## **Compliance History**



CONTACT

**RZ RESOURCES LIMITED** 

## **COMPLIANCE HISTORY**

NUMBER / GROUP NUMBER	LOCATION	CATEGORY	SUBCATEGORY	NON COMPLIANCE DATE	OFFENCE PROVISION	REGULATORY RESPONSE
NCN0009654 NCG0004511	EL 8312 (1992)	False or misleading information			Mining Act 1992 s 378C	Official caution A Act
NCN0009655 NCG0004511	EL 8312 (1992)	False or misleading information			Mining Act 1992 s 378C	Penalty notice

## **Compliance Concerns Group: NCG0004511**

	Compliance History	NSW Resource Regulator
Compliance Conc	erns Group: NCG0004511	separate occasions: n. This related to
Allegation	it is alleged RZ Resources (RZ) have breached s.378C - provide false or misleading information on two Allegation 1 (NCN 9654) - on 1/9/20 RZ submitted to the Regulator a completed and signed ESF2 Form activities undertaken in accordance with activity approvals granted for EL 8312 on 15 May 2018 and 2 6.6. of the ESF 2 Form titled 'Is the landholder/s satisfied with the rehabilitation?' notes that appendia 'Landholder rehabilitation statement' was not completed. The following statement was made by RZ: 'the landholder requests for rehabilitation of tracks has been successfully completed and is verbally f been repaired but no written approval has been requested.' On 2 March 2021, Inspectors meet with 'landholder, <sup>Personal info</sup> who advised inspectors that he had never been consulted by RZ. It is submitting its ESF2 and stating the landholder was verbally happy with rehabilitation, RZ have provide information. The date an inspector first became aware of this offence was during an inspection with to n 4/3/2021. Allegation 2 (NCN 9655) - The 2020 ERC Report submitted by RZ for EL 8312 and dated 's states at table 3 that 61 AC drill holes completed were 'plugged and rehabilitated' with the comment Completed'. No reference was made to the submission of an 'ESF2 Form. On 9 December 2020, Insper inspection three of these drill holes being <sup>mining exploration</sup> These holes had not been ref March 2021, Inspectors conducted a further inspection of the before mentioned drill holes along with These holes remained unrehabilitated. It is alleged that in submitting the 2020 ERC Report and stating rehabilitated, RZ have provided false or misleading information. The date an inspector first became a was 11/2/2021.	20 March 2019. Section A to the ESF 2 Form All work pertaining to happy with what has the relevant alleged that in ed false or misleading he relevant landowner 11 November 2020 'Rehabilitation ctors conducted an habilitated. On 2 mining exploration g all 61 holes had been
Summary of findings	Allegation 1 (NCN9654) - The completion of the landholder satisfaction component of an ESF2 Form is claim to have informed the landowners of the completed rehab in a meeting with them on 11/2/2020 of any response from the landowners at the time regarding their satisfaction and who could not have rehabilitation works in any case in order to provide feedback of their satisfaction The landowner be the original complainant against RZ and it is difficult to see him agreeing to be satisfied with any reha by RZ without first inspecting it In its submission to the Regulator on 8 April 2021, RZ references a n and states 'in this instance the landowner was verbally notified of the completed rehabilitation works	) but make no mention inspected the ing <sup>Personal info</sup> is bilitation undertaken neeting on 11/2/2020

## **Compliance Concerns Group: NCG0004511**

landowners on 11/2/20 in Wentworth where rehabilitation along with other general project related issues were discussed'. RZ make NO comment as to whether the landowners were satisfied with rehabilitation at all. - This allegation relies on the word of RZ against the word of the landowner however the landowner did keep detailed notes of the meeting in question and could not possibly state if he was happy with rehab if he was just informed of it being completed. Allegation 2 (NCN 9655) - RZ made full admissions to inaccurately reporting it had rehabilitated 61 AC drill holes when in fact it hadn't. - It would at least be considered reckless rather than intentional in providing the information in the 2020 ERC Report.

Compliance History Contact v0.8