Dear Navdeep Singh Shergill,

I am strongly opposed to the development, primarily due to the location and impacts.

Below is a summary of issues identified to date in my review of the Environmental Impact Statement.

As I write this, I read the NSW Planning and Public Spaces Minister Paul Scully is quoted in the SMH saying the NSW Government 'remains committed to having more public, green space'. The proposed development is in complete contradiction of government policy and proposes to development open green space.

The current use of the open green space includes, use for the adjacent high school, sporting fields and available for the community to use, including daughter and her young children, at the time of our convenience. This status quo allows almost all users the opportunity to access free of charge and is an example of how open green space can be shared between many user groups. The proposed development is a backwards step and will favour one user group above others (refer to the Newcastle Basketball constitution).

I regularly take my grandchildren to Wallarah and Blackley Ovals to play, they are the closest parks when I take care of my grandchildren. Thank you

SEARs	Status	Evidence
Requirements		
Engagement	Did	My daughter and grand children's residence is 280m from the proposed site and there has been no
Surrounding	not	engagement.
landowners,	occur	
occupiers and	- Not	The first piece of correspondence for this project was a letter from the Department of Planning and
business (including	met	Environment advising that EIS had been submitted.
agricultural		
businesses) that		The proposed site is the closest public open space to their residence.
are likely to be		

	The proponent has not engaged properly and has not met the requirements of the Secretary's
	Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs).
Not	The most recent Newcastle Local Government elections demonstrate the community is opposed to
met -	the proposed development.
	The incumbent Mayor lost office and the largest swing against the incumbent was in Ward 3, the
	council Ward the proposed development is in.
	The community do not support the project.
	-



		Basketball stadium to Adamstown
--	--	---------------------------------



		The traffic study in the EIS makes assumptions about traffic routes that are incorrect and not consistent with real world reality. The traffic study needs to cross reference widely used navigation systems.
		Due to the above, the traffic study fails to address the full impacts health and safety impacts and increase in traffic on local roads.
		A peer review of the traffic study by a NSW government agency should be completed.
		No speed surveys were completed despite the fact the locals roads to access the proposed development are school zones and travel time will include school hours.
		The impact of safety to cyclists has not been assessed nor the potential for car to turn right across Turton road on existing the proposed development.
		With the increased traffic flow, it will be unsafe to take my grandchildren to the park.
Statutory and strategic context ? Address all	Not Met	The EIS attempts to address this in Appendix F - Architectural Design Report. <i>'Better for Community</i>
relevant legislation, environmental		Better for community is inclusive, connected and diverse. The design has been specifically designed for inclusivity of all. Newcastle Baskethall
planning instruments (EPIs)		The design has been specifically designed for inclusivity of all. Newcastle Basketball promotes equitable access for all. The design has been developed with feedback from the First Nations Working Group and LAC's, disabled sporting groups, and has been designed to best practice incorporating courts of sufficient size, clearance and perimeter edges allowing for a true multi-sport venue that caters for basketball, netball, volleyball, pickle ball

(including drafts),	and futsal.'
plans, policies and	
guidelines	The most recent Newcastle Local Government elections demonstrate the community is opposed to
	the proposed development. The incumbent Mayor lost office and the largest swing against the incumbent was in Ward 3, the council Ward the proposed development is in.
Better Placed -	The community do not support the project.
Better for	
community	Whilst titled 'Hunter Indoor Sports Centre', if it looks like a duck, swims like a duck, and quacks like a duck, then it probably is a duck.
1. Design	
Quality	If the applicant is Newcastle Basketball, funding is through an election commitment to provide
demonstrate how	Newcastle Basketball with \$25m, the media release by Newcastle Council was titled 'Newcastle to
the development	remain the regional home of basketball', the proposal includes 12 basketball courts and will be owned
will achieve good	by Newcastle Basketball, it really is a basketball stadium, NOT a multi sport facility.
design in	
accordance with	The Directors of Newcastle Basketball would be acting in contradiction to their roles as established by
the seven	the MEMORANDUM OF ASSOCIATION OF BASKETBALL ASSOCIATION OF NEWCASTLE LIMITED 2(a) if
objectives for good	anything other than basketball is not priority.
design in Better	
Placed.	Whilst there may be the opportunity to sublet, the priority will always been basketball as Northern
	NSW Fustal have experienced in the past.
	For any approval of an 'indoor sport', approvals conditions need to stipulate all sports receive an equal
	percentage of allocated time at equally desirable times (ie. Not allocated four hours for junior sport
	during the middle of a week day) to ensure parity.



