

I object to this proposal and ask that it be rejected on the following grounds.

The development is too bulky for its location and its design does not fit in with its surrounding character and won't be likely to in the future. Due to its overwhelming of the site filling it to capacity, compounded by its positioning on top of the hill it will dwarf all around it and over shadow and reduce privacy and amenity unreasonably around it. Further its premise for additional scope on the grounds of providing affordable housing is a falsehood. Even with reduced rent to specified levels, the "affordable apartments" will not be affordable for those for whom such housing is intended. It will also not be in perpetuity but for a limited time, unlike the bulk and visual impact of the building. This development is oversized and misrepresents its affordability in return for excessive height and developer profit. For these reasons and more this development needs to be rejected. I reserve the right to add addendum to this submission.