexes, depression, anxiety, weakness, memory loss, muscle and joint pain, dizziness, insomnia, numbness, tingling leg/foot, pain, nausea, fatigue, difficulty concentrating, flu like symptoms, fever. More severe reactions include : seizures, paralysis, psychosis and stroke.

Cardiac: Palpitations, arrhythmias, pain in chest, low or high blood pressure, slow or fast heart rate, shortness of breath.

Respiratory: Sinusitis, bronchitis, pneumonia, asthma.

Dermatological: Skin rash, itching, burning, facial flushing.

Ophthalmological: Pain or burning of eyes, deteriorating vision, pressure in/ behind eyes, floaters, cataracts.

Others Symptoms: Digestive problems, abdominal pain, enlarged thyroid, testicular and ovarian pain, dryness of lips, mouth, tongue and eyes, great thirst, dehydration, nosebleeds, internal bleeding, altered sugar metabolism, immune abnormalities,

redistribution of metals within the body, hair loss, pain in teeth, deteriorating fillings in teeth, impaired sense of smell, tinnitus/ringing in the ears.

www.MagdaHavas.com (See Youtube: 'Resonance: Beings of Frequency.' Magda Havas 'WiFi in Schools Is Safe, True or False?' and 'Cell Towers'.)

We have been paying a carbon tax for 'global warming' and our government with NBN Fixed/Mobile Wireless is going to heat up our local atmosphere further!

Where are the environmental assessments that look at the cumulative impacts on human health, crop pollinators (bees, butterflies, bats, birds), the health of livestock (now dying from cancers and other immune deficiency diseases), invertebrates and all life from NBN towers? The big picture is we are in the 6th Mass Extinction event caused by man. The more 'Towers of Death' (such as mobile phone and NBN towers radiating microwaves through space) the more species will become extinct.

Due to food contamination of northern hemisphere crops, Australia, New Zealand & South America have become the new relatively clean food bowl for the rest of the world. Tweed and Byron Shire are the 'organic (farming methods) food bowls' of NSW and other parts of Australia. Productivity on all levels will decrease with Fixed Wireless Ultra High Frequency towers. (See Youtube: Lauren Moret 'Nuked in the Skies').

All energy radiates in a circular pattern, which means whether or not you choose to have Fixed Wireless to your family home, everyone's homes and all our environment will be receiving UHF 2.3 gigahertz frequency wireless radiation 24/7 all year.

Fixed Wireless NBN is not worth the GREAT health risk to the community especially to the unborn, babies and children whose cells are replicating at a fast rate and whose bones and skulls are immature and thin offering very little protection to their brains. Most of Europe has banned Wireless - Wi Fi in Schools & common public areas where children will be exposed to these toxic frequencies put out by Fixed Wireless/ Radio Frequency Microwaves.

There are thousands of articles, reports and educational documentaries available on the internet on the negative health effects of Cell phone Towers & NBN Radio Frequency Microwave Radiation. Masaru Emoto proved that Cell phone & Wireless Radio Frequencies mutate water molecules and human beings are approximately 70% water; so too is most life on this planet. (See Youtube.com/watch?v=PDW9Lqj8hmc - Dr Masaru Emoto Hado Water Crystals; Full Documentary.

Fiber optics, on the other hand, is clean, safe to health, though more expensive. The best standard for telecommunications are Fiber Optics & upgraded phone lines/cable ADSL and not NBN Fixed Wireless. It must start right away with all new developments before the environmental and human damage is further increased. Now is the time to stop it. Project 28 (and all new residential developments) must be forced to take responsibility to lay infrastructure for fiber optic cable to the house, along with underground electric cables and street light requirements/certification. It is not enough that they just lay down underground telephone supply at the front boundary of the allotment.

The department should not lie down and roll over because the developer will not comply with conditions laid out. I personally find it repugnant that such a wealthy developer is so stingy in taking all efforts to protect the environment to the point that they don't want to pay a cash bond for the environmental audit, follow recommended dust mitigation measures, not plant koala trees right away, not lay infrastructure for fiber optic cable and so on.

The public is fed up with the pathetic shenanigans of this developer who has proved in the past that they cannot be trusted. I urge the department to not back down with this developer – stand your ground, for the sake of not only the threatened species at Kings Forest but all the species, whose habitat will be seriously compromised enough if this development goes ahead. It is absolutely critical that all the conditions imposed by The Planning Assessment Commission and Tweed Shire council are respected and implemented.

Sincerely,



Uki NSW 2484

P.S. Please keep my name and address confidential as it is well known that the developer tends to sue people who oppose his development.