



10 August 2022

Sheelagh Laguna
Acting Team Leader
Industry Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment

4 Parramatta Square
12 Darcy Street
Parramatta NSW 2150

Attention: Sheelagh Laguna

RE: PLANNING SUBMISSION IN RELATION TO THE STATE SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION – SSD-11606719

PROPERTY AT: 1 KANGAROO AVENUE, EASTERN CREEK (LOTS 1-2 DP 1145808, LOT 2 DP 1247691, LOT 7 DP 1200048)

Dear Sheelagh,

Reference is made in relation to the State Significant Development (SSD) Application – SSD-11506719 (The Proposal). This submission is made on behalf of Lendlease Real Estate Investment Limited (Lendlease) in relation to the increase in throughput of the existing Eastern Creek Recycling Ecology Park, including the upgrade of supporting site infrastructure at 1 Kangaroo Avenue, Eastern Creek (Lots 1-2 DP 1145808, Lot 2 DP 1247691, Lot 7 DP 1200048) (The Site) located within the Blacktown City Local Government Area (LGA).

1. INTRODUCTION

This Submission seeks to inform objections and provide recommendations to the parties of interest including the NSW Department of Planning and Environment (NSW DPE) in relation to the SSD Application that has been lodged by Dial-A-Dump (EC) Pty Ltd (the Applicant) (as owned by Bingo Industries Pty Ltd) with the NSW DPE.

Lendlease has a landholding in the immediate vicinity of the Site. As such, Lendlease is significantly invested in the area and stands to be directly impacted upon by the Proposal, as outlined in Section 6 of this Submission.

2. PROPOSAL OVERVIEW

The subject SSD-11606719 seeks development consent to increase the throughput of waste at the existing Eastern Creek Recycling Ecology Park from 2,000,000 tonnes per annum (tpa) to 2,950,000 tpa and includes provision for the upgrade of supporting infrastructure, including new exit connections to the Honeycomb Drive extension and Kangaroo Avenue; installation of weighbridges; upgrade of internal roads and carparking; and a new skip bin maintenance and manufacturing workshop. It is proposed to develop the Site in three (3) stages:

- *Stage 1: Initial throughput and onsite upgrades: Stage 1 would comprise 500,000 tpa of additional throughput to be received at the Eastern Creek REP to enhance resource recovery outcomes by increasing utilisation of onsite processing capabilities*

- *Stage 2: Internal site optimisation: Stage 2 would facilitate the remaining throughput increase (an additional 450,000 tpa of the total 950,000 tpa proposed) to be received and processed across the Eastern Creek REP and operation of one of the two proposed new exit connections. Stage 2 would include:*
 - *The construction and operation of a new exit connection to Precinct Road and installation of two associated outbound weighbridges*
 - *The construction and operation of a new exit connection to Kangaroo Avenue in the north-east of the Site and the installation of two associated outbound weighbridges and a dedicated weighbridge office*
 - *Earthworks for Stage 3 site establishment*
 - *Additional carparking and amenities*
- *Stage 3: Installation of supporting infrastructure: Stage 3 would comprise the redevelopment of the north-eastern corner of the Site. This would comprise:*
 - *Construction and operation of a workshop and maintenance shed (relocating this activity from elsewhere within the Site to a dedicated enclosed facility)*
 - *Construction and operation of a skip bin manufacturing and repair workshop*
 - *Installation of landscaping, signage, security fencing and finishing works*

3. APPLICATION ON EXHIBITION

It is understood that the SSD Application has been lodged by the Applicant, which is on public exhibition from Thursday 14 July 2022 until Wednesday 10 August 2022.

4. REVIEW OF THE SSD-11606719 AND SUBMISSION IN OBJECTION

The documentation pertaining to the proposal that has been reviewed, includes (but not limited to):

- Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)
- Traffic Impact Assessment
- Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Report
- Air Quality Impact Assessment
- Project Visualisations
- Community and Stakeholder Engagement Strategy and Outcomes Report

In preparation of this Submission, other accompanying documents were reviewed; however, the above-mentioned documents form the basis of this Submission.

Based on the history of the Site and review of the SSD-11606719 Application and accompanying documents, Lendlease has informed objections with supporting reasons in **Section 6** and has provided recommendations in **Section 7** of this Submission forming an overall objection in relation to the proposal.

