

Appendix E3

Contamination -
Dredging and
disposal area





Australian Industrial Energy
Port Kembla Gas Terminal
Sediment Contamination Assessment Report

November 2018

Executive summary

Australian Industrial Energy (AIE) proposes to develop the Port Kembla Gas Terminal (the project) in Port Kembla, New South Wales (NSW). The project involves the development of a liquefied natural gas (LNG) import terminal including a Floating Storage and Regasification Unit (FSRU) moored at Berth 101 in the Inner Harbour, visiting LNG carriers, wharf offloading facilities and the installation of new pipeline to connect to the existing gas transmission network.

The development of the facility would require dredging and excavation of the sediments off Berth 101 in order to accommodate the FRSU and visiting LNG carriers. The material removed during dredging off Berth 101, would be disposed of on the south side of the Outer Harbour in a designated reclamation area. This report provides the results of the contamination assessment of the sediments from the proposed dredging area and the sediments in the proposed Outer Harbour reclamation area.

The objectives of the assessment were to:

- Assess the likely contamination based on previous marine sediment investigations.
- Assess the sediments and contamination of the proposed dredging area off Berth 101.
- Assess the sediments and contamination of the sediments likely to be removed for construction of the bund around the proposed disposal area.
- Assess the potential presence of Acid Sulphate Soils (ASS)

Background information

Port Kembla was developed in the late 1800's to service the coal industry in the Illawarra region, and has since serviced a variety of industries. Since that time several capital dredging campaigns have been undertaken to facilitate the development of shipping berths such as Berth 103, 105 and 107. Maintenance dredging activities are undertaken less frequently, with the last port wide maintenance dredging campaign carried out in 1986. Management of declared depths is primarily managed through annual sweep dredging (i.e. bed levelling using a sweep bar). These operations result in repeated mobilisation of sediments from within the channel and berth areas.

The site, for investigation of marine sediment contamination, consists of two investigation areas. One comprising the waters off Berth 101 and another area in the Outer Harbour, where the dredge sediment will be disposed of as part of harbour reclamation works.

Several investigations have previously been undertaken to assess the contamination of the marine sediments in Port Kembla Harbour. Based on the information obtained during the background information review, the following points are noted:

- Commonly two main sedimentary units were identified with a soft silty clay layer overlying a stiffer clay layer.
- The upper soft silty clays were contaminated throughout all sampling areas.
- Heavy metals commonly exceeded the screening levels for cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, nickel, mercury and zinc.
- Tributyltin (TBT), dioxins and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) were reported above the nominated guidelines in several studies

Sampling approach

Fresh sampling for the project was completed in October 2018 and included seven sampling locations within the dredge footprint off Berth 101 and two locations at the reclamation area including vibracoring (five locations) and hand coring (four locations). As a result of weather conditions, the sampling approach was revised for the second day of sampling as vibracoring was not considered a safe option due to heavy rain conditions.

Sampling locations were selected at random from a grid of the area for the area of Berth 101 and to target the outer edge of the reclamation area.

Key findings

Two main sedimentary units were identified in the dredge footprint at Berth 101 comprising a soft silty clay layer overlying a stiffer clay layer. Sediments encountered at the disposal area were stratigraphically different to Berth 101, predominantly comprising black-brown clayey silt.

The sediment sampling program was limited owing to weather conditions and the need to revise the sampling approach during the course of the works. Whilst the depth of sampling was limited to approximately 0.7 metres for some locations, no obvious vertical trend in contaminant concentration with depth was noted in sediment cores collected from the dredge footprint at Berth 101 where shallow (0-0.5) and underlying samples were analysed.

Elevated metal concentrations were reported above the nominated screening levels in the dredge footprint at both Berth 101 and the disposal area. Other contaminants of potential concern, including PAH, TBT and hydrocarbons reported 95% UCL average concentrations below the nominated screening levels in the dredge area at Berth 101.

With the exception of one sampling location at the disposal area (REA01-1-1.5), concentrations of heavy metals were generally consistent between the Berth 101 dredging area and disposal area. Some metals, notably lead, mercury and zinc, were an order of magnitude higher in sample REA01_1-1.5 than other samples. With the exception of one sample (REA01_1-1.5), concentrations of PAH, TBT and TPH in the disposal area were largely consistent with data reported for the dredge area. Statistical evaluation of the dataset from the disposal area was not considered valid based on the variability of material encountered and number of sampling locations and as such individual results were reviewed with reference to the screening criteria. Concentrations of PAH and TPH in sample REA01-1.1.5 exceeded the NAGD (2009) screening levels.

Dioxin levels were largely consistent across the two sampling areas with the sediments from the Berth 101 dredge footprint and disposal area reporting WHO TEQ_(0.5 LOR) of 9.4 ppt and 12.2 ppt respectively. Whilst Australian guidelines for dioxins are not currently available, these levels are within the range of background concentrations reported for Australian sediments (Muller et al., 2004) and consistent with the mean WHO TEQ_(0.5 LOR) reported by Worley Parsons (2012) of 15.4 ppt.

Analytical results were generally consistent with those reported previously by others including AECOM (2010) and Worley Parsons (2012). No new contaminants of potential concern were identified at levels exceeding screening criteria during the current investigation. Elutriate testing was not completed during the current investigation. However, based on the comparison of data with previous sampling events, the results of elutriate testing reported by AECOM (2010), Worley Parsons (2012) and Geochemical Assessments (2013) are considered relevant to these works and likely indicative of current conditions.

Consistent with the findings of previous investigations including AECOM (2010), Worley Parsons (2012) and Geochemical Assessments (2013), the results indicate the presence of PASS and potential acid generating capacity of the sediments.

Conclusions

Overall, the findings of the investigation indicate the presence of contaminated sediments within the proposed dredging and disposal areas. Concentrations of contaminants of concern were largely consistent across the two areas, with concentrations of heavy metals exceeding the screening criteria in both the Berth 101 dredge area and disposal area. PAH and hydrocarbons were reported above the screening criteria in one sediment sample collected from the disposal area.

With reference to potential impacts on the project, the following points are noted:

- The project will involve dredging of sediments from Berth 101 and emplacement within the disposal area. Contaminated sediments will be placed within the perimeter bund of the disposal area and capped with clean sediments. Details for the management of this process will be documented in the dredge management plan.
- There is the potential for mobilisation of contaminants, notably heavy metals, into the water column during dredging activities. Based on review of the information obtained during this investigation, and the findings of previous investigations, the following points are noted:
 - Elutriate testing completed by Worley Parsons (2012) indicates that whilst concentrations of heavy metals may have been reported above the screening levels in sediments, concentrations of dissolved metals in elutriate waters were below the ANZECC trigger levels for 95% protection of species.
 - Bioavailability testing indicates that some heavy metals, notably cadmium, chromium copper, lead and zinc, have the potential to be bioavailable to marine organisms within the sediments.
 - The potential bioavailability of contaminants, including detailed review of existing available data, will be considered during development of the dredge management strategy and in the implementation of the dredge management plan.
- Contaminated sediments will be placed within the perimeter bund of the disposal area and capped with clean sediments. Details for the management of this process will be documented in the dredge management plan.
- Dredging activities will result in the suspension of sediments, potentially remobilising contamination into the water column. Mitigation measures to minimise impacts to receiving waters may include the use of a turbidity curtain to restrict the generation of turbidity plumes and localise any water quality issues. Details of these mitigation measures, including the approach for surface water monitoring, will be outlined in the dredge management plan.
- The results of the sediment sampling program indicate PASS conditions are present within the dredge footprint. An Acid Sulphate Soil Management Plan (ASSMP) will be prepared in line with the requirements of the *Acid Sulphate Soils Management Advisory Committee Guidelines* (ASSMAC, August 1998 and as updated). The ASSMP will be prepared to identify, manage and treat the PASS encountered during dredging to minimise the production of acid leachate. The dredging strategy will be designed to limit the timeframe for potential for oxidisation of the sediments. The potential for ASS generation would reduce greatly due to sediments being transferred to the disposal area immediately after dredging, limiting time for oxidation.

This report is subject to, and must be read in conjunction with, the limitations set out in Section 1.5 and the assumptions and qualifications contained throughout the Report.

List of Acronyms

| Abbreviation | Description |
|----------------|--|
| AIE | Australian Industrial Energy |
| ANZECC/ARMCANZ | Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council/Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand |
| ASS | Acid sulphate soils |
| ASSMAC | Acid Sulfate Soils Management Advisory Committee |
| AVS/SEM | Acid volatile sulfide/simultaneously extracted metals |
| BTEX | benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene |
| CCME | Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment |
| COC | Chain of custody |
| CRS | Chromium reducible sulphur |
| DECC | Department of Environment Climate Change |
| DEMP | Dredging Environmental Management Plan |
| ECGP | East Coast Gas Project |
| FSRU | Floating Storage and Regasification Unit |
| LNG | Liquefied natural gas |
| LOR | Limit of reporting |
| NAGD | National Assessment Guidelines for Dredging (2009) |
| NEPC | National Environment Protection Council |
| NODGDM | National Ocean Disposal Guidelines for Dredged Material (EA 2002) |
| OCP | Organochlorine pesticides |
| PAH | Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons |
| PASS | Potenital acid sulphate soils |
| PCB | Polychlorinated biphenol |
| PID | Photo-ionization detector |
| PSD | Particle size distribution |
| RAP | Remedial action plan |
| SOP | Standard operating procedure |
| SPOCAS | Suspension peroxide oxidation combined acidity and sulphur |
| TBT | Tributyltin |
| TCLP | Toxic characteristic leaching procedure |
| TEQ | Toxic equivalent quantity |
| TOC | Total organic carbon |
| TRH | Total recoverable hydrocarbons |
| UCL | Upper confidence limit |
| USCS | Unified Soil Classification System |

Table of contents

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 1. | Introduction | 7 |
| 1.1 | Background | 7 |
| 1.2 | Objectives | 7 |
| 1.3 | Scope of work | 7 |
| 1.4 | Basis for assessment | 8 |
| 1.5 | Limitations | 8 |
| 2. | Site setting | 10 |
| 2.1 | Overview | 10 |
| 2.2 | The site | 10 |
| 3. | Existing information | 13 |
| 3.1 | Previous sediment investigations | 13 |
| 3.2 | Summary previous investigations | 20 |
| 4. | Methodology | 21 |
| 4.1 | Sediment sampling event | 21 |
| 4.2 | Sediment sampling and core logging methodology | 21 |
| 4.3 | Data evaluation | 23 |
| 5. | Results | 25 |
| 5.1 | Subsurface conditions | 25 |
| 5.2 | Data validation | 26 |
| 5.3 | Analytical results summary | 27 |
| 6. | Conclusions | 34 |
| 6.1 | Summary findings | 34 |
| 6.2 | Conclusions | 35 |
| 7. | References | 37 |

Table index

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 1 – Summary of cores | 21 |
| Table 2 – Summary analytical results – Metal concentrations at Berth 101 | 27 |
| Table 3 – Summary analytical results – Metal concentrations at disposal area | 30 |
| Table 4- Dioxin summary results – Total TEQ | 32 |

Appendices

Appendix A - Figures

Appendix B - Summary of Lab Results

Appendix C – Core Logs

Appendix D – Field Documentation

Appendix E - Laboratory Documentation

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Australian Industrial Energy (AIE) proposes to develop the Port Kembla Gas Terminal (the project) in Port Kembla, New South Wales (NSW). The project involves the development of a liquified natural gas (LNG) import terminal including a Floating Storage and Regasification Unit (FSRU) moored at Berth 101 in the Inner Harbour, visiting LNG carriers, wharf handling facilities and the installation of a new pipeline to connect to the existing gas transmission network.

The development of the facility would require dredging and excavation of the sediments off Berth 101 in order to accommodate the FSRU and visiting LNG carriers. The proposed dredging area is presented in Appendix A, Figure 1.

The material removed during dredging off Berth 101, would be disposed of on the south side of the Outer Harbour in a designated reclamation area (Appendix A, Figure 2).

This report provides the results of the contamination assessment of the sediments from the proposed dredging area and the sediments in the proposed Outer Harbour reclamation area.

The sediment investigation was undertaken in conjunction with the contaminated land assessment for Berth 101. The findings of the contamination assessment are reported in GHD (2018) *Australian Industrial Energy, East Coast Gas Project, Contamination Assessment Report*, October 2018

1.2 Objectives

The objectives of the assessment were to:

- Assess the likely contamination based on previous marine sediment investigations.
- Assess the sediments and contamination of the proposed dredging area off Berth 101.
- Assess the sediments and contamination of the sediments likely to be removed for construction of the bund around the proposed disposal area.
- Assess the potential presence of Acid Sulphate Soils (ASS)

1.3 Scope of work

The work carried out by GHD to meet the above objectives included:

- A review of previous contamination assessments of the marine sediments of Port Kembla Harbour.
- A marine sediment investigation comprising:
 - Three vibracores in the waters off Berth 101 to between 2.65 m and 4.4 m.
 - Two vibracores in the proposed disposal area in the Outer Harbour to 3.45 m and 3.6 m.
 - Four hand cores in the waters off Berth 101
 - Logging of sediment units in all cores
- Laboratory analysis of:
 - 17 samples from the cores for: contaminants of potential concern including heavy metals, dioxins, cyanide, ammonia, total recoverable hydrocarbons (TRH), benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene and total xylene (BTEX), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH),

tributyl tin (TBT) and physical properties including total organic carbon(TOC), moisture content and particle size distribution (PSD).

- 28 samples for screening for potential acid sulphate soils
- 12 samples for chromium reducible sulphur suite
- Quality control sampling including duplicate and triplicate sediment samples, trip blanks, trip spikes and rinsate samples from sampling equipment.
- Preparation of this report summarising previous knowledge of the sediments of Port Kembla Harbour, presenting and interpreting analytical results and findings, comparing chemical concentrations to applicable guidelines, and making recommendations with respect to the objectives outlined in Section 1.2. The contamination aspects of the report were prepared with reference to NSW EPA approved guidelines.

1.4 Basis for assessment

As outlined in Section 1.2, the works were completed to assess the contamination status of sediments within the proposed dredge footprint to inform options evaluation for the management of contaminated sediments during the proposed works. GHD understands dredge materials are proposed to be relocated to the reclamation area in the outer harbour.

The assessment criteria for sediment contamination proposed for this project were sourced from available guidelines including:

- National Assessment Guidelines for Dredging (NAGD 2009).
- ANZECC/ ARMCANZ (2000) Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (as recommended in the NAGD (2009)).

The results for acid sulphate soils were compared to

- QLD (2014) Acid Sulfate Soils Technical Manual – Soil management Guidelines V4.0 based on greater than 1,000 tonnes of fine texture soils to be disturbed. Which is based on the guidelines of the Acid Sulphate Soils Management Advisory Committee (ASSMAC 1998).

The assessment criteria are referenced in the analytical results tables which are presented in Appendix B.

1.5 Limitations

This report: has been prepared by GHD for Australian Industrial Energy and may only be used and relied on by Australian Industrial Energy for the purpose agreed between GHD and the Australian Industrial Energy as set out in Section 1.2 of this report.

GHD otherwise disclaims responsibility to any person other than Australian Industrial Energy arising in connection with this report. GHD also excludes implied warranties and conditions, to the extent legally permissible.

The services undertaken by GHD in connection with preparing this report were limited to those specifically detailed in the report and are subject to the scope limitations set out in the report.

The opinions, conclusions and any recommendations in this report are based on conditions encountered and information reviewed at the date of preparation of the report. GHD has no responsibility or obligation to update this report to account for events or changes occurring subsequent to the date that the report was prepared.

The opinions, conclusions and any recommendations in this report are based on assumptions made by GHD described in this report. GHD disclaims liability arising from any of the assumptions being incorrect.

GHD has prepared this report on the basis of information provided by Australian Industrial Energy and others who provided information to GHD (including Government authorities), which GHD has not independently verified or checked beyond the agreed scope of work. GHD does not accept liability in connection with such unverified information, including errors and omissions in the report, which were caused by errors, or omissions in that information.

Limited information is available on the early history of the site and therefore, some site activities may not have been identified. In addition, aerial photographs are up to 13 years apart and other site history information available prior to 1950 is limited. We cannot preclude that potentially contaminating activities took place during these periods. Allowances for uncertainties and potential unexpected finds should be made during planning and development phases.

Investigations undertaken in respect of this report are constrained by the particular site conditions, such as the location of buildings, services and vegetation. As a result, not all relevant site features and conditions may have been identified in this report.

Site conditions (including the presence of hazardous substances and/or site contamination) may change after the date of this Report. GHD does not accept responsibility arising from, or in connection with, any change to the site conditions. GHD is also not responsible for updating this report if the site conditions change.

In preparing this report, current guidelines for assessment and management of contaminated land were followed. This work has been conducted in good faith in accordance with GHD understanding of the client's brief and general accepted practice for environmental consulting.

This report was prepared for Australian Industrial Energy based on the objectives and scope of work list in Sections 1.2 and 1.4. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the information and professional advice included in this report. Anyone using this document does so at their own risk and should satisfy themselves concerning its applicability and, where necessary, should seek expert advice in relation to the particular situation.

2. Site setting

2.1 Overview

Details of the wider site and the proposed development can be found in sections 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 of the contamination report (GHD, 2018).

2.2 The site

Port Kembla was developed in the late 1800's to service the coal industry in the Illawarra region, and has since serviced a variety of industries. Since that time several capital dredging campaigns have been undertaken to facilitate the development of shipping berths such as Berth 103, 105 and 107. Maintenance dredging activities are undertaken less frequently, with the last port wide maintenance dredging campaign carried out in 1986. Management of declared depths is primarily managed through annual sweep dredging (i.e. bed levelling using a sweep bar). These operations result in repeated mobilisation of sediments from within the channel and berth areas.

The site, for investigation of marine sediment contamination, consists of two investigation areas. One comprising the waters off Berth 101 and another area in the Outer Harbour, where the dredge sediment will be disposed of as part of harbour reclamation works.

The wharf of Berth 101 (Photograph 1) extends into the water and is supported by timber piles. Revetments consisting of angular boulders protect the shoreline to the south of Berth 101, comprising half of the length of the study area. The water off Berth 101 is a high traffic area for cargo ships accessing the eastern and western basins of the inner harbour. The water off Berth 101 was turbid with a high suspended sediment load, water based dust suppression systems were observed on Berth 101 and a coal/coke stockpile was located at the northern end of Berth 101, these are assumed to be contributing runoff to the marine area.

The reclamation area encompasses a portion of the waters of the outer harbour, and has a wharf at its eastern end (Photograph 2) approximately 150 m from the outer harbour wall. The wharf is armoured on its western side with angular boulders, and the remainder of the shoreline on the southern side is comprised of a sand beach at water level (Photograph 3). The area is low traffic for shipping with smaller vessels using the wharf. Water of the reclamation area was of lower turbidity, with a reduced suspended sediment load.




Figure A – Excavation of Berth 101

Purple area is the current Berth and the red is the proposed dredging area. Green is the proposed stockpiling area.



Figure B – Proposed disposal area

The blue-green area southeast of the Berth is the proposed disposal area.



Photograph 1 Panorama of sampling area of shore of Berth 101, looking east to Berth 101 (03/10/2018)



Photograph 2 Wharf at east end of reclamation area (03/10/2018)



Photograph 3 South side of reclamation area (03/10/2018)

3. Existing information

Information relating to the history of the wider Port Kembla site can be found in Section 4 of the contamination assessment in Appendix E1 (GHD, 2018). In relation to contamination of the marine sediments Worley Parsons (2012) identified a number of previous land based activities that would have likely contributed to the possible contamination of marine sediments including:

- Industrial discharges associated with licensed activities
- Spill events within the harbour
- Overflows from Port Kembla Sewage Treatment Plant during storms
- Catchment road and industrial runoff
- Particulate matter, e.g. coal dust, through atmospheric deposition
- Redistribution of previously contaminated sediments through tug manoeuvring, passage of deep draft vessels and currents action , e.g. during floods
- Leaching from reclaimed and waste filled areas of the harbour foreshores
- Antifoulant coatings leaching and flaking, e.g. TBT

3.1 Previous sediment investigations

Several investigations have been undertaken previously to assess the contamination of the marine sediments in Port Kembla Harbour. These investigations are summarised below including the samples taken, the exceedances/non-exceedances reported and the recommendations and conclusions made.

3.1.1 Coffey Geotechnics/ Douglas Partners (2002/2003) Sediment Quality Investigation

Location Port Kembla Harbour

Scope / objectives To determine the toxicological and physical characteristics of sediments within the dredging footprint and assess the suitability for offshore disposal

Sampling Sampling consisted of 74 sediment cores to a maximum of 1 m depth. Samples were taken from the Inner Harbour, Outer Harbour and 'The Cut' with three of the samples from close to Berth 101.

Chemical testing was conducted on 39 cores and physical properties testing on 34. Chemical testing consisted of analysis for metals, PAH, TBT, nutrients, cyanide, TRH and potential acid sulphate soils. Physical properties testing included particle size analysis, percentage shell/grit and geotechnical parameters.

A second stage of testing consisted of elutriate, acid volatile sulfide / simultaneously extracted metals (AVS/SEM), and pore water testing and selection of samples for analysis for dioxin/furan and toxic characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP).

Relevant findings

The following findings were made regarding sediment contamination:

- Phenolics, OCPs (Organochlorine pesticides), PCBs (Polychlorinated Biphenol) and BTEX were below the limit of reporting (LOR)
- Cyanide was either below the LOR or <10 mg/kg
- Cadmium (Cd), Chromium (Cr), Copper (Cu), Lead (Pb), Mercury (Hg), Silver (Ag), total normalised PAHs and normalised TBT exceeded the National Ocean Disposal Guidelines for Dredged Material (EA 2002) (NODGDM) screening levels
- Zinc (Zn) and Napthalene exceeded the NODGDM maximum level.
- Dioxins were present in all four samples analysed
- Nitrogen and Phosphorus as (PO₄) were present
- No potential acid sulphate soil was observed.

AVS/SEM results showed that metals were potentially bioavailable in six of seven samples and porewater testing complied with guideline criteria except for all analytes except copper. The results of elutriate testing complied with ARMCANZ (2000) Cr and Zn, 25 times the dilution required.

In general sediments are well mixed with hotspots in the north west corner, Allan's Creek inflow and north end of 'the Cut'. Physical testing of sediment showed predominantly silty-clay sediments ranging from sandy-silts to silty-sandy-clay with sands and fine gravels in the Outer Harbour. Settling tests showed the majority of suspended sediment settles within 2 hours, implying limited dispersion during dredging and dumping.

Conclusions and recommendations

It was concluded that while the NODGM maximum was exceeded for a number of contaminants, these contaminants would not be released during disposal, and the bioavailability was not established. The levels of dioxins were considered to be at high risk to certain aquatic species.

The report recommended that, if required acute toxicity testing should be conducted for priority PAH, tributyltin, pesticides and PCBs. If the sediment was found to be toxic, then treatment or confined disposal was recommended to be investigated. If the sediments were at or below the 95% upper confidence limit (UCL) of the mean of the disposal site, they would be considered non-toxic and be acceptable for ocean disposal.

3.1.2 Patterson, Britton and Partners (2003) Sediment Quality Investigations After summary in (WorleyParsons 2012)

Location Port Kembla – Inner Harbour

Scope / objectives Determination of heavy metal concentrations in sediments and subsequent toxicity and tributyltin analyses.

Sampling Ten locations sampled for heavy metal concentrations, from the results of these four samples were submitted for toxicity testing and tributyltin analyses.

Relevant findings

The contamination assessment showed that Cu, Cr, Hg, Pb, Zn and TBT were above guideline screening levels. Organic contaminants were generally low and PAH concentrations were all below the guideline upper level. In all sediments pesticides except methoxychlor were below analytical detection limits. PCBs were below guideline screening levels in all except one sample. The toxicity testing showed that sediments were toxic to juvenile amphipod in all four samples measured, and to benthic algae in three of the four samples measured.

Conclusions and recommendations

Patterson Britton and Partners (2003) concluded that the toxicity of Port Kembla sediments is caused by metal contaminants, in particular zinc. The levels of Dioxins, total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs), BTEX and cyanide reported by Coffey (2002) were interpreted as insufficiently high to be the cause of the observed toxicity. The sediment that was tested for toxicity were deemed not suitable for unconfined sea disposal in accordance with NODGDM.

3.1.3 SMEC (2011) Port Kembla Outer Harbour Reclamation - Phase 2 Factual and Interpretive Report (SMEC 2011)

Location Port Kembla – Outer Harbour reclamation area

Scope / objectives Geotechnical investigation in the outer harbour to support reclamation works

Sampling Drilling of 26 over water boreholes for geotechnical purposes

Relevant findings

SMEC (2011) provided a summary of historical information relating to the outer harbour reclamation area. In summary the following points are noted:

- Planning for outer harbour reclamation commenced in early 1990's when larger port operations were almost exclusively performed in the inner harbour
- Following identification of the reclamation footprint, the area was subject to disposal of dredge spoil that could not be taken out to sea for unconfined sea disposal
- Dredge spoil was deposited in what had been identified as the footprint of future reclamation, resulting in an estimated minimum of 460,000 m³ of dredged slag and spoil from the inner harbour being deposited in the outer harbour.
- In 2008, a major review of development options for the outer harbour was undertaken, resulting in the development of a new strategy for development of the outer harbour

SMEC (2011) provides a summary of dredge campaigns completed between 1994 and 2008 which resulted in the deposition of sediments within the outer harbour reclamation area, including approximately 45000 m³ of uncrushed blast furnace rock slag which was deposited as part of the 2006 major inner harbour dredging and deposition campaign and used to construct a containment bund which was subsequently capped and backfilled with 165,000 m³ of dredged clay materials from the inner harbour.

3.1.4 Patterson, Britton and Partners (2005) Sediment quality sampling for dredging and disposal after summary in (WorleyParsons 2012)

Location Port Kembla – Eastern Basin No.3, Western Basin Multipurpose Berth No. 4

Scope / objectives Assess sediment quality for dredging and disposal relating to the creation of Eastern Basin No.3 and Multipurpose Berth No.4 in the western basin

Sampling Coring and sampling was undertaken to the full extent of dredging

Relevant findings

Two sediment units were identified, an overlying soft clay unit and an underlying unit of stiff clay. The overlying clay contained concentrations of Nickel (Ni), Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Hg, Zn, PAHs and TBT above the NODGDM screening levels, the concentrations of which generally increased with depth. Typical values for OCPs and PCBs were less than laboratory detection levels.

Patterson Britton (2005) reported that the underlying clay unit was uncontaminated.

Conclusions and recommendations

From the results of the contamination assessment completed by Patterson and Britton (2005), toxicity testing was not deemed necessary.

3.1.5 AECOM (2010) Sediment Investigation

Location Port Kembla Outer Harbour

Scope / objectives The report consists of a review of previous investigations, collection of samples from anoxic and oxidic sediment layer in the dredge footprints and the underwater emplacement area. The objective being to produce a risk assessment for human health and ecological risk of sediment and groundwater contamination including maps of the distribution of sediment contamination.

Sampling Samples were collected from 33 locations in the container berth dredge area to maximum depth of 2m and from ten locations in the underwater emplacement area.

Samples were also collected in two locations between the emplacement area and the multipurpose berth. Oxidic sediment was sampled in 30 locations, six of which were near the stormwater outlet and seven near the creek discharge into the harbour. Water samples were taken from the inner and outer harbour, three at high tide and three at low tide. Samples were also taken for elutriate testing.

Relevant findings

Sediments were considered to be typical of estuarine sediment consisting of silty clays with some sands with the below results:

- Heavy metals were reported in the majority of samples, with concentrations exceeding the nominated screening levels.
- TPH in the volatile fraction C₆-C₉ was reported at concentrations less than laboratory LOR for all 72 samples

- TPH in the fraction C₁₀-C₃₆ was reported at concentrations greater than NSW EPA (1994) in 12 of 72.
- BTEX was reported at concentrations below laboratory LOR in all samples
- Total PAHs did not exceed ISQG high or SIL₄ (NEPC 1999). 47 samples exceeded the ISQG-low.
- Total cyanide was reported at concentrations greater than laboratory LOR in 1 of 13 samples
- TBT was reported at concentrations greater than ISQG-low in 15 of 91, 2 of 91 greater than the ISQG-high
- PCBs and OCPs were less than LOR in all 35 samples
- TOC ranged from 0.03% to 40.1%, mostly in the expected range for estuarine sediments (2-8%).
- Suspension peroxide combine acidity and sulphur (SPOCAS) assessment for acid sulphate soils – all above the ASSMAC (1998) action levels in the anoxic layer.

In elutriate tests of 51 samples Cu, vanadium (V), zinc and arsenic (As) exceeded the ANZECC (2000) in one or more samples. However, PAH and Phenols were all less than the laboratory LOR.

In six harbour water samples copper exceeded the ANZECC (2000) in one sample and cadmium in two. All other heavy metals and arsenic were below the ANZECC (2000) level in all samples. OPCS, Phenols and PCBS were not detected in any sample and total PAH was below the assessment criteria, cyanide was below the laboratory LOR. The harbour water was likely influenced by freshwater as seen in the low TDS values of 2 – 20 mg/L

Conclusions and recommendations

AECOM (2010) concluded that there was heavy metal contamination across the majority of the dredge footprint with the highest concentrations in the upper metre. PAH contamination was reported across the majority of the dredge footprint in shallow sediment with the highest concentrations in the emplacement area. TBT contamination was confined to the southern end of the container berth dredge area. SPOCAS analysis indicated PASS at 0 – 3.3 m.

