

Objection to Champions quarry application for modification 4 due to confusion relating to the registered and advertised name of the quarry as RICHMOND QUARRY as from 22/5/2018 and the later June dated Environmental Impact Statement declares that Champions Quarry 2 Pty Ltd owns and operates the business known as Champions Quarry.

It appears unclear from the conflict of names and dates what the actual business name of the quarry is and who actually owns and operates the quarry on the 5th June 2018 when the application to the Dept was lodged.

Champions quarry changed 3 entrance signs to RICHMOND QUARRY on or before the 1st June 2018 and according to the Aust Securities and Investments Commission the business name RICHMOND QUARRY listed as 1668 Wyrallah Rd, Tuckurimba NSW was registered on 22/5/2018.



The application by Champions Quarry 2 Pty Ltd to the Dept of Planning and Environment for modification to consent for CHAMPIONS QUARRY was dated 5/6/2018.

The Environmental assessments prepared for Champions Quarry 2 Pty Ltd are dated June 2018.

The introduction of the Environmental Assessment (dated June) states that Champions Quarry 2 Pty Ltd ("the Proponent") owns and operates the business known as Champions Quarry ("the Quarry"), located at 1668 Wyrallah Road.

Can the Department of Planning please clarify if the Environmental Assessment is correct in stating that Champions Quarry 2 Pty Ltd **owns and operates** the quarry ?

If so, why is the registered business name RICHMOND QUARRY now in place onsite advertising the quarry in question? And if the name change as registered with ASIC occurred 22/5/2018 why have the application and environmental assessments presented in June with Champions Quarry 2 Pty Ltd stated as owner and operator?

It is misleading that there is no mention in the Application or Environmental Assessment that the quarry was trading as RICHMOND QUARRY before the application date of 5/6/18.

The Dept of Planning should clarify who is actually requesting the modification and under what ownership and operation the quarry is currently operating and I object that it is misleading and confusing.

Can the Dept of Planning ensure that any outstanding penalty fines for non-compliance owed to the Dept by this quarry, whatever it's name is, are paid by the operators of this quarry. Change of name should not exempt the operators from their obligations.

If the name change to Richmond Quarry was in place on the application date of 5/6/2018 the EA is incorrect and providing false information on the most basic of facts that should be available for public scrutiny.

Accuracy of all facts presented in the EA come under question.

The DP&E duty of care to assess the information presented relating to road, dust, noise and particularly safety of all road users is a heavy burden and we rely on the Department to investigate this EA closely.

Please do not publish my personal details or provide them to the quarry operators/owners or their families.