5. SITE CONTEXT AND LOCALITY

The Site comprises the existing Eastern Creek Recycling Ecology Park (formerly Genesis Zero Waste Management Facility) which is owned by Bingo Industries Pty Ltd (Bingo). The Site is located at 1 Kangaroo Avenue, Eastern Creek and has a site area of approximately 54 hectares (ha). The Site is currently accessed from Kangaroo Avenue near the intersection with Honeycomb Drive.

The land uses surrounding the Site are primarily existing industrial and commercial land uses to the south, east and north (refer to **Figure 1** overleaf). To the south, east and north of the Site consists of warehouses and logistics providers, 'Cleanaway Eastern Creek Solid Waste Services', Pepkor Eastern Creek Distribution Centre, Best and Less Distribution Centre, Sydney Water site, Kmart Distribution Centre and Bunnings Eastern Creek Distribution Centre.

Directly to the north of the Site includes several industrial properties. Further to the west and north of the Site is the residential neighbourhoods of Erskine Park and Minchinbury, approximately 1km and 500m away, respectively. These areas consist of residential properties, schools, childcare centres, fruit markets, shopping centres including Aldi Australia Head Office (HO) and Sydney Zoo (near the M4 Motorway and Great Western Highway).

The closest residential properties are located approximately 500 metres to the north of the Site in the suburb of Minchinbury.

Specifically, Lendlease has a landholding to the south-east of the Site. It is noted that the land comprises an existing warehouses/industrial facility that is currently in operation.



Figure 1 Site Context and Surrounding Area (Source: Nearmap, 2022)

6. KEY ISSUES

The following key issues have been taken into consideration in making this Submission:

6.1 TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORT

Observations:

The *Traffic Impact Assessment (2022)* prepared by The Transport Planning Partnership (TTPP) for the Proposal has been reviewed and confirms that:

- During the peak construction in Stage 2, the works are estimated to generate in the order of 72 heavy vehicles and 40 light vehicles daily. In Stage 3, the peak construction activities are expected to generate in the order of 24 heavy vehicle and 12 light vehicles per day
- The full material throughput increase of 950,000 tpa would be reached by the end of Stage 2, at which point the total number of additional material transportation vehicles generated by the Proposal would be 283 vehicles per day or 13 vehicles per hour.
- By the end of Stage 3, an additional 70 staff will be employed on the Site, an increase of 38%.
- All intersections operate at a level of service (LoS) A in the AM peak and PM peak periods, with the exception of Wallgrove Road-Wonderland Drive. This intersection operates at LoS B with an average delay per vehicle of 24 seconds in the AM peak hour and LoS C with an average delay of 32 seconds in the PM peak hour

Objection

This objection has been made against the Proposal based on the observations and information provided in the *Traffic Impact Assessment*. There is potential that there will be impact of traffic on the adjoining land uses, including developments along Kangaroo Avenue, Honeycomb Drive and Wonderland Drive due to the proposed works as:

- The existing pavement conditions of the road network have not been considered in the TIA. The need for potential upgrades to Kangaroo Avenue as a result of the increased heavy vehicular movements should be considered as a result of the increased traffic generation requirements. Any required upgrade to the intersection of Kangaroo Avenue and Honeycomb Drive should also be investigated.
- The author of the TIA should elaborate on how the data tabulated in Table 6.4 (Cumulative Impacts) of the TIA has been factored into the SIDRA modelling, including a breakdown of likely hours where the increased traffic throughput would be on the road network, i.e., will this mainly be within the AM and PM peaks, or will it vary throughout the course of the day.
- Table 6.5 in the TIA identifies that by the end of Stage 3, an additional 70 staff will be employed on the Site, an increase of 38%. The start and end of shifts correlate with peak traffic periods, including 6:00am, 3:00pm and 6:00pm. Concern is raised regarding the additional pressure that those vehicular movements will have on the existing traffic situation on key roads, including the intersection of Wonderland Drive and Wallgrove Road, which is heavily congested in the PM peak period with both light and heavy vehicles.
- Table 2-10 of the EIS identifies that some operations at the Site are currently approved on a 24-hour basis, such as operation of the MPC including waste receipt, and the SMA including receipt of segregated materials. Landfill truck deliveries are approved from 5:00am to 9:00pm daily. Concern is raised in relation to an intensification of operations at the Site and the hours of operation should be reviewed.
- Table 2-11 in the EIS identifies that there are currently no REP-wide capacity restraint conditions in relation to the turnover of vehicles depositing waste and collecting product (i.e., the turnaround time required to deposit or pick up product and the number of vehicles that can simultaneously tip. Comprehensive REP-wide conditions should be contemplated, considering the proposal for an intensification of operations at the Site.