Elutriate testing indicated the impact of As and Cu during dredging and reclamation could exceed the ANZECC (2000) 95% Marine trigger values. However, the high values coincide with 'hot spot' materials so are considered unlikely to have a significant impact on the receiving environment.

The report recommended the preparation of a Dredging Environmental Management Plan (DEMP) for sediments to be dredged and placed in the reclamation area with a Surface Water Management Plan in place until the reclamation area was paved. Mitigation measures would be outlined in the DEMP to be put in place during dredging to minimise impact on the receiving environment. It was also recommended that a harbour water quality and turbidity monitoring plan should be developed along with an acid sulphate soil management plan prior to dredging and reclamation. If the risk assessment determined that the contamination hotspots present an unacceptable risk a remedial action plan (RAP) should be prepared.

The sediments were considered likely to be able to be managed using typical dredging technologies and standard mitigation measures. It was recommended that a further sediment investigation should be conducted in the area north and south of the Gateway Berth and south of the northern breakwater, as further dredging would be required.

3.1.6 WorleyParsons (2012) Dredge Spoil Contamination Assessment – Stage 2 DSI

Location Berth 101, Port Kembla Harbour

Scope / objectives The objective of the study was to provide representative sediment quality data for the proposed dredge footprint. Specific objectives included the assessment of physical and chemical properties to inform the dredge methodology and to determine the suitability of untreated materials for reuse and/or disposal options through their physical and chemical properties. The report included assessment of the impacts from dissolved contaminants during dredging and disposal and recommending the testing requirements of cement stabilised material during a dredging and stabilisation trial.

Sampling 13 vibracore cores were collected and sampled.

All samples were analysed for suite of metals, PAHs and TOC. TBT analysis was conducted on 50% of samples and 10% of samples were analysed for dioxins /furans, PCBs, organochlorine and organophosphate pesticides, phenols, BTEX, cyanide, TPH/TRH and nutrients.

Relevant findings

The sediments were divided into upper soft silty clays and underlying stiff clays. The upper soft silty clays contained levels of Cd, Cu and Pb which exceeded the NAGD maximum levels.

Based on information reported by Worley Parsons (2012), results of sediments samples collected from the upper soft silty clays were summarised as follows:

- Phenolics, pesticides, and PCBs were reported below laboratory LOR
- Sb, Ag, and TPHs were below NAGD screening levels
- Total PAHs exceeded the NAGD screening levels in six of 50 samples. Median and 95% UCL of the means total PAH concentration were above NAGD, but below SQG-high value
- Low concentrations of BTEX were reported
- Individual concentrations of As above NAGD. 95% UCL of the mean, below the screening level.
- Most individual and 95% UCL of the mean were above NAGD screening level for Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Ni, Hg and in some samples Cd, Cu and Pb exceeded the NAGD maximum levels.
- Zn, majority of individual and the 95% UCL of the mean exceeded the NAGD max level.
- TBT levels above NAGD screening in four of 26 samples and above the SQG high value for three. Median and 95% UCL of the mean were above the NAGD screening level but below the SQG-high value.
- TOC generally less than 14% with exception of four samples.
- Toxic Equivalent Quantity_{0.5LOR} (TEQ_{0.5LOR}) for all seven dioxin samples exceeded the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME 2001) ISQG. Six of the seven and the median TEQ_{0.5LOR} exceeded the CCME (2001) PEL.

The underlying stiff clays reported levels of As, Cd and Ag below the laboratory LOR and all other contaminants were below the NAGD (2000) screening levels.

Samples were elutriate tested and for those analytes which exceeded the NAGD (2009) guidelines the concentration of dissolved metals was below the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) at 95% level and 99% where available.

Testing for bioavailability showed that whilst total As, Ni, Hg exceeded NAGD (2009) guidelines, the bioavailable fractions were below the screening levels.

When testing for acid sulphate soils approximately 50% of the samples exceeded the action criteria in Stone et al. (1998).

Conclusions and recommendations

Based on the findings of the works, Worley Parsons (2012) concluded that the elevated concentrations of contaminants in upper soft silty clays are not vertically variable and that they were unsuitable for unconfined sea disposal due to concentrations of metals, TBT and dioxins.

The upper soft silty clays were also concluded to be acid generating and would require neutralisation. The upper soft silty clays were concluded to be suitable for classification as general solid waste for disposal at a licensed facility provided that the acid generating material was neutralised. Cement stabilisation was determined to be appropriate to minimise potential leaching of contaminants and neutralise acid generating capacity.

Consideration was also made for onsite reuse at an industrial land use area based on the results of TCLP extraction and analyses. Worley Parsons (2012) noted that if materials were reused in an industrial land use area, the soft silty clays would be treated or capped to limit exposure pathways. Mean dioxins were within the range for Australian soils and below the remediation range and TBT concentrations were below the conservative upper sediment limits and sediment leaching values for free-reuse land use criteria.

The report recommended that testing for leaching properties and net acid generating capacity be conducted prior to dredging, and that an assessment of ambient contaminant concentrations and pH in groundwater be conducted to assess for the potential of zinc and manganese to leach into untreated materials.

3.1.7 Geochemical Assessments (2013) Pilot sediment investigation for potential maintenance dredge areas

Location Port Kembla Inner Harbour

Scope / objectives The objective of this investigation by Geochemical Assessments (2013) was to identify any significant changes to contamination since 2002/2003 and to determine the spatial distribution of key contaminants

Sampling Sampling from 27 locations within the Inner Harbour consisting of 23 surface sediment samples and four cores. The samples were analysed for: Ag, As, Cd, Cobalt (Co), Cr, Cu, Hg, Manganese (Mn), Ni, Pb, Antimony (Sb), Selenium (Se), V, Zn, TBT, PAHS, TPH, TOC, grain size and acid sulphate soil. Elutriate and toxicity testing for selected contaminants of concern was also conducted

Relevant findings

The key exceedances in sediment were found:

- Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Pb, Zn, total PAHS and TBT which all exceeded the NAGD (2009) screening levels
- Cu, Pb, Hg, Zn and TBT exceeded the NAGD (2009) by more than two times.

- Ag, As, Ni, TPH below respective screening levels.
- Sb below LOR.

Of ten elutriate samples, four exceeded the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) for Cu, two for Fluranthane, and one for Phenanthrene, Anthracene and Benzo(a)pyrene. However, Geochemical Assessments (2013) noted that the contaminants are expected to undergo a dilution factor of more than 100, so elutriate test values were not considered of concern.

In regards to bioavailability the concentrations of Cu, Pb, Zn and Cd exceeded NAGD (2009) screening levels in a number of samples. The 95% UCL of bioavailable concentration of trace metals suggested AVS/SEM, pore water and/or toxicity testing was required.

Conclusions and recommendations

Based on the findings of the works, Geochemical Assessments (2013) concluded that there was no area in the Inner Harbour where all COPCs are below the NAGD screening. The sediments in Port Kembla Harbour were classified as suitable for offshore disposal with regards to metals but additional testing would be required for TBT and PAH.

The report recommended that the harbour should be divided into dredge management units and that any sediments unsuitable for offshore disposal should be classified as Restricted Soils¹ Waste under NSW Waste Guidelines (DECC 2009). A recommendation was also made to conduct further sampling and analyses for TBT and PAH to the depth of proposed dredging.

3.2 Summary previous investigations

Based on the information obtained during the background information review, the following points are noted:

- Commonly two main sedimentary units were identified with a soft silty clay layer overlying a stiffer clay layer.
- The upper soft silty clays were contaminated throughout all sampling areas.
- Heavy metals commonly exceeded the screening levels for cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, nickel, mercury and zinc.
- Tributyltin, dioxins and PAHs were reported above the nominated guidelines in several studies
- A number of dredge campaigns have been completed since 1994 which have resulted in the deposition of sediments within the outer harbour reclamation area, including approximately 45000 m³ of uncrushed blast furnace rock slag which was deposited as part of the 2006 major inner harbour dredging and deposition campaign

¹ The DECC (2009) Waste Classification Guidelines have since been superseded and the restricted waste classification is no longer relevant

4. Methodology

The sampling strategy for this work was developed with reference to the approach outlined in the NAGD (2009). GHD notes the current proposal is to dispose of sediments from the dredge footprint in the Outer Harbour.

4.1 Sediment sampling event

Fieldwork for sediment sampling was undertaken on 03/10/18 and 04/10/18. The investigation area incorporated two sampling areas. The first encompassing the waters off Berth 101 and the second the reclamation area in the outer harbour, to the south-east of Berth 101. These sampling areas are shown in Appendix A, Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively.

Vibracoring on 3 October 2018 was undertaken under overcast conditions with occasional light rain and light winds.

As a result of weather conditions, the sampling approach was revised for the second day of sampling as vibracoring was not considered a safe option due to heavy rain conditions. Hand coring on 4 October 2018 was undertaken under overcast conditions with heavy rain and moderate winds.

Drilling and sediment sampling were conducted in accordance with GHDs standard operating procedures. Vibracoring and hand coring were conducted on 3 and 4 October 2018 by divers and drillers from McLennans Diving Service with drilling completed from a barge operated by Polaris Marine, accompanied on 3 October 2018 by an environmental scientist from GHD.

Table 1 – Summary of cores

| Location | Area | Date of coring | Core length (m) | Core casing material | Coring method |
|----------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------|
| SED01 | Berth 101 | 04/10/18 | 0.67 | Aluminium | Hand push-core |
| SED02 | Berth 101 | 04/10/18 | 0.67 | Aluminium | Hand push-core |
| SED03 | Berth 101 | 04/10/18 | 0.67 | Aluminium | Hand push-core |
| SED04 | Berth 101 | 03/10/18 | 2.65 | Steel | Vibracore |
| SED05 | Berth 101 | 03/10/18 | 2.87 | Steel | Vibracore |
| SED06 | Berth 101 | 03/10/18 | 4.4 | Steel | Vibracore |
| SED07 | Berth 101 | 04/10/18 | 0.67 | Aluminium | Hand push-core |
| REA01 | Reclamation area | 03/10/18 | 3.6 | Steel | Vibracore |
| REA02 | Reclamation area | 03/10/18 | 3.45 | Steel | Vibracore |

4.2 Sediment sampling and core logging methodology

Sampling locations were selected at random from a grid of the area for the area of Berth 101 and to target the outer edge of the reclamation area.

SED04, SED05, SED06, REA01 and REA02 were sampled using a vibracore from a barge. Upon extraction, cores were sealed and made airtight for transport. Cores SED01, SED02, SED03 and SED07 were sampled by divers pushing an aluminium tube into the upper layers of sediment.

Cores were cut open at McLennans Diving Service facility and sampled by an environmental scientist from GHD.

As soon as cores were opened a phot-ionization detector (PID), fitted with a 10.6eV lamp and calibrated with isobutylene gas at a concentration of 100 ppm, was run along the length of the core as per GHD's standard operating procedure (SOP). The instruments calibration certificate is provided in Appendix E. PID readings are presented on the bore hole logs, however due to the time taken to cut open each core these results should be treated as evidence of deviation from background rather than true readings.

Sub sampling comprised:

- One subsample over a 0.1 m interval at 0.5 m increments along the entirety of the core e.g. 0.0 m to 0.1 m; 0.5 to 0.6 m.
- A bulk homogenised samples representing a 0.5 m interval at 0.5 m increments along the entirety of the core, e.g. 0 m to 0.5 m; 0.5 m to 1.0 m, as per the NAGD (2009).

Samples were collected in 250 ml glass sample jars and filled to the brim and sealed with Teflon lined caps to lower the potential for loss of volatile contaminants. Approximately 100 g of sample was collected for acid sulphate soil analysis and sealed in designated zip lock bags. Approximately 500 g of sediment was collected and sealed in designated zip lock bags for particle size distribution analysis. When sampling, sediment that had been in contact with the core casing was avoided. Samples were stored on ice immediately after being sampled.

The following samples were submitted to ALS (the primary laboratory) for analysis:

- From all cores over 1m two samples for chemical analysis and particle size distribution. One at 0.0 m to 0.5 m and one from either 1.0 m to 1.5 m or 2.0 m to 2.5 m.
- For cores under 1 m, a sample was submitted to represent 0.0 m to 0.5 m and one for the remainder of the depth of the core, e.g. 0.5 m to 0.65 m. the remainder of the samples were placed on hold with the primary laboratory.
- From all cores three samples were submitted to the primary laboratory for potential acid sulphate soil analysis.

Quality control samples were taken to represent 10% of the samples collected. Triplicate samples incorporating the sample, a field split and a field duplicate. The field duplicates were labelled FD01 to FD08 and the field splits FS01 to FS08. Samples FD01 to FD08 were sent to the secondary lab for analysis. Of the triplicates sampled a number were selected for analysis to represent 10% of the samples analysed, the remainder were placed on hold.

Rinsate samples were taken from the trowel used for sediment sampling, for confirmation of correct decontamination protocol. One rinsate sample was taken for each day of sediment sampling (two in total).

For each day of sampling a trip spike and trip blank was also analysed (two in total).

The test reports, chains of custody (COC), and sample receipts are provided in **Appendix E**.

4.3 Data evaluation

Analytical results were compared against the nominated guidelines as outlined in Section 1.4.

4.3.1 Data normalisation

Most natural and anthropogenic substances, including metals and organic contaminants, show a higher affinity to fine grained particulate matter than coarse fraction sediments, with organic matter and clay minerals generally exhibiting the strongest adsorption capacity for contaminants (OSPAR, 2001)².

Analysis of the whole sediment (as undertaken in this investigation) provides an indication of the distribution of contaminant concentrations in bedded sediments. If sediments within a given area are predominately fine grained, the influence of grain size distribution is of minor importance, however in areas where grain size varies considerably, the distribution of contaminants will be closely related to the distribution of fine grained sediments, obscuring the true spatial distribution of contaminants (AMPS, 2004)³.

Two different approaches are commonly used to correct for variable sediment composition:

- Contaminant concentrations may be normalised using components of the sediment that represent its affinity to bind contaminants (such as organic matter). Total Organic Carbon (TOC) is one of the most widely used 'normalisers' for organic contaminants.
- Isolation of the fine fraction sediments (<63 µm) by sieving for physical grain size normalisation, effectively removing the coarse grained particulates which display a lower affinity to bind anthropogenic contaminants.

The objective of using normalisation techniques is to reduce the variability between samples arising from differences in sediment properties, such as grain size distribution. However, it is noted that the correlation between contaminant and co-factor concentrations may be weak or absent in some areas (OSPAR, 2009).

For organic contaminants, values are normalised to 1% organic carbon, as recommended in ANZECC/ ARMCANZ (2000). If the sediment organic carbon content is markedly higher than 1%, ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) recommends that the guideline values should be relaxed owing to the presence of additional carbon binding sites which act to reduce the contaminants bioavailability. For the purpose of this data, the following points are made:

- Where TOC was less than 1%, normalisation was not required and the actual reported concentration of organic contaminants has been used.
- Where TOC was greater than 1%, normalisation of the total PAH concentration was undertaken and the normalised concentration was used in statistical calculations. Calculations used in normalising the data were as follows:
 - Where TOC is greater than 1% but less than 10%, the concentration was divided by the TOC.
 - Where the TOC is greater than 10%, the concentration was divided by 10

4.3.2 Calculation of 95% Upper Confidence Limit

In accordance with the requirements of the NAGD (2009), the upper 95 per cent confidence limit (95% UCL) is used to determine compliance with the screening levels.

² OSPAR (2009) Update of JAMP guidelines for monitoring contaminants in sediment: Technical annex on normalisation of contaminant concentrations in sediment.

³ AMPS (2004) Discussion document on Sediment Monitoring Guidance for the EU Water Framework Directive, Version 2 May 2004

5. Results

5.1 Subsurface conditions

5.1.1 Berth 101

Logs of the cores taken are presented in Appendix C and particle size distribution analysis is presented in Table B1, Appendix B. In the sediments off Berth 101 there were typically two types of sediment with some variation within the stratigraphy.

Upper silty clay

The upper parts of all cores were comprised of a unit of black-brown clayey silt mud ranging from very wet to saturated. This mud unit ranged from 0.2 to 0.7 m in depth. This mud gradationally overlies one or more units of silty clays categorised under the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) as MH – CH some with traces of sand sized material. The upper silty clays were found in the entirety of cores SED01, SED02, SED03 and SED07 and to depths of 2.3 m to 4.45 m in SED04, SED05 and SED06.

Proportions of clay varied from 19 % to 26% and silt from 34% to 63%. Commonly the coarser material present in the cores was coal based material ranging from fine sand to coarse gravel in size with occasional larger coal waste fragments. Various units were described with indistinct boundaries defined by changes in firmness, water content and sand quantity. The proportions of sand varied from 8 % to 38% and only SED01_0.0-0.5 contained gravel above the LOR. The black colouring of the cores was attributed to the presence of coal and all cores had a hydrocarbon odour ranging from weak to strong.

Lower units

Cores SED04, SED05 and SED06 refused at bedrock. The bedrock was highly weathered orange-brown sandstone with softening of the rock at the boundary. In SED04 and SED05 this bedrock was overlain by a thin unit of clay, from 0.22 m to 0.35 m thick, that differed from the upper silty clay units, primarily in its firmness; being firm and containing fragments of the underlying weathered sandstone with no odour and staining as signs of contamination and no coal refuse found.

5.1.2 Disposal area

The two cores collected from the reclamation areas (REA01 and REA02) differed stratigraphically from those in Berth 101 and from one another. Both cores refused at an unidentified surface at a depth of approximately 3.5 m below the seabed.

The cores were predominantly black-brown clayey silt, although sand was measured at up to 80% of the grains. The majority of the sediments were classified as MH under the USCS.

A moderate hydrocarbon odour was noted throughout REA01. Sediments at REA02 varied from having no odour to a weak hydrocarbon odour. Anthropogenic inclusions were noted in sediments at REA01 including coal waste material, wood and concrete fragments interpreted as fill including a 10 cm layer of coarse coal waste.

REA02 featured two lower units that were distinct from the overlying units; the uppermost a sand unit with characteristics typical of a marine sand and the lowermost very stiff clay, both with no odour. The lowermost unit of REA01 has a poorly defined boundary to the overlying silty clay and consisted clayey sand, with well-rounded, cobble sized pieces of concrete.

5.2 Data validation

5.2.1 Laboratory analysis

Sediment samples were transported in ice cooled chests from the sampling location (McLennans Diving Services, Banksmeadow, NSW) to the primary laboratory, ALS Environmental, Smithfield, NSW, under chain of custody conditions. Inter laboratory duplicates were forwarded to Eurofins|MGT laboratory, Lane Cove, NSW. A copy of the chain of custody for all batches is attached (**Appendix E**).

The laboratories selected to carry out analyses are NATA accredited for the analyses performed. Test methods are listed on the attached laboratory reports (Appendix E)

5.2.2 Field and laboratory quality control assessment

In order to validate the accuracy and validity of soil sampling results, a range of field and laboratory quality control (QC) samples were collected and assessed during the investigation.

- Field duplicates (Appendix B, Table B5): Within the two duplicates analysed, an RPD of 137% was recorded for Chromium Reducible Sulphur, exceeding the adopted limit (i.e. <30% for inorganics, <50% for organics or no limit if the result is less than 10 times the limit of reporting). Chromium, copper, lead nickel and zinc all exceeded the criteria of <30% in one duplicate. This result is likely reflective of the heterogeneity of the deposits, which is common in fill so the variability is not likely to affect the conclusions of this report.
- Interlab duplicate (Appendix B, Table B5): No exceedances of the adopted RPD limits were recorded for the interlab duplicate.
- The results of the rinsate samples (RN01_1 and RN02) showed the rinsates were below the laboratory limit of reporting for all analytes, thus validating the efficacy of the decontamination protocol.
- Laboratory control spikes: All recoveries were within the laboratory control limits.
- Matrix spikes: Cyanide recorded a recovery of 60% for report 621469 and TBT a recovery of 53.7 % for report ES1829588, outside the lower control limit of 70%. Laboratory blanks were all below the limit of reporting.
- No holding time exceedances were reported.
- Trip blank and trip spike results were within adopted control limits.
- QC sample outliers exist for Phenols and TRH semi-volatiles in water matrix. These correspond to rinsate samples, the results of which are all below the LOR.
- PID calibration passed and was within manufacturer's specifications. A copy of calibration certificates are presented in Appendix D.
- Laboratory duplicates are all within accepted limits.
- Insufficient sample was available for dioxins analysis for REA02_2.0-2.5, therefore REA02_2.0-2.1 was analysed for dioxins.

GHD considers that the laboratory QC results are representative of the soil conditions encountered at the locations sampled and therefore acceptable for the purposes of interpreting and verifying the analytical results of this assessment.

5.3 Analytical results summary

The laboratory analytical results for marine sediment are summarised in Appendix B. Original laboratory reports are included in Appendix E. Exceedances of the nominated screening levels were identified and are highlighted in Table B2, Table B3 and Table B4 (Appendix B).

The results of the sediment sampling program for the dredging area and disposal area are presented in Sections 5.1.1 and 5.3.2. Acid sulfate soil results are reported in Section 5.3.3.

5.3.1 Dredge footprint - Berth 101 sediments

Seven sampling locations were completed within the dredge footprint off Berth 101. Analytical data was reviewed with reference to the screening levels (ISQG trigger value) presented in Table B2 (Appendix B) of the NAGD (2009) and the ANZECC (2000) ISQG. As outlined in the NAGD, the 95% UCL was used to determine compliance with the screening levels.

As outlined in Section 4.3.1, organic compounds were normalised to 1% TOC as per the NAGD (2009). For the purpose of comparing organic data against the relevant screening levels, the 95% UCL of the normalised data set was applied.

Heavy metals in sediments

Concentrations of metals in sediments in the proposed dredging footprint at Berth 101 were generally consistent across the proposed dredging area, with no obvious hotspots of heavy metal contamination identified.

The depth of sampling for four of the seven locations was limited due to weather conditions and the need to switch from vibracoring to hand cores. Hand core locations were limited to a depth of approximately 0.7 metres. Of the three vibracore locations (SED04 to SED06), no obvious trend in heavy metal concentrations with depth was noted.

The 95% UCL average heavy metal concentrations in sediment samples from the proposed dredging area at Berth 101 were reviewed with reference to the screening levels (ISQG trigger value) presented in Table B2 (Appendix B) of the NAGD (2009). Analytical results are reported in Table B2 (Appendix B) and summarised in Table 2.

95% UCL average concentrations of chromium (Cr), copper (Cu), lead (Pb), mercury (Hg) and nickel (Ni) were reported above the NAGD (2009) screening level (SQG low). The 95% UCL average concentrations of zinc (Zn) was above the SQG high values presented in Table 4 of NAGD (2009).

In general, heavy metals results were generally consistent with those reported by Worley Parsons (2012) during the sediment sampling program adjacent to Berth 101.

Table 2 – Summary analytical results – Metal concentrations at Berth 101

| Heavy metal | SQG _{Low} | SQG _{HIGH} | Minimum (mg/kg) | Maximum (mg/kg) | 95% UCL ^(a) (mg/kg) |
|-------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| As | 20 | 70 | 9 | 21 | 18.82 (3.49) |
| Cd | 1.5 | 10 | ND | 2 | 1.26 (0.61) |
| Cr | 80 | 370 | 79 | 104 | 94.86 (9.24) |
| Cu | 65 | 270 | 67 | 338 | 258.9 (73.48) |
| Pb | 50 | 220 | 145 | 236 | 196.72 (25.57) |
| Hg | 0.15 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.46 (0.13) |
| Ni | 21 | 52 | 18 | 24 | 21.5 (2.25) |
| Zn | 200 | 410 | 671 | 1120 | 887.8 (154.04) |
| NOTES | | | | | |

| Heavy metal | SQG _{Low} | SQG _{HIGH} | Minimum (mg/kg) | Maximum (mg/kg) | 95% UCL ^(a) (mg/kg) |
|-------------|---|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| (a) | 95% UCL calculated using ProUCL (Standard Deviation). Where concentration reported below the PQL, a value of half the PQL was used to calculate the 95% UCL | | | | |
| BOLD | 95% UCL average concentration exceeds the SQG low | | | | |
| BOLD | 95% UCL average concentration exceeds the SQG high | | | | |

Elutriate testing is used to assess the potential effects of dissolved contaminants in the water column during dredging and disposal. Bioavailability testing provides an indication of the amount of a contaminant which may be available for uptake by biological organisms, particularly benthic or sediment ingesting organisms following disposal of the sediments.

Elutriate testing and bioavailability testing was beyond the scope of the current investigation. However, as outlined in Section 3, elutriate testing has been completed within the Port Kembla harbour by others during previous sediment investigations including:

- Coffey (2003), completed a program of elutriate testing for metals, PAH and TBT and bioavailability testing for metals. The results of bioavailability testing indicated metals were potentially bioavailable and porewater analyses indicated copper was bioavailable.
- The results of Worley Parsons (2012) are summarised as follows:
 - Concentrations of TPH, PAH and TBT below the limit of reporting in elutriate samples, indicating these compounds are not readily mobilized into the water column following disturbance.
 - In all instances where metals were reported in sediments at concentrations above the NAGD (2009) screening levels (such as cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, nickel, zinc and mercury), concentrations in the elutriate sample were below the ANZECC trigger levels for both the 95% and 99% species protection levels.
 - Some heavy metals, including iron, manganese and arsenic were reported in elutriate samples at concentrations above the ANZECC 95% trigger level however the concentration of these parameters in sediments were either below the NAGD (2009) screening level or sediment data was not available.
 - The bioavailable fraction of some heavy metals, including cadmium, chromium, copper, lead and zinc were above the NAGD (2009) screening levels
- AECOM (2010) and Geochemical Assessments (2013) reported concentrations of some heavy metals, including copper, zinc and arsenic, at concentrations which exceeded the ANZECC (2000) screening levels.

Noting that the results of the sediment sampling completed during the current investigation are largely consistent with those reported during previous investigations, the findings of the elutriate testing completed during those works are likely representative of the current data set.

Concentrations of TRH and BTEX

Concentrations of volatile TRH in the fraction C₆-C₁₀ and BTEX were reported below the LOR in all samples selected for analysis.

All samples reported detections of TRH in the fraction C₁₆-C₃₄, with concentrations ranging from 200 mg/kg to 900 mg/kg. With the exception of one sample (SED03_0-0.5), all samples reported detections of TRH in the fraction C₃₄-C₄₀, with concentrations ranging from 140 mg/kg to 320 mg/kg.

NAGD (2009) presents a screening level of 550 mg/kg for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH). The concentration of TPH in the fraction C₁₀-C₃₆ (normalised to 1% TOC) ranged from below the

limit of reporting to 240 mg/kg with a 95% UCL average of 123.83 mg/kg (standard deviation 53.33), below the SQG low of 550 mg/kg. Results were generally consistent with those reported by Worley Parsons (2012).

Concentrations of PAH

PAHs were detected in all samples, with concentrations of total PAH ranging from 30 mg/kg to 69 mg/kg. Whilst the majority of PAH's were reported in all samples, Napthalene, fluoranthene, phenanthrene and pyrene appeared as the primary PAH's within these sediments. The relative ratio of these compounds was relatively similar across all samples and no obvious trend in PAH concentration was noted where underlying samples were analysed (SED04 to SED06).

For the purpose of comparison of the data set for the berth area against the guidelines, total PAH data for the upper silty clays and underlying clay material was normalised to 1% TOC, resulting. Total PAH concentrations (normalised to 1% TOC) ranged from 1.3 mg/kg to 12.7 mg/kg with a 95% UCL average of 7.53 mg/kg (standard deviation 2.88), below the SQG of 10 mg/kg.

The data was generally consistent with that reported by Worley Parsons (2012) where the concentration of total PAH (normalised to 1% TOC) ranged from 0.6 mg/kg to 16.5 mg/kg with a 95% UCL average of 7.13 µg/kg.

Concentrations of other parameters

- Ammonia was recorded above the LOR in four of the 12 samples collected from Berth 101 at locations SED04, SED05 and SED06, with concentrations ranging from 20 mg/kg to 110 mg/kg
- Cyanide was reported above the LOR in eight of the 12 samples, with concentrations ranging from 1 to 27 mg/kg.
- Concentrations of TBT (normalised to 1% TOC) ranged from 0.18 µg Sn/kg to 11 µg Sn/kg. A 95% UCL of 6.7 µg Sn/kg was reported, below the NAGD (2009) SQG low⁴ of 9 µg Sn/kg. TBT concentrations were lower than those reported by Worley Parsons (2012), which reported a maximum concentration of TBT (normalised to 1% TOC) of 132 µg Sn/kg and 95% UCL average of 27.4 µg Sn/kg.
- Total organic carbon ranged from 4.33 % to 11.6 %.

5.3.2 Disposal area sediments

Two vibracore locations were completed where sediments are likely to be removed for construction of the bund around the proposed disposal area. Sample locations are identified as REA01 and REA02. A total of four sediment samples (two from each location) were analysed as part of this phase of works including one sample from the surface horizon (0-0.5 metres) and one underlying deeper sample (REA01_1-1.5 and REA02_2-2.5).

