|   | (                         | $\Big)$ |           |                 |
|---|---------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|
| Project Name – Sydney Metro West Lend   | dlease EIS Response S     | Sub     | mission   | Date25/6/20     |
| EIS Submission  |                           |         |           |                 |
| Name of Project – Sydney Metro West – Westmead to The Bays and Sydney CBD – C | oncept and Stage 1        |         | $\lambda$ |                 |
|   |                           |         |           |                 |
| Full name<br>Greg Arnold - General Manager Transport                          | Signature/s               | Y       | W         | Date<br>25/6/20 |
| Primary contact name  | Organisation              | Ŋ       | · ·       |                 |
| Greg Arnold - General Manager Transport                                       | Lendlease Building        |         |           |                 |
| Postal address  | Phone number              |         |           |                 |
| Level 14, Tower Three, International Towers Sydney                            | 0417472183                | V       |           |                 |
| Exchange Place, 300 Barangaroo Avenue   |                           | V       |           |                 |
| Barangaroo NSW 2000 Australia   | Email address             |         |           |                 |
|   | greg.arnold@lendlease.com |         |           |                 |

|   | EIS section and topic                               | Comment   | Recommendation   |
|---|---|---|--|
| 1 | <b>3.7.4</b><br>Tunnel Construction<br>Alternatives | Whilst not specifically stated in the EIS we assume the tunnelling<br>methodology for the Eastern Tunnelling Package (ETP) will have the<br>TBM launch site at the Bays precinct. With the Tunnelling & Station<br>Excavation (TSE) package procurement strategy potentially having<br>different contractors delivering the Central Tunnelling Packages (CTP)<br>and the ETP this could mean that the commencement of the ETP<br>tunnelling may have to wait till after the CTP scope is completed<br>(around Q3/4 2024).<br>This approach will put the eastern TSE, Line-wide and Station scope on<br>the project critical path. | <ul> <li>Launching the CTP TBM's from Sydney Olympic Park instead of Bays may deliver the following benefits -:</li> <li>ETP tunnelling could commence concurrently with the CTP tunnelling in Q3/4 2022 building in programme float for the following works and potentially facilitate day 1 activation for the Bays Precinct and CBD stations by providing programme time to deliver OSD or associated development.</li> <li>Pressure relief on the Bays precinct which is highly constrained from a road access perspective with other interfacing projects also using the precinct. (e.g. WestConnex, MUF, Rozelle, Western Harbour Tunnel etc)</li> <li>The Clyde segment manufacturing plant would be only 4.5km away from the CTP TBM launch site instead or 16.5km.</li> <li>Reduced long term operational noise &amp; vibration exposure for Bay Precinct.</li> </ul> |
| 2 | 6.7.3<br>Sydney Olympic Park<br>Metro Station       | The concept description for SOP has two entry points - one between<br>Herb Elliot Ave and Figtree Drive and the second at Dawn Fraser<br>Avenue. The second entry appears to connect to a subterranean<br>access beneath the Abattoir heritage precinct. This would mean a 100m<br>long tunnel from the northern end of the station box to the exit /entry<br>point.  | Entry and exit portals to the SOP station could be configured to deliver an improved journey<br>experience for passengers by minimising underground tunnels and integrating access<br>points with the broader SOP Central Precinct masterplan (yet to be developed).<br>Benefits would include -:<br>Enhanced passenger experience<br>Improved public safety<br>Improved wayfinding<br>SOP Central Precinct activation<br>Reduced risk to heritage precinct during construction<br>Cost savings  |

| Project Name – Sydney Metro West Lendlease El |   | ase EIS Response Submission  | Date25/6/20  |   |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| 3   | 6.7.7<br>The Bays Station   | The concept description for The Bays Station has only one e<br>near the future Bays Waterfront Promenade. (presumably ac<br>White Bay Power Station)<br>With the Bays Station centrally located within a state significa<br>covering around over 80 Hectares with long term plans for si<br>urban renewal, we would encourage Sydney Metro to consid<br>entry/exit portals to better serve the long-term requirements o<br>broader precinct. | jacent the<br>finalised NSW Government's Ba<br>Benefits would include -:<br>Improved connectivity<br>Island Bridge and Bays<br>Improved connectivity   | tern end of the Station coordinated with the yet to be<br>ays Precinct Transformation Plan.<br>with the Bays Waterfront Promenade adjacent the Glebe<br>s Market District<br>with the Rozelle Precinct<br>vith wider precinct planning framework  |
| 2   | 9.5.10 & 9.6.7<br>The Bays Station<br>Construction Site &<br>Road Network | We note that the Port Access Road is planned to be relocate<br>stage 1 (subject to a sperate planning approval process). We<br>acknowledge the need for this road realignment during the co<br>phase of the project but if intended as a permanent solution<br>concerned with the long-term impact of this for the White Bay<br>particularly its impact on the Power Station and adjacent dev<br>opportunities.                              | Environmental Factors) is consist<br>permanent feature so as not to<br>outcome.<br>Verecinct We also note that the Jacobs T<br>allowance for concurrent Rozell<br>concurrently over the period fro | Port Access Road realignment (as detailed in the Review of idered and designed as a temporary solution rather than a constrain the long-term optimal precinct development ransport and Traffic Assessment makes no specific le Precinct construction activity (likely to be developed m mid-2022 to 2029) when assessing Cumulative on 5.6 / Appendix C / The Bays road relocation works – rs). |