Nestor Tsambos Planning and Assessment Department of Planning and Environment Locked Bag 5022 Parramatta NSW 2124

Submitted online by major projects planning portal

From: name withheld

Dear Ms/Sir

Subject: SSD-23700028: Tallawang Solar Farm

I strongly object to the proposed Tallawang solar works.

My wife and I fell in love with the Gulgong region a few years ago and decided to spend our remaining years here. We bought elevated acreage and built our dream home, with magnificent sweeping views of the hills, some visible up to 20kms away, views of valleys and lagoons. Apart from the fascinating historic town of Gulgong, its frequent events and its country lifestyle, we particularly fell in love with the gorgeous vistas and the abundant varied wildlife. All of this is being stolen from us and other members of our communities. How dare the governments steal our dreams!

Along with several of our neighbours we will all see most of the proposed site of the Tallawang Solar Works from our homes. None of us were directly approached by the developer.

Typical of where we live, not far outside the town, are these few examples of what we all will lose from the tsunami of wind and solar projects invading our surroundings like weeds.





A typical sunset full of birds



These views today to be scarred by more transmission lines, solar & wind works and infrastructure





Abundant wildlife will be driven out or destroyed





I therefore strongly object to the Tallawang Solar Works proposal for the following reasons:

A. The evaluation process is flawed

I have read many EISs and the similarity of all of them is striking. In my opinion, they all use lots qualifying words & phrases so they actually commit to very little, make unsupported claims, promise mitigation actions that are virtually impossible to keep, will not post bonds for the very costly (possibly more than the original project cost) for decommissioning & rehabilitation, have little regard for the local wildlife, return some of the subsidies they receive to landholders and community groups to buy acceptance of their "not fit for purpose" projects, push things to after they get approval (e.g. type and source of the imported solar panels, wind turbines, lithium storage batteries, etc.), will not acknowledge the toxicity in their products, will not acknowledge that they will (as far as possible) use non-Australian labour (typically backpackers), claim they are in it for the long haul but often sell the works, have questionable economics, etc, etc. The DPE must look at the facts and not the marketing spin. If DPE still recommends the project it must be subject to many conditions.

A real life, but not uncommon, example, only 5kms from Gulgong is the 87MWac, 310ha Beryl solar works, which:

- was approved on 5/12/2017; of the 38 community submissions 37 opposed the project and one was a comment. Affected neighbours are still bitter today that the project was approved.
- was constructed over a few months, apparently by 150 200 backpackers bussed in daily from elsewhere; one business owner stated, "they lived off noodles and had several people live a one room"
- was commissioned in May 2019 and reportedly has not yet achieved its forecast output; it
 had major output issues in 2020 due to heavy rain, a lightning strike, inverter damage, other
 component failures and "weather adjustment" issues; the 12 monthly amount of sunlight
 has fallen by over 13% from March 2019 to April 2022
- was built by Downer Group (now withdrawn from the industry) for First Solar, who sold it on 24/7/2018, before completion, to New Energy Solar Ltd (now exited Australia)
- New Energy Solar, who also owned Manildra Solar works, subsequently sold both Beryl and Manildra to Banpu Energy Australia P/L (overseas owner) in June 2021 for A\$97.5m, which was A\$202.9m below the original construction costs; three owners and a huge loss in value in just three years
- the promised tree screening did not take place by any of the owners; Banpu sought in February 2022 an extension of another 3 years to provide the screening to a 3m height
- this year had an equipment fire in June, and was threatened by a nearby grass fire in August that required many emergency vehicles and 3 water-bombing helicopters to bring it under

- control over a 4 hour period. Another grass fire occurred in September on the proposed Tallawang solar works site.
- used the most toxic of all solar panels yet no monitoring of soil and water contamination is required despite being built over two waterways
- Kilometres of high wire fencing have prevented larger animals, such as kangaroos, from grazing and travelling to other breeding locations
- The solar works is visible to some neighbours but especially from the Gulgong's Flirtation Hill Lookout, which will look out over several more approved/proposed solar and wind developments. What will tourists think of the industrialisation of a hundred km2 of what would otherwise have been beautiful and varied rural and mountain views?
- The DPE acknowledged that they do not monitor or enforce the commitments made and it is up to residents to raise non-compliance issues.

B. Cumulative Impact

Within just a few kilometres of Gulgong Beryl solar works exists, Stubbo solar is under construction; planning/EIS's are progressing for Bellambi Heights (Beryl) solar, Tallawang (Beryl) solar, Barneys Reef wind works, Birriwa solar, Transgrid's 500/330Kv transmissions lines and energy hubs. The Stubbo project alone occupies the same area of land as the new Western Sydney airport. All the proposed sites adjoin each other and all but Birriwa will be visible from parts of Gulgong and its surroundings. Slightly further afield are many more built and proposed solar, wind and transmission works.

Just those few projects will have enormous adverse consequences for our town if we are expected to provide resources during the overlapping construction phases, such as from:

- already poor health services that will not cope with an influx of transient workers
- already stretched tourist/visitor accommodation that may disappear all together
- supplying billions of litres of water that will be required for the projects (e.g. dust control, panel cleaning) and workers
- massively increased traffic congestion from both light and heavy vehicles, as well as funding the costs of extra damage to the roads, especially ratepayer funded repairs
- increased cost and demands on our fire and emergency services, as more project related fires that are extremely difficult to contain are inevitable
- years of disruption to our current way of life from huge numbers of transient workers
- increased risk of motoring accidents, grass fires and crime.

From my experience, each EIS and its attachments number over 1000 pages. I sympathise with the DPE staff having to read these, which must be quite boring given they are so similar from project to project.

How then is the DPE going to evaluate each proposal based on the cumulative effect of existing, under construction and proposed and in scoping projects?

Conclusion

In conclusion, I strongly oppose this project because:

my life and mental health, and that of others, are continually disrupted by the having to
consider project after project and I can see that our rural lifestyle and surroundings will be
destroyed by such projects; the DPE must give much more weight to the views and
objections of the community

- the evaluation process has been shown to not properly address the real world damaging consequences of such projects; the DPE must be much more critical of the claims proponents make and the lack of evidence for such claims
- there is virtually no mechanism to ensure all commitments and conditions are satisfactorily performed; this must be addressed; e.g. decommissioning/rehabilitation bonds must apply
- the cumulative impacts of so many huge and intrusive projects on the lives of the people who have to live with the consequences of the approval of "not fit for purpose" projects.

The project should not be recommended for approval.

Yours sincerely A Very Upset Gulgong Resident