Sediment materials have previously been deposited in this area as part of harbour reclamation efforts and material was observed to be stratigraphically different from sediment composition of the dredging area at Berth 101 and from each other. Calculation of 95% UCL average concentrations based on two sampling locations and the variability of material encountered was not considered statistically valid. As such individual results have been reviewed with reference to the screening criteria for the purpose of these works.

⁴ TBT concentrations reported a log normal distribution

Heavy metals in sediments

The highest metal concentrations were reported in sample REA01_1-1.5. Concentrations of lead, mercury and zinc were an order of magnitude higher in this sample than in the other three samples.

Metal concentrations at location REA01 were higher than REA02 and higher than those reported in the Berth 101 dredging area. Metal concentrations at REA02 were generally consistent with those reported in the Berth 101 dredging area.

Heavy metal concentrations in sediment samples from the disposal area were reviewed with reference to the screening levels (ISQG trigger value) presented in Table B2 (Appendix B) of the NAGD (2009). Analytical results are reported in Table B2 (Appendix B) and summarised in Table 3. In summary the following points are noted:

- With the exception of sample REA02_0-0.5, all samples reported concentrations of one or more heavy metals above the nominated screening criteria.
- Sample REA01_1-1.5 reported the maximum concentration for all heavy metals. In some instances (lead, mercury and zinc), concentrations were an order or magnitude higher than in other samples, with concentrations largely exceeding the SQG high values.

Table 3 – Summary analytical results – Metal concentrations at disposal area

| Heavy metal | SQG _{Low} | SQG _{High} | Minimum (mg/kg) | Maximum (mg/kg) | Guideline exceedances (a) |
|-------------|--|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|
| As | 20 | 70 | <5 | 77 | SQG _{low} 2 of 4 SQG _{high} 1 of 4 |
| Cd | 1.5 | 10 | <1 | 8 | SQG _{low} 2 of 4 SQG _{high} 0 of 4 |
| Cr | 80 | 370 | 8 | 369 | SQG _{low} 2 of 4 SQG _{high} 0 of 4 |
| Cu | 65 | 270 | 22 | 4180 | SQG _{low} 3 of 4 SQG _{high} 3 of 4 |
| Pb | 50 | 220 | 17 | 1930 | SQG _{low} 3 of 4 SQG _{high} 3 of 4 |
| Hg | 0.15 | 1 | <0.1 | 3.6 | SQG _{low} 2 of 4 SQG _{high} 1 of 4 |
| Ni | 21 | 52 | 3 | 69 | SQG _{low} 1 of 4 SQG _{high} 1 of 4 |
| Zn | 200 | 410 | 58 | 12,300 | SQG _{low} 3 of 4 SQG _{high} 3 of 4 |
| NOTES | | | | | |
| (a) | Number of samples reporting exceedances of SQG low and SQG high guideline values from total of four samples analysed | | | | |

Concentrations of TRH and BTEX

Concentrations of volatile TRH in the fraction C₆-C₁₀ and BTEX were reported below the LOR in all samples selected for analysis.

TRH in the fraction C₁₆-C₃₄ was reported in three of the four samples, with concentrations ranging from 240 mg/kg to 1,620 mg/kg, which is largely consistent with the results reported from sediments at Berth 101. With the exception of one sample (REA02_0-0.1). TRH in the fraction C₃₄-C₄₀, was reported in sediments from location REA01, with a maximum concentration of 340 mg/kg which is consistent with the results reported from sediments at Berth 101.

NAGD (2009) presents a screening level of 550 mg/kg for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH). For the purpose of comparison of the data against the guidelines, TPH data reported by the laboratory was normalised to 1% TOC. The concentration of TPH in the fraction C₁₀-C₃₆ (normalised to 1% TOC) ranged from 80 mg/kg to 776 mg/kg. With the exception of sample REA01_1-1.5, results were reported below the nominated screening criteria of 550 mg/kg.

Concentrations of PAH

PAHs were detected in all samples, with concentrations of total PAH ranging from 1 mg/kg to 33 mg/kg. The results were largely consistent with those reported for the dredging area off Berth 101, with Naphthalene, fluoranthene, phenanthrene and pyrene reported as the primary PAH's within these sediments. The relative ratio of these compounds was relatively similar across all samples and no obvious trend in PAH concentration was noted with depth. PAH results at location REA01 were higher than REA02.

Sample REA01_1-1.5 reported a total PAH concentration (normalised to 1% TOC) of 11.4 mg/kg. All other samples reported total PAH concentrations (normalised to 1% TOC) were all below the NAGD (2009) screening value of 10 mg/kg.

The data was generally consistent with that reported from the dredging area at Berth 101 and during previous investigations including Worley Parsons (2012) where the concentration of total PAH (normalised to 1% TOC) ranged from 0.6 mg/kg to 16.5 mg/kg.

Concentrations of other parameters

- Ammonia was recorded above the LOR in sample REA01_1-1.5 only with a concentration of 30 mg/kg reported, lower than the ammonia concentration range reported in sediments at Berth 101.
- Cyanide was reported above the LOR in samples REA01_1-1.5 and REA02_2-2.5 at concentrations of 12 mg/kg and 3 mg./kg respectively. Cyanide concentrations were consistent with the range reported for sediments at Berth 101.
- Concentrations of TBT (normalised to 1% TOC) ranged from 0.6 µg Sn/kg to 1 µg Sn/kg, below the NAGD (2009) SQG low⁵ of 9 µg Sn/kg. TBT concentrations were generally consistent with those reported at Berth 101.
- Total organic carbon ranged from 0.67 to 3.6%.

5.3.3 Dioxins

'Dioxins' refers to a group of persistent chlorinated chemical compounds known as polychlorinated dibenzodioxins (PCDD), which share certain similar chemical structures, properties and biological characteristics, including toxicity (Mueller, et al., 2004). Dioxins are not deliberately produced, but are released into the environment as a result of combustion activities including power generation, waste incineration, metal smelting and manufacture of some chemicals (EPHC, 2005).

Dioxins occur as a complex mixture in most environmental media and as such, toxic equivalents (TEQs) are used to assist with interpretation of data, allowing the toxicity to be expressed as a single number. TEQs are calculated by normalising individual compounds to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, the most toxic PCDD. The total toxicity of any mixture is then expressed as the sum of the individual TEQs (Mueller, et al., 2004)

⁵ TBT concentrations reported a log normal distribution. Based on the available data set, calculation of the 95% UCL average for underlying clay horizon was not considered statistically valid

Sediment samples collected from both the dredge footprint at Berth 101 and the disposal area were analysed for dioxins. The results are reported in full in the laboratory report provided in Appendix E and summarised in this section. Both the World Health Organisation (WHO) TEQ and International TEQ (I-TEQ) are reported by the laboratory and summarised in Table 4. For the purpose of this report, the following TEQ values were applied

- WHO TEQ _(0.5 LOR) where value of half LOR was used to calculate the TEQ where results were reported by the laboratory as non detect
- I-TEQ _(0.5 LOR) where value of half LOR was used to calculate the TEQ where results were reported by the laboratory as non detect

Ten samples collected from the dredge footprint at Berth 101 and four samples from the disposal area were analysed for dioxins. Consistent with previous datasets, results from all samples were strongly dominated by OCDD (octachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin) with concentrations of OCDD reported orders of magnitude higher than the LOR and other dioxin-compounds within the same sample.

The results were relatively consistent across all samples and between the two sampling areas. Two samples per location were analysed from vibracore locations. The data from sediment cores at Berth 101 reported a marginal decrease in dioxin levels between surface (0-0.5) samples and underlying samples collected from either the 1-1.5 or 2-2.5 metre horizons. For the two locations completed within the disposal area (REA01 and REA02), total TEQ's were higher in deeper samples higher at both locations, with the maximum TEQ values reported in sample REA02_1-1.5.

Table 4- Dioxin summary results – Total TEQ

| Sample ID | WHO TEQ _(0.5 LOR) | I-TEQ _(0.5 LOR) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Berth 101 Dredging Area | | |
| SED01_0-0.5 | 11.7 | 19.26 |
| SED02_0-0.5 | 8.78 | 15.23 |
| SED03_0-0.5 | 16.02 | 22.78 |
| SED04_0-0.5 | 8.62 | 14.54 |
| SED04_1-1.5 | 8.47 | 13.65 |
| SED05_0-0.5 | 9.95 | 16.08 |
| SED05_1-1.5 | 8.46 | 13.74 |
| SED06_0-0.5 | 8.49 | 13.4 |
| SED06_2-2.5 | 5.1 | 7.26 |
| SED07_0-0.5 | 8.7 | 14.02 |
| Mean Average Total TEQ | 9.4 | 15 |
| Disposal Area | | |
| REA01_0-0.5 | 13.29 | 18.58 |
| REA01_1-1.5 | 21.82 | 32.36 |
| REA02_0-0.5 | 4.66 | 6.72 |
| REA02_2-2.1 | 9.05 | 14.14 |
| Mean Average Total TEQ | 12.2 | 17.9 |

In general, the results of the sampling were consistent with data reported during previous investigations. The results reported by Worley Parsons (2012) are summarised as follows:

- WHO₉₈ TEQ (0.5 LOR): Mean average 15.4 and maximum 22.1
- I-TEQ (0.5 LOR): Mean 32.1 and maximum 51.1

5.3.4 Acid sulphate soils

Field screen

Samples for potential acid sulphate soil (PASS) were initially submitted to the lab for a pH field screen the results of the field screen are presented in Table B41 in Appendix B.

The results for initial pH of the sample (pH_F) range from 8.2 to 8.9. pH after digestion with hydrogen peroxide (pH_{Fox}) ranged from 5.1 to 8 with one sample with a value of 2.3. All samples showed a strong or extreme reaction with a decrease in pH for all samples ranging from 0.4 to 6.1. While a final pH of less than 3.5 is considered an indicator of potential acid sulphate soils (PASS), they cannot be excluded here as pH is often higher when samples are from a marine source.

Acid sulphate soils – Chromium Reducible Sulphur method

In order to supplement to acid sulphate soil (ASS) field screen twelve samples were selected for laboratory analyses at the primary laboratory using the chromium reducible sulphur suite (CRS). For the majority of cores a single sample was selected for ASS analyses as the intra-core coefficient of variation between both pH_F and pH_{Fox} was small. For cores where there was a large variation in either pH_F or pH_{Fox} additional samples were selected to be representative of this variation.

The results were compared to the action criteria provided in the QLD (2014) Acid Sulfate Soils Technical Manual – Soil management Guidelines V4.0 based on more than 1000 tonnes of fine texture soils to be disturbed.

The laboratory report is included in Appendix E. The results are summarised in Appendix B, Table B4.

All samples exceeded the action criteria of 0.03 % sulphur and 18 M H^+ /t in both Berth101 and the disposal area at all depths. These samples all had pH_{KCl} of more than 8 pH units and acid neutralising capacity that ranged from 757 to 7750 M H^+ /t. The liming rates were less than 1 kg $CaCO_3$ /t for all except one sample (REA01_2.0-2.1) which has a liming rate of 227 kg $CaCO_3$ /t.

6. Conclusions

6.1 Summary findings

Based on the findings of these investigations, as outlined in Section 5, and subject to the limitations outlined in Section 1.5, key findings of the sediment investigations are summarised as follows:

- Two main sedimentary units were identified in the dredge footprint at Berth 101 comprising a soft silty clay layer overlying a stiffer clay layer. Sediments encountered at the disposal area were stratigraphically different to Berth 101, predominantly comprising black-brown clayey silt. Anthropogenic inclusions were noted in sediments within the outer harbour disposal area at REA01 including coal waste material, wood and concrete fragments interpreted as fill including a 10 cm layer of coarse coal waste.
- Elevated metal concentrations were reported above the nominated screening levels in the dredge footprint at both Berth 101 and the disposal area. With the exception of one sampling location at the disposal area (REA01-1-1.5), concentrations of heavy metals were generally consistent between the Berth 101 dredging area and disposal area. Some metals, notably lead, mercury and zinc, were an order of magnitude higher in sample REA01_1-1.5 than other samples.
- Other contaminants of potential concern, including PAH, TBT and hydrocarbons reported 95% UCL average concentrations below the nominated screening levels in the dredge area at Berth 101. With the exception of one sample (REA01_1-1.5), concentrations of PAH, TBT and TPH in the disposal area were largely consistent with data reported for the dredge area. Statistical evaluation of the dataset from the disposal area was not considered valid based on the variability of material encountered and number of sampling locations and as such individual results were reviewed with reference to the screening criteria. Concentrations of PAH and TPH in sample REA01-1.1.5 exceeded the NAGD (2009) screening levels.
- Dioxin levels were largely consistent across the two sampling areas with the sediments from the Berth 101 dredge footprint and disposal area reporting WHO TEQ_(0.5 LOR) of 9.4 ppt and 12.2 ppt respectively. Whilst Australian guidelines for dioxins are not currently available, these levels are within the range of background concentrations reported for Australian sediments (Muller et al., 2004) and consistent with the mean WHO TEQ_(0.5 LOR) reported by Worley Parsons (2012) of 15.4 ppt.
- The sediment sampling program was limited owing to weather conditions and the need to revise the sampling approach during the course of the works. Whilst the depth of sampling was limited to approximately 0.7 metres for some locations, the following points are noted with respect to the vertical profile of contaminant concentrations
 - No obvious vertical trend in contaminant concentration with depth was noted in sediment cores collected from the dredge footprint at Berth 101 where shallow (0-0.5) and underlying samples were analysed.
 - Two sampling locations (REA01 and REA02) were completed within the vicinity of the disposal area, including locations targeting sediments which are likely to be removed to facilitate construction of the bund. Concentrations of contaminants of concern in REA01 were higher in the underlying sample collected from a depth of 1-1.5 whilst concentrations in sediments sampled from REA02 were relatively consistent with depth.

- Contaminant concentrations were generally consistent across the seven locations completed with the sampling area at Berth 101, with no obvious hotspots of contamination noted.
- Analytical results were generally consistent with those reported previously by others including AECOM (2010) and Worley Parsons (2012). No new contaminants of potential concern were identified at levels exceeding screening criteria during the current investigation.
- Elutriate testing was not completed during the current investigation. However, based on the comparison of data with previous sampling events, the results of elutriate testing reported by AECOM (2010), Worley Parsons (2012) and Geochemical Assessments (2013) are considered relevant to these works and likely indicative of current conditions.
- Consistent with the findings of previous investigations including AECOM (2010), Worley Parsons (2012) and Geochemical Assessments (2013), the results indicate the presence of PASS and potential acid generating capacity of the sediments.

6.2 Conclusions

Overall, the findings of the investigation indicate the presence of contaminated sediments within the proposed dredging and disposal areas. Concentrations of contaminants of concern were largely consistent across the two areas, with concentrations of heavy metals exceeding the screening criteria in both the Berth 101 dredge area and disposal area. PAH and hydrocarbons were reported above the screening criteria in one sediment sample collected from the disposal area.

With reference to potential impacts on the project, the following points are noted:

- There is the potential for mobilisation of contaminants, notably heavy metals, into the water column during dredging activities. Based on review of the information obtained during this investigation, and the findings of previous investigations, the following points are noted:
 - Elutriate testing completed by Worley Parsons (2012) indicates that whilst concentrations of heavy metals may have been reported above the screening levels in sediments, concentrations of dissolved metals in elutriate waters were below the ANZECC trigger levels for 95% protection of species.
 - Bioavailability testing indicates that some heavy metals, notably cadmium, chromium copper, lead and zinc, have the potential to be bioavailable to marine organisms within the sediments.
 - The potential bioavailability of contaminants, including detailed review of existing available data, will be considered during developing the dredge management strategy and in preparation of the dredge management plan.
- The project will involve dredging of sediments from Berth 101 and emplacement within the disposal area. Contaminated sediments will be placed within the perimeter bund of the disposal area and capped with clean sediments. Details for the management of this process will be documented in the dredge management plan.
- Dredging activities will result in the suspension of sediments, potentially remobilising contamination into the water column. Mitigation measures to minimise impacts to receiving waters may include the use of a turbidity curtain to restrict the generation of turbidity plumes and localise any water quality issues. Details of these mitigation measures, including the approach for surface water monitoring, will be outlined in the dredge management plan.

- The results of the sediment sampling program indicate PASS conditions are present within the dredge footprint. An Acid Sulphate Soil Management Plan (ASSMP) will be prepared in line with the requirements of the *Acid Sulphate Soils Management Advisory Committee Guidelines* (ASSMAC, August 1998 and as updated). The ASSMP will be prepared to identify, manage and treat the PASS encountered during dredging to minimise the production of acid leachate. The dredging strategy will be designed to limit the timeframe for potential for oxidisation of the sediments. The potential for ASS generation would reduce greatly due to sediments being transferred to the disposal area immediately after dredging, limiting time for oxidation.

7. References

ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) *Australian Water Quality Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality*. Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council and Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand, Canberra, October 2000

Acid Sulfate Soil Manual (1998) prepared by the Acid Sulfate Soil Management Advisory Committee (ASSMAC 1998).

AECOM (2010) *Port Kembla Outer harbour development Environmental Assessment*

Coffey Geosciences (2003) *Evaluation of sediment sampling and analysis, Port Kembla Port*.

Commonwealth of Australia (2009). *National Assessment Guidelines for Dredging*, Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra, 2009.

Dear SE, Moore NG, Dobos SK, Watling KM and Ahern CR (2002). Soil Management Guidelines. In *Queensland Acid Sulfate Soil Technical Manual v.4.0 (2014)*. Department of Natural Resources and Mines, Indooroopilly, Queensland, Australia.

Douglas Partners (2002) *Report on sediment sampling and analysis Port Kembla Report. Technical report prepared for Port Kembla Port Corporation*.

EPHC (2005) *National Dioxins Program: National Action Plan for Addressing Dioxins in Australia*, July 2005


Geochemical Assessment (2013) *Pilot Sediment Investigations for Potential Maintenance Dredging Areas*

Mueller J, Muller R, Goudkamp K, Shaw M, Mortimer M, Haynes D, Paxman C, Hyne R, McTaggart A, Burniston D, Symons R and Moore M, (2004) *Dioxins in Aquatic Environments in Australia*, National Dioxin Program Technical Report No. 6, Australian Government Department of Environment and Heritage, Canberra


Worley Parsons (2012) *Berth 101 Upgrade Project Marine Assessment Dredge Spoil Contamination Assessment - Stage 2 Detailed Site Investigation*

Appendices

Appendix A - Figures



Map Projection: Transverse Mercator
Horizontal Datum: GDA 1994
Grid: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56






Australian Industrial Energy
Port Kembla Gas Terminal



Project No. 21-27477
Revision No. -
Date 30/10/2018

**Proposed Dredging Area -
Sampling Grid**

FIGURE 1

Legend

-  Sampling Location
-  Disposal area
-  Railway



**Australian Industrial Energy
Port Kembla Gas Terminal**

Project No. **21-27477**
 Revision No. **-**
 Date **30/10/2018**

Map Projection: Transverse Mercator
 Horizontal Datum: GDA 1994
 Grid: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56

**Reclamation Area -
Sampling Grid**

FIGURE 2

Appendix B - Summary of Lab Results



Table B1 - Summary Analytical Results - Chemistry

| | NA | | | | Particle Size Analysis | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Soil Bulk Density | | | | |
|-----|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------------------|---|---|---|------|
| | % Cobbles (>6cm) | % Gravel (>2mm) | % Sand (0.06-2.00 mm) | % Silt (<60 µm) | % Clay (<2 µm) | % +75µm | % +150µm | % +300µm | % +425µm | % +600µm | % +1180µm | % +2,36mm | % +4.75mm | % +6.5mm | % +19.0mm | % +37.5mm | % +75.0mm | DENSITY | | | | | |
| EQL | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.01 |

| Location Code | Date | Field ID | Sample Type | Matrix Type | <1 | <1 | 17 | 61 | 22 | 10 | 4 | 1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 16 | 3 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2.4 |
|---------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|
| | 5/10/2018 | REA01_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | <1 | <1 | 17 | 61 | 22 | 10 | 4 | 1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 16 | 3 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2.4 |
| | 5/10/2018 | REA01_1.0-1.5 | Normal | soil | <1 | 7 | 58 | 21 | 14 | 61 | 54 | 41 | 37 | 33 | 33 | 16 | 3 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2.79 |
| | 5/10/2018 | REA02_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | <1 | 1 | 80 | 11 | 8 | 81 | 74 | 38 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2.62 |
| | 5/10/2018 | REA02_2.0-2.5 | Normal | soil | <1 | 3 | 69 | 16 | 12 | 69 | 50 | 12 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2.54 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED01_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | <1 | 11 | 36 | 34 | 19 | 45 | 37 | 29 | 26 | 22 | 15 | 9 | 5 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2.34 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED02_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | <1 | <1 | 19 | 55 | 26 | 9 | 4 | 2 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2.37 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED03_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | <1 | <1 | 25 | 49 | 26 | 15 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2.39 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED04_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | <1 | <1 | 15 | 63 | 22 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2.37 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED04_1.0-1.5 | Normal | soil | <1 | <1 | 8 | 67 | 25 | 6 | 2 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2.33 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED05_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | <1 | <1 | 12 | 65 | 23 | 8 | 3 | 2 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2.34 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED05_1.0-1.5 | Normal | soil | <1 | <1 | 13 | 65 | 22 | 6 | 2 | 1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2.31 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED06_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | <1 | <1 | 26 | 53 | 21 | 18 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 2 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2.22 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED06_2.0-2.5 | Normal | soil | <1 | <1 | 38 | 43 | 19 | 30 | 10 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2.54 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED07_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | <1 | <1 | 25 | 53 | 22 | 19 | 8 | 3 | 1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2.39 |

| Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| Number of Results | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Minimum Value | <1 | 1 | 8 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | <1 | 1 | <1 | 1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2.22 |
| Maximum Value | <1 | 11 | 80 | 67 | 26 | 81 | 74 | 41 | 37 | 33 | 16 | 9 | 5 | <1 | <1 | 5 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2.79 |
| Median Value * | 0.5 | 0.5 | 25 | 53 | 22 | 17 | 8 | 2 | 1.00 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.38 |

* A Non Detect Multiplier of 0.5 has been applied.



Preliminary Contamination Assessment

Table B2 - Summary Analytical Results - Inorganics

| | | | | | Metals | | | | | | | | | Nutrients | |
|--|-----------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|-------------------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| | | | | | Arsenic | Cadmium | Chromium (III+VI) | Copper | Lead | Mercury | Nickel | Zinc | Moisture (%) | Cyanide (Total) | Ammonia as N |
| | | | | | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | % | mg/kg | mg/kg |
| EQL | | | | | 5 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 0.1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 20 |
| NAGD 2009 - SQG-High Values | | | | | 70 | 10 | 370 | 270 | 220 | 1 | 52 | 410 | | | |
| NAGD 2009 - Screening Level | | | | | 20 | 1.5 | 80 | 65 | 50 | 0.15 | 21 | 200 | | | |
| Location Code | Date | Field ID | Sample Type | Matrix Type | Arsenic | Cadmium | Chromium (III+VI) | Copper | Lead | Mercury | Nickel | Zinc | Moisture (%) | Cyanide (Total) | Ammonia as N |
| | 5/10/2018 | REA01_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | 42 | 3 | 115 | 3,280 | 548 | 0.8 | 25 | 1,210 | 51.7 | <2 | <20 |
| | 5/10/2018 | REA01_1.0-1.5 | Normal | soil | 77 | 8 | 369 | 4,180 | 1,930 | 3.6 | 69 | 12,300 | 38.8 | 12 | 30 |
| | 5/10/2018 | REA02_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | <5 | <1 | 8 | 22 | 17 | <0.1 | 3 | 58 | 23.7 | <1 | <20 |
| | 5/10/2018 | FS08 | Field_D - REA02_0.0-0.5 | soil | <5 | <1 | 4 | 12 | 10 | <0.1 | <2 | 27 | 18.6 | <1 | <20 |
| | 5/10/2018 | REA02_2.0-2.5 | Normal | soil | 54 | <1 | 20 | 309 | 431 | 0.5 | 13 | 475 | 23.6 | 3 | <20 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED01_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | 15 | <1 | 86 | 251 | 176 | 0.3 | 19 | 676 | 54.6 | <2 | <20 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED02_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | 19 | <1 | 84 | 233 | 169 | 0.3 | 20 | 669 | 56.8 | <2 | <20 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED03_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | 18 | <1 | 82 | 239 | 171 | 0.3 | 18 | 684 | 54.8 | <2 | <20 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED04_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | 20 | <1 | 97 | 338 | 205 | 0.5 | 20 | 876 | 54.8 | 3 | <20 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED04_1.0-1.5 | Normal | soil | 19 | 1 | 92 | 159 | 202 | 0.5 | 24 | 784 | 49.3 | 4 | 30 |
| | 5/10/2018 | FS06 | Field_D - SED04_1.0-1.5 | soil | 17 | 1 | 90 | 159 | 198 | 0.4 | 24 | 772 | 49.6 | 4 | 30 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED05_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | 15 | <1 | 82 | 241 | 172 | 0.4 | 18 | 671 | 47.7 | 1 | <20 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED05_1.0-1.5 | Normal | soil | 21 | 1 | 104 | 216 | 236 | 0.6 | 24 | 900 | 47.8 | 4 | 40 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED06_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | 15 | 2 | 104 | 157 | 168 | 0.5 | 21 | 930 | 48.1 | 4 | <20 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED06_2.0-2.5 | Normal | soil | 9 | 2 | 85 | 67 | 145 | 0.2 | 20 | 1,120 | 37.6 | 27 | 110 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED07_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | 17 | <1 | 79 | 262 | 175 | 0.3 | 18 | 675 | 55.3 | 2 | <20 |
| Number of Results | | | | | 14 | 7 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 10 | 5 |
| Minimum Concentration | | | | | 9 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 10 | 0.2 | 3 | 27 | 18.6 | 1 | 30 |
| Maximum Concentration | | | | | 77 | 8 | 369 | 4180 | 1930 | 3.6 | 69 | 12300 | 56.8 | 27 | 110 |
| Median Concentration * | | | | | 18.5 | 2 | 85.5 | 236 | 175.5 | 0.45 | 20 | 728 | 48.7 | 4 | 30 |
| * A Non Detect Multiplier of 0.5 has been applied. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 95% UCL - Berth101 | | | | | 18.82 | 1.26 | 94.86 | 258.9 | 196.72 | 0.46 | 21.5 | 888 | | | |



Table B3 - Summary Analytical Results - Organics

| Location Code | Date | Field ID | Sample Type | Matrix Type | TOC | Organo Metals | | | BTEXN | | | | | | | TRH - NEPM 2013 | | | | | | | TRH - NEPM 1999 | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------|---------|--------------|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | Total Organic Carbon | (Tri)methyltin (as Sn) | Normalised TBT | Benzene | Toluene | Ethylbenzene | Xylene (o) | Xylene (m & p) | Xylene Total | BTEX (Sum of Total) - Lab Calc | F1 (C9-C10 minus BTEX) | C9-C10 Fraction | F2 (C10-C15 minus Naphthalene) | C10-C15 Fraction | F3 (C16-C24 Fraction) | F4 (C25-C40 Fraction) | >C10-C40 (Sum of Total) | Normalised c10-C40 Total | C9-C10 Fraction | C10-C14 Fraction | C15-C26 Fraction | C26-C36 Fraction | C10-C36 (Sum of Total) | Normalised c10-C36 |
| | | | | | % | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | | | |
| EOL | | | | | 0.02 | 0.0005 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NAGD 2009 - ISQG-High Values | | | | | | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NAGD 2009 - Screening Level | | | | | | 0.009 | 0.009 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ANZECC 2000 ISQG -High | | | | | | 0.07 | 0.07 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 550 | | | |
| ANZECC 2000 ISQG -Low | | | | | | 0.005 | 0.005 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 550 | | |
| Number of Results | | | | | 16 | 16 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 13 | | | |
| Minimum Concentration | | | | | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.10 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 50.00 | 50 | 23 | 5 | 25 | 50 | 50 | 25 | 54 | | |
| Maximum Concentration | | | | | 11.60 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.10 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 | 1,620.00 | 340 | 2050 | 776.52 | 5 | 25 | 1070 | 740 | 1810 | 685.61 | |
| Median Concentration* | | | | | 6.9 | 0.0054 | 0.0010 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 5 | 5 | 25 | 25 | 510 | 210 | 725 | 100 | 5 | 25 | 310 | 300 | 605 | | |

* A Non Detect Multiplier of 0.5 has been applied.