- Additional vehicular movements are a significant concern to Lendlease and has the potential to adversely impact on the day-to-day operations of landholdings in the vicinity of the Site that rely on the efficient operation of Wallgrove Road and Wonderland Drive. As such, it is recommended that a peer review of the TIA submitted with the Proposal should be undertaken.
- The planned extension of Honeycomb Drive to the south to Old Wallgrove Road (as described in the TIA) will provide an additional egress point for those sites in the western part of the business park. This could help alleviate traffic issues on Wonderland Drive and should be expedited.
- Similarly, the planned extension of Archibald Road (as described in the TIA) to the north to the Western Motorway would provide additional access points for the Site near the proposed carpark and should be expedited.
- Further consideration should be given to the proposed Stage 2 works not being commenced until after the Honeycomb Road and/or Archibald Road extensions take place, in order to alleviate potential impacts in the area surrounding the Site. This will provide additional connections to the road network to accommodate the increased construction traffic, waste delivery vehicles and additional staff involved with Stages 2 and 3. This will also alleviate pressure on the Wallgrove Road-Wonderland Drive intersection and reduce delays.

6.2 NOISE AND VIBRATION

Observations

The *Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Report (2022)* prepared by Wilkinson Murray for the Proposal has been reviewed and confirms that:

- There are sensitive residential receivers in Minchinbury and Erskine Park residential areas, located within approximately 400m north of the Site and approximately 1 km west of the Site, respectively.
- Industrial receivers in Eastern Creek are located in close proximity to the north, east, south and west of the Site, including where Lendlease's landholding is located.
- There are commercial receivers located to the east and south-east of the Site in which Lendlease's landholding is located, in addition to Woolworths, Aldi and Startrack distribution centres approximately 1 km north of the Site.
- Construction activities are not proposed to be outside of normal construction hours.
- Modelling has considered earthworks to remove amenity berms at the Site, in a staged manner.

The *SSD-11606719 Environmental Impact Statement* prepared by Arcadis Australia Pacific Pty Limited (Arcadis) for the Proposal has been reviewed and outlines that the Site is in close proximity of sensitive residential receivers to the north of the Site (refer to Figure 3).




Figure 2 Assessment locations (Source: SSD-11606719 Environmental Impact Statement)

Objection

This objection has been made against the Proposal based on the observations and information provided in the *Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Report*. There is potential that there will be impact of noise on the adjoining land owned by Lendlease due to the proposed works as:

- The noise associated with the intensification of the use of the Site will impact on the acoustic amenity of the workers in the existing commercial and industrial facilities in the area, including the Lendlease owned land.
- It is unclear whether the road traffic noise analysis considers the proposal in its respective stages. This should be clarified in the NVIA.
- It is noted that the approved hours of operation of the REP involves 24 hours operation for certain components of the operation, as well as truck deliveries from 5:00am to 9:00pm. The

approved hours of operation should be revisited based on reconsidered given the throughput increase.

- The Proposal involves the removal of the amenity berms along Kangaroo Avenue. As the Community and Stakeholder Engagement Strategy and Outcomes Report (CSESOR) prepared by WSP / Elton Consulting identifies, nearby landowners have previously raised concern with Bingo regarding the removal of the amenity berms. Lendlease would appreciate the opportunity to have ongoing engagement with Bingo regarding potential replacement amenity devices in this location. Consideration should be given to further amenity berms or screening along the south-eastern corner of the Site.
- Sensitive educational receivers such as James Erskine Primary School and Erskine Park High School located to the west of the Site, as well as Minchinbury Public School to the north of the Site have not been identified.
- Construction noise is not predicted to be outside of standard hours. The potential for some required night works on the Site has not been appropriately considered in the *Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Report* and the potential impact on residential and other sensitive land uses.

