

16 January 2025

Mr Jack Turner Team Leader Resource Assessments Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure Locked Bag 5022 PARRAMATTA NSW 2124

Dear Mr Turner

Moomba to Sydney Ethane Pipeline (PL15 Modification 1) – Canterbury Bankstown Council Submission

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed modification to convert the Moomba to Sydney Pipeline to transfer natural gas instead of ethane gas.

This submission is prepared by Council officers and does not reflect the views of the Councillors. This submission raises the following issues:

1. Land Use Safety study should consider the potential population growth along the pipeline corridor based on the current zones and not on census data

The methodology for societal risk assessment should be updated to incorporate projected population growth based on current zoning, rather than relying on existing census data.

This approach aligns with previous risk analyses. In 2022, Council completed a land use risk study in accordance with the Department's Hazard Industry Planning Advisory Papers. This assessment focused on the town centres along the East Hills railway line, including East Hills, Panania, Revesby, and Padstow. The risk assessment was similarly based on projected population growth. A copy of the study is included in the attachment.

2. Inconsistent notification guidelines for developments near high pressure pipelines between the Department's policy and Australian Standard AS 2885

Council takes this opportunity to highlight a legacy issue where there is an inconsistency on the extent of the DA notification area to notify the operators of proposed developments near high pressure pipelines.

The pipeline is designed, operated and maintained in accordance with the Australian AS 2885. The AS 2885 requires councils to notify the operator for development applications within 500m from the pipeline.

PRIVACY NOTICE

Council is required under the Privacy and Personal Information Protection Act 1998 (PPIPA) to collect, maintain and use your personal information in accordance with the Privacy Principles and other relevant requirements of the PPIPA. Personal information requested on this form will only be used to fulfil the purpose for which it is being collected. Provision of this information is voluntary and is required to help process your application. Council is regarded as the agency that holds the information and access is restricted to council officers and other authorised people. You may apply to access or amend the information. For further information or clarification please contact the Privacy Contact Officer at Council.



However, the Department's Planning Circular for *Development near high pressure pipelines* (PS 24-005) requires notification where developments occur between 140-200m from the pipeline.

The Department should review and resolve this inconsistency to avoid future incidents.

If you have any enquiries, please contact Kyou Won Rhee, Acting Coordinator Strategic Planning on 9707 9489 or by email kyouwon.rhee@cbcity.nsw.gov.au.

Yours sincerely

Emma Clinton Acting Manager City Strategy and Design

Ethane Pipeline LUSS Summary Document

For Canterbury Bankstown Council

23 November 2022



Doc. No.: J-000582 Revision: A



Arriscar Pty Limited ACN 162 867 763 www.arriscar.com.au Sydney Level 26 44 Market Street Sydney NSW 2000 T: +61 2 9089 8804 Melbourne Level 2 Riverside Quay 1 Southbank Boulevard Southbank VIC 3006 T: +61 3 9982 4535



DISTRIBUTION LIST

Name	Organisation	From (Issue)	To (Issue)
Kyou Won Rhee	Canterbury Bankstown Council	А	Current

DOCUMENT HISTORY AND AUTHORISATION

Rev	Date	Ву	Description	Check	Approved
А	23/11/2022	RF	First draft for client review.	PS	JL

Arriscar Pty Limited, and its respective officers, employees or agents are individually and collectively referred to in this clause as 'Arriscar'. Arriscar assumes no responsibility, and shall not be liable to any person, for any loss, damage or expense caused by reliance on the information or advice in this document or howsoever provided, unless that person has signed a contract with Arriscar for the provision of this information or advice and in that case any responsibility or liability is exclusively on the terms and conditions set out in that contract. © Arriscar Pty Ltd



Contents

Notat	tion		4
1	Intro	duction	. 5
2	Risk /	Assessment Methodology	. 6
3	Findi	ngs and Recommendations	. 7
	3.1	Sensitive Use Developments	7
	3.2	Protection from Fire	8
	3.3	Control of Construction Activities	8
4	Refe	rences	. 9

List of Figures

5
6
7
7
7
7



Notation

Abbreviation	Description
the City	City of Canterbury Bankstown
Arriscar	Arriscar Pty Limited
LEP	Local Environmental Plan
DP&E	NSW Department of Planning and Environment
РНА	Preliminary Hazards Analysis
LUSS	Land Use Safety Study
QRA	Quantitative Risk Assessment
NCC	National Construction Code
BCA	Building Code of Australia
FRL	Fire-resistance level



1 INTRODUCTION

The City of Canterbury Bankstown (the City) was proclaimed on the 12 May 2016. It is located 8 to 23 km south-west of the Sydney CBD and covers an area of just over 100 square kilometres, with 41 suburbs and 30 urban centres. Four of the urban centres, Padstow, Revesby, Panania and East Hills are located along the East Hills railway corridor.

