

From: Wilma Flecha Werrin <wilmaflecha@hotmail.com>
To: "toby.philp@planning.nsw.gov.au" <toby.philp@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Date: 8/05/2014 2:49 PM
Subject: Objection Gullen Range Wind Farm

Mr Toby Philip

I wish to submit the following objections to the Modification and Consistency Review Project

1- Review documentation is inadequate and it is difficult to interpret.

E.g. A-Used of obscure coordinates made it difficult for ordinary person to check accuracy of position of turbines.

Two houses at the B124 not listed as sensitive receiver or mentioned in various input tables.

E.g. B-Report should have used commonly use latitude and longitude so interested parties could use them in conjunction with topographic maps and GPS system. Given that the majority of the turbines have been misplaced it is critical that interested parties can ascertain if they are where Epuron claimed them to be.

2- Question as to whether the review has not been conducted by independent consultant. I believe NGH Environmental had been providing services to the project for a considerable time. In my opinion this may have corrupted the independent of the review.

3- In my opinion the project has not been adequately supervised by the NSW Department of Planning and Development. Adequate supervision would not have resulted in the majority of turbines incorrectly placed.

Turbines incorrectly placed had been allowed to operate before the determination. Noise and destruction to local traffic forced by the project had been totally unacceptable .

The inadequate noise guidelines in NSW used for the project will cause severe nuisance. This together with damage to the landscape is causing a very significant reduction in property value and retirement services. Recent property sales in the area together with experience from other sites indicate a reduction of at least 30%

Many properties are now considered unsaleable.

I request that an independent public enquiry be conducted into the project as it would appear the developer had openly flaunted project approval process and the Dept of Planning had not given due consideration to the impact on the large number of residents in the area.

Yours faithfully,

Douglas WERRIN
"Briarlea"
561 Bannister lane Bannister

Ps. My request to security key has not been forthcoming thus could not lodge objection application online.