6.3 AIR QUALITY AND ODOUR

Observations:

The Air Quality Impact Assessment (AQIA) (2022) prepared by EMM for the Proposal has been reviewed and confirms that:

- The maximum number of additional days above the 24-hour average PM10 impact assessment criterion at a commercial assessment location is 28 for Stage 1 operations.
- The maximum number of additional days above the 24-hour average PM2.5 impact assessment criterion at a commercial assessment location is 3 for Stage 1 operations.
- The maximum number of additional days above the 24-hour average PM10 impact assessment criterion at a commercial assessment location is five for Stage 2 operations and there is one commercial assessment location above the annual average impact assessment criterion for Stage 2 operations
- The maximum number of additional days above the 24-hour average PM2.5 impact assessment criterion at a commercial assessment location is two for Stage 2 operations. The existing background for annual average PM2.5 is already above the impact assessment criterion.
- It is noted that the existing REP has a history of odour complaints recently, including three (3) complaints resulting in clean up notices issued by the EPA in 2021.
- The Proposal involves the removal of the amenity berms in the north-eastern corner, along Kangaroo Avenue. Consideration should be given to the suitable replacement of such amenity safeguards.
- The dominant winds are from the south and south-west.
- It is noted in the AQIA that adjacent commercial receptors are considered less sensitive to air pollution than residential receptors.

Objection

This objection has been made against the Proposal based on the observations and information provided in the *Air Quality Impact Assessment*. It is considered that there will be potential impacts

pertaining to poor air quality and odour on the Lendlease landholding and surrounding receivers due to the Proposal as:

- Dominant winds are from the south-west. The adjoining land owned by Lendlease is located directly to the east of the Site.
- As the Community and Stakeholder Engagement Strategy and Outcomes Report identifies, adjoining landowners have previously raised concern with Bingo regarding dust from the Site operations and dust tracking from vehicles. Lendlease shares this concern and would appreciate further engagement on this matter.
- As defined by the NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA), sensitive receptors are any locations that may be affected where people are likely to work or reside (NSW Department of Environment and Conservation 2005). It is therefore important to ensure the potential risks posed by the Proposal are suitably mitigated in accordance with the NSW EPA emission standards.
- It is noted in the AQIA that adjacent commercial receptors are considered less sensitive to air pollution than residential receptors. The Lendlease owned land located directly adjacent to the east of the Site employs a significant number of staff who could potentially be exposed to poor air quality and odour for long periods of time as a direct result of the increased throughput. It is noted that the southern portion of the Site does not contain any amenity berms or screening, as well as containing minimal vegetation. Given the proposed intensification of activities at the Site, the potential for amenity screening and increased vegetation to the south-eastern part of the Site should be considered.
- The Site is located within close proximity to the residential, educational and commercial receivers located to the north, as well as industrial and employment lands in the immediate vicinity. It is questionable whether an intensification of the use of the Site is suitable given its location.
- Due to a record of the received complaints previously, there is potential that an intensification of the use of the Site will produce long-term negative impacts including poor air quality and odour. The impact of this on the property market in the vicinity of the Site in general and particularly to the surrounding industrial lands including the Lendlease owned land could be devastating.
- Given the increase in maximum number of additional days above the 24-hour average PM10 impact assessment criterion at a commercial assessment location for Stage 1 and Stage 2 works, a peer review of the *Air Quality Impact Assessment* is recommended. Appropriate measures should be further investigated to reduce the extent of impact.
- It is recommended that the existing Environmental Management Strategy (EMS) and the Air Quality Odour and Greenhouse Gas Management Plan (AQOGHGMP) for the Eastern Creek Reba reviewed in light of the proposed throughput increase.
- Should the Proposal be granted consent to proceed, ongoing community engagement should be undertaken to demonstrate that any emissions are within the NSW EPA criteria threshold limits and would not pose a risk to human health.

6.4 LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL AMENITY

A Visual Impact Assessment has been carried out for the Proposal and is detailed in the EIS prepared by Arcadis. A package of 'Project Visualisations' is provided in Appendix T.

Observations:



The visual impact assessment within the EIS prepared by Arcadis for the Site has been reviewed and states that:

- Based on the surrounding landforms and land uses, the areas within the Eastern Creek industrial precinct have been identified as a key potential area where the Proposal may be visible from. This viewpoint is important with respect to the Lendlease landholding on Eucalyptus Place.
- Said viewpoint from Kangaroo Avenue is currently defined by an amenity berm that is located within the Eastern Creek REP to the east along Kangaroo Avenue.
- Screen planting and perimeter fencing would be used along the eastern perimeter of the Site, between the Maintenance and Manufacturing Workshop and Kangaroo Avenue to provide a natural visual barrier.