Table B3 - Summary Analytical Results - Organics

| | | | | PAHs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|----------|------------------------|--------------|--------|--------------------------------|--|--|---|---|-----|-----|
| | | | | Acenaphthene | Acenaphthylene | Anthracene | Benz(a)anthracene | Benzo(a)pyrene | Benzo(b)fluoranthene | Benzo(k)fluoranthene | benzo(g,h,i)perylene | Chrysene | Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | Fluoranthene | Naphthalene | Fluorene | Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | Phenanthrene | Pyrene | PAHs (Sum of total) - Lab calc | PromisepPAHs (Sum of total) - Lab calc | Total 6 PAHs (as BAP) (TEQ) (LOR) - Lab Calc | Total 6 PAHs (as BAP) (TEQ) (half LOR) - Lab Calc | Total 6 PAHs (as BAP) (TEQ) (full LOR) - Lab Calc | | |
| | | | | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | | |
| EOL | | | | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 50 | 50 | | | | |
| NAGD 2009 - SQG-High Values | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 10 | 10 | | | | |
| NAGD 2009 - Screening Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 45 | 45 | | | | |
| ANZECC 2000 ISQG -High | | | | 0.5 | 0.64 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | | | | 2.8 | 0.26 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 0.54 | | 1.5 | 2.6 | 45 | 45 | | | | | |
| ANZECC 2000 ISQG -Low | | | | 0.016 | 0.044 | 0.085 | 0.261 | 0.43 | | | | 0.384 | 0.063 | 0.6 | 0.16 | 0.019 | | 0.24 | 0.665 | 4 | 4 | | | | | |
| Location Code | Date | Field ID | Sample Type | Matrix Type | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5/10/2018 | 5/10/2018 | READ1_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | <0.8 | <0.8 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 2.2 | <0.8 | 5.0 | 5.5 | <0.8 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 33.7 | 9.36 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 4.4 | |
| 5/10/2018 | 5/10/2018 | READ1_1.0-1.5 | Normal | soil | <0.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.1 | <0.5 | 2.9 | 11.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 30.1 | 11.40 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.3 | |
| 5/10/2018 | 5/10/2018 | READ2_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1.0 | 1.49 | <0.5 | 0.6 | 1.2 | |
| 5/10/2018 | 5/10/2018 | FS08 | Field_D - REA02_0.0-0.5 | soil | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | |
| 5/10/2018 | 5/10/2018 | READ2_2.0-2.5 | Normal | soil | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 0.74 | <0.5 | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| 5/10/2018 | 5/10/2018 | SED01_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | <0.8 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 2.0 | <0.8 | 4.3 | 9.8 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 38.3 | 6.12 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 4.0 | |
| 5/10/2018 | 5/10/2018 | SED02_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | <0.8 | <0.8 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.7 | <0.8 | 3.6 | 8.5 | <0.8 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 30.6 | 4.43 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.3 | |
| 5/10/2018 | 5/10/2018 | SED03_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | <0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.3 | <0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | <0.8 | 1.8 | 2.5 | <0.8 | <0.8 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 11.8 | 1.33 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.7 | |
| 5/10/2018 | 5/10/2018 | SED04_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | <0.8 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 2.1 | <0.8 | 4.5 | 9.9 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 39.4 | 5.69 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 4.1 | |
| 5/10/2018 | 5/10/2018 | SED04_1.0-1.5 | Normal | soil | <0.5 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 6.2 | 11.4 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 52.6 | 7.04 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | |
| 5/10/2018 | 5/10/2018 | FS06 | Field_D - SED04_1.0-1.5 | soil | <0.5 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 6.0 | 11.6 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 51.5 | 6.89 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | |
| 5/10/2018 | 5/10/2018 | SED05_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | <0.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 5.5 | 12.7 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 50.5 | 5.76 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | |
| 5/10/2018 | 5/10/2018 | SED05_1.0-1.5 | Normal | soil | <0.5 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 5.1 | 9.1 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 42.8 | 5.70 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | |
| 5/10/2018 | 5/10/2018 | SED06_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | <0.5 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 5.5 | 6.9 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 8.1 | 11.2 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 6.5 | 7.4 | 60.4 | 5.98 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 | |
| 5/10/2018 | 5/10/2018 | SED06_2.0-2.5 | Normal | soil | <0.8 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.6 | <0.5 | 4.5 | 24.9 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 55.0 | 12.70 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.1 | |
| 5/10/2018 | 5/10/2018 | SED07_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | <0.8 | <0.8 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.8 | <0.8 | 3.7 | 7.0 | <0.8 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 29.9 | 3.84 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.5 | |
| Number of Results | | | | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 16 | |
| Minimum Concentration | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Maximum Concentration | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Median Concentration * | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * A Non Detect Multiplier of 0.5 has been applied. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



Table B4 - Summary Analytical Results - Acid Sulfate Soils

| | ASS - Field | | | ASS - pH | | ASS - Acidity Trail | | ASS - Potential Acidity | | | ASS - ANC | | | ASS - Acid Base Accounting | | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|----------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|--|
| | pH _F | pH _{FOX} | Reaction rate | pH _{KCl} | Titratable Actual Acidity | Titratable Actual Acidity (sulfur units) | Chromium Reducible Sulfur | Chromium Reducible Sulphur (acidity units) | Acid Neutralising Capacity | Acid Neutralising Capacity (acidity units) | Acid Neutralising Capacity (sulfur units) | s-Net Acidity without ANCE | s-Net Acidity without - ANCE | - ANC Fitness Factor | Net Acidity (acidity units) | Net Acidity (sulfur units) | Liming Rate | Liming Rate excluding ANC | |
| | pH Units | pH Units | - | pH Units | mole H+/t | %S | %S | mole H+/t | % CaCO3 | mole H+/t | %S | %S | mole H+/t | - | mole H+/t | %S | kg CaCO3/t | kg CaCO3/t | |
| EOL | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.02 | 0.005 | 10 | 0.01 | 10 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 10 | 0.5 | 10 | 0.02 | 1 | 1 | |
| ASSMAC (1998) | | | | | | | 0.03 | 18 | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Location Code | Date | Field ID | Sample Type | Matrix Type | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----|------|---|------|----|-------|-------|---------|------|---------|------|------|---------|------|---------|-------|--------|--------|
| | 4/10/2018 | REA01_0.0-0.1 | Normal | soil | 8.7 | 7.6 | 4 | 8.7 | <2 | <0.02 | 0.677 | 422 | 38.8 | 7,760 | 12.4 | 0.68 | 422 | 1.5 | <10 | <0.02 | <1 | 32 |
| | 4/10/2018 | REA01_1.0-1.1 | Normal | soil | 8.8 | 7.5 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4/10/2018 | REA01_2.0-2.1 | Normal | soil | 8.4 | 2.30 | 4 | 8.00 | <2 | <0.02 | 6.29 | 3920.00 | 6.74 | 1350.00 | 2.16 | 6.29 | 3920.00 | 1.50 | 3020.00 | 4.85 | 227.00 | 294.00 |
| | 4/10/2018 | REA02_0.0-0.1 | Normal | soil | 8.6 | 6.50 | 3 | 9.00 | <2 | <0.02 | 0.11 | 70.00 | 2.65 | 529.00 | 0.85 | 0.11 | 70.00 | 1.50 | <10 | <0.02 | <1 | 5.00 |
| | 4/10/2018 | REA02_1.0-1.1 | Normal | soil | 8.5 | 6.60 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4/10/2018 | REA02_2.0-2.1 | Normal | soil | 8.4 | 7.8 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4/10/2018 | FS03 | Field_D_REA02_2.0-2.1 | soil | 8.8 | 7.6 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4/10/2018 | SED01_0.0-0.1 | Normal | soil | 8.2 | 6.30 | 4 | 8.10 | <2 | <0.02 | 0.27 | 169.00 | 5.43 | 1080.00 | 1.74 | 0.27 | 169.00 | 1.50 | <10 | <0.02 | <1 | 13.00 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED01_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | 8.4 | 6.30 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED01_0.5-0.65 | Normal | soil | 8.4 | 6.40 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4/10/2018 | SED02_0.0-0.1 | Normal | soil | 8.2 | 6.30 | 4 | 8.50 | <2 | <0.02 | 0.14 | 89.00 | 4.65 | 929.00 | 1.49 | 0.14 | 89.00 | 1.50 | <10 | <0.02 | <1 | 7.00 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED02_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | 8.2 | 6.20 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED02_0.55-0.65 | Normal | soil | 8.6 | 6.20 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4/10/2018 | SED03_0.0-0.1 | Normal | soil | 8.4 | 6.30 | 4 | 8.50 | <2 | <0.02 | 0.13 | 81.00 | 5.14 | 1030.00 | 1.64 | 0.13 | 81.00 | 1.50 | <10 | <0.02 | <1 | 6.00 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED03_0.0-0.1 | Normal | soil | 8.4 | 6.30 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED03_0.0-0.5 | Normal | soil | 8.6 | 6.30 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED03_0.5-0.65 | Normal | soil | 8.4 | 6.40 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4/10/2018 | SED04_0.0-0.1 | Normal | soil | 8.6 | 6.20 | 4 | 8.50 | <2 | <0.02 | 0.16 | 102.00 | 5.08 | 1020.00 | 1.63 | 0.16 | 102.00 | 1.50 | <10 | <0.02 | <1 | 8.00 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED04_0.5-0.6 | Normal | soil | 8.4 | 6.40 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED04_1.0-1.1 | Normal | soil | 8.8 | 6.20 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4/10/2018 | SED04_1.5-1.6 | Normal | soil | 8.9 | 6.50 | 4 | 8.40 | <2 | <0.02 | 0.37 | 230.00 | 5.27 | 1050.00 | 1.69 | 0.37 | 230.00 | 1.50 | <10 | <0.02 | <1 | 17.00 |
| | 4/10/2018 | FS04 | Field_D_SED04_1.5-1.6 | soil | 8.8 | 6.6 | 4 | 8.4 | <2 | <0.02 | 0.399 | 249 | 5.19 | 1,040 | 1.66 | 0.4 | 249 | 1.5 | <10 | <0.02 | <1 | 19 |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED04_2.0-2.1 | Normal | soil | 8.8 | 7.20 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5/10/2018 | SED04_2.5-2.6 | Normal | soil | 8.2 | 6.10 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4/10/2018 | SED05_0.0-0.1 | Normal | soil | 8.3 | 6.40 | 3 | 8.60 | <2 | <0.02 | 0.10 | 64.00 | 4.95 | 989.00 | 1.58 | 0.10 | 64.00 | 1.50 | <10 | <0.02 | <1 | 5.00 |
| | 4/10/2018 | SED05_1.0-1.1 | Normal | soil | 8.5 | 7.20 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4/10/2018 | SED05_2.0-2.1 | Normal | soil | 8.8 | 7.20 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4/10/2018 | SED06_0.0-0.1 | Normal | soil | 8.5 | 6.40 | 3 | 8.60 | <2 | <0.02 | 0.12 | 76.00 | 4.78 | 954.00 | 1.53 | 0.12 | 76.00 | 1.50 | <10 | <0.02 | <1 | 6.00 |
| | 4/10/2018 | SED06_2.0-2.1 | Normal | soil | 8.4 | 8.00 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4/10/2018 | SED06_3.0-3.1 | Normal | soil | 8.4 | 6.50 | 4 | 8.30 | <2 | <0.02 | 0.64 | 397.00 | 3.79 | 757.00 | 1.21 | 0.64 | 397.00 | 1.50 | <10 | <0.02 | <1 | 30.00 |
| | 4/10/2018 | FS01 | Field_D_SED06_3.0-3.1 | soil | 8.5 | 5.1 | 4 | 8.2 | <2 | <0.02 | 3.38 | 2,110 | 15.2 | 3,040 | 4.87 | 3.38 | 2,110 | 1.5 | 81 | 0.13 | 6 | 158 |
| | 4/10/2018 | SED07_0.0-0.65 | Normal | soil | 8.5 | 6.40 | 4 | 8.60 | <2 | <0.02 | 0.11 | 70.00 | 5.06 | 1010.00 | 1.62 | 0.11 | 70.00 | 1.50 | <10 | <0.02 | <1 | 5.00 |

| Statistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-----|-----|--|-----|----|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|-----|----|----|--|
| Number of Results | 32 | 32 | | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 13 | |
| Minimum Concentration | 8.2 | 2.3 | | 8 | <2 | <0.02 | 0.103 | 64 | 2.65 | 529 | 0.85 | 0.1 | 64 | 1.5 | <10 | <0.02 | <1 | 5 | | | |
| Maximum Concentration | 9 | 8 | | 9 | <2 | <0.02 | 6 | 3,920 | 39 | 7,760 | 12 | 6 | 3,920 | 2 | 3,020 | 5 | 227 | 294 | | | |
| Median Concentration * | 8.5 | 6.4 | | 8.5 | 1 | 0.01 | 0.217 | 135.5 | 5.11 | 1,025 | 1.635 | 0.215 | 135.5 | 1.5 | 5 | 0.01 | 0.5 | 8.0 | | | |

* A Non Detect Multiplier of 0.5 has been applied

Appendix C – Core Logs



BOREHOLE LOG

SOIL BORE SED01

ENVIRONMENTAL-SOIL BORE

| | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Client Australian Industrial Energy | Drill Co. McLennans Diving Services | Easting 306800.27 |
| Project Preliminary Contamination Assessment | Driller D Allchin | Northing 6184996.98 |
| Project No. 2127477 | Rig Type | Grid Ref GDA94_MGA_zone_56 |
| Site Port Kembla Hrbour | Total Depth (m) 0.67 | Elevation |
| Location Berth 101 | Diameter (mm) 160 | Logged By Sarah Eccleshall |
| Date Drilled 04/10/2018 - 04/10/2018 | | Checked By |

| Depth (m) | Drilling Method | PID (ppm) | Sample ID | Graphic Log | LITHOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION Soil Type (Classification Group Symbol); Particle Size; Colour; Secondary / Minor Components. | Moisture | Consistency | COMMENTS/ CONTAMINANT INDICATORS Odours, staining, waste materials, separate phase liquids, imported fill, ash. | Elevation (m) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------|---|----------|-------------|---|---------------|
| 0.1 | hand push | 0.2 | SED01_0.0-0.1 SED01_0.0-0.5 | | MH - Clayey SILT dark black- brown, some sand | S | VS | weak hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal, some coal refuse | -0.1 |
| 0.3 | | | | | MH - Clayey SILT dark black- brown, some fine sand | W | S | weak hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal, some coal refuse | -0.3 |
| 0.5 | | 0.6 | SED01_0.5-0.65 | | | | | | -0.5 |
| 0.7 | | | | | Termination Depth at: 0.67 m. Target depth achieved. | | | | -0.7 |
| 0.8 | | | | | | | | | -0.8 |
| 0.9 | | | | | | | | | -0.9 |
| 1.0 | | | | | | | | | -1.0 |
| 1.1 | | | | | | | | | -1.1 |
| 1.2 | | | | | | | | | -1.2 |
| 1.3 | | | | | | | | | -1.3 |
| 1.4 | | | | | | | | | -1.4 |
| 1.5 | | | | | | | | | -1.5 |
| 1.6 | | | | | | | | | -1.6 |
| 1.7 | | | | | | | | | -1.7 |
| 1.8 | | | | | | | | | -1.8 |
| 1.9 | | | | | | | | | -1.9 |

Notes

This log is not intended for geotechnical purposes.

| Drilling Abbreviations | Moisture Abbreviations | Consistency Abbreviations | |
|--|--|---|--|
| AH-Air Hammer, AR-Air Rotary, BE-Bucket Excavation, CC-Concrete Coring, DC-Diamond Core, FH-Foam Hammer, HA-Hand Auger, HE-Hand Excavation (shovel), HFA-Hollow Flight Auger, NDD-Non Destructive Drilling, PT-Pushtube, SD-Sonic Drilling, SFA-Solid Flight Auger, SS-Split Spoon, V-Vibracore, WB-Wash Bore, WS-Window Sampler | D-Dry, SM-Slightly Moist, M-Moist, VM-Very Moist, W-Wet, S-Saturated | Granular Soils VL-Very Loose, L-Loose, MD-Medium Dense, D-Dense, VD - Very Dense | Cohesive Soils VS-Very Soft, S-Soft, F-Firm, ST-Stiff, VST-Very Stiff, H-Hard |



BOREHOLE LOG

SOIL BORE SED02

ENVIRONMENTAL-SOIL BORE

Page 1 of 1

| | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Client Australian Industrial Energy | Drill Co. McLennans Diving Services | Easting 306844.37 |
| Project Preliminary Contamination Assessment | Driller D Allchin | Northing 6184974.51 |
| Project No. 2127477 | Rig Type | Grid Ref GDA94_MGA_zone_56 |
| Site Port Kembla Harbour | Total Depth (m) 0.67 | Elevation |
| Location Berth 101 | Diameter (mm) 160 | Logged By Sarah Eccleshall |
| Date Drilled 04/10/2018 - 04/10/2018 | | Checked By |

| Depth (m) | Drilling Method | PID (ppm) | Sample ID | Graphic Log | LITHOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION Soil Type (Classification Group Symbol); Particle Size; Colour; Secondary / Minor Components. | Moisture | Consistency | COMMENTS/ CONTAMINANT INDICATORS Odours, staining, waste materials, separate phase liquids, imported fill, ash. | Elevation (m) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------|---|----------|-------------|---|---------------|
| 0.1 | hand push | 0.2 | SED02_0.0-0.1 SED02_0.0-0.5 | | MH - Clayey SILT dark black- brown, some fine sand | W | VS | weak hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal | -0.1 |
| 0.2 | | | | | | | | | -0.2 |
| 0.3 | | 0.9 | | | | | | | |
| 0.4 | | | | | | | | | -0.4 |
| 0.5 | | 0.3 | SED02_0.5-0.65 | | MH - Clayey SILT dark black- grey, some fine sand, some coal refuse | VM | S | distinct hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal. Large coal pieces at 0.53-0.6, some coal refuse | -0.5 |
| 0.6 | | | | | | | | | -0.6 |
| 0.7 | | | | | Termination Depth at: 0.67 m. Target depth achieved. | | | | -0.7 |
| 0.8 | | | | | | | | | -0.8 |
| 0.9 | | | | | | | | | -0.9 |
| 1.0 | | | | | | | | | -1.0 |
| 1.1 | | | | | | | | | -1.1 |
| 1.2 | | | | | | | | | -1.2 |
| 1.3 | | | | | | | | | -1.3 |
| 1.4 | | | | | | | | | -1.4 |
| 1.5 | | | | | | | | | -1.5 |
| 1.6 | | | | | | | | | -1.6 |
| 1.7 | | | | | | | | | -1.7 |
| 1.8 | | | | | | | | | -1.8 |
| 1.9 | | | | | | | | | -1.9 |

Notes

This log is not intended for geotechnical purposes.

| Drilling Abbreviations | Moisture Abbreviations | Consistency Abbreviations | |
|--|--|---|--|
| AH-Air Hammer, AR-Air Rotary, BE-Bucket Excavation, CC-Concrete Coring, DC-Diamond Core, FH-Foam Hammer, HA-Hand Auger, HE-Hand Excavation (shovel), HFA-Hollow Flight Auger, NDD-Non Destructive Drilling, PT-Pushtube, SD-Sonic Drilling, SFA-Solid Flight Auger, SS-Split Spoon, V-Vibracore, WB-Wash Bore, WS-Window Sampler | D-Dry, SM-Slightly Moist, M-Moist, VM-Very Moist, W-Wet, S-Saturated | Granular Soils VL-Very Loose, L-Loose, MD-Medium Dense, D-Dense, VD - Very Dense | Cohesive Soils VS-Very Soft, S-Soft, F-Firm, ST-Stiff, VST-Very Stiff, H-Hard |



BOREHOLE LOG

ENVIRONMENTAL-SOIL BORE

SOIL BORE SED03

Page 1 of 1

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| Client Australian Industrial Energy Project Preliminary Contamination Assessment Project No. 2127477 Site Port Kembla Harbour Location Berth 101 Date Drilled 04/10/2018 - 04/10/2018 | Drill Co. McLennans Diving Services Driller D Allchin Rig Type Total Depth (m) 0.67 Diameter (mm) 160 | Easting 306876.82 Northing 6184874.64 Grid Ref GDA94_MGA_zone_56 Elevation Logged By Sarah Eccleshall Checked By |
|--|--|---|

| Depth (m) | Drilling Method | PID (ppm) | Sample ID | Graphic Log | LITHOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION Soil Type (Classification Group Symbol); Particle Size; Colour; Secondary / Minor Components. | Moisture | Consistency | COMMENTS/ CONTAMINANT INDICATORS Odours, staining, waste materials, separate phase liquids, imported fill, ash. | Elevation (m) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|--|-------------|---|----------|---|---|---------------|
| 0.1 | hand push | 2.7 | SED03_0.0-0.1 SED03_0.0-0.5 (FS05 FD05) | | MH - Clayey SILT dark brown- black, some coal refuse, some fine sand | S | | weak hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal, some coal refuse | -0.1 |
| 0.2 | | 0.3 | | | MH - Clayey SILT dark brown- black, some coal refuse, some fine sand | S | VS | weak hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal, some coal refuse | -0.2 |
| 0.3 | | | MH - Clayey SILT dark brown- black, some coal refuse, some fine sand | | W | S | weak hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal, some coal refuse | -0.3 | |
| 0.5 | | 0.6 | SED03_0.5-0.65 | | | | | | |
| 0.6 | | 0.2 | | | MH - Clayey SILT dark brown- black, some fine sand | W | F | weak hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal, some coal refuse | -0.6 |
| 0.7 | | | | | Termination Depth at: 0.67 m. Target depth achieved. | | | | -0.7 |
| 0.8 | | | | | | | | | -0.8 |
| 0.9 | | | | | | | | | -0.9 |
| 1.0 | | | | | | | | | -1.0 |
| 1.1 | | | | | | | | | -1.1 |
| 1.2 | | | | | | | | | -1.2 |
| 1.3 | | | | | | | | | -1.3 |
| 1.4 | | | | | | | | | -1.4 |
| 1.5 | | | | | | | | | -1.5 |
| 1.6 | | | | | | | | | -1.6 |
| 1.7 | | | | | | | | | -1.7 |
| 1.8 | | | | | | | | | -1.8 |
| 1.9 | | | | | | | | | -1.9 |

Notes

This log is not intended for geotechnical purposes.

| Drilling Abbreviations | Moisture Abbreviations | Consistency Abbreviations | |
|--|--|---|--|
| AH-Air Hammer, AR-Air Rotary, BE-Bucket Excavation, CC-Concrete Coring, DC-Diamond Core, FH-Foam Hammer, HA-Hand Auger, HE-Hand Excavation (shovel), HFA-Hollow Flight Auger, NDD-Non Destructive Drilling, PT-Pushtube, SD-Sonic Drilling, SFA-Solid Flight Auger, SS-Split Spoon, V-Vibracore, WB-Wash Bore, WS-Window Sampler | D-Dry, SM-Slightly Moist, M-Moist, VM-Very Moist, W-Wet, S-Saturated | Granular Soils VL-Very Loose, L-Loose, MD-Medium Dense, D-Dense, VD - Very Dense | Cohesive Soils VS-Very Soft, S-Soft, F-Firm, ST-Stiff, VST-Very Stiff, H-Hard |



BOREHOLE LOG

SOIL BORE SED04

ENVIRONMENTAL-SOIL BORE

| | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Client Australian Industrial Energy | Drill Co. McLennans Diving Services | Easting 306863 |
| Project Preliminary Contamination Assessment | Driller D Allchin | Northing 6184863 |
| Project No. 2127477 | Rig Type Rossfelder Vibracore | Grid Ref GDA94_MGA_zone_56 |
| Site Port Kembla Harbour | Total Depth (m) 0.67 | Elevation |
| Location Berth 101 | Diameter (mm) 160 | Logged By Sarah Eccleshall |
| Date Drilled 03/10/2018 - 03/10/2018 | | Checked By |

| Depth (m) | Drilling Method | PID (ppm) | Sample ID | Graphic Log | LITHOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION Soil Type (Classification Group Symbol); Particle Size; Colour; Secondary / Minor Components. | Moisture | Consistency | COMMENTS/ CONTAMINANT INDICATORS Odours, staining, waste materials, separate phase liquids, imported fill, ash. | Elevation (m) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|--|-------------|---|----------|-------------|---|---------------|
| 0.1 | V | 0.2 | SED04_0.0-0.1 SED04_0.0-0.5 | | MH - Clayey SILT dark brown- black, some fine sand | W | VS | weak hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal | -0.1 |
| 0.5 | | | SED04_0.5-0.6 SED04_0.5-1.0 | | | | | | -0.5 |
| 0.7 | | | | | MH - Clayey SILT dark brown- black, some coal refuse, some fine sand | | | distinct hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal, some coal refuse | -0.7 |
| 1.0 | | | SED04_1.0-1.1 SED04_1.0-1.5 | | | | | | -1.0 |
| 1.1 | | 0.3 | | | | | | | -1.1 |
| 1.3 | | | | | MH - Clayey SILT black, some coal refuse, trace fine sand | VM | S | distinct hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal, some coal refuse | -1.3 |
| 1.5 | | | SED04_1.5-1.6 (FS04, FD04) SED04_1.5-2.0 (FS06, FD06) | | | | | | -1.5 |

Notes

This log is not intended for geotechnical purposes.

| Drilling Abbreviations | Moisture Abbreviations | Consistency Abbreviations | |
|---|--|---|--|
| AH-Air Hammer, AR-Air Rotary, BE-Bucket Excavation, CC-Concrete Coring, DC-Diamond Core, FH-Foam Hammer, HA-Hand Auger, HE-Hand Excavation (shovel), HFA-Hollow Flight Auger, NDD-Non Destructive Drilling, PT-Push tube, SD-Sonic Drilling, SFA-Solid Flight Auger, SS-Split Spoon, V-Vibracore, WB-Wash Bore, WS-Window Sampler | D-Dry, SM-Slightly Moist, M-Moist, VM-Very Moist, W-Wet, S-Saturated | Granular Soils VL-Very Loose, L-Loose, MD-Medium Dense, D-Dense, VD - Very Dense | Cohesive Soils VS-Very Soft, S-Soft, F-Firm, ST-Stiff, VST-Very Stiff, H-Hard |



BOREHOLE LOG

SOIL BORE SED04

ENVIRONMENTAL-SOIL BORE

| Depth (m) | Drilling Method | PID (ppm) | Sample ID | Graphic Log | LITHOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION Soil Type (Classification Group Symbol); Particle Size; Colour; Secondary / Minor Components. | Moisture | Consistency | COMMENTS/ CONTAMINANT INDICATORS Odours, staining, waste materials, separate phase liquids, imported fill, ash. | Elevation (m) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------|---|----------|-------------|---|---------------|
| 2.1 | | | SED04_2.0-2.1 SED04_2.0-2.5 | | | | | | -2.1 |
| 2.2 | | | | | CH - CLAY grey- black, some silt | M | F | weak hydrocarbon odour no staining, Weathered sandstone inclusions in base of core | -2.2 |
| 2.3 | | | | | | | | | -2.3 |
| 2.4 | | | | | | | | | -2.4 |
| 2.5 | | | SED04_2.5-2.6 | | | | | | -2.5 |
| 2.6 | | | | | | | | | -2.6 |
| 2.7 | | | | | Termination Depth at: 2.65m, refusal at bedrock | | | | -2.7 |
| 2.8 | | | | | | | | | -2.8 |
| 2.9 | | | | | | | | | -2.9 |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | -3 |
| 3.1 | | | | | | | | | -3.1 |
| 3.2 | | | | | | | | | -3.2 |
| 3.3 | | | | | | | | | -3.3 |
| 3.4 | | | | | | | | | -3.4 |
| 3.5 | | | | | | | | | -3.5 |
| 3.6 | | | | | | | | | -3.6 |
| 3.7 | | | | | | | | | -3.7 |
| 3.8 | | | | | | | | | -3.8 |
| 3.9 | | | | | | | | | -3.9 |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | -4 |
| 4.1 | | | | | | | | | -4.1 |
| 4.2 | | | | | | | | | -4.2 |
| 4.3 | | | | | | | | | -4.3 |

Notes

This log is not intended for geotechnical purposes.

| Drilling Abbreviations | Moisture Abbreviations | Consistency Abbreviations | |
|--|--|---|--|
| AH-Air Hammer, AR-Air Rotary, BE-Bucket Excavation, CC-Concrete Coring, DC-Diamond Core, FH-Foam Hammer, HA-Hand Auger, HE-Hand Excavation (shovel), HFA-Hollow Flight Auger, NDD-Non Destructive Drilling, PT-Pushtube, SD-Sonic Drilling, SFA-Solid Flight Auger, SS-Split Spoon, V-Vibracore, WB-Wash Bore, WS-Window Sampler | D-Dry, SM-Slightly Moist, M-Moist, VM-Very Moist, W-Wet, S-Saturated | Granular Soils VL-Very Loose, L-Loose, MD-Medium Dense, D-Dense, VD - Very Dense | Cohesive Soils VS-Very Soft, S-Soft, F-Firm, ST-Stiff, VST-Very Stiff, H-Hard |



BOREHOLE LOG

SOIL BORE SED05

ENVIRONMENTAL-SOIL BORE

| | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Client Australian Industrial Energy | Drill Co. McLennans Diving Services | Easting 306887 |
| Project Preliminary Contamination Assessment | Driller D Allchin | Northing 6184720 |
| Project No. 2127477 | Rig Type Rossfelder Vibracore | Grid Ref GDA94_MGA_zone_56 |
| Site Port Kembla Harbour | Total Depth (m) 2.87 | Elevation |
| Location Berth 101 | Diameter (mm) 160 | Logged By Sarah Eccleshall |
| Date Drilled 03/10/2018 - 03/10/2018 | | Checked By |

| Depth (m) | Drilling Method | PID (ppm) | Sample ID | Graphic Log | LITHOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION Soil Type (Classification Group Symbol); Particle Size; Colour; Secondary / Minor Components. | Moisture | Consistency | COMMENTS/ CONTAMINANT INDICATORS Odours, staining, waste materials, separate phase liquids, imported fill, ash. | Elevation (m) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------|---|----------|-------------|---|---------------|
| 0.1 | V | 0.2 | SED05_0.0-0.1 SED05_0.0-0.5 | | MH - Clayey SILT dark grey- brown, some fine sand | S | VS | weak hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal | -0.1 |
| 0.5 | | | SED05_0.5-0.6 SED05_0.5-1.0 | | | | | | -0.5 |
| 0.7 | | | | | MH - Clayey SILT dark black- grey, some coal refuse, some fine sand | W | S | distinct hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal, some coal refuse | -0.7 |
| 1.0 | | | SED05_1.0-1.1 SED05_1.0-1.5 | | | | | | -1.0 |
| 1.5 | | | SED05_1.5-1.6 SED05_1.5-2.0 | | | | | | -1.5 |

