

my objection to the marulan Quarry ssd-65146459 epbc 2023/09672

1. as a local resident. It is absolutely devastating that the proponent wishes to remove destroy a biodiversity corridor for wildlife in a C3 Environmental zone. as our property is registered with WIRES to rehabilitate and release native wildlife birds wombats etc. Etc. If this Quarry goes ahead no doubt there will be more injured wildlife.

2. on residential safety for the last six years we have asked Global Quarry for information about blasting and all we get told is it will be in the EIS. They have not done a detailed blasting report as they do not have a blasting consultant contractor selected I find this very bizarre when you are applying for approval and a DA all they show is desktop study. extraction industries must be at least a 1 km radius of residence under the Goulburn Mulwaree DCP – 2009.

3. The only safe access for residence and the general public onto the Hume Highway would be an interchange as in Marulan. I live here and I've seen accidents and fatalities. The studies done are two years old most of them desktop and six years old between 2018 and 2022 the traffic on the Hume Highway has increased since the studies were done we know because we live here the bus stop proposed where they want to put it is just 100m from a blind corner on the left-hand bend where the bus will have to do a U-turn to return to the highway really how is this safe? Heaven forbid who gets put on notice for this accident waiting to happen would transport for New South Wales support this? I still feel that the left turn in off the Hume Highway will be extremely dangerous crossing Narrabula Creek.

I have observed b double trucks coming down the hill side-by-side the reaction of these trucks could cause them to change lanes causing the weaver affect under. ausroad 1999 act possibly causing a serious collision or even worse a fatality.

4. On the state significant development application dated and submitted on the 13 -11- 2024 it says that is the development on land. Holy any part of the following zones Ru1 Ru2 etc or C3 it states no why is this when this is a C3 environment here?

5. we know Global quarries is new to the industry but with all the support that they have had through GHD to lodge their EIS and all the other community consultation groups was very poorly and misleading in some circumstances as you can read throughout the EIS even on the distances related to the location of the quarry site.

For example the critical gap reporting that was done at devils. Quarry states that Winfarthing Rd is approximately 4.5 km west of winfarthing Road Pacific Highway intersection ??? This is

just an example because its the Hume Highway. The project is on the corner of the Hume Highway and Winfarthing Rd Marulan. Where did the Pacific Highway come from? I just don't know how much more of the studies we can believe if they can't get their location right? This is why I am confused and concerned about the rest of this EIS that has been lodged.

6. trucks leaving the site. How are they Proposed to be cleaned as there is

no wash bay on any of the plans??? This could cause debris to be dragged out on the Winfarthing Rd or the Hume highway

7. my main concern of the proposal is the safety of the public and the residence on Winfarthing Rd and the Hume Highway not to mention the visual distraction to all drivers on the Hume Highway

7.1 sensitive receivers in the EIS. It is missing 90 winfarthing road which is Next Door 26 and 96. Why is this??? And 114 which is next to 96? Don't they count? Could you please explain why? Or is this just another oversight from the EIS mistake/this is why I'm confused with the EIS.

Listing concerns in dock point as follows. Air quality visual impacts impacts of blasting suitability of site flooding impacts traffic and road impacts impact on wildlife corridor. The adequacy of the EIS human health impacts noise impacts dust impacts possible silicosis school bus stop. The project is not compatible with the site and goes against the Environmental zoning regulations