		Newcastle to remain the regional home of basketball
		21 Mar 2023
		E Sho
		Newcastle Basketball, City of Newcastle and the State Member for Lake Macquarie Greg Piper have today announce a new \$30 million basketball stadium will be built in Newcastle.
		The NSW Government will fund the construction of the regional level, indoor basketball facility in Newcastle after committing an additional \$5 million to a previous \$25 million commitment for what was then intended to be a new stadium on Hillsborough Road, Charlestown.
Better Placed -	Not	Equitable by presenting opportunities for all segments of our community so residents and
Better for People	Met	visitors have access to and can move about freely between public domain, infrastructure, o
1 Desire		space and buildings.
1. Design Quality		The proposal is far from equitable.
demonstrate how		
the development		
will achieve good		
-	1	
design in		
design in accordance with the seven		
design in accordance with the seven objectives for good design in Better		

7. Ecologically	Not	The development of open green space is short sighted and does not meet the requirements as defined
Sustainable	Met	in section 193 of the EP&A Regulation.
Development (ESD) identify how ESD principles (as defined in section 193 of the EP&A		The Broadmeadow Place Strategy and Hunter Regional Plan 2041 include an additional 20,000 residences in the Broadmeadow Place Strategy. At a rough average, that is 50,000 additional residents in the across the road from the proposed development. The proposed development will remove open green space.
Regulation) are incorporated in the design and ongoing operation of the development (b) inter-		Removing the open green space for future generations will severely impact the
generational equity, (4) The principle of inter-generational equity is that the present generation should ensure the health, diversity and productivity of the environment are maintained or enhanced for the benefit of future generations.		Hunter Regional Plan 2041 Strategy 5.1 – Infill housing to be 80% of growth in Greater Newcastle. Page 55

14. Public Space	Not	A development proposal that proposes to reduce open public space does not maximise public space.
demonstrate how	met	
the development		
maximises the		
amount, access to		
and quality of		
public spaces,		
reflecting relevant		
design guidelines		
and advice from the		
local councils and		
the Department.		

Other Issues

2.1.5. City of Newcastle Strategic Sports Plan		4412-Strategic-Sports-Plan.pdf
	The statement is	
The objective of the Plan is to address future demand,	misleading and	Further information for context
and inform the ongoing supply, maintenance and	omits key	Revisit the provision of cricket at this facility, in
upgrade of sporting infrastructure with consideration	information.	consideration of the planning for the Football CoE and non-
to current and future needs of the community. The		compliance with CA standards. Relocate cricket to an
Plan does not address indoor sports facilities.		alternative venue if pitch removed.
However, of relevance to the project is that the Plan		
identifies the need to review ongoing use of the site		
(Blackley Oval and Wallarah Oval No.1-2) for cricket,		

due to it being non-compliant with Cricket Australia standards.		
Community amenities provided on site The proposal is located at Wallarah Oval, where a site for a 'Proposed Community Facility' is identified in the relevant Contributions Plan. The HISC is the type of facility for which funding is being gathered under the Contributions Plan. Although operated by BANL (rather than CN) and not defined as a community facility in planning terms, the proposal will deliver an equivalent level of amenity and benefit to the Community. As part of the HISC, BANL will provide courts to facilitate community sports and public participation in recreational activities. This will include providing safe indoor spaces to run programs for all sectors of the community including older persons and people with disabilities. The facility will serve users beyond the immediate basketball membership base, providing significant amenity and benefit to the local area and broader LGA.	The statement is misleading.	The Directors of Newcastle Basketball would be acting in contradiction to their roles as established by the MEMORANDUM OF ASSOCIATION Of BASKETBALL ASSOCIATION OF NEWCASTLE LIMITED 2(a) if anything other than basketball is not priority.