Notes

This log is not intended for geotechnical purposes.

| Drilling Abbreviations | Moisture Abbreviations | Consistency Abbreviations | |
|---|--|---|--|
| AH-Air Hammer, AR-Air Rotary, BE-Bucket Excavation, CC-Concrete Coring, DC-Diamond Core, FH-Foam Hammer, HA-Hand Auger, HE-Hand Excavation (shovel), HFA-Hollow Flight Auger, NDD-Non Destructive Drilling, PT-Push tube, SD-Sonic Drilling, SFA-Solid Flight Auger, SS-Split Spoon, V - Vibracore, WB-Wash Bore, WS-Window Sampler | D-Dry, SM-Slightly Moist, M-Moist, VM-Very Moist, W-Wet, S-Saturated | Granular Soils VL-Very Loose, L-Loose, MD-Medium Dense, D-Dense, VD - Very Dense | Cohesive Soils VS-Very Soft, S-Soft, F-Firm, ST-Stiff, VST-Very Stiff, H-Hard |



BOREHOLE LOG

SOIL BORE SED05

ENVIRONMENTAL-SOIL BORE

| Depth (m) | Drilling Method | PID (ppm) | Sample ID | Graphic Log | LITHOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION Soil Type (Classification Group Symbol); Particle Size; Colour; Secondary / Minor Components. | Moisture | Consistency | COMMENTS/ CONTAMINANT INDICATORS Odours, staining, waste materials, separate phase liquids, imported fill, ash. | Elevation (m) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------|---|----------|-------------|---|---------------|
| 2.1 | | | SED05_2.0-2.1 SED05_2.0-2.5 | | MH - Clayey SILT dark black- grey, some fine sand | VM | S | weak hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal | -2.1 |
| 2.2 | | | | | | | | | -2.2 |
| 2.3 | | | | | | | | | -2.3 |
| 2.4 | | | | | | | | | -2.4 |
| 2.5 | | | SED05_2.5-2.6 | | | | | | -2.5 |
| 2.6 | | | | | | | | | -2.6 |
| 2.7 | | | | | CH - CLAY pale grey | M | F | no odour no staining, Weathered sandstone bedrock at boundary | -2.7 |
| 2.8 | | | | | | | | | -2.8 |
| 2.9 | | | | | Termination Depth at: 2.87 m. Refusal on bedrock. | | | | -2.9 |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | -3 |
| 3.1 | | | | | | | | | -3.1 |
| 3.2 | | | | | | | | | -3.2 |
| 3.3 | | | | | | | | | -3.3 |
| 3.4 | | | | | | | | | -3.4 |
| 3.5 | | | | | | | | | -3.5 |
| 3.6 | | | | | | | | | -3.6 |
| 3.7 | | | | | | | | | -3.7 |
| 3.8 | | | | | | | | | -3.8 |
| 3.9 | | | | | | | | | -3.9 |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | -4 |
| 4.1 | | | | | | | | | -4.1 |
| 4.2 | | | | | | | | | -4.2 |
| 4.3 | | | | | | | | | -4.3 |

Notes

This log is not intended for geotechnical purposes.

| Drilling Abbreviations | Moisture Abbreviations | Consistency Abbreviations |
|--|--|---|
| AH-Air Hammer, AR-Air Rotary, BE-Bucket Excavation, CC-Concrete Coring, DC-Diamond Core, FH-Foam Hammer, HA-Hand Auger, HE-Hand Excavation (shovel), HFA-Hollow Flight Auger, NDD-Non Destructive Drilling, PT-Pushtube, SD-Sonic Drilling, SFA-Solid Flight Auger, SS-Split Spoon, V - Vibracore, WB-Wash Bore, WS-Window Sampler | D-Dry, SM-Slightly Moist, M-Moist, VM-Very Moist, W-Wet, S-Saturated | Granular Soils VL-Very Loose, L-Loose, MD-Medium Dense, D-Dense, VD - Very Dense Cohesive Soils VS-Very Soft, S-Soft, F-Firm, ST-Stiff, VST-Very Stiff, H-Hard |



BOREHOLE LOG

SOIL BORE SED06

ENVIRONMENTAL-SOIL BORE

| | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Client Australian Industrial Energy | Drill Co. McLennans Diving Services | Easting 306932 |
| Project Preliminary Contamination Assessment | Driller D Allchin | Northing 6184733 |
| Project No. 2127477 | Rig Type Rossfelder Vibracore | Grid Ref GDA94_MGA_zone_56 |
| Site Port Kembla Harbour | Total Depth (m) 4.50 | Elevation |
| Location Berth 101 | Diameter (mm) 160 | Logged By Sarah Eccleshall |
| Date Drilled 04/10/2018 - 04/10/2018 | | Checked By |

| Depth (m) | Drilling Method | PID (ppm) | Sample ID | Graphic Log | LITHOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION Soil Type (Classification Group Symbol); Particle Size; Colour; Secondary / Minor Components. | Moisture | Consistency | COMMENTS/ CONTAMINANT INDICATORS Odours, staining, waste materials, separate phase liquids, imported fill, ash. | Elevation (m) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------|---|----------|-------------|---|---------------|
| 0.1 | V | 0.4 | SED06_0.0-0.1 SED06_0.0-0.5 | | MH - Clayey SILT dark brown- black, some fine sand | W | VS | weak hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal | -0.1 |
| 0.5 | | | SED06_0.5-0.6 SED06_0.5-1.0 | | | | | | -0.5 |
| 0.6 | | 0.6 | | | | | | | -0.6 |
| 0.7 | | | | | MH - Clayey SILT dark grey- black, some coal refuse, some fine sand | W | S | distinct hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal. Slight reduction in water content down unit | -0.7 |
| 1.0 | | | SED06_1.0-1.1 SED06_1.0-1.5 | | | | | | -1.0 |
| 1.5 | | | SED06_1.5-1.6 SED06_1.5-2.0 | | | | | | -1.5 |

Notes Vibracore from seabed

This log is not intended for geotechnical purposes.

| Drilling Abbreviations | Moisture Abbreviations | Consistency Abbreviations | |
|--|--|---|--|
| AH-Air Hammer, AR-Air Rotary, BE-Bucket Excavation, CC-Concrete Coring, DC-Diamond Core, FH-Foam Hammer, HA-Hand Auger, HE-Hand Excavation (shovel), HFA-Hollow Flight Auger, NDD-Non Destructive Drilling, PT-Pushtube, SD-Sonic Drilling, SFA-Solid Flight Auger, SS-Split Spoon, V-Vibracore, WB-Wash Bore, WS-Window Sampler | D-Dry, SM-Slightly Moist, M-Moist, VM-Very Moist, W-Wet, S-Saturated | Granular Soils VL-Very Loose, L-Loose, MD-Medium Dense, D-Dense, VD - Very Dense | Cohesive Soils VS-Very Soft, S-Soft, F-Firm, ST-Stiff, VST-Very Stiff, H-Hard |



BOREHOLE LOG

SOIL BORE SED06

ENVIRONMENTAL-SOIL BORE

| Depth (m) | Drilling Method | PID (ppm) | Sample ID | Graphic Log | LITHOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION Soil Type (Classification Group Symbol); Particle Size; Colour; Secondary / Minor Components. | Moisture | Consistency | COMMENTS/ CONTAMINANT INDICATORS Odours, staining, waste materials, separate phase liquids, imported fill, ash. | Elevation (m) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|---|-------------|---|----------|-------------|---|---------------|
| 2.0 | | 0.5 | SED06_2.0-2.1 SED06_2.0-2.5 | | | | | | -2.0 |
| 2.1 | | | | | | | | | -2.1 |
| 2.2 | | | | | | | | | -2.2 |
| 2.3 | | | | | | | | | -2.3 |
| 2.4 | | | | | | | | | -2.4 |
| 2.5 | | | SED06_2.5-2.6 SED06_2.5-3.0 | | | | | | -2.5 |
| 2.6 | | | | | | | | | -2.6 |
| 2.7 | | | | | | | | | -2.7 |
| 2.8 | | | | | MH - Clayey SILT dark grey- black, trace fine sand, some coal | W | F | weak hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal, coal refuse | -2.8 |
| 2.9 | | | | | | | | | -2.9 |
| 3.0 | | | SED06_3.0-3.1 (FD01, FS01) SED06_3.0-3.5 | | | | | | -3.0 |
| 3.1 | | | | | | | | | -3.1 |
| 3.2 | | 0.6 | | | | | | | -3.2 |
| 3.3 | | | | | | | | | -3.3 |
| 3.4 | | | | | | | | | -3.4 |
| 3.5 | | | SED06_3.5-3.6 SED06_3.5-4.0 | | | | | | -3.5 |
| 3.6 | | | | | | | | | -3.6 |
| 3.7 | | | | | | | | | -3.7 |
| 3.8 | | | | | | | | | -3.8 |
| 3.9 | | | | | | | | | -3.9 |
| 4.0 | | | SED06_4.0-4.1 SED06_4.0-4.4 | | | | | | -4.0 |
| 4.1 | | | | | | | | | -4.1 |
| 4.2 | | | | | | | | | -4.2 |
| 4.3 | | | | | | | | | -4.3 |

Notes Vibracore from seabed

This log is not intended for geotechnical purposes.

| Drilling Abbreviations | Moisture Abbreviations | Consistency Abbreviations | |
|---|--|---|--|
| AH-Air Hammer, AR-Air Rotary, BE-Bucket Excavation, CC-Concrete Coring, DC-Diamond Core, FH-Foam Hammer, HA-Hand Auger, HE-Hand Excavation (shovel), HFA-Hollow Flight Auger, NDD-Non Destructive Drilling, PT-Push tube, SD-Sonic Drilling, SFA-Solid Flight Auger, SS-Split Spoon, V-Vibracore, WB-Wash Bore, WS-Window Sampler | D-Dry, SM-Slightly Moist, M-Moist, VM-Very Moist, W-Wet, S-Saturated | Granular Soils VL-Very Loose, L-Loose, MD-Medium Dense, D-Dense, VD - Very Dense | Cohesive Soils VS-Very Soft, S-Soft, F-Firm, ST-Stiff, VST-Very Stiff, H-Hard |



BOREHOLE LOG

SOIL BORE SED06

ENVIRONMENTAL-SOIL BORE

| Depth (m) | Drilling Method | PID (ppm) | Sample ID | Graphic Log | LITHOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION Soil Type (Classification Group Symbol); Particle Size; Colour; Secondary / Minor Components. | Moisture | Consistency | COMMENTS/ CONTAMINANT INDICATORS Odours, staining, waste materials, separate phase liquids, imported fill, ash. | Elevation (m) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|---|----------|-------------|---|---------------|
| 4.4 | | | | | | | | | -4.4 |
| 4.5 | | | | | Termination Depth at: 4.50 m. Refusal on bedrock. | | | | -4.5 |
| 4.6 | | | | | | | | | -4.6 |
| 4.7 | | | | | | | | | -4.7 |
| 4.8 | | | | | | | | | -4.8 |
| 4.9 | | | | | | | | | -4.9 |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | -5 |
| 5.1 | | | | | | | | | -5.1 |
| 5.2 | | | | | | | | | -5.2 |
| 5.3 | | | | | | | | | -5.3 |
| 5.4 | | | | | | | | | -5.4 |
| 5.5 | | | | | | | | | -5.5 |
| 5.6 | | | | | | | | | -5.6 |
| 5.7 | | | | | | | | | -5.7 |
| 5.8 | | | | | | | | | -5.8 |
| 5.9 | | | | | | | | | -5.9 |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | -6 |
| 6.1 | | | | | | | | | -6.1 |
| 6.2 | | | | | | | | | -6.2 |
| 6.3 | | | | | | | | | -6.3 |
| 6.4 | | | | | | | | | -6.4 |
| 6.5 | | | | | | | | | -6.5 |
| 6.6 | | | | | | | | | -6.6 |

Notes Vibracore from seabed

This log is not intended for geotechnical purposes.

| Drilling Abbreviations | Moisture Abbreviations | Consistency Abbreviations | |
|--|--|---|--|
| AH-Air Hammer, AR-Air Rotary, BE-Bucket Excavation, CC-Concrete Coring, DC-Diamond Core, FH-Foam Hammer, HA-Hand Auger, HE-Hand Excavation (shovel), HFA-Hollow Flight Auger, NDD-Non Destructive Drilling, PT-Pushtube, SD-Sonic Drilling, SFA-Solid Flight Auger, SS-Split Spoon, V-Vibracore, WB-Wash Bore, WS-Window Sampler | D-Dry, SM-Slightly Moist, M-Moist, VM-Very Moist, W-Wet, S-Saturated | Granular Soils VL-Very Loose, L-Loose, MD-Medium Dense, D-Dense, VD - Very Dense | Cohesive Soils VS-Very Soft, S-Soft, F-Firm, ST-Stiff, VST-Very Stiff, H-Hard |



BOREHOLE LOG

SOIL BORE SED07

ENVIRONMENTAL-SOIL BORE

Page 1 of 1

| | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Client Australian Industrial Energy | Drill Co. McLennans Diving Services | Easting 306952.53 |
| Project Preliminary Contamination Assessment | Driller D Allchin | Northing 6184641.64 |
| Project No. 2127477 | Rig Type | Grid Ref GDA94_MGA_zone_56 |
| Site Port Kembla Harbour | Total Depth (m) 0.67 | Elevation |
| Location Berth 101 | Diameter (mm) 160 | Logged By Sarah Eccleshall |
| Date Drilled 04/10/2018 - 04/10/2018 | | Checked By |

| Depth (m) | Drilling Method | PID (ppm) | Sample ID | Graphic Log | LITHOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION Soil Type (Classification Group Symbol); Particle Size; Colour; Secondary / Minor Components. | Moisture | Consistency | COMMENTS/ CONTAMINANT INDICATORS Odours, staining, waste materials, separate phase liquids, imported fill, ash. | Elevation (m) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|---|----------|-------------|---|---------------|
| 0.1 | hand push | 0.4 | SED07_0.0-0.65 | | MH - Clayey SILT dark brown- black, trace coal, some fine sand | S | VS | weak hydrocarbon odour no staining, Black colouring from coal, some coal refuse. 70% of core lost from 0.0-0.6 | -0.1 |
| 0.6 | | | | | MH - Clayey SILT dark brown- black, some fine sand | W | F | weak hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal, some coal refuse | -0.6 |
| 0.7 | | | | | Termination Depth at: 0.67 m. Target depth achieved. | | | | -0.7 |
| 0.8 | | | | | | | | | -0.8 |
| 0.9 | | | | | | | | | -0.9 |
| 1.0 | | | | | | | | | -1.0 |
| 1.1 | | | | | | | | | -1.1 |
| 1.2 | | | | | | | | | -1.2 |
| 1.3 | | | | | | | | | -1.3 |
| 1.4 | | | | | | | | | -1.4 |
| 1.5 | | | | | | | | | -1.5 |
| 1.6 | | | | | | | | | -1.6 |
| 1.7 | | | | | | | | | -1.7 |
| 1.8 | | | | | | | | | -1.8 |
| 1.9 | | | | | | | | | -1.9 |

Notes

This log is not intended for geotechnical purposes.

| Drilling Abbreviations | Moisture Abbreviations | Consistency Abbreviations | |
|---|--|---|--|
| AH-Air Hammer, AR-Air Rotary, BE-Bucket Excavation, CC-Concrete Coring, DC-Diamond Core, FH-Foam Hammer, HA-Hand Auger, HE-Hand Excavation (shovel), HFA-Hollow Flight Auger, NDD-Non Destructive Drilling, PT-Push tube, SD-Sonic Drilling, SFA-Solid Flight Auger, SS-Split Spoon, V-Vibracore, WB-Wash Bore, WS-Window Sampler | D-Dry, SM-Slightly Moist, M-Moist, VM-Very Moist, W-Wet, S-Saturated | Granular Soils VL-Very Loose, L-Loose, MD-Medium Dense, D-Dense, VD - Very Dense | Cohesive Soils VS-Very Soft, S-Soft, F-Firm, ST-Stiff, VST-Very Stiff, H-Hard |



BOREHOLE LOG

SOIL BORE REA01

ENVIRONMENTAL-SOIL BORE

| | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Client Australian Industrial Energy | Drill Co. McLennans Diving Services | Easting 308069 |
| Project Preliminary Contamination Assessment | Driller D Allchin | Northing 6183381 |
| Project No. 2127477 | Rig Type Rossfelder Vibracore | Grid Ref GDA94_MGA_zone_56 |
| Site Port Kembla Harbour | Total Depth (m) 3.57 | Elevation |
| Location Reclamation Area | Diameter (mm) 160 | Logged By Sarah Eccleshall |
| Date Drilled 03/10/2018 - 03/10/2018 | | Checked By |

| Depth (m) | Drilling Method | PID (ppm) | Sample ID | Graphic Log | LITHOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION Soil Type (Classification Group Symbol); Particle Size; Colour; Secondary / Minor Components. | Moisture | Consistency | COMMENTS/ CONTAMINANT INDICATORS Odours, staining, waste materials, separate phase liquids, imported fill, ash. | Elevation (m) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------|--|----------|-------------|---|---------------|
| 0.1 | V | 0.1 | REA01_0.0-0.1 REA01_0.0-0.5 | | MH - Clayey SILT dark black- brown, some coal refuse, some shells | W | VS | weak hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal, some coal refuse | -0.1 |
| 0.2 | | 0.3 | | | MH - Clayey SILT fine, dark black- brown, and fine sand, with coal refuse | W | S | distinct hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal, some coal refuse | -0.2 |
| 0.5 | | | REA01_0.5-0.6 REA01_0.5-1.0 | | | | | | -0.5 |
| 0.9 | | | | | MH - Clayey SILT dark black- brown, trace coal refuse, with fine sand | W | S | distinct hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal, trace coal refuse | -0.9 |
| 1.1 | | | REA01_1.0-1.1 REA01_1.0-1.5 | | | | | | -1.1 |
| 1.2 | | 1.6 | | | SP - SAND coarse, poorly graded, subangular, black MH - Clayey SILT dark black- brown, some coal refuse, some fine to medium sand | W W | MD F | Major constituent coal, coal distinct hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal, some coal refuse | -1.2 |
| 1.5 | | | REA01_1.5-1.6 REA01_1.5-2.0 | | | | | | -1.5 |

Notes

This log is not intended for geotechnical purposes.

| Drilling Abbreviations | Moisture Abbreviations | Consistency Abbreviations | |
|---|--|---|--|
| AH-Air Hammer, AR-Air Rotary, BE-Bucket Excavation, CC-Concrete Coring, DC-Diamond Core, FH-Foam Hammer, HA-Hand Auger, HE-Hand Excavation (shovel), HFA-Hollow Flight Auger, NDD-Non Destructive Drilling, PT-Push tube, SD-Sonic Drilling, SFA-Solid Flight Auger, SS-Split Spoon, V - Vibracore, WB-Wash Bore, WS-Window Sampler | D-Dry, SM-Slightly Moist, M-Moist, VM-Very Moist, W-Wet, S-Saturated | Granular Soils VL-Very Loose, L-Loose, MD-Medium Dense, D-Dense, VD - Very Dense | Cohesive Soils VS-Very Soft, S-Soft, F-Firm, ST-Stiff, VST-Very Stiff, H-Hard |



BOREHOLE LOG

SOIL BORE REA01

ENVIRONMENTAL-SOIL BORE

Page 2 of 2

| Depth (m) | Drilling Method | PID (ppm) | Sample ID | Graphic Log | LITHOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION Soil Type (Classification Group Symbol); Particle Size; Colour; Secondary / Minor Components. | Moisture | Consistency | COMMENTS/ CONTAMINANT INDICATORS Odours, staining, waste materials, separate phase liquids, imported fill, ash. | Elevation (m) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|---|---|---|----------|--|---|---------------|
| 2.1 | | | REA01_2.0-2.1 (FS03, FD03) REA01_2.0-2.5 | | | | | | -2.1 |
| 2.2 | | | | | | | | | -2.2 |
| 2.3 | | | | | | | | | -2.3 |
| 2.4 | | | | | | | | | -2.4 |
| 2.5 | | | REA01_2.5-2.6 REA01_2.5-3.0 | | | | | | -2.5 |
| 2.6 | | | | | | | | | -2.6 |
| 2.7 | | | | -2.7 | | | | | |
| 2.8 | | 0.4 | | -2.8 | | | | | |
| 2.9 | | | | -2.9 | | | | | |
| 3.0 | | | REA01_3.0-3.1 REA01_3.0-3.5 | -3.0 | | | | | |
| 3.1 | | | | SW-SC - Clayey SAND fine to medium, well graded, rounded, dark black- brown mottled brown | M | D | strong hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal, some concrete | -3.1 | |
| 3.2 | | 0.7 | | -3.2 | | | | | |
| 3.3 | | | | -3.3 | | | | | |
| 3.4 | | | REA01_3.4-3.5 | -3.4 | | | | | |
| 3.5 | | | | -3.5 | | | | | |
| 3.6 | | | | Termination Depth at: 3.57 m. Refusal on unidentified surface. | | | | -3.6 | |
| 3.7 | | | | -3.7 | | | | | |
| 3.8 | | | | -3.8 | | | | | |
| 3.9 | | | | -3.9 | | | | | |
| 4.0 | | | | -4.0 | | | | | |
| 4.1 | | | | -4.1 | | | | | |
| 4.2 | | | | -4.2 | | | | | |
| 4.3 | | | | -4.3 | | | | | |

Notes

This log is not intended for geotechnical purposes.

| Drilling Abbreviations | Moisture Abbreviations | Consistency Abbreviations |
|--|--|---|
| AH-Air Hammer, AR-Air Rotary, BE-Bucket Excavation, CC-Concrete Coring, DC-Diamond Core, FH-Foam Hammer, HA-Hand Auger, HE-Hand Excavation (shovel), HFA-Hollow Flight Auger, NDD-Non Destructive Drilling, PT-Pushtube, SD-Sonic Drilling, SFA-Solid Flight Auger, SS-Split Spoon, V - Vibracore, WB-Wash Bore, WS-Window Sampler | D-Dry, SM-Slightly Moist, M-Moist, VM-Very Moist, W-Wet, S-Saturated | Granular Soils VL-Very Loose, L-Loose, MD-Medium Dense, D-Dense, VD - Very Dense Cohesive Soils VS-Very Soft, S-Soft, F-Firm, ST-Stiff, VST-Very Stiff, H-Hard |



BOREHOLE LOG

SOIL BORE REA02

ENVIRONMENTAL-SOIL BORE

| | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Client Australian Industrial Energy | Drill Co. McLennans Diving Services | Easting 307895 |
| Project Preliminary Contamination Assessment | Driller D Allchin | Northing 6183523 |
| Project No. 2127477 | Rig Type Rossfelder Vibracore | Grid Ref GDA94_MGA_zone_56 |
| Site Port Kembla Harbour | Total Depth (m) 3.45 | Elevation |
| Location Reclamation Area | Diameter (mm) 160 | Logged By Sarah Eccleshall |
| Date Drilled 03/10/2018 - 03/10/2018 | | Checked By |

| Depth (m) | Drilling Method | PID (ppm) | Sample ID | Graphic Log | LITHOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION Soil Type (Classification Group Symbol); Particle Size; Colour; Secondary / Minor Components. | Moisture | Consistency | COMMENTS/ CONTAMINANT INDICATORS Odours, staining, waste materials, separate phase liquids, imported fill, ash. | Elevation (m) | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------|---|----------|-------------|---|---------------|--|--|--|------|
| 0.1 | V | 0.4 | REA02_0.0-0.1 REA02_0.0-0.5 | | SW-SM - SAND medium, well graded, subrounded to rounded, dark grey- brown, some clayey silt | W | D | no odour Black colouring from coal | -0.1 | | | | |
| 0.2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | -0.2 |
| 0.3 | | | | | | | | | -0.3 | | | | |
| 0.4 | | | | | | | | | -0.4 | | | | |
| 0.5 | | | | | | | | | -0.5 | | | | |
| 0.6 | | | REA02_0.5-0.6 REA02_0.5-1.0 | | | | | | -0.6 | | | | |
| 0.7 | | | | | MH - Clayey SILT dark grey- brown, trace fine sand | W | S | weak hydrocarbon odour Black colouring from coal. Decreasing sand content with depth in unit, some coal refuse | -0.7 | | | | |
| 0.8 | | 0.2 | | | | | | | | | | | -0.8 |
| 0.9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | -0.9 |
| 1.0 | | | | | | | | | -1.0 | | | | |
| 1.1 | | | REA02_1.0-1.1 REA02_1.0-1.5 | | | | | | -1.1 | | | | |
| 1.2 | | | | | | | | | -1.2 | | | | |
| 1.3 | | | | | | | | | -1.3 | | | | |
| 1.4 | | | | | | | | | -1.4 | | | | |
| 1.5 | | | | | | | | | -1.5 | | | | |
| 1.6 | | | REA02_1.5-1.6 REA02_1.5-2.0 | | | | | | -1.6 | | | | |
| 1.7 | | | | | | | | | -1.7 | | | | |
| 1.8 | | | | | | | | | -1.8 | | | | |
| 1.9 | | | | | | | | | -1.9 | | | | |

Notes

This log is not intended for geotechnical purposes.

| Drilling Abbreviations | Moisture Abbreviations | Consistency Abbreviations | |
|--|--|---|--|
| AH-Air Hammer, AR-Air Rotary, BE-Bucket Excavation, CC-Concrete Coring, DC-Diamond Core, FH-Foam Hammer, HA-Hand Auger, HE-Hand Excavation (shovel), HFA-Hollow Flight Auger, NDD-Non Destructive Drilling, PT-Pushtube, SD-Sonic Drilling, SFA-Solid Flight Auger, SS-Split Spoon, V - Vibracore, WB-Wash Bore, WS-Window Sampler | D-Dry, SM-Slightly Moist, M-Moist, VM-Very Moist, W-Wet, S-Saturated | Granular Soils VL-Very Loose, L-Loose, MD-Medium Dense, D-Dense, VD - Very Dense | Cohesive Soils VS-Very Soft, S-Soft, F-Firm, ST-Stiff, VST-Very Stiff, H-Hard |



BOREHOLE LOG

SOIL BORE REA02

ENVIRONMENTAL-SOIL BORE

Page 2 of 2

| Depth (m) | Drilling Method | PID (ppm) | Sample ID | Graphic Log | LITHOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION Soil Type (Classification Group Symbol); Particle Size; Colour; Secondary / Minor Components. | Moisture | Consistency | COMMENTS/ CONTAMINANT INDICATORS Odours, staining, waste materials, separate phase liquids, imported fill, ash. | Elevation (m) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|---|-------------|---|----------|-------------|---|---------------|
| 2.1 | | 0.3 | REA02_2.0-2.1 (FD03, FS03) REA02_2.0-2.5 | | SM - Silty SAND dark grey- brown, some clay, trace fine gravel | W | | weak hydrocarbon odour Slight black staining from coal | -2.1 |
| 2.4 | | 0.2 | REA02_2.5-2.6 REA02_2.5-3.0 | | SM - SAND medium, well graded, rounded, grey, some shells | M | D | no odour | -2.4 |
| 2.9 | | | REA02_3.0-3.1 | | ML - CLAY grey- brown mottled pale brown, some silt | M | VST | no odour | -2.9 |
| 3.5 | | | | | Termination Depth at: 3.45 m. Refusal on unidentified surface. | | | | -3.5 |

Notes

This log is not intended for geotechnical purposes.

| Drilling Abbreviations | Moisture Abbreviations | Consistency Abbreviations | |
|---|--|---|--|
| AH-Air Hammer, AR-Air Rotary, BE-Bucket Excavation, CC-Concrete Coring, DC-Diamond Core, FH-Foam Hammer, HA-Hand Auger, HE-Hand Excavation (shovel), HFA-Hollow Flight Auger, NDD-Non Destructive Drilling, PT-Push tube, SD-Sonic Drilling, SFA-Solid Flight Auger, SS-Split Spoon, V - Vibracore, WB-Wash Bore, WS-Window Sampler | D-Dry, SM-Slightly Moist, M-Moist, VM-Very Moist, W-Wet, S-Saturated | Granular Soils VL-Very Loose, L-Loose, MD-Medium Dense, D-Dense, VD - Very Dense | Cohesive Soils VS-Very Soft, S-Soft, F-Firm, ST-Stiff, VST-Very Stiff, H-Hard |

Appendix D – Field Documentation



Calibration & Service Report Gas Monitor

Company: Active Environmental Solutions Hire
Contact: Aleks Todorovic
Address: 2 Merchant Avenue
 Thomastown Vic 3074
Phone: 03 9464 2300 | **Fax:** 03 9464 3421
Email: Hire@aesolutions.com.au

Manufacturer: RAE Systems
Instrument: MiniRAE 3000
Model: PGM 7320
Configuration: VOC
Wireless: -
Network ID: -
Unit ID: -