The City has developed a draft consolidated Local Environmental Plan (LEP) that includes the rezoning of sites within the four town centres along the East Hills railway corridor. The plan aims to accommodate projected population growth in the area utilising existing infrastructure.

The high-pressure Moomba Sydney Ethane (MSE) pipeline is located parallel to the East Hills railway corridor. The presence of this pipeline creates a potential land use safety risk, for which the NSW Department of Planning and Environment (DP&E) requires an assessment against specific quantitative and qualitative risk criteria.

The City engaged Arriscar Pty Limited (Arriscar) to prepare a Land Use Safety Study (LUSS) to assess the potential land use safety risk impacts of the MSE pipeline along the East Hills railway corridor. This document contains a summary of the findings and recommendations of the LUSS.







2 RISK ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

A Quantitative Risk Assessment (QRA) was conducted for the LUSS. The key steps of the QRA process are shown in Figure 2. Hazards associated with the MSE pipeline were identified and the consequences and likelihood of potential accidents were estimated. The risk was then determined and compared against the land use safety risk criteria published by the DP&E [1].



Figure 2 Overview of QRA

The consequences of fire and explosions were analysed using the specially developed software PHAST/SAFETI. The likelihood of each scenario was estimated from domestic and international historical incident and failure data.



3 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The LEP complied with most of the DP&E risk criteria. The study made three recommendations for the draft LEP to comply with all DP&E criteria and reduce risk to as low as reasonably practicable.

3.1 Sensitive Use Developments

Sensitive use developments should be restricted on selected properties near the pipeline.

Sensitive use developments are those for use by sectors of the community who may be unable to protect themselves from the consequences of a pipeline failure. They include the following land uses from Standard Instrument—Principal Local Environmental Plan (2006 EPI 155a) - NSW Legislation:

- School
- Hospital
- Senior's housing
- Respite day care centre
- Early education and care facility
- Correctional centre

The properties where this restriction applies are those bounded by the green lines in Figures 3 to 6.



Figure 5 East Hills Precinct









3.2 Protection from Fire

Developments on selected properties should incorporate protection measures for features potentially exposed to a fire on the MSE pipeline and should be able withstand a heat flux of 20 kW/m^2 .

The properties where this restriction applies are those bounded by the red lines in Figures 3 to 6.

According to Table C1V1 of the National Construction Code (NCC), the incorporation of measures should be done as if the building is 3 m from an allotment boundary. Deemed to satisfy (DtS) provisions for this requirement include:

- Fire-resisting construction (shafts, walls, floors, roofs)
 - Fire-resistance level (FRL) dependent on the type of construction required, but details specified in Building Code of Australia (BCA) Spec C1.1.
 - Note: FRL is achieved when subjecting a system to the AS1530.4 standard fire test.
- Openings exposed to the pipeline (i.e., doors, windows if any) protected in accordance with BCA Clause C3.4, with measures such as:
 - Fire-rated windows, drenchers, fire-shutters etc.
 - Note: If passive protection is relied upon, the system would need to achieve the same FRL as the fire-resisting element it is located within.
- Service openings (e.g., mech, hydraulic if any) protected in accordance with BCA Clause C3.15.
- Construction joints, spaces and the like in and between building elements required to be fire resisting (including external walls) with respect to integrity and insulation must be protected in a manner identical to a tested prototype in accordance with AS1530.4-2012 to achieve the required FRL.
- Fire stair exits must discharge into locations that are shielded and away from the pipeline location.

The proponent must prepare an appropriate emergency response plan/s for use by the building occupants.

3.3 Control of Construction Activities

Construction activities in the Study Area should not impact upon the existing MSE pipeline.

At the development application stage, the proponent should demonstrate how this will be achieved by submitting a Safety Management Study in accordance with the State Environmental Planning Policy (Transport and Infrastructure) 2021.



4 **REFERENCES**

[1] NSW Department of Planning, "Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Paper No.10 - Land Use Safety Planning," Sydney, 2011.