

I oppose the latest version of Modification 1 to the Liverpool Range wind farm.
My principal reason is the pro-developer bias of the Department.
My October, 2022 submission in opposition to Modification 1, V1 (M1V1) was on the basis that the so called modification was obviously a new wind farm.
For some reason the Department was not partial to that argument but instead encouraged, to the proponent's advantage, a modification to a modification.
My position is borne out by the fact we now have hundreds (thousands?) of pages covering the two Modifications with intertwining arguments which we and you have to analyse.

For example, I will guess that most of the latest micro-siting locations exceed those approved by more than the allowed 100 metres. In Modification 1, V2, they don't tell us those distances as they did in Modification 1, V1. I calculated a few. For instance, Turbine A18 was 1030 metres from its approved position in M1V1, but is now 1023 metres in M1V2. (Macro-siting?)

Late on January 30th, the Department advised that the exhibition would commence the next day. The exhibition period was the minimum 14 days. How dare you!
Not being able to do it justice, I stopped there.

Anthony Gardner