Serial #: 592-901218
Asset #: -
Part #: -
Sold: -
Last Cal: -
Job #: -
Cal Spec: Std

| Item | Test | Pass/Fail | Comments |
|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| Battery | Li Ion | ✓ | |
| Charger | Charger, Power supply | ✓ | |
| | Cradle | ✓ | |
| Pump | Flow | ✓ | >500 mL/min |
| Filter | Filter, fitting, etc | ✓ | |
| Alarms | Audible, visual, vibration | ✓ | |
| Display | Operation | ✓ | |
| PCB | Operation | ✓ | |
| Connectors | Condition | ✓ | |
| Firmware | Version | ✓ | 2.16 |
| Datalogger | Operation | ✓ | |
| Monitor Housing | Condition | ✓ | |
| Case | Condition/Type | ✓ | |
| Sensors | | | |
| Oxygen | | - | |
| LEL | | - | |
| PID | 10.6eV | ✓ | |
| Toxic 1 | | - | |
| Toxic 2 | | - | |
| Toxic 3 | | - | |
| Toxic 4 | | - | |
| Toxic 5 | | - | |

Engineer's Report
Setup, service and calibration for hire

Calibration Certificate

| Sensor | Type | Serial No: | Span Gas | Concentration | Traceability Lot # | CF | Reading | |
|---------|--------|------------|-------------|---------------|--------------------|----|---------|---------|
| | | | | | | | Zero | Span |
| Oxygen | | | | | | | | |
| LEL | | | | | | | | |
| PID | 10.6eV | 2R003225 | isobutylene | 100 PPM | WO148384-1 | 1 | 0 | 100 PPM |
| Toxic 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Toxic 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Toxic 3 | | | | | | | | |
| Toxic 4 | | | | | | | | |
| Toxic 5 | | | | | | | | |

Calibrated/Repaired by: Milenko Sasic

Date: 2nd October 2018

Next due: 2nd April 2019

Head Office – Melbourne
 2 Merchant Avenue
 Thomastown VIC 3074 Australia
 T: +61 3 9464 2300

NSW Office – Ashfield
 Level 2, Suite 14, 6 - 8 Holden Street
 Ashfield NSW 2131 Australia
 T: +61 2 9716 5966

WA Office – Malaga
 Unit 6, 41 Holder Way
 Malaga WA 6090 Australia
 T: +61 8 9249 5663

QLD Office – Banyo
 Unit 17, 23 Ashtan Place
 Banyo QLD 4014 Australia
 T: +61 7 3267 1433

sales@aesolutions.com.au



www.aesolutions.com.au

MDS Daily Vibrocoring Log Sheet

| | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|
| Site | SEP05 | Day | Wed | Date | 03/10/18 |
| GPS Location | | Easting | 306887 | Northing | 6184720. |
| Time on Site | 4.01 | Time off Site | 2.35 | | |
| Personnel | David Allchin | | | | |
| Vessels | Polaris | | Sea hunt | | |
| Weather | Wind Direction | SW SW | Wind Strength | 18. | Knots |
| Type of Core Tube: Al / <u>Steel</u> / Plas | Length of Tube | 4.5 Metres | Tube Gauge | 1.6m | mm |
| Target Depth Metres | Sounded Depth | 13.35 Metres | Depth Reached | 2.86 | Metres |
| Vibration Strength | Duration of Vibrations | 2.29 Mins | Corer Ballast | | Kilos |
| No. of Sub Samples | Sub Sample Spacing | mm | Finger Gauge | .9 | mm |
| No. of Sub Samples given to Lab | | | Time Delivered | | |

Description of Core

penetration - lost 500mm

Completed By:

Date / /

MDS Daily Vibrocoring Log Sheet

| | | | | | |
|---|---------------|------------------------|-------------|----------------|------------|
| Site | SEP03 | Day | Thursday | Date | 4/10/18 |
| GPS Location | | Easting | | Northing | |
| Time on Site | 12.44 | Time off Site | 13.04 | | |
| Personnel | DAVID ALLCHIN | | | | |
| Vessels | Sea Hunt | | | | |
| Weather | RAIN | Wind Direction | | Wind Strength | Knots |
| Type of Core Tube: Al / <u>Steel</u> / Plas | | Length of Tube | 1.6 Metres | Tube Gauge | mm |
| Target Depth | Metres | Sounded Depth | 12.5 Metres | Depth Reached | 1.6 Metres |
| Vibration Strength | | Duration of Vibrations | Mins | Corer Ballast | Kilos |
| No. of Sub Samples | | Sub Sample Spacing | mm | Finger Gauge | mm |
| No. of Sub Samples given to Lab | | | | Time Delivered | |

Description of Core

Hand Core - no vibrations used.

Silty muddy bottom with small stones.

Completed By:

Date / /

MDS Daily Vibrocoring Log Sheet

| | | | | | |
|---|-------------|------------------------|----------|----------------|------------|
| Site | SED 02 | Day | Thursday | Date | 4/10/18 |
| GPS Location | | Easting | | Northing | |
| Time on Site | 12.23 | Time off Site | 12.40 | | |
| Personnel | DAVID ALLAN | | | | |
| Vessels | Seahunt | | | | |
| Weather | RAIN | Wind Direction | | Wind Strength | Knots |
| Type of Core Tube: Al / <u>Steel</u> / Plas | | Length of Tube | Metres | Tube Gauge | 1.6 mm |
| Target Depth | Metres | Sounded Depth | Metres | Depth Reached | 1.6 Metres |
| Vibration Strength | | Duration of Vibrations | Mins | Corer Ballast | Kilos |
| No. of Sub Samples | | Sub Sample Spacing | mm | Finger Gauge | mm |
| No. of Sub Samples given to Lab | | | | Time Delivered | |

Description of Core

Hand Core - no vibrations used

Silty muddy bottom.

Completed By:

Date / /

MDS Daily Vibrocoring Log Sheet

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| Site REA02 | Day 03/10/18 Wed | Date 03/10/18 |
| GPS-Location 308069 | Easting 308069 | Northing 6183381 |
| Time on Site 11.25 | Time off Site 12.11 | |
| Personnel David McLennan | | |
| Vessels Polaris/Sea Hunt | Polaris | Sea Hunt |
| Weather | Wind Direction | Wind Strength Knots |
| Type of Core Tube: Al / <u>Steel</u> / Plas | Length of Tube 4.5 Metres | Tube Gauge 1.6 mm |
| Target Depth 9.24 Metres | Sounded Depth 7.2 Metres | Depth Reached 357 Metres |
| Vibration Strength | Duration of Vibrations 4.2 Mins | Corer Ballast Kilos |
| No. of Sub Samples | Sub Sample Spacing mm | Finger Gauge .9 mm |
| No. of Sub Samples given to Lab | | Time Delivered |

Description of Core

Penetration 84230.

3570
 660

 230
 1

Completed By:

Date / /

MDS Daily Vibrocoring Log Sheet

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|-------|
| Site | SEDO4 | | Day | Wed | | Date | 03/10 | |
| GPS Location | Easting | | 863 | | Northing | | 822 | |
| Time on Site | 16:00 | | Time off Site | | 16:24 | | | |
| Personnel | David ALLCHIN | | | | | | | |
| Vessels | Polaris | | | Sea Hunt | | | | |
| Weather | Wind Direction | | | Wind Strength | | Knots | | |
| Type of Core Tube: Al / <u>Steel</u> / Plas | Length of Tube | | 4.5 Metres | | Tube Gauge | | 1.6 mm | |
| Target Depth Metres | Sounded Depth | | 14 Metres | | Depth Reached | | 2264 Metres | |
| Vibration Strength | Duration of Vibrations | | | 3:11 Mins | | Corer Ballast | | Kilos |
| No. of Sub Samples | Sub Sample Spacing | | | mm | | Finger Gauge | | .9 mm |
| No. of Sub Samples given to Lab | | | | | | Time Delivered | | |

Description of Core

hook refusal at bedrock.

Completed By:

Date 11/1

MDS Daily Vibrocoring Log Sheet

| | | | | | |
|---|----------------|------------------------|----------|----------------|------------|
| Site | SED 07 | Day | Thursday | Date | 4/10/17 |
| GPS Location | | Easting | | Northing | |
| Time on Site | 11.45 | Time off Site | 12.00 | | |
| Personnel | DAVID ALLCHIN | | | | |
| Vessels | Sea hunt | | | | |
| Weather | RAIN | Wind Direction | | Wind Strength | Knots |
| Type of Core Tube: Al / <u>Steel</u> / Plas | Length of Tube | 1.6 | Metres | Tube Gauge | 1.6 mm |
| Target Depth | Metres | Sounded Depth | Metres | Depth Reached | 1.6 Metres |
| Vibration Strength | | Duration of Vibrations | Mins | Corer Ballast | Kilos |
| No. of Sub Samples | | Sub Sample Spacing | mm | Finger Gauge | mm |
| No. of Sub Samples given to Lab | | | | Time Delivered | |

Description of Core

Hand core - no vibrations used.

Silty mud top surface.

Completed By:

Date / /

MDS Daily Vibrocoring Log Sheet

| | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Site | SED 01 | Day | Thursday | Date | 4/10/18 |
| GPS Location | | Easting | | Northing | |
| Time on Site | 12.02 | Time off Site | 12.20 | | |
| Personnel | David Allchin | | | | |
| Vessels | Sea Hunt | | | | |
| Weather | RAIN | Wind Direction | | Wind Strength | Knots |
| Type of Core Tube: Al / <u>Steel</u> / Plas | Length of Tube | Metres | Tube Gauge | 1.6 | mm |
| Target Depth | Metres | Sounded Depth | 15.5 | Metres | Depth Reached |
| Vibration Strength | Duration of Vibrations | Mins | Corer Ballast | | Kilos |
| No. of Sub Samples | Sub Sample Spacing | mm | Finger Gauge | | mm |
| No. of Sub Samples given to Lab | | | Time Delivered | | |

Description of Core

Hand core - No vibrations used.

Completed By:

Date / /

MDS Daily Vibrocoring Log Sheet

| | | | | | |
|---|----------------|------------------------|------------|----------------|------------|
| Site | SE006 | Day | Wed | Date | 03/10/18 |
| GPS Location | | Easting | 306932 | Northing | 6184733 |
| Time on Site | 3.26 | Time off Site | 3.43 | | |
| Personnel | David Allchin | | | | |
| Vessels | Polaris | Sea Hunt | | | |
| Weather | | Wind Direction | | Wind Strength | Knots |
| Type of Core Tube: Al / <u>Steel</u> / Plas | Length of Tube | 4.5 Metres | Tube Gauge | 1.6 | mm |
| Target Depth | Metres | Sounded Depth | 10.4 | Depth Reached | 4.5 Metres |
| Vibration Strength | | Duration of Vibrations | 3.24 Mins | Corer Ballast | Kilos |
| No. of Sub Samples | | Sub Sample Spacing | mm | Finger Gauge | .9 mm |
| No. of Sub Samples given to Lab | | | | Time Delivered | |

Description of Core

full core, no refusal.

Completed By:

Date / /

Appendix E - Laboratory Documentation



CHAIN OF CUSTODY

ALS Laboratory
please tick →

ADELAIDE 21 Burma Road Pt Adelaide SA 5095
Ph: 08 8358 0800 E: adelaide@alsglobal.com
BRISBANE 32 Sand Street St. Lawrence QLD 4053
Ph: 07 3243 7222 E: samples.brisbane@alsglobal.com
GLADSTONE 46 Calliope Drive Clifton QLD 4680
Ph: 07 7421 5600 E: gladstone@alsglobal.com

MACKAY 78 Harbour Road Mackay QLD 4740
Ph: 07 4944 0177 E: mackay@alsglobal.com
MELBOURNE 2-4 Westall Road Springvale VIC 3171
Ph: 03 8549 9500 E: samples.melbourne@alsglobal.com
MUDGEE 27 Sydney Road Mudgees NSW 2850
Ph: 02 6372 6735 E: mudgees.mel@alsglobal.com

NEWCASTLE 5 Rose Gum Road Warabrook NSW 2304
Ph: 02 4958 9433 E: samples.newcastle@alsglobal.com
NOWRA 4/13 Geary Place North Nowra NSW 2541
Ph: 024423 2053 E: nowra@alsglobal.com
PERTH 10 Hod Way Malaga WA 2600
Ph: 08 9209 7855 E: samples.perth@alsglobal.com

SYDNEY 277-289 Woodcock Road Smithfield NSW 2164
Ph: 02 8784 8555 E: samples.sydney@alsglobal.com
TOWNSVILLE 14-15 Desma Court Baffle QLD 4618
Ph: 07 4795 0800 E: townsville.environmental@alsglobal.com
WOLLONGONG 86 Kenny Street Wollongong NSW 2500
Ph: 02 4225 3125 E: wollongong@alsglobal.com

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| CLIENT: GHD Pty Ltd | TURNAROUND REQUIREMENTS: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Standard TAT (List due date): | FOR LABORATORY USE ONLY (CHECK) Custom Sample ID: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes Free for Transfer: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes Return Sample for Analysis: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes Other Comments: _____ |
| OFFICE: level 15, 133 Castlereagh St, Sydney | (Standard TAT may be longer for some tests e.g., Ultra Trace Organics) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non Standard or urgent TAT (List due date): 3 days | |
| PROJECT: 21-27477 - Task 3J for Contamination | ALS QUOTE NO.: SY-236-18 | COC SEQUENCE NUMBER (Circle) COC: ① 2 3 4 5 6 7 OF: 1 2 3 ④ 5 6 7 |
| ORDER NUMBER: 2127477 | PROJECT MANAGER: Jacqui Hallichurch CONTACT PH: 0447 202 580 | RECEIVED BY: A. NORCU DATE/TIME: 4/10/18 9:00 PM |
| SAMPLER: Sarah Eccleshall SAMPLER MOBILE: 0459 546 332 | CONTACT PH: 0447 202 580 | RELINQUISHED BY: S. Eccleshall DATE/TIME: 4/10/18 21:00 |
| COC emailed to ALS? (YES / NO) | EDD FORMAT (or default): | RELINQUISHED BY: |
| Email Reports to: sarah.eccleshall@ghd.com; jacqui.hallichurch@ghd.com | | RECEIVED BY: |
| Email Invoice to (will default to PM if no other addresses are listed): | | DATE/TIME: |

COMMENTS/SPECIAL HANDLING/STORAGE OR DISPOSAL:

| ALS USE | SAMPLE DETAILS MATRIX: SOLID (S) WATER (W) | CONTAINER TYPE/COD | ANALYSIS REQUIRED including SUITES (NB. Suite Codes must be listed to attract suite price) Where Metals are required, specify Total (unfiltered bottle required) or Dissolved (field filtered bottle required). | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|--------------------|--|---|------------------|--------------------------------------|-----|---------|---------|---------|-----|-----|------------------|------|
| LAB ID | SAMPLE ID | DATE / TIME | MATRIX | TYPE & PRESERVATIVE (refer to codes below) | TOTAL CONTAINERS | B7 Suite: TRH, BTEX, PAH, Metals (g) | TBT | Dioxins | Cyanide | Ammonia | TOC | PSD | Moisture content | Hold |
| | SEDOG 0.0-0.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | SEDOG_0.0-0.1 | 4/10/18 10:15 | S | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | X |
| 2 | SEDOG_0.5-0.6 | 4/10/18 10:30 | S | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | X |
| 3 | SEDOG_1.0-1.1 | 4/10/18 10:40 | S | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | X |
| 4 | SEDOG_1.5-1.6 | 4/10/18 10:45 | S | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | X |
| 5 | SEDOG_2.0-2.1 | 4/10/18 10:55 | S | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | X |
| 6 | SEDOG_2.5-2.6 | 4/10/18 11:00 | S | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | X |
| 7 | SEDOG_3.0-3.1 | 4/10/18 11:10 | S | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | X |
| 8 | SEDOG_3.5-3.6 | 4/10/18 11:15 | S | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | X |
| 9 | SEDOG_4.0-4.1 | 4/10/18 11:20 | S | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | X |
| 10 | SEDOG_7.3-4.4 | 4/10/18 11:25 | S | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | X |
| 11 | FDO3 | 4/10/18 | S | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | X |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

TAT

Environmental Division
Sydney
Work Order Reference
ES1829388



Telephone: + 61-2-6794 8555

F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass;

Water Container Codes: F = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; AG = Amber Glass U
V = VOA Vial HCl Preserved; Y3 = VOA Vial Sodium Disulphate Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulfuric Preserved; AV = Airtight Unpreserved Vial SG = Sulfuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl preserved Plastic; HS
Z = Zinc Aspartate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; ASS = Plastic Bag for Acid Sulphate Soils; B = Unpreserved Bag.



CHAIN OF CUSTODY

ALS Laboratory: please tick →

ADELAIDE 21 Burma Road Prospect SA 5095

Ph: 08 8359 0690 E: adelaide@alsglobal.com

BRISBANE 32 Strand Street Stafford QLD 4053

Ph: 07 3243 7222 E: samples.brisbane@alsglobal.com

GLADSTONE 45 Callamondah Drive Ginton QLD 4660

Ph: 07 7471 5800 E: gladstone@alsglobal.com

MACKAY 78 Harbour Road Mackay QLD 4740

Ph: 07 4944 0177 E: mackay@alsglobal.com

MELBOURNE 2-4 Westall Road Springvale VIC 3171

Ph: 03 8549 8600 E: samples.melbourne@alsglobal.com

MUDGEE 27 Sydney Road Mudgale NSW 2850

Ph: 02 6372 6735 E: mudgale@alsglobal.com

NEWCASTLE 5 Reese Gurn Road Warabrook NSW 2304

Ph: 02 4958 9433 E: samples.newcastle@alsglobal.com

NOVRA 4713 Geary Place North Novra NSW 2541

Ph: 024423 2063 E: novra@alsglobal.com

PERTH 10 Hort Way Mia Mia WA 6050

Ph: 08 9209 7655 E: samples.perth@alsglobal.com

SYDNEY 277 289 Woodrak Road Smithfield NSW 2164

Ph: 02 8764 8555 E: samples.sydney@alsglobal.com

TOWNSVILLE 14-15 Deema Court Bohle QLD 4818

Ph: 07 4736 0600 E: townsville.environment@alsglobal.com

WOLLONGONG 89 Kenry Street Wollongong NSW 2500

Ph: 02 4228 3128 E: portkembla@alsglobal.com

CLIENT: GHD Pty Ltd

OFFICE: level 15, 133 Castlereagh St, Sydney

PROJECT: 21-27477 - Task 3J for Contamination

ORDER NUMBER: 2127477

PROJECT MANAGER: Jacqui Hallchurch

SAMPLER: Sarah Eccleshall

COC emailed to ALS? (YES / NO)

Email Reports to: sarah.eccleshall@ghd.com; jacqui.hallchurch@ghd.com

Email Invoice to (will default to PM if no other addresses are listed):

TURNAROUND REQUIREMENT: Standard TAT (List due date):
(Standard TAT may be longer for some tests, e.g. Ultra Trace Organics)

Non Standard or urgent TAT (List due date):

ALS QUOTE NO.: SY-236-18

COC SEQUENCE NUMBER (Circle)
COC: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
DP: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

RECEIVED BY: DATE/TIME: 4/10/18 21:00

RELINQUISHED BY: S. Eccleshall DATE/TIME: 4/10/18 21:00

FOR LABORATORY USE ONLY

Check Seal Intact: Yes No

Check for Protein Residue: Yes No

Check for Glycerol: Yes No

Check for Heavy Metals: Yes No

Other comment:

COMMENTS/SPECIAL HANDLING/STORAGE OR DISPOSAL:

| LAB ID | SAMPLE DETAILS | | | MATRIX | TYPE & PRESERVATIVE (refer to codes below) | TOTAL CONTAINERS | ANALYSIS REQUIRED including SUITES (NB: Suite Codes must be listed to extract suite price) Where Metals are required, specify Total (unfiltered bottle required) or Dissolved (field filtered bottle required). | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|---|------------------|--|-----|---------|---------|---------|-----|-----|------------------|--|--|--|---|--|------|
| | SAMPLE ID | DATE / TIME | MATRIX | | | | B7 Suite: TRH, BTEX, PAH, Metals (6) | TBT | Dioxins | Cyanide | Ammonia | TOC | PSD | Moisture content | | | | | | Hold |
| 12 | SEDOS_0.0-0.1 | 4/10/18 16:00 | S | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | |
| 13 | SEDOS_0.5-0.6 | 4/10/18 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | |
| 14 | SEDOS_1.0-1.1 | 4/10/18 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | |
| 15 | SEDOS_1.5-1.6 | 4/10/18 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | |
| 16 | SEDOS_2.0-2.1 | 4/10/18 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | |
| 17 | SEDOS_2.5-2.6 | 4/10/18 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | |
| | SEDOS_3.0-3.1 | 4/10/18 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | |
| 18 | FS01 | 4/10/18 | | Jar | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | |
| 19 | FS02 | | | " | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | |
| 20 | FS03 | | | " | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | |
| 21 | FD01 | | | " | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | |
| 22 | FD02 | | | " | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; AG = Amber Glass Unpreserved; AP = Airright Unpreserved Plastic; V = VOA Vial HCl Preserved; VB = VOA Vial Sodium Bisulphate Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulfuric Preserved; AV = Airright Unpreserved Vial SG = Sulfuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl preserved Plastic; HS = HCl preserved Speciation bottle; SP = Sulfuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass; Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; ASS = Plastic Bag for Acid Sulphate Soils; B = Unpreserved Bag.



CHAIN OF CUSTODY

ALS Laboratory:
please tick →

DADELAIDE 21 Burma Road Pooraka SA 5095
Ph: 08 8359 0800 E: adeelaide@alsglobal.com

BRISBANE 32 Shand Street Stafford QLD 4063
Ph: 07 3243 7222 E: samples.brisbane@alsglobal.com

GLADSTONE 46 Callamondah Drive Clinton QLD 4650
Ph: 07 7471 6800 E: gladstone@alsglobal.com

DMACKAY 78 Harbour Road Mackay QLD 4740
Ph: 07 4944 0177 E: mackay@alsglobal.com

MELBOURNE 2-4 Westall Road SpRINGvale VIC 3171
Ph: 03 8549 9600 E: samples.melbourne@alsglobal.com

MUDGEE 27 Sydney Road Mudgee NSW 2850
Ph: 02 6372 6735 E: mudgee@mail@alsglobal.com

NEWCASTLE 6 Reed Gum Road Warabrook NSW 2304
Ph: 02 4966 9433 E: samples.newcastle@alsglobal.com

NOOWRA 4/13 Geary Place North Nowra NSW 2541
Ph: 024423 2063 E: nowra@alsglobal.com

PERTH 10 Hod Way Malaga WA 8090
Ph: 08 9209 7656 E: samples.perth@alsglobal.com

SYDNEY 277-289 Woodcok Road Smithfield NSW 2164
Ph: 02 8784 0555 E: samples.sydney@alsglobal.com

TOWNSVILLE 14-15 Oesna Court Bottle QLD 4814
Ph: 07 4796 0800 E: townsville@alsglobal.com

WOLLONGONG 99 Kenny Street Wollongong NSW 2500
Ph: 02 4225 3125 E: portkembla@alsglobal.com

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| CLIENT: GHD Pty Ltd | | TURNAROUND REQUIREMENTS: <input type="checkbox"/> Standard TAT (List due date): (Standard TAT may be longer for some tests e.g., Ultra Trace Organics) | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non Standard or urgent TAT (List due date): | |
| OFFICE: level 15, 133 Castlereagh St, Sydney | | ALS QUOTE NO.: SY-236-18 | | COC SEQUENCE NUMBER (Circle) | |
| PROJECT: 21-27477 - Task 3.1 for Contamination | | | | COC: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 OF: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | |
| ORDER NUMBER: 2127477 | | PROJECT MANAGER: Jacqui Halchurich | | CONTACT PH: 0447 202 560 | |
| SAMPLER: Sarah Eccleshall | | SAMPLER MOBILE: 0459 546 332 | | RELINQUISHED BY: <i>Sarah Eccleshall</i> | |
| COC emailed to ALS? (YES / NO) | | EDD FORMAT (or default): | | RECEIVED BY: | |
| Email Reports to: sarah.eccleshall@ghd.com; jacqui.halchurich@ghd.com | | DATE/TIME: <i>24/10/18 21:00</i> | | DATE/TIME: | |
| Email Invoice to (will default to PM if no other addresses are listed): | | | | DATE/TIME: | |

COMMENTS/SPECIAL HANDLING/STORAGE OR DISPOSAL:

| LAB ID | SAMPLE ID | DATE / TIME | MATRIX | TYPE & PRESERVATIVE (refer to codes below) | TOTAL CONTAINERS | ANALYSIS REQUIRED including SUITES (NB. Suite Codes must be listed to attract suite price) Where Metals are required, specify Total (unfiltered bottle required) or Dissolved (field filtered bottle required). | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---------------|-------------|--------|---|------------------|--|-----|---------|---------|---------|-----|-----|------------------|--|--|--|------|--|---|
| | | | | | | BT Suites, TRH, BTEX, PAH, Metals (6) | TBT | Dioxins | Cyanide | Ammonia | TOC | PSD | Moisture content | | | | Hold | | |
| 23 | REA01_0.0-0.1 | 4/10/18 | S | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| 24 | REA01_0.5-0.6 | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| 25 | REA01_1.0-1.1 | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| 26 | REA01_1.5-1.6 | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| 27 | REA01_2.0-2.1 | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| 28 | REA01_2.5-2.6 | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| 29 | REA01_3.0-3.1 | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| 30 | REA01_3.5-3.5 | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| 31 | REA02_0.0-0.1 | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| 32 | REA02_0.5-0.6 | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| 33 | REA02_1.0-1.1 | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| 34 | REA02_1.5-1.6 | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide/Cit Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; AG = Amber Glass Unpreserved; AP = Airfreight Unpreserved Plastic
 V = VOA Vial HCl Preserved; VB = VOA Vial Sodium Bisulfate Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulfuric Preserved; AV = Airfreight Unpreserved Vial SG = Sulfuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl preserved Plastic; HS = HCl preserved Special bottle; SP = Sulfuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass;
 Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; ASS = Plastic Bag for Acid Sulphate Solts; B = Unpreserved Bag.



