I wish to formally express my objections to the proposal by Plasrefine Recycling Pty Ltd for the construction and operation of a Plastics Recycling and Reprocessing facility at 74-76 Beaconsfield Road, Moss Vale, identified as SSD-9409987 Monday 30th October 2023.

1. **Scale and Appropriateness**: The sheer scale of this project is disproportionate to the size of Moss Vale, rendering it wholly inappropriate for our small town.

2. **Road Infrastructure Unsuitability**: Complete lack of concern for the safety of other road users by relocating the level crossing particularly the absence of community engagement - impacted business owners located in Douglas and Redfield Road.

3. **Inadequate Road Usage**: Safety concerns, directing heavy vehicles across 3 level crossings, failure to address train movements that will impacts truck movements in and out of the facility, impacts of queuing trucks on both Douglas Road and the new N/S road.

4. **Conflicting Information**: The community has been presented with conflicting information concerning route, truck movements, types of trucks, and load quantities, causing confusion and concern.

5. **Insufficient Noise Information**: The proposal lacks detailed mitigation information about noise impacts, and in the absence of a final design and machinery specifications it is impossible to assess the potential noise impacts, making all supplied nothing more than guess work and assumptions.

6. **Air Quality Concerns**: The absence of details regarding machinery output makes it challenging to evaluate potential air quality impacts, this proposal includes assumptions on air quality impacts that cannot be fully predicted.

7. **Questionable High-Speed Roller Doors**: The proposal's use of high-speed roller doors raises concerns about their suitability for accommodating truck movements and the potential for odours and plastics to escape during unloading.

8. **Operational Management**: The EIS does not adequately address operational management, logistics, or mechanisms to prevent processed flakes or pellets from escaping the facility. Fails to address any truck that arrives with a hot or steamer load - where will these be accommodated, given the fire risk they cannot be allowed inside the buildings to be wet or foamed to reduce fire risk.

9. **Uncertain Employment Opportunities**: Insufficient information is provided about local employment opportunities. The Director's statement about recruiting specialist services from outside Australia and the plant's automated nature further cloud employment prospects for the community.

10. **Poor Community Engagement**: Most community members have had negative interactions with GHD and minimal engagement with Plasrefine Recycling. The community engagement has been lacking and failed to foster a positive relationship. No further engagement regarding the now 4th change is access.

11. **Lack of Public Benefit**: Given the expected negative impacts (as outlined in the SIA by Ethos Urban) on the community and the lack of confidence in the proponent's capabilities to manage a project of this magnitude, it is challenging to identify any public benefit from this proposal.

12. **Environmental Constraints and Water Catchment**: The proposal inadequately considers environmental constraints, particularly the Sydney Water Catchment and the potential worst-case impacts, including discharge of microplastics into the Moss Vale Sewage treatment plant - the councils' responsibility to have to deal with the microplastics at the ratepayer's expense.

In light of these concerns, I firmly believe that this proposal is not suitable for the chosen location and should be **rejected** to preserve the well-being and integrity of our community and environment.