It is considered that there will be potential visual impacts on the Lendlease landholding due to the proposed works as:

Objection

- The Project Visualisations fails to accurately illustrate the existing view of the Site from Kangaroo Avenue, which is currently successfully screened by the landscaped amenity berms. The Project Visualisations do not indicate any level changes and suggests that, with the exception of the former quarry area, the Site is generally flat. This is incorrect and misleading.
- The VIA states that screen planting a perimeter fencing would be used along the eastern perimeter of the Site. The Project Visualisations prepared for the Site illustrate large retaining walls near the entrance to the Site from Kangaroo Avenue with some perimeter planting to obscure these structures. The Civil Works Package drawings provided with the application indicate a 10.5m high retaining wall along the eastern boundary of the site. However, the Landscape Plan submitted with the Proposal at Appendix R does not correlate with the landscaping illustrated on the visualisations and does not show any planting to the west of the detention basin to screen that retaining wall.
- As noted in the (CSESOR), nearby landholders have previously raised concern with Bingo regarding the removal of the amenity berms from a visual impact perspective. Lendlease would appreciate the opportunity to have ongoing engagement with Bingo regarding potential replacement amenity devices in this location. The potential for an angled entrance to the Site to retain parts of the amenity berms should be considered.
- While industrial land uses are considered to be low sensitivity visual settings, the built form of the Proposal is to be appropriately designed to provide visual relief for the surrounding industrial land uses. It is recommended that further consideration with respect to increased provision of landscaping should be given, including potential to offer increased landscaping to help ameliorate potential visual impacts.

6.5 SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACT

An assessment of the social-economic impacts of the Proposal are provided in the EIS prepared by Arcadis for the SSD.

Observations

- It is noted that DPE did not identify any SEARs relating to socio-economic impacts. Given the nature of the Proposal, Lendlease considers this to be a significant consideration and should be included in the SEARs.
- It is mentioned in the EIS that *“businesses along Kangaroo Avenue may experience amenity impacts associated with increased traffic utilisation of the roadway, if left unmitigated... Proposed upgrades (such as the development of the Honeycomb Drive extension) to the connectivity of the surrounding road network would likely alleviate potential impacts in the area immediately surrounding the Site.”*
- Stage 2 operations would not commence until the construction of one of the new proposed exit connections either to the Honeycomb Drive extension or Kangaroo Avenue has been completed.

Objection

This objection has been made against the Proposal based on the observations and information provided in the EIS. Due to the cumulative impacts of increased traffic, noise, air emission and odour, there will be potential long-term negative impacts on the economic feasibility of the surrounding industrial and employment lands in Eastern Creek and Erskine Park. It is considered that further justification is required to confirm the forecasted market trends for these areas, which will ensure from a socio-economic standpoint, that the Proposal is in the public interest, whereby the socio-economic impacts would far outweigh the negative impacts.

The following factors have been considered to outline the reasons for the objection against the Proposal.

- Further consideration should be given to the proposed Stage 2 works not being commenced until after the Honeycomb Road and/or Archibald Road extensions take place, so as to alleviate potential impacts in the area immediately surrounding the Site. This will provide additional connections to the road network to accommodate the increased construction traffic, waste delivery vehicles and additional staff involved with Stages 2 and 3.
- The proposed intensification of the use of the Site will not promote ongoing economic benefits to the local community. Potential traffic, noise, poor air quality and odour impacts will lead to adverse impacts on the amenity of the surrounding employment lands. This could result in a poor working environment for workers and ultimately jeopardise the employment-generating ability of the Eastern Creek and Erskine Park localities.
- The EIS and supporting documents do not reflect any modelling results satisfying that the Proposal will not depreciate the property value of the surrounding suburbs in the event of any emissions or noncompliance as a result of the increased throughput.

7. PUBLIC INTEREST

Observations

This EIS prepared by Arcadis for the Proposal has concluded that the Proposal should proceed as it would result in no significant long term adverse impacts to the environment or local community and is in the public interest.

Objection

This objection has been made against the Proposal based on the observations and information provided in the EIS and supporting documents submitted with SSD-11606719. The Proposal does not align with the public interest based on the following reasons.