CHAIN OF CUSTODY

ALS Laboratory
please tick →

ADELAIDE 21 Burma Road Pooraka SA 5096
Ph: 08 6359 0890 E: adelaide@alsglobal.com

BRISBANE 32 Shand Street Stafford QLD 4053
Ph: 07 3243 7222 E: samp.peslab@alsglobal.com
GLADSTONE 40 Callamurrah Drive Clinton QLD 4880
Ph: 07 7471 9900 E: gladstone@alsglobal.com

MACKEY 78 Harbour Road Mackay QLD 4740
Ph: 07 4964 0177 E: mackay@alsglobal.com

MELBOURNE 2-4 Westgate Road Springvale VIC 3171
Ph: 03 8543 9000 E: samples.melbourne@alsglobal.com
MADGEE 27 Sydney Road Madgees NSW 2850
Ph: 02 6572 6785 E: madgees.mel@alsglobal.com

NEWCASTLE 6 Rose Ginn Road Warabrook NSW 2304
Ph: 02 4958 9433 E: samples.newcastle@alsglobal.com

PERTH 4/13 Geary Place North Perth NSW 2541
Ph: 02 4423 2628 E: north@alsglobal.com
PERTH 10 Haslem Way Midvale WA 6000
Ph: 08 9209 7655 E: samples.perth@alsglobal.com

SYDNEY 277-299 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW 2164
Ph: 02 8784 8555 E: samples.sydney@alsglobal.com

TOWNSVILLE 14-15 Deanna Court Berris QLD 4818
Ph: 07 4756 0600 E: towntsv@alsglobal.com
WOLLONGONG 89 Kenny Street Wollongong NSW 2500
Ph: 02 4225 3125 E: perkenb@alsglobal.com

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| CLIENT: GHD Pty Ltd | TURNAROUND REQUIREMENTS: <input type="checkbox"/> Standard TAT (List due date): (Standard TAT may be longer for some tests e.g. Ultra Trace Organics) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non Standard or urgent TAT (List due date): | | FOR LABO RATORY USE ONLY (Other) | |
| OFFICE: level 15, 133 Castlereagh St, Sydney | ALS QUOTE NO.: SY-236-18 | COC SEQUENCE NUMBER (Circle) | | DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES | |
| PROJECT: 21-27477 - Task SJ for Contamination | | DOC: 1 2 3 <u>4</u> 5 6 7 | | | |
| ORDER NUMBER: 2127477 | | OP: 1 2 3 <u>4</u> 5 6 7 | | | |
| PROJECT MANAGER: Jacqui Hallchurch | CONTACT PH: 0447 202 580 | RELINQUISHED BY: S. Eccleshay | RECEIVED BY: | RELINQUISHED BY: | RECEIVED BY: |
| SAMPLER: Sarah Eccleshay | SAMPLER MOBILE: 0459 546 332 | DATE/TIME: 4/10/18 21:00 | DATE/TIME: | DATE/TIME: | DATE/TIME: |
| COC emailed to ALS? (YES / NO) | EDD FORMAT (or default): | | | | |
| Email Reports to: sarah.eccleshay@ghd.com; jacqui.hallchurch@ghd.com | | | | | |
| Email Invoice to: (will default to PM if no other addresses are listed): | | | | | |

COMMENTS/SPECIAL HANDLING/STORAGE OR DISPOSAL:

| LAB ID | SAMPLE ID | DATE / TIME | MATRIX | TYPE & PRESERVATIVE (refer to codes below) | TOTAL CONTAINERS | B7 Suite: TRH, BTEX, PAH, Metals (g) | TBT | Dioxins | Cyanide | Ammonia | TOC | PSD | Moisture content | Hold |
|--------|---------------|-------------|--------|--|------------------|--------------------------------------|-----|--|---------|---------|-----|-----|------------------|------|
| 35 | REA02_2.0-2.1 | 4/10/18 | S | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | X |
| 36 | REA02_2.5-2.6 | 4/10/18 | S | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | X |
| 37 | REA02_3.0-3.1 | 4/10/18 | S | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | X |
| 38 | TRIP Blank | 4/10/18 | S | Jar | 1 | X | | | | | | | | |
| 39 | TRIP SPIKE | 4/10/18 | S | Jar | 1 | X | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | RN_01 | 4/10/18 | W | V, N, AG, V | 4 | X | | Please ask sepor res standard rinsate analysis | | | | | | |
| 41 | TRIP Blank | 2/10/18 | S | Jar | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| 42 | RN_01_01 | 4/10/18 | W | V, V, N, AG | 4 | | | ANDREW S/10/18 @ 2:29 AM | | | | | | |
| 43 | TRIP SPIKE | 2/10/18 | S | Jar | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| 44 | TSC | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; AG = Amber Glass Unpreserved; AP = Airtight Unpreserved Plastic
 V = VOA Vial HCl Preserved; VB = VOA Vial Sodium Bisulphate Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulfuric Preserved; AV = Airtight Unpreserved Vial SG = Sulfuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl preserved Plastic; HS = HCl preserved Speciation bottle; SP = Sulfuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass;
 Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; ASS = Plastic Bag for Acid Sulphate Soils; B = Unpreserved Bag

SWR
Received



SAMPLE RECEIPT NOTIFICATION (SRN)

Work Order : ES1829388

| | | | |
|--------------|--|--------------|--|
| Client | : GHD PTY LTD | Laboratory | : Environmental Division Sydney |
| Contact | : MS JACQUI HALLCHURCH | Contact | : Brenda Hong |
| Address | : LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY NSW, AUSTRALIA 2000 | Address | : 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164 |
| E-mail | : jacqui.hallchurch@ghd.com | E-mail | : Brenda.Hong@alsglobal.com |
| Telephone | : +61 02 9239 7100 | Telephone | : (02) 8784 8504 |
| Facsimile | : +61 02 9239 7199 | Facsimile | : +61-2-8784 8500 |
| Project | : 2127477 | Page | : 1 of 4 |
| Order number | : 2127477 | Quote number | : ES2018GHDSER0015 (SY/236/18) |
| C-O-C number | : ---- | QC Level | : NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard |
| Site | : 21-27477 - Task 3J for Contamination | | |
| Sampler | : SARAH ECCLESHALL | | |

Dates

| | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Date Samples Received | : 04-Oct-2018 21:00 | Issue Date | : 06-Oct-2018 |
| Client Requested Due Date | : 10-Oct-2018 | Scheduled Reporting Date | : 10-Oct-2018 |

Delivery Details

| | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Mode of Delivery | : Client Drop Off | Security Seal | : Not Available |
| No. of coolers/boxes | : 2 | Temperature | : 10.3 - Ice present |
| Receipt Detail | : | No. of samples received / analysed | : 43 / 5 |

General Comments

- This report contains the following information:
 - Sample Container(s)/Preservation Non-Compliances
 - Summary of Sample(s) and Requested Analysis
 - Proactive Holding Time Report
 - Requested Deliverables
- **Updated SRN Please note that sample 41-43 have been added as per client request.**
- **Updated SRN: only samples 1-40 are due on the 10/10/18, samples 41-43 are due on the 11/10/18**
- **Please refer to the Proactive Holding Time Report table below which summarises breaches of recommended holding times that have occurred prior to samples/instructions being received at the laboratory. The absence of this summary table indicates that all samples have been received within the recommended holding times for the analysis requested.**
- Updated SRN: Please note that the scheduled reporting date has not been confirmed with laboratory management due to the late arrival of sample 41-43. If the scheduled reporting date is not achievable ALS will be in contact with you.
- **Sample(s) requiring volatile organic compound analysis received in airtight containers (ZHE).**
- Please direct any queries you have regarding this work order to the above ALS laboratory contact.
- Analytical work for this work order will be conducted at ALS Sydney.
- Sample Disposal - Aqueous (3 weeks), Solid (2 months) from receipt of samples.



Sample Container(s)/Preservation Non-Compliances

All comparisons are made against pretreatment/preservation AS, APHA, USEPA standards.

- **No sample container / preservation non-compliance exists.**

Summary of Sample(s) and Requested Analysis

Some items described below may be part of a laboratory process necessary for the execution of client requested tasks. Packages may contain additional analyses, such as the determination of moisture content and preparation tasks, that are included in the package.

If no sampling time is provided, the sampling time will default 00:00 on the date of sampling. If no sampling date is provided, the sampling date will be assumed by the laboratory and displayed in brackets without a time component

Matrix: **SOIL**

| Laboratory sample ID | Client sampling date / time | Client sample ID | (On Hold) SOIL No analysis requested | SOIL - S-18 (NO MOIST) TRH(C6-C9)/BTEXN with No Moisture for TBs |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|---|---|
| ES1829388-001 | 04-Oct-2018 10:15 | SED06_0.0-0.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-002 | 04-Oct-2018 10:30 | SED06_0.5-0.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-003 | 04-Oct-2018 10:40 | SED06_1.0-1.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-004 | 04-Oct-2018 10:45 | SED06_1.5-1.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-005 | 04-Oct-2018 10:55 | SED06_2.0-2.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-006 | 04-Oct-2018 11:00 | SED06_2.5-2.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-007 | 04-Oct-2018 11:10 | SED06_3.0-3.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-008 | 04-Oct-2018 11:15 | SED06_3.5-3.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-009 | 04-Oct-2018 11:20 | SED06_4.0-4.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-010 | 04-Oct-2018 11:25 | SED06_4.3-4.4 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-011 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | FD03 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-012 | 04-Oct-2018 16:00 | SED05_0.0-0.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-013 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED05_0.5-0.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-014 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED05_1.0-1.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-015 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED05_1.5-1.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-016 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED05_2.0-2.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-017 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED05_2.5-2.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-018 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | FS01 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-019 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | FS02 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-020 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | FS03 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-021 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | FD01 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-022 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | FD02 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-023 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_0.0-0.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-024 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_0.5-0.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-025 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_1.0-1.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-026 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_1.5-1.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-027 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_2.0-2.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-028 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_2.5-2.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-029 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_3.0-3.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-030 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_3.4-3.5 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-031 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_0.0-0.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-032 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_0.5-0.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-033 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_1.0-1.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-034 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_1.5-1.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-035 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_2.0-2.1 | ✓ | |



| | | | (On Hold) SOIL No analysis requested | SOIL - S-18 (NO MOIST) TRH(C6-C9)/BTEXN with No Moisture for TBs |
|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---|---|
| ES1829388-036 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_2.5-2.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-037 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_3.0-3.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-038 | 02-Oct-2018 00:00 | Trip Blank | | ✓ |
| ES1829388-039 | 02-Oct-2018 00:00 | Trip Blank | ✓ | |
| ES1829388-042 | 02-Oct-2018 00:00 | TRIP SPIKE | | ✓ |
| ES1829388-043 | 02-Oct-2018 00:00 | TSC | | ✓ |

Matrix: **WATER**

| Laboratory sample ID | Client sampling date / time | Client sample ID | WATER - W-26T TRH/BTEXN/PAH/Total 8 Metals |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|---|
| ES1829388-040 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | RN_01 | ✓ |
| ES1829388-041 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | RN01_1 | ✓ |

Proactive Holding Time Report

Sample(s) have been received within the recommended holding times for the requested analysis.

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Work Order : **ES1829388**
Client : **GHD PTY LTD**
Contact : **MS JACQUI HALLCHURCH**
Address : **LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET**
 SYDNEY NSW, AUSTRALIA 2000
Telephone : **+61 02 9239 7100**
Project : **2127477**
Order number : **2127477**
C-O-C number : **----**
Sampler : **SARAH ECCLESHALL**
Site : **21-27477 - Task 3J for Contamination**
Quote number : **SY/236/18**
No. of samples received : **43**
No. of samples analysed : **5**

Page : 1 of 6
Laboratory : Environmental Division Sydney
Contact : Brenda Hong
Address : 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164
Telephone : (02) 8784 8504
Date Samples Received : 04-Oct-2018 21:00
Date Analysis Commenced : 05-Oct-2018
Issue Date : 10-Oct-2018 13:07



This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results
- Surrogate Control Limits

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

| <i>Signatories</i> | <i>Position</i> | <i>Accreditation Category</i> |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| Edwandy Fadjar | Organic Coordinator | Sydney Organics, Smithfield, NSW |
| Ivan Taylor | Analyst | Sydney Inorganics, Smithfield, NSW |



General Comments

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contact for details.

Key : CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.
LOR = Limit of reporting
^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting
ø = ALS is not NATA accredited for these tests.
~ = Indicates an estimated value.

- EP080: The trip spike and its control have been analysed for volatile TPH and BTEX only. The trip spike and control were prepared in the lab using reagent grade sand spiked with petrol. The spike was dispatched from the lab and the control retained.
- Benzo(a)pyrene Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) is the sum total of the concentration of the eight carcinogenic PAHs multiplied by their Toxicity Equivalence Factor (TEF) relative to Benzo(a)pyrene. TEF values are provided in brackets as follows: Benz(a)anthracene (0.1), Chrysene (0.01), Benzo(b+j) & Benzo(k)fluoranthene (0.1), Benzo(a)pyrene (1.0), Indeno(1.2.3.cd)pyrene (0.1), Dibenz(a.h)anthracene (1.0), Benzo(g.h.i)perylene (0.01). Less than LOR results for 'TEQ Zero' are treated as zero.



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | Trip Blank | TRIP SPIKE | TSC | ---- | ---- |
|--|-------------------|-----|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 02-Oct-2018 00:00 | 02-Oct-2018 00:00 | 02-Oct-2018 00:00 | ---- | ---- | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829388-038 | ES1829388-042 | ES1829388-043 | ----- | ----- | |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | ---- | ---- | |
| EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| C6 - C9 Fraction | ---- | 10 | mg/kg | <10 | 22 | 28 | ---- | ---- | |
| EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions | | | | | | | | | |
| C6 - C10 Fraction | C6_C10 | 10 | mg/kg | <10 | 27 | 33 | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ C6 - C10 Fraction minus BTEX (F1) | C6_C10-BTEX | 10 | mg/kg | <10 | <10 | 12 | ---- | ---- | |
| EP080: BTEXN | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | 71-43-2 | 0.2 | mg/kg | <0.2 | <0.2 | 0.2 | ---- | ---- | |
| Toluene | 108-88-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | 8.4 | 10.4 | ---- | ---- | |
| Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | 1.0 | 1.2 | ---- | ---- | |
| meta- & para-Xylene | 108-38-3 106-42-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | 5.6 | 6.7 | ---- | ---- | |
| ortho-Xylene | 95-47-6 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | 2.2 | 2.6 | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ Sum of BTEX | ---- | 0.2 | mg/kg | <0.2 | 17.2 | 21.1 | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ Total Xylenes | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | 7.8 | 9.3 | ---- | ---- | |
| Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 1 | mg/kg | <1 | <1 | <1 | ---- | ---- | |
| EP080S: TPH(V)/BTEX Surrogates | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 | 17060-07-0 | 0.2 | % | 88.4 | 92.5 | 99.2 | ---- | ---- | |
| Toluene-D8 | 2037-26-5 | 0.2 | % | 89.9 | 91.4 | 101 | ---- | ---- | |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 0.2 | % | 87.9 | 91.1 | 99.7 | ---- | ---- | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER) | | | | Client sample ID | | | RN_01 | RN01_1 | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|---|-------------------|--------|------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829388-040 | ES1829388-041 | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- | |
| | | | | Result | Result | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| EG020T: Total Metals by ICP-MS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Arsenic | 7440-38-2 | 0.001 | mg/L | <0.001 | <0.001 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Cadmium | 7440-43-9 | 0.0001 | mg/L | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Chromium | 7440-47-3 | 0.001 | mg/L | <0.001 | <0.001 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Copper | 7440-50-8 | 0.001 | mg/L | <0.001 | <0.001 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Lead | 7439-92-1 | 0.001 | mg/L | <0.001 | <0.001 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Nickel | 7440-02-0 | 0.001 | mg/L | <0.001 | <0.001 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Zinc | 7440-66-6 | 0.005 | mg/L | <0.005 | <0.005 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| EG035T: Total Recoverable Mercury by FIMS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | 7439-97-6 | 0.0001 | mg/L | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| EP075(SIM)B: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Acenaphthylene | 208-96-8 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Acenaphthene | 83-32-9 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Fluorene | 86-73-7 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Phenanthrene | 85-01-8 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Anthracene | 120-12-7 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Fluoranthene | 206-44-0 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Pyrene | 129-00-0 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 56-55-3 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Chrysene | 218-01-9 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Benzo(b+j)fluoranthene | 205-99-2 205-82-3 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 207-08-9 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 50-32-8 | 0.5 | µg/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Indeno(1.2.3.cd)pyrene | 193-39-5 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Dibenz(a.h)anthracene | 53-70-3 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Benzo(g.h.i)perylene | 191-24-2 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ Sum of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons | ---- | 0.5 | µg/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (zero) | ---- | 0.5 | µg/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C6 - C9 Fraction | ---- | 20 | µg/L | <20 | <20 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| C10 - C14 Fraction | ---- | 50 | µg/L | <50 | <50 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| C15 - C28 Fraction | ---- | 100 | µg/L | <100 | <100 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| C29 - C36 Fraction | ---- | 50 | µg/L | <50 | <50 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ C10 - C36 Fraction (sum) | ---- | 50 | µg/L | <50 | <50 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER) | | | | Client sample ID | RN_01 | RN01_1 | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|--|-------------------|-----|------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|-------|-------|------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829388-040 | ES1829388-041 | ----- | ----- | ----- | |
| | | | | Result | Result | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions | | | | | | | | | |
| C6 - C10 Fraction | C6_C10 | 20 | µg/L | <20 | <20 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| [^] C6 - C10 Fraction minus BTEX (F1) | C6_C10-BTEX | 20 | µg/L | <20 | <20 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| >C10 - C16 Fraction | ---- | 100 | µg/L | <100 | <100 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| >C16 - C34 Fraction | ---- | 100 | µg/L | <100 | <100 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| >C34 - C40 Fraction | ---- | 100 | µg/L | <100 | <100 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| [^] >C10 - C40 Fraction (sum) | ---- | 100 | µg/L | <100 | <100 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| [^] >C10 - C16 Fraction minus Naphthalene (F2) | ---- | 100 | µg/L | <100 | <100 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| EP080: BTEXN | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | 71-43-2 | 1 | µg/L | <1 | <1 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Toluene | 108-88-3 | 2 | µg/L | <2 | <2 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | 2 | µg/L | <2 | <2 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| meta- & para-Xylene | 108-38-3 106-42-3 | 2 | µg/L | <2 | <2 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| ortho-Xylene | 95-47-6 | 2 | µg/L | <2 | <2 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| [^] Total Xylenes | ---- | 2 | µg/L | <2 | <2 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| [^] Sum of BTEX | ---- | 1 | µg/L | <1 | <1 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 5 | µg/L | <5 | <5 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| EP075(SIM)S: Phenolic Compound Surrogates | | | | | | | | | |
| Phenol-d6 | 13127-88-3 | 1.0 | % | 26.9 | 20.4 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| 2-Chlorophenol-D4 | 93951-73-6 | 1.0 | % | 57.3 | 50.7 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 118-79-6 | 1.0 | % | 37.7 | 49.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| EP075(SIM)T: PAH Surrogates | | | | | | | | | |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 321-60-8 | 1.0 | % | 84.8 | 66.3 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Anthracene-d10 | 1719-06-8 | 1.0 | % | 75.3 | 66.2 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| 4-Terphenyl-d14 | 1718-51-0 | 1.0 | % | 87.2 | 78.8 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| EP080S: TPH(V)/BTEX Surrogates | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 | 17060-07-0 | 2 | % | 103 | 105 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Toluene-D8 | 2037-26-5 | 2 | % | 102 | 104 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 2 | % | 97.6 | 99.7 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |



Surrogate Control Limits

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL | | Recovery Limits (%) | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|---------------------|------|
| Compound | CAS Number | Low | High |
| EP080S: TPH(V)/BTEX Surrogates | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 | 17060-07-0 | 73 | 133 |
| Toluene-D8 | 2037-26-5 | 74 | 132 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 72 | 130 |

| Sub-Matrix: WATER | | Recovery Limits (%) | |
|--|------------|---------------------|------|
| Compound | CAS Number | Low | High |
| EP075(SIM)S: Phenolic Compound Surrogates | | | |
| Phenol-d6 | 13127-88-3 | 10 | 44 |
| 2-Chlorophenol-D4 | 93951-73-6 | 14 | 94 |
| 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 118-79-6 | 17 | 125 |
| EP075(SIM)T: PAH Surrogates | | | |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 321-60-8 | 20 | 104 |
| Anthracene-d10 | 1719-06-8 | 27 | 113 |
| 4-Terphenyl-d14 | 1718-51-0 | 32 | 112 |
| EP080S: TPH(V)/BTEX Surrogates | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 | 17060-07-0 | 71 | 137 |
| Toluene-D8 | 2037-26-5 | 79 | 131 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 70 | 128 |



CHAIN OF CUSTODY

ALS Laboratory:
please tick →

DADELAIDE 21 Burma Road Pooraka SA 5095
Ph: 08 8359 0890 E: adelaide@alsglobal.com
BRISBANE 32 Shand Street Stafford QLD 4053
Ph: 07 3243 7222 E: samples.brisbane@alsglobal.com
GLADSTONE 46 Callenmondah Drive Clifton QLD 4680
Ph: 07 7471 5600 E: gladstone@alsglobal.com

MACKAY 78 Harbour Road Mackay QLD 4740
Ph: 07 4944 0177 E: mackay@alsglobal.com
MELBOURNE 2-4 Westall Road Springvale VIC 3171
Ph: 03 8549 9600 E: samples.melbourne@alsglobal.com
MUDGEE 27 Sydney Road Mudgee NSW 2850
Ph: 02 6372 8735 E: mudgee@mail@alsglobal.com

NEWCASTLE 5 Rose Gum Road Warabrook NSW 2304
Ph: 02 4968 9433 E: samples.newcastle@alsglobal.com
NOWRA 4/13 Geary Place North Nowra NSW 2541
Ph: 024423 2063 E: nowra@alsglobal.com
PERTH 10 Hod Way Malaga WA 6050
Ph: 08 9209 7655 E: samples.perth@alsglobal.com

SYDNEY 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW 2164
Ph: 02 8784 8555 E: samples.sydney@alsglobal.com
TOWNSVILLE 14-15 Desma Court Bottle QLD 4818
Ph: 07 4796 0600 E: townsville.environmental@alsglobal.com
WOLLONGONG 99 Kenny Street Wollongong NSW 2500
Ph: 02 4225 3125 E: portkemps@alsglobal.com

CLIENT: GHD Pty Ltd

OFFICE: level 15, 133 Castlereagh St, Sydney

PROJECT: 21-27477 - Task 3J for Contamination

ORDER NUMBER: 2127477

PROJECT MANAGER: Jacqui Hallchurch

SAMPLER: Sarah Eccleshall

COC emailed to ALS? (YES / NO)

Email Reports to: sarah.eccleshall@ghd.com; jacqui.hallchurch@ghd.com

Email Invoice to (will default to PM if no other addresses are listed):

TURNAROUND REQUIREMENT: Standard TAT (List due date):
 Non Standard or urgent TAT (List due date): **3 days**

ALS QUOTE NO.: SY-236-18

COC SEQUENCE NUMBER (Circle)

RECEIVED BY: **ANDREW**

DATE/TIME: **5/10/18 8:30pm**

FOR LABORATORY USE ONLY (Circle)

Contaminant type: **5.2**

Free lead/potassium trucks present (upon receipt): **Yes**

Random Sample temperature on Receipt: **5.2**


Other comments:

COMMENTS/SPECIAL HANDLING/STORAGE OR DISPOSAL:

Analysis by / Date: **ES1829588**

| ALS USE | SAMPLE DETAILS | | CONTAINER INFORMATION | | | ANALYSIS REQUIRED including SUITES (NB. Suite Codes must be listed to attract suite price) Where Metals are required, specify Total (unfiltered bottle required) or Dissolved (field filtered bottle required). | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|----------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------|--|--|---------------------------------------|-----|----------------|---------|---------|-----|-----|------------------|------|--|
| | LAB ID | SAMPLE ID | DATE / TIME | MATRIX | TYPE & PRESERVATIVE (refer to codes below) | TOTAL CONTAINERS | B7 Suites: TPH, BTEX, PAH, Metals (6) | TBT | Dioxins/Furans | Cyanide | Ammonia | TOC | PSD | Moisture content | Hold | |
| | 1 | SE006_0.0-0.5 | 5/10/18 | S | 2x jar, B | 3 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | |
| | 2 | SE006_0.5-1.0 | | | | 3 | | | | | | | | | X | |
| | 3 | SE006_1.0-1.5 | | | | 3 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | |
| | 4 | SE006_1.5-2.0 | | | | 3 | | | | | | | | | X | |
| | 5 | SE006_2.0-2.5 | | | | 3 | | | | | | | | | X | |
| | 6 | SE006_2.5-3.0 | | | | 3 | | | | | | | | | X | |
| | 7 | SE006_3.0-3.5 | | | Jar, B | 2 | | | | | | | | | X | |
| | 8 | SE006_3.5-4.0 | | | Jar, B | 2 | | | | | | | | | X | |
| | 9 | SE006_4.0-4.4 | | | Jar, B | 2 | | | | | | | | | X | |
| | 10 | SE005_0.0-0.5 | | | | 3 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | |
| | 11 | SE005_0.5-1.0 | | | | 3 | | | | | | | | | X | |
| | 12 | SE005_1.0-1.5 | | | | 3 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | |

Environmental Division
Sydney
Work Order Reference
ES1829588



Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Preserved Plastic; V = VOA Vial HCl Preserved; VB = VOA Vial Sodium Bisulphate Preserved; VS = V Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle

AG = Amber Glass Unpreserved; AP - Airfreight Unpreserved Plastic; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; H = HCl preserved Plastic; HS = HCl preserved Speciation bottle; SP = Sulfuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass;



CHAIN OF CUSTODY

ALS Laboratory:
please tick →

DADELAIDE 21 Burma Road Pooraka SA 5045
Ph: 08 8359 0830 E: adelaide@alsglobal.com
BRISBANE 32 Shand Street Stafford QLD 4053
Ph: 07 3243 7222 E: samples.brisbane@alsglobal.com
GLADSTONE 46 Callinmondh Drive Clinton QLD 4680
Ph: 07 7471 5600 E: gladstone@alsglobal.com

MACKAY 78 Harbour Road Mackay QLD 4740
Ph: 07 4944 0177 E: mackay@alsglobal.com
MELBOURNE 2-4 Westall Road Springvale VIC 3171
Ph: 03 6549 9500 E: samples.melbourne@alsglobal.com
MUDGEE 27 Sydney Road Mudgee NSW 2850
Ph: 02 6372 8735 E: mudgee@mail@alsglobal.com

NEWCASTLE 5 Rose Gum Road Warbrook NSW 2304
Ph: 02 4968 9433 E: samples.newcastle@alsglobal.com
NOWRA 4/13 Geary Place North Nowra NSW 2541
Ph: 024423 2063 E: nowra@alsglobal.com
PERTH 10 Hog Way Malaga WA 6090
Ph: 08 9209 7665 E: samples.perth@alsglobal.com

SYDNEY 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW 2164
Ph: 02 8784 8555 E: samples.sydney@alsglobal.com
TOWNSVILLE 14-15 Desma Court Bohle QLD 4813
Ph: 07 4796 0500 E: townsville.environmental@alsglobal.com
WOLLONGONG 89 Kenny Street Wollongong NSW 2500
Ph: 02 4225 3125 E: wollongong@alsglobal.com

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| CLIENT: GHD Pty Ltd | | TURNOVER REQUIREMENT: <input type="checkbox"/> Standard TAT (List due date): (Standard TAT may be longer for some tests e.g., Ultra Trace Organics) | | FOR LABORATORY USE ONLY (Circle): | | | |
| OFFICE: level 15, 133 Castlereagh St, Sydney | | <input type="checkbox"/> Non Standard or urgent TAT (List due date): | | Quarry Seal Intact? Yes No N/A | | Free Ice / frozen ice blocks present upon receipt? Yes No N/A | |
| PROJECT: 21-27477 - Task 3J for Contamination | | ALS QUOTE NO.: SY-236-18 | | COC SEQUENCE NUMBER (Circle) | | | |
| ORDER NUMBER: 2127477 | | | | COC: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | | | |
| PROJECT MANAGER: Jacqui Hallchurch | | CONTACT PH: 0447 202 580 | | OF: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | | Random Sample Temperature on Receipt: C | |
| SAMPLER: Sarah Eccleshall | | SAMPLER MOBILE: 0459 546 332 | | RECEIVED BY: | | RECEIVED BY: | |
| COC emailed to ALS? (YES / NO) | | EDD FORMAT (or default): | | DATE/TIME: | | DATE/TIME: | |
| Email Reports to: sarah.eccleshall@ghd.com; jacqui.hallchurch@ghd.com | | DATE/TIME: | | DATE/TIME: | | DATE/TIME: | |
| Email invoice to (will default to PM if no other addresses are listed): | | DATE/TIME: | | DATE/TIME: | | DATE/TIME: | |

COMMENTS/SPECIAL HANDLING/STORAGE OR DISPOSAL:

| ALS USE | SAMPLE DETAILS MATRIX: SOLID (S) WATER (W) | | | CONTAINER INFORMATION | | ANALYSIS REQUIRED including SUITES (NB. Suite Codes must be listed to attract suite price) Where Metals are required, specify Total (unfiltered bottle required) or Dissolved (field filtered bottle required). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|-----|------------------|---------|---------|-----|-----|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|------|---|
| | LAB ID | SAMPLE ID | DATE / TIME | MATRIX | TYPE & PRESERVATIVE (refer to codes below) | TOTAL CONTAINERS | B7 Suite: TPH, BTEX, PAH, Metals (6) | TBT | Dioxins / Furans | Cyanide | Ammonia | TOC | PSD | Moisture content | | | | | | | | | Hold | |
| | | SED04 0.0-0.1 | 5/10/18 | S | Jar, B | 2 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 14 | SED04 0.5-2.6 | 5/10/18 | S | Jar, B | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | | SED04 1.0-1.1 | 5/10/18 | S | Jar B | 2 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 16 | SED04 1.5-1.6 | 5/10/18 | S | Jar B | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 17 | SED04 2.0-2.1 | 5/10/18 | S | Jar B | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 18 | SED04 2.5-2.6 | 5/10/18 | S | Jar B | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 19 | F004 | 5/10/18 | S | Jar B | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 20 | F304 | 5/10/18 | S | Jar B | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 21 | TRIP SPIKE | 5/10/18 | S | Jar B | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 22 | TRIP BLANK | 5/10/18 | S | Jar B | 1 | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 23 | RNO2 | 5/10/18 | W | AG, BV, N | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | F008 F508 | 5/10/18 | S | Jar | 1 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; AG = Amber Glass Unpreserved; AP = Airfreight Unpreserved Plastic
V = VOA Vial HCl Preserved; VB = VOA Vial Sodium Bisulphate Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulfuric Preserved; AV = Airfreight Unpreserved Vial SG = Sulfuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl preserved Plastic; HS = HCl preserved Speciation bottle; SP = Sulfuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass;
Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; ASS = Plastic Bag for Acid Sulphate Soils; B = Unpreserved Bag.



