

To those concerned with the proposal identified as **SSD-122745741**

Thank you for considering public comment. I am motivated to engage from my view that our collective wellbeing is dependent on the environment we live within.

The documentation as exhibited does not appear to include a BDAR-waiver, but instead includes a BDAR waiver request/application (Ecologique, Appendix DD). This document has, perhaps confusingly, been labelled and referred to as a "BDAR waiver" in the exhibited documentation.

Please note I am presuming that all of the relevant documentation referred to Appendix A - SEARs Compliance Table as being in Appendix DD (e.g. at page 10), has in fact been included in the exhibition at Appendix DD.

The planning authority may be cognisant of the distinction between the role of a consulting firm engaged by a development proponent, and the role of the Environmental Agency Head. The differences between these entities may indeed be multi-faceted, and may include a specific disparity in their respective ability to grant a BDAR-waiver in relation to the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* subsection 7.9 (2).

In general, I encourage you to determine development proposals with respect for the legal and ecological systems which support our collective wellbeing.

Kind Regards
Samuel Cooper