

*This objection is from Marjorie Griffiths, 97 years, whose eyesight is declining and has dictated it on 20/5/2022 to her daughter, Frances Chegwiddden.*

I spent a lot of my early life at Kentucky so know the landscape well. My great grandfather, John Jones, discovered gold at Rocky River nearby.

Wind turbines are so different to the environment, to the shape of trees. They are ugly and unAustralian. When i first saw them I thought how unsightly they were. Nothing like them grows here. They are nothing like solar panels which are preferable because they're low down or attached to buildings and silent.

These turbines will be noisy especially when there's a lot of them.

Why are they called farms when they're nothing to do with farming? They'd be better to be called wind mines. Mines have an an effect on the landscape that is similar to putting up these turbines.

A lot of pollution is caused by their manufacture. When they're worn out, fibreglass doesn't disintegrate so their disposal will consume a lot of energy.

And then our birds — what effect will the turning blades and noise have on our birds?

And how will the company keep down the growth around them so we're not burnt out when the climate is drying out and fires, like the one at Bendemeer, are more common?

Marjorie Griffiths