

OBJECT

04 September 2025

Objecting on grounds repeatedly raised since 2013 :

Environmental destruction

Endangered species habitat

Cultural Heritage

Township character

Abundance of existing stagnant empty houses in Culburra Beach

Tourism deflector: visitors will choose another area, not decimated by development.

No amount of 'minor relocations nor reductions in the developments footprint' will suffice.
Nulling this & all zombie DA's is the only acceptable path forward.

Biodiversity offsets are nonsense. Do the research, the evidence is available. Stop destroying.

"Please note that if the application is approved, there is no right of a merit appeal to the NSW Land & Environment Court by an objector" – Why? Because all horrific environmental decisions made, are final & our objections mean nothing.

Submissions are simply a meritless box ticking exercise, with zero impact on the outcome (unless one is a supporter), as the outcome is already decided.

Handshakes of the past are still shadowing our future.

Work has begun. Machinery has arrived. Yet supposedly, this is not an approved development. Explain that.

The speed limit has been reduced on Culburra Road from 100km to 80km *months ago*. This is not a safety measure. The road is fine. The statistics prove Culburra Road is not a high crash zone. The decrease to our speed limit is in preparation for (the not yet approved) development. Highlighting, once again, this is a done deal. What are our submissions for?

We spend hours writing & reasoning with a useless body that shows its hand, time & time again; that they will do as they please irrespective of environmental, cultural & community consequences.

There is no return from the devastation you seek to approve (not isolated to this DA), the importance of protecting natural resources is more than evident, the tourism which appears most vital to council, is jeopardised by the very thing being approved.

Halloran himself would be disgusted with the 'proceed at all costs' approach being applied to push these dead DA's through.

My questions (year after year) are not rhetorical, answer me, answer us – the community speaks loudly on this issue. Yet no genuine response is provided. Only procedural, political garble that shields you from accountability. It is insufficient.

This should not be a faceless decision; someone needs to answer for the impending destruction.

Preservation is progress.

E.Hood