

## Objection to Champions quarry request for modification 4

^I object that the proponent ignored instructions from:

1. Department of Planning & Environment (CQ Mods 4 EA pg 5 3.2.1 Consultation with DP&E) and
2. the RMS gave instructions in their letter (CQ Mod 4 EA - Appendix 1 Responses to Letters of Consultation) :

That a road safety assessment be included in their Traffic Impact Assessment. The proponents EA claims the road conditions have improved since 2007 and the road safety audit "would be unnecessary" . I object that a current road safety audit has not been conducted in light of the nature of the request to double permitted truck numbers on these roads. I object to the use of outdated road inspections.

^ I object that the proponent has reused a 2009 Roadnet report that relied on inspections of the haulage routes from 2007 and 2009 by Brian Kerwick of Roadnet Pty Ltd. The proponent claims in their current application that "*the transportation routes remain largely unchanged*" I strongly disagree and object to the claim that these routes are "largely unchanged" from 11 years ago. A current and comprehensive road inspection report is required.

^ I object that the EA does not provide a true representation of the real increase in actual current daily laden truck numbers ratio to the requested 100 laden trucks. That number is much larger than the doubling of permitted numbers which are not being utilised and the impact on roads and road users is much greater. The quarry has a history of small production despite its consent tonnage which begs the question, where is the demand for this product ? Why does it lack desire with customers ? When will the quarry provide verification that they can safely abide by the existing consent conditions for already large numbers of trucks that are not exiting the quarry ?

^I object that Champions quarry does not comply with all current consent conditions. I object to approving more modifications until all current consent conditions are honoured.

Will Champions quarry comply with consent conditions and provide The 2018 Annual Review to comply with consent conditions Schedule 5 , Condition 4. ; Schedule 5 Condition 11(a) ; and Schedule 5 Condition 11 (b)

Will Champions quarry comply with consent conditions relating to Community Consultative Committee. The Community Consultative Committee has been operating without a local community member as is required by the consent conditions. This provides very little opportunity for access to the CCC by other community members without an approachable community member.

Did Champions quarry paid the \$3,000 fine from the 25 January 2018 when the Department issued a \$3000 Penalty Notice to Champions Quarry 2 Pty Ltd (Champions) for providing false and misleading information as part of the Modification 3 assessment.?

Did Champions quarry paid the \$15,000 fine to the Dept from the 19 June 2017 when the Department issued a \$15,000 Penalty Notice to Champions Quarry 2 Pty Ltd for failing to undertake noise monitoring of the quarry, its plant and equipment in accordance with the approved Noise Management Plan. ?

Since the Official Caution from the Dept in 2016, has Champions quarry paid any road maintenance contributions as required by consent to Lismore City Council ?

Will the Dept of Planning and Environment ensure the quarry operators comply with their current consent conditions before approving any more modifications.?

I request that my name and address is not published or provided to the operators, owners, their families or staff of the quarry.