CHAIN OF CUSTODY

ALS Laboratory:
please tick →

QADELAIDE 21 Burma Road Pooraka SA 5095
Ph: 08 8359 0890 E: adelaide@alsglobal.com
QBRISBANE 32 Sand Street Stafford QLD 4053
Ph: 07 3243 7222 E: samples.brisbane@alsglobal.com
QLADSTONE 46 Callimondah Drive Clinton QLD 4690
Ph: 07 7471 5600 E: gladstone@alsglobal.com

QMACKAY 78 Harbour Road Mackay QLD 4740
Ph: 07 4944 0177 E: mackay@alsglobal.com

QMELBOURNE 2-4 Westall Road Springvale VIC 3171
Ph: 03 8549 9800 E: samples.melbourne@alsglobal.com
QMUDGEE 27 Sydney Road Mudgee NSW 2855
Ph: 02 6372 6735 E: mudgee.mail@alsglobal.com

QNEWCASTLE 5 Rose Gum Road Warabrook NSW 2304
Ph: 02 4968 9433 E: samples.newcastle@alsglobal.com

QNOWRA 4/13 Geary Place North Nowra NSW 2541
Ph: 024423 2063 E: nowra@alsglobal.com

QPERTH 10 Hod Way Malaga WA 6050
Ph: 08 9209 7655 E: samples.perth@alsglobal.com

QSYDNEY 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW 2164
Ph: 02 8784 6555 E: samples.sydney@alsglobal.com

QTOWNSVILLE 14-15 Desma Court Bohle QLD 4618
Ph: 07 4736 0600 E: townsville.environmental@alsglobal.com

QWOLLONGONG 99 Kenny Street Wollongong NSW 2500
Ph: 02 4225 3125 E: portkembla@alsglobal.com

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| CLIENT: GHD Pty Ltd | | TURNAROUND REQUIREMENT: <input type="checkbox"/> Standard TAT (List due date): (Standard TAT may be longer for some tests e.g. Ultra Trace Organics) <input type="checkbox"/> Non Standard or urgent TAT (List due date): | | FOR LABORATORY USE ONLY (Circle) Custody Seal Intact? Yes No N/A Free Ice (Frozen Ice bricks present upon receipt)? Yes No N/A Random Sample Temperature on Receipt: °C Other comments: | | |
| OFFICE: level 15, 133 Castlereagh St, Sydney | | ALS QUOTE NO.: SY-236-18 | | | | |
| PROJECT: 21-27477 - Task 3J for Contamination | | | | COC: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | | |
| ORDER NUMBER: 2127477 | | | | OF: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | | |
| PROJECT MANAGER: Jacqui Hallchurch | | CONTACT PH: 0447 202 580 | | | | |
| SAMPLER: Sarah Eccleshall | | SAMPLER MOBILE: 0459 546 332 | | RELINQUISHED BY: | | |
| COC emailed to ALS? (YES / NO) | | EDD FORMAT (or default): | | RECEIVED BY: | | |
| Email Reports to: sarah.eccleshall@ghd.com; jacqui.hallchurch@ghd.com | | | | DATE/TIME: | | |
| Email Invoice to (will default to PM if no other addresses are listed): | | | | DATE/TIME: | | |

COMMENTS/SPECIAL HANDLING/STORAGE OR DISPOSAL:

| ALS USE | SAMPLE DETAILS MATRIX: SOLID (S) WATER (W) | | | CONTAINER INFORMATION | | ANALYSIS REQUIRED including SUITES (NB. Suite Codes must be listed to attract suite price) Where Metals are required, specify Total (unfiltered bottle required) or Dissolved (field filtered bottle required). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|-----|---------|---------|---------|-----|-----|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|------|---|
| | LAB ID | SAMPLE ID | DATE / TIME | MATRIX | TYPE & PRESERVATIVE (refer to codes below) | TOTAL CONTAINERS | B7 Suite: TRH, BTEX, PAH, Metals (8) | TBT | Dioxins | Cyanide | Ammonia | TOC | PSD | Moisture content | | | | | | Hold | |
| | 25 | SE005_1.5-2.0 | 5/10/18 | S | B, 2x Jar | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 26 | SE005_2.0-2.5 | | | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 27 | SE005_2.5-3.0 | Received as SE005-2.5-2.8 | | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ✓ |
| | 28 | SE005_3.0-4.0 | | | | 2 | NA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 29 | REA01-0.0-0.5 | | | B, 2x Jar | 3 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | |
| | 30 | REA01-0.5-1.0 | | | ↓ | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 31 | REA01-1.0-1.5 | | | ↓ | 3 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | |
| | 32 | REA01-1.5-2.0 | | | ↓ | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 33 | REA01-2.0-2.5 | | | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 34 | REA01-2.5-3.0 | | | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 35 | REA01-3.0-3.5 | SNR | | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 36 | REA02-2.5-3.0 | | | B, Jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; AG = Amber Glass Unpreserved; AP = Airfreight Unpreserved Plastic
 V = VOA Vial HCl Preserved; VB = VOA Vial Sodium Bisulphate Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulfuric Preserved; AV = Airfreight Unpreserved Vial SG = Sulfuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl preserved Plastic; HS = HCl preserved Speciation bottle; SP = Sulfuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass;
 Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; ASS = Plastic Bag for Acid Sulphate Soils; B = Unpreserved Bag.



CHAIN OF CUSTODY

ALS Laboratory:
please tick →

ADLADELAIDE 21 Burma Road Pooraka SA 5095
Ph: 08 8359 0890 E: adelaide@alsglobal.com
BRISBANE 32 Shand Street Stafford QLD 4053
Ph: 07 3243 7222 E: samples.brisbane@alsglobal.com
GLADSTONE 46 Callamondah Drive Clinton QLD 4680
Ph: 07 7471 5600 E: gladstone@alsglobal.com

MACKAY 78 Harbour Road Mackay QLD 4740
Ph: 07 4944 0177 E: mackay@alsglobal.com
MELBOURNE 2-4 Westall Road Springvale VIC 3171
Ph: 03 8549 9600 E: samples.melbourne@alsglobal.com
MUDGEEE 27 Sydney Road Mudgee NSW 2850
Ph: 02 6372 6795 E: mudgee.mai@alsglobal.com

NEWCASTLE 5 Rose Gum Road Warabrook NSW 2304
Ph: 02 4968 9433 E: samples.newcastle@alsglobal.com
NOWRA 4/13 Geary Place North Nowra NSW 2541
Ph: 024423 2063 E: nowra@alsglobal.com
PERTH 10 Hod Way Malaga WA 6090
Ph: 08 9209 7655 E: samples.perth@alsglobal.com

SYDNEY 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW 2164
Ph: 02 8784 8555 E: samples.sydney@alsglobal.com
TOWNSVILLE 14-15 Desma Court Bohle QLD 4818
Ph: 07 4796 0600 E: townsville.environmental@alsglobal.com
WOLLONGONG 89 Kenny Street Wollongong NSW 2500
Ph: 02 4225 3125 E: portkembla@alsglobal.com

| | | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|---|---|
| CLIENT: GHD Pty Ltd | | TURNAROUND REQUIREMENTS: <input type="checkbox"/> Standard TAT (List due date): (Standard TAT may be longer for some tests e.g. Ultra Trace Organics) | | FOR LABORATORY USE ONLY (Circle) | |
| OFFICE: level 15, 133 Castlereagh St, Sydney | | <input type="checkbox"/> Non Standard or urgent TAT (List due date): | | Custody Seal Intact? Yes No N/A | |
| PROJECT: 21-27477 - Task 3J for Contamination | | ALS QUOTE NO.: SY-236-18 | COC SEQUENCE NUMBER (Circle) | | Free ice / frozen ice bricks present upon receipt? Yes No N/A |
| ORDER NUMBER: 2127477 | | COC: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | | Random Sample Temperature on Receipt: C | |
| PROJECT MANAGER: Jacqui Hallchurch | | CONTACT PH: 0447 202 580 | | Other comment: | |
| SAMPLER: Sarah Eccleshall | | SAMPLER MOBILE: 0459 546 332 | | RECEIVED BY: | |
| COC emailed to ALS? (YES / NO) | | EDD FORMAT (or default): | | RECEIVED BY: | |
| Email Reports to: sarah.eccleshall@ghd.com; jacqui.hallchurch@ghd.com | | DATE/TIME: | | DATE/TIME: | |
| Email Invoice to (will default to PM if no other addresses are listed): | | DATE/TIME: | | DATE/TIME: | |

COMMENTS/SPECIAL HANDLING/STORAGE OR DISPOSAL:

| ALS USE | SAMPLE DETAILS | | | CONTAINER INFORMATION | | ANALYSIS REQUIRED including SUITES (NB. Suite Codes must be listed to attract suite price) Where Metals are required, specify Total (unfiltered bottle required) or Dissolved (field filtered bottle required). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------|--|------------------|--|---------------------------------------|-----|----------------------------|---------|---------|-----|-----|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|------|---|
| | MATRIX: SOLID (S) WATER (W) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LAB ID | SAMPLE ID | DATE / TIME | MATRIX | TYPE & PRESERVATIVE (refer to codes below) | TOTAL CONTAINERS | PERMUTATED | B7 suite TIC, Dioxin, PAH, Metals) | TBT | Dioxin/PCBs | Cyanide | Ammonia | TOC | P5D | Morph count | | | | | | | | | | Hold | |
| 37 | SC04_0.0-0.5 | S/10/18 | S | Bag, jar | 3 | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | SE04-0.5-1.0 | S/10/18 | S | Bag, jar | 3 | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| 39 | SE04-1.0-1.5 | S/10/18 | S | Bag, jar | 3 | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| 40 | SE04-1.5-2.0 | S/10/18 | S | Bag, jar | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| 41 | SE04-2.0-2.5 | S/10/18 | S | Bag, jar | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | FDO6 | S/10/18 | S | Jar | 2 | | | | Please forward to Genofins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | FSO6 | S/10/18 | S | Jar | 2 | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 43 | REA02-0.0-0.5 | S/10/18 | S | Bag, jar | 3 | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 44 | REA02-0.5-1.0 | S/10/18 | S | Bag, jar | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| 45 | REA02-1.0-1.5 | S/10/18 | S | Bag, jar | 3 | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| 46 | REA02-1.5-2.0 | S/10/18 | S | Bag, jar | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| 47 | REA02-2.0-2.5 | S/10/18 | S | Bag, jar | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; AG = Amber Glass Unpreserved; AP - Airfreight Unpreserved Plastic
V = VOA Vial HCl Preserved; VB = VOA Vial Sodium Bisulphate Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulfuric Preserved; AV = Airfreight Unpreserved Vial SG = Sulfuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl preserved Plastic; HS = HCl preserved Speciation bottle; SP = Sulfuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass;
Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; ASS = Plastic Bag for Acid Sulphate Soils; B = Unpreserved Bag.



CHAIN OF CUSTODY

ALS Laboratory:
please tick →

DADELAIDE 21 Burma Road Pooraka SA 5095
Ph: 08 8359 0890 E: adelaide@alsglobal.com
BRISBANE 32 Shand Street Stafford QLD 4053
Ph: 07 3243 7222 E: samples.brisbane@alsglobal.com
GLADSTONE 46 Callenondah Drive Clinton QLD 4680
Ph: 07 7471 5600 E: gladstone@alsglobal.com

MACKAY 78 Harbour Road Mackay QLD 4740
Ph: 07 4944 0177 E: mackay@alsglobal.com
MELBOURNE 2-4 Westall Road Springvale VIC 3171
Ph: 03 8649 9690 E: samples.melbourne@alsglobal.com
MUDGEE 27 Sydney Road Mudgee NSW 2850
Ph: 02 6372 6735 E: mudgee@mail@alsglobal.com

NEWCASTLE 5 Rose Gum Road Waratook NSW 2304
Ph: 02 4960 9400 E: samples.newcastle@alsglobal.com
NOWRA #13 Geary Place North Nowra NSW 2541
Ph: 024423 2093 E: nowra@alsglobal.com
PERTH 10 Hod Way Malaga WA 6090
Ph: 08 9209 7655 E: samples.perth@alsglobal.com

SYDNEY 277 289 Woodcark Road Smithfield NSW 2154
Ph: 02 8784 8555 E: samples.sydney@alsglobal.com
TOWNSVILLE 14-15 Desma Court Bohle QLD 4818
Ph: 07 4796 0600 E: townsville.environmental@alsglobal.com
WOLLONGONG 89 Kenny Street Wollongong NSW 2500
Ph: 02 4225 3125 E: portkenbla@alsglobal.com

| | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| CLIENT: GHD Pty Ltd | | TURNAROUND REQUIREMENT: <input type="checkbox"/> Standard TAT (List due date): (Standard TAT may be longer for some tests e.g., Ultra Trace Organics) <input type="checkbox"/> Non Standard or urgent TAT (List due date): | | FOR LABORATORY USE ONLY (Circle) | |
| OFFICE: level 15, 133 Castlereagh St, Sydney | | ALS QUOTE NO.: SY-236-18 | | Custody Seal (macro?) Yes No N/A | |
| PROJECT: 21-27477 - Task 3J for Contamination | | COC SEQUENCE NUMBER (Circle) | | Free ice / frozen ice bricks present upon receipt? Yes No N/A | |
| ORDER NUMBER: 2127477 | | COC: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | | Random Sample Temperature on Receipt: C | |
| PROJECT MANAGER: Jacqui Hallichurch | | CONTACT PH: 0447 202 580 | | Other comments: | |
| SAMPLER: Sarah Eccleshall | | SAMPLER MOBILE: 0459 546 332 | | RECEIVED BY: | |
| COC emailed to ALS? (YES / NO) | | EDD FORMAT (or default): | | RECEIVED BY: | |
| Email Reports to: sarah.eccleshall@ghd.com; jacqui.hallichurch@ghd.com | | DATE/TIME: | | DATE/TIME: | |
| Email invoice to (will default to PM if no other addresses are listed): | | DATE/TIME: | | DATE/TIME: | |

COMMENTS/SPECIAL HANDLING/STORAGE OR DISPOSAL:

| ALS USE | SAMPLE DETAILS MATRIX: SOLID (S) WATER (W) | | | CONTAINER INFORMATION | | ANALYSIS REQUIRED including SUITES (NB. Suite Codes must be listed to attract suite price) Where Metals are required, specify Total (unfiltered bottle required) or Dissolved (field filtered bottle required). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|-----|---------|---------|---------|-----|-----|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|------|---|
| | LAB ID | SAMPLE ID | DATE / TIME | MATRIX | TYPE & PRESERVATIVE (refer to codes below) | TOTAL CONTAINERS | B7 Suite: TRH, BTEX, PAH, Metals (8) | TBT | Dioxins | Cyanide | Ammonia | TOC | PSD | Moisture content | | | | | | | Hold | |
| | 48 | SED1_0.0-0.1 | 5/10/18 | S | B, Jar, Jar | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 49 | SED01_0.0-0.5 | 5/10/18 | S | " | 3 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | X |
| | 50 | SED01_0.5-0.65 | 5/10/18 | S | " | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 51 | SED02_0.0-0.1 | 5/10/18 | S | " | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 52 | SED02_0.0-0.5 | 5/10/18 | S | " | 3 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | X |
| | 53 | SED02_0.5-0.65 | 5/10/18 | S | " | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 54 | SED03_0.0-0.1 | 5/10/18 | S | " | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 55 | SED03_0.0-0.5 | 5/10/18 | S | " | 3 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | X |
| | 56 | SED03_0.5-0.65 | 5/10/18 | S | " | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 57 | SED07_0.0-0.5 | 5/10/18 | S | " | 3 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | X |
| | 58 | FD07 | 5/10/18 | S | Jar | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 59 | FS07 | 5/10/18 | S | Jar | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | | | | | | TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Preserved Plastic; Q = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; AG = Amber Glass Unpreserved; AP - Airfreight Unpreserved Plastic
V = VOA Vial HCl Preserved; VB = VOA Vial Sodium Bisulphate Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulfuric Preserved; AV = Airfreight Unpreserved Vial SG = Sulfuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl preserved Plastic; HS = HCl preserved Speciation bottle; SP = Sulfuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass;
Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; ASS = Plastic Bag for Acid Sulphate Soils; B = Unpreserved Bag.

60 TRIP SPIKE CONTROL 02/10/18 S
61 FD05
62 FS05

Helen Simpson

From: Sarah.Eccleshall@ghd.com
Sent: Monday, 8 October 2018 11:36 AM
To: Helen Simpson
Subject: RE: Urgent Change to COC order number 2127477 received 5/10/18

Hi,

Yes the 3rd line is SED06_2.0-2.5.
RN02 is W-26T, TRH/BTEX/PAH and 8 total metals

Apologies for the errors:
Sample REA01_3.0-3.5 does not exist.
Correct labelling is as per the jar for SED05_2.5-2.8
Extra samples FD05 and FS05, both soil. These should be on hold.

Thanks,
Sarah

From: Helen Simpson <helen.simpson@alsglobal.com>
Sent: Monday, 8 October 2018 11:30 AM
To: Sarah Eccleshall <Sarah.Eccleshall@ghd.com>
Subject: FW: Urgent Change to COC order number 2127477 received 5/10/18
Importance: High

Hi Sarah,

I've just got to this request.

Assuming that the 3rd line should be sample SED06_2.0-2.5 which needs to be analysed??

Please confirm analysis for RN02, should it be for W-26T, TRH/BTEX/PAH and 8 total metals?

Sample REA01_3.0-3.5 was not received.

Sample SED05_2.5-3.0 on the COC was labelled as SED05_2.5-2.8 on the jar, please confirm correct ID for reporting.

Extra samples FD05 and FS05, both soil, on hold.

Kind regards,

Helen Simpson
Sample Admin, Environmental
Sydney



T +61 2 8784 8555
F +61 2 8784 8500
helen.simpson@alsglobal.com
277-289 Woodpark



We are keen for your feedback! [Please click here for your 3 minute survey](#)

EnviroMail™ 00 - All EnviroMails™ in one convenient library.

Recent releases (click to access directly):

EnviroMail™ 121 Dissolved metals | **EnviroMail™ 120** - Microtox | **EnviroMail™ 119** - PFAS in Biota

Right Solutions · Right Partner

www.alsglobal.com

From: Sarah.Eccleshall@ghd.com [<mailto:Sarah.Eccleshall@ghd.com>]

Sent: Saturday, 6 October 2018 6:40 AM

To: jacob.waugh@alsglobal.com.au; ALSEnviro Sydney <ALSEnviro.Sydney@ALSGlobal.com>; Brenda Hong <Brenda.Hong@alsglobal.com>

Subject: Urgent Change to COC order number 2127477 received 5/10/18

Hi,

Apologies for the multiple recipients, I wasn't sure who was best placed to assist with this.

I have a request for a COC submitted on 5/10/18 to be updated.

Sample SED06_1.0-1.5 should have been on hold and

SED_2.0-2.5 should have been selected for those analyses.

And REA02_1.0-1.5 should be on hold and REA02_2.0-2.5 selected for analyses.

Analyses for both are B7 suite-TRH,BTEX, PAH, METALS (8); TBT; Dioxins/furans; cyanide; ammonia; TOC; PSD; and moisture content.

Please advise if this update is possible.

Many thanks

Sarah Eccleshall
MSc, BSc (Hons)
Contamination & Environmental Management


GHD

Proudly employee owned

T: [+61 2 9239 7715](tel:+61292397715) | M: [+61 459 546 332](tel:+61459546332) | E: sarah.eccleshall@ghd.com

Level 15 [133 Castlereagh Street Sydney NSW 2000 Australia](http://www.ghd.com) | www.ghd.com

Connect



[WATER](#) | [ENERGY & RESOURCES](#) | [ENVIRONMENT](#) | [PROPERTY & BUILDINGS](#) | [TRANSPORTATION](#)

Please consider our environment before printing this email

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email, including any attachments, is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender immediately, and please delete it; you should not copy it or use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. GHD and its affiliates reserve the right to monitor and modify all email communications through their networks.

This e-mail has been scanned for viruses

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email, including any attachments, is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender immediately, and please delete it; you should not copy it or use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. GHD and its affiliates reserve the right to monitor and modify all email communications through their networks.



SAMPLE RECEIPT NOTIFICATION (SRN)

Work Order : ES1829588

| | | | |
|--------------|--|--------------|--|
| Client | : GHD PTY LTD | Laboratory | : Environmental Division Sydney |
| Contact | : MS JACQUI HALLCHURCH | Contact | : Brenda Hong |
| Address | : LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY NSW, AUSTRALIA 2000 | Address | : 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164 |
| E-mail | : jacqui.hallchurch@ghd.com | E-mail | : Brenda.Hong@alsglobal.com |
| Telephone | : +61 02 9239 7100 | Telephone | : (02) 8784 8504 |
| Facsimile | : +61 02 9239 7199 | Facsimile | : +61-2-8784 8500 |
| Project | : 21-27477 | Page | : 1 of 4 |
| Order number | : | Quote number | : ES2018GHDSER0015 (SY/236/18) |
| C-O-C number | : ---- | QC Level | : NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard |
| Site | : ---- | | |
| Sampler | : SARAH ECCLESHALL | | |

Dates

| | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Date Samples Received | : 05-Oct-2018 20:30 | Issue Date | : 09-Oct-2018 |
| Client Requested Due Date | : 11-Oct-2018 | Scheduled Reporting Date | : 11-Oct-2018 |

Delivery Details

| | | | |
|----------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Mode of Delivery | : Undefined | Security Seal | : Not Available |
| No. of coolers/boxes | : ---- | Temperature | : 5.2°c |
| Receipt Detail | : | No. of samples received / analysed | : 60 / 19 |

General Comments

- This report contains the following information:
 - Sample Container(s)/Preservation Non-Compliances
 - Summary of Sample(s) and Requested Analysis
 - Proactive Holding Time Report
 - Requested Deliverables
- **Dioxins split into ES1890029.**
- **Sample REA01_3.0-3.5 was not received.**
- **Please refer to the Proactive Holding Time Report table below which summarises breaches of recommended holding times that have occurred prior to samples/instructions being received at the laboratory. The absence of this summary table indicates that all samples have been received within the recommended holding times for the analysis requested.**
- **PSD analysis will be conducted by ALS Newcastle.**
- **TOC analysis will be conducted by ALS Brisbane.**
- Please direct any queries you have regarding this work order to the above ALS laboratory contact.
- Analytical work for this work order will be conducted at ALS Sydney.
- Sample Disposal - Aqueous (3 weeks), Solid (2 months) from receipt of samples.



Sample Container(s)/Preservation Non-Compliances

All comparisons are made against pretreatment/preservation AS, APHA, USEPA standards.

- **No sample container / preservation non-compliance exists.**

Summary of Sample(s) and Requested Analysis

Some items described below may be part of a laboratory process necessary for the execution of client requested tasks. Packages may contain additional analyses, such as the determination of moisture content and preparation tasks, that are included in the package.

If no sampling time is provided, the sampling time will default 00:00 on the date of sampling. If no sampling date is provided, the sampling date will be assumed by the laboratory and displayed in brackets without a time component

Matrix: **SOIL**

| Laboratory sample ID | Client sampling date / time | Client sample ID | SOIL - EA055-103 Moisture Content | SOIL - EA150/HEA152 Particle Sizing with Hydrometer + Soil Particle | SOIL - EK026SF (Solids) Total Cyanide By Segmented Flow Analyser | SOIL - EK055 (solids) Ammonia as N | SOIL - EP003 Total Organic Carbon (TOC) in Soil | SOIL - EP090 (solids) Organotins | SOIL - S-26 8 metals/TRH/BTEXN/PAH |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| ES1829588-001 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED06_0.0-0.5 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| ES1829588-005 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED06_2.0-2.5 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| ES1829588-010 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED05_0.0-0.5 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| ES1829588-012 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED05_1.0-1.5 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| ES1829588-013 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED04_0.0-0.1 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| ES1829588-015 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED04_1.0-1.1 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| ES1829588-024 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | FS08 | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| ES1829588-029 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_0.0-0.5 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| ES1829588-031 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_1.0-1.5 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| ES1829588-037 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED04_0.0-0.1 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| ES1829588-039 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED04_1.0-1.5 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| ES1829588-042 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | FS06 | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| ES1829588-043 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_0.0-0.5 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| ES1829588-047 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_2.0-2.5 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| ES1829588-049 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED01_0.0-0.5 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| ES1829588-052 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED02_0.5-0.5 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| ES1829588-055 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED03_0.0-0.5 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| ES1829588-057 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED07_0.0-0.5 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |

Matrix: **SOIL**

| Laboratory sample ID | Client sampling date / time | Client sample ID | (On Hold) SOIL No analysis requested |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|---|
| ES1829588-002 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED06_0.5-1.0 | ✓ |
| ES1829588-003 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED06_1.0-1.5 | ✓ |
| ES1829588-004 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED06_1.5-2.0 | ✓ |
| ES1829588-006 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED06_2.5-3.5 | ✓ |
| ES1829588-007 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED06_3.0-3.5 | ✓ |
| ES1829588-008 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED06_3.5-4.0 | ✓ |



| | | | | (On Hold) SOIL No analysis requested |
|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| ES1829588-009 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED06_4.0-4.4 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-011 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED05_0.5-1.0 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-014 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED04_0.5-0.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-016 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED04_1.5-1.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-017 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED004_2.0-2.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-018 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED04_2.5-2.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-019 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | FD04 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-020 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | FS04 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-021 | 02-Oct-2018 00:00 | TRIP SPIKE | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-022 | 02-Oct-2018 00:00 | TRIP BLANK | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-025 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED05_1.5-2.0 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-026 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED05_2.0-2.5 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-027 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED05_2.5-2.8 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-030 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_0.5-1.0 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-032 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_1.5-2.0 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-033 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_2.0-2.5 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-034 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_2.5-3.0 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-036 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_2.5-3.0 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-038 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED04_0.5-1.0 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-040 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED04_1.5-2.0 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-041 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED04_2.0-2.5 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-044 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_0.5-1.0 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-045 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_1.0-1.5 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-046 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_1.5-2.0 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-048 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED01_0.0-0.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-050 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED01_0.5-0.65 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-051 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED02_0.0-0.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-053 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED02_0.55-0.65 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-054 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED03_0.0-0.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-056 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED03_0.5-0.65 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-058 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | FD07 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-059 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | FS07 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-060 | 02-Oct-2018 00:00 | TRIP SPIKE CONTROL | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-061 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | FD05 | ✓ | |
| ES1829588-062 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | FS05 | ✓ | |

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Work Order : **ES1829588**
Client : **GHD PTY LTD**
Contact : **MS JACQUI HALLCHURCH**
Address : **LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET**
SYDNEY NSW, AUSTRALIA 2000
Telephone : **+61 02 9239 7100**
Project : **21-27477**
Order number :
C-O-C number : ----
Sampler : **SARAH ECCLESHALL**
Site : ----
Quote number : **SY/236/18**
No. of samples received : **60**
No. of samples analysed : **19**

Page : 1 of 17
Laboratory : Environmental Division Sydney
Contact : Brenda Hong
Address : 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164
Telephone : (02) 8784 8504
Date Samples Received : 05-Oct-2018 20:30
Date Analysis Commenced : 08-Oct-2018
Issue Date : 23-Oct-2018 11:07



Accreditation No. 825
 Accredited for compliance with
 ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results
- Surrogate Control Limits

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

| <i>Signatories</i> | <i>Position</i> | <i>Accreditation Category</i> |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Ankit Joshi | Inorganic Chemist | Sydney Inorganics, Smithfield, NSW |
| Diana Mesa | 2IC Organic Chemist | Brisbane Organics, Stafford, QLD |
| Dianne Blane | Laboratory Coordinator (2IC) | Newcastle - Inorganics, Mayfield West, NSW |
| Edwandy Fadjjar | Organic Coordinator | Sydney Inorganics, Smithfield, NSW |
| Edwandy Fadjjar | Organic Coordinator | Sydney Organics, Smithfield, NSW |
| Ivan Taylor | Analyst | Sydney Inorganics, Smithfield, NSW |
| Satishkumar Trivedi | Senior Acid Sulfate Soil Chemist | Brisbane Acid Sulphate Soils, Stafford, QLD |



General Comments

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contact for details.

Key : CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.
LOR = Limit of reporting
^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting
ø = ALS is not NATA accredited for these tests.
~ = Indicates an estimated value.

- EA150H: The majority of soil particle density results fell outside the scope of AS1289.3.6.3. Results should be scrutinised accordingly.
- EP075(SIM): LOR for samples raised due to high amount of moisture present.
- EG035: Positive Hg results for ES1829588 #29,31 have been confirmed by reanalysis.
- Benzo(a)pyrene Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) is the sum total of the concentration of the eight carcinogenic PAHs multiplied by their Toxicity Equivalence Factor (TEF) relative to Benzo(a)pyrene. TEF values are provided in brackets as follows: Benz(a)anthracene (0.1), Chrysene (0.01), Benzo(b+j) & Benzo(k)fluoranthene (0.1), Benzo(a)pyrene (1.0), Indeno(1.2.3.cd)pyrene (0.1), Dibenz(a.h)anthracene (1.0), Benzo(g.h.i)perylene (0.01). Less than LOR results for 'TEQ Zero' are treated as zero, for 'TEQ 1/2LOR' are treated as half the reported LOR, and for 'TEQ LOR' are treated as being equal to the reported LOR. Note: TEQ 1/2LOR and TEQ LOR will calculate as 0.6mg/Kg and 1.2mg/Kg respectively for samples with non-detects for all of the eight TEQ PAHs.
- Benzo(a)pyrene Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (TEQ) is the sum total of the concentration of the eight carcinogenic PAHs multiplied by their Toxicity Equivalence Factor (TEF) relative to Benzo(a)pyrene. TEF values are provided in brackets as follows: Benz(a)anthracene (0.1), Chrysene (0.01), Benzo(b+j) & Benzo(k)fluoranthene (0.1), Benzo(a)pyrene (1.0), Indeno(1.2.3.cd)pyrene (0.1), Dibenz(a.h)anthracene (1.0), Benzo(g.h.i)perylene (0.01). Less than LOR results for 'TEQ Zero' are treated as zero.



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | SED06_0.0-0.5 | SED06_2.0-2.5 | SED05_0.0-0.5 | SED05_1.0-1.5 | SED04_0.0-0.1 |
|--|------------|------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829588-001 | ES1829588-005 | ES1829588-010 | ES1829588-012 | ES1829588-013 | |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | Result | Result | |
| EA055: Moisture Content (Dried @ 105-110°C) | | | | | | | | | