

Central-West Orana REZ Transmission - Submission

I refer to the department's request for submissions to the above project and hereby are my concerns;

- 1) Will landowners be forced to have their land acquired and/or become subject to any restrictions on any part of their land under any attempts to secure their land without their approval and agreement?
- 2) Will landowners' lands be impacted in any way during any constructions period? And if so what would be that impact, for how long and what compensation would they get immediately?
- 3) The local mine sites already have a large contingent of workers who have impacted the roads in terms of travelling safety in this area i.e speeding beyond the legal limits, driving dangerously. If the intended workers are the FIFO i.e. fly-in fly-out and drive-in drive-out type workforce what assurances are provided by someone who is must accountable that the increase of workers would not make the situation even worse?
- 4) How will any workers' camp impact on say Turill in terms of traffic, dangerous driving, littering, road kill and road kill clean up?
- 5) What biosecurity measures will be undertaken, enforced and penalised to avoid contamination of the local biodiversity?
- 6) Why is it that only homes up to 2 km from the proposed infrastructure are the only ones considered for visual amenity and no one else is? What's the basis of this decision and what studies have been undertaken to really determine any negative visual impacts.
- 7) It's clear that the rural vista will be greatly affected by the proposed monster towers which will without a doubt have an economic negative impact of any land and house holding. How will this be compensation?
- 8) The consideration of noise impacts is poor and incomplete.
- 9) What consideration has been given to bushfire risks and dry lightning strikes because of the infrastructure?
- 10) The treatment of waste is poorly described in terms of disposal, types, volumes, disposal methodology.
- 11) Where is a proper, full and complete risk assessment? And if not why not?
- 12) Why aren't these transmission lines trenched – and any pathetic response about being too expensive is a mockery when governments of all persuasion at state and federal levels have a long history of 'pissing' monies down the drains without any concerns?
- 13) Why is it that the Energy Co EMF advisor at the Dunedoo session was unable/unwilling to provide any comments on the safe distance for humans and animals and maximum exposure time for the electromagnetic fields radiating from the transmission lines?.

Regards