- Reference should be made in relation to **Section 6.1 – Traffic and Transport** of this Submission, for which it is considered that there is uncertainty about potential impacts on the Lendlease owned land. Further detail in relation to the increased heavy vehicular traffic on Kangaroo Avenue during construction and intensified operation of the Site is required as outlined in the submission above. Furthermore, the existing condition of the road network has not been considered in the TIA.
- Reference should be made in relation to **Section 6.2 – Noise and Vibration** of this Submission, for which it is considered that given the Site's location within close proximity to residential, educational, and commercial receivers and employment lands in the immediate vicinity, the potential for impacts on those land uses is significant. Furthermore, the approved hours of operation for the REP should be reconsidered as part of the assessment of the Proposal.
- Reference should be made in relation to **Section 6.3 – Air Quality and Odour** of this Submission, for which it is considered that there are potential impacts of poor air quality and odour due to the Sites record of received complaints previously. There is probability that there will be long-term negative impacts of poor air quality and odour on the feasibility of the industrial and employment lands in the vicinity of the Site in general and particularly to the properties owned by Lendlease.
- Reference should be made in relation to **Section 6.4 – Landscape and Visual Amenity** of this Submission, for which it is considered that insufficient consideration has been given to the visual presentation of the Site as a result of the removal of the amenity berms along the eastern extent of the Site and limited landscaping.
- Reference should be made in relation to **Section 6.5 – Socio-Economic Impact** of this Submission, for which it is considered that due to the cumulative impacts of air emission and odour, there will be potential long-term negative impacts on the employment-generating ability of the industrial lands.

Accordingly, through consideration of the abovementioned sections, it is considered that the Proposal in its current state is not in the public interest. This is due to a culmination of various parameters that appear to be negatively impacting on the community's needs; interests; and long-term socio-economic status with respect to human health, wellbeing and productivity. If the Proposal were to proceed, the following recommendations should be considered in order to reduce the potential risks to human health and economic viability.

8. RECOMMENDATION

Lendlease provides the following recommendations for the Proposal:

- It is recommended that the Response to Submissions consider the Proposal's consistency in respect of the Section 1.3 (Objects of the Act) under the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*.
- It is recommended that a peer review of the TIA submitted with the Proposal should be undertaken.

- Given the potential for detrimental traffic impacts due to increased heavy vehicle movements, it is recommended that an Operational Traffic Management Plan or an amendment to any existing Management Plan be procured by the Applicant for consideration as part of the subject Application. This should include a traffic monitoring plan undertaken on a quarterly basis to ensure the traffic generation of the site is consistent with the findings proposed.
- The TIA should be amended to investigate and provide comments in relation to the existing condition of the roads nearby and whether any road surface and pavement upgrades are required in the future as a result of increased traffic generation.
- Further consideration should be given to the proposed Stage 2 works not being commenced until after the Honeycomb Road and/or Archibald Road extensions take place, so as to alleviate potential impacts in the area surrounding the Site.
- It is recommended that further consideration with respect to increased provision of landscaping should be given to help ameliorate potential visual impacts through deep soil landscaping.
- Further investigation should be undertaken into alternative options for access to the site to limit the removal of the amenity berms. Further stakeholder engagement should be carried out, particularly with Lendlease, in relation to the removal of the amenity berms and potential replacement alternatives.
- If the Proposal is approved, ongoing community and stakeholder engagement should form part of the Conditions of Consent to ensure stakeholders and landowners are continually informed of the Proposal to ensure emissions are below the relevant threshold criteria at all times. Further consultation should be undertaken to explain the ongoing odour issues at the site, as the community concerns demonstrate that this is still an ongoing issue.
- It is recommended that the approved 24-hour operation of components of the REP should be reconsidered given the throughput increase and intensification of use on the Site.

9. CONCLUSION

On behalf of Lendlease, this Submission has sought to inform objections and provide recommendations to the DPE about the subject SSD Application.

In summary, Lendlease objects to the Proposal on the following grounds:

- Further investigation into potential impacts on traffic in the vicinity of the Site, including increased heavy vehicle movements during construction and intensified operation of the Site, the condition of existing road surfaces, and any required intersection upgrades is required.
- Further consideration should be given to the proposed Stage 2 works not being commenced until after the Honeycomb Road and/or Archibald Road extensions take place, so as to alleviate potential traffic impacts in the area surrounding the Site.
- The closest sensitive receivers, such as schools and residential areas of Minchinbury and Erskine Park and employment lands are located within close proximity to the Site. There will be potential impacts on the human health of the general public due to the Proposal.



- The Proposal will not promote ongoing economic benefits to the local community. It is considered that given the potential for traffic impacts, excessive noise, poor air quality and odour events, poor visual amenity and socio-economic outcomes, the Proposal could have a detrimental impact on the property value of the surrounding properties and employment generating uses.
- There is potential for visual impacts to occur given the Site's proximity to the Lendlease owned land.

Yours faithfully,

For and on behalf of
LENLEASE REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS LIMITED

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Sabrina Sha", is positioned above the printed name.

Sabrina Sha
Investment Manager – Australian Prime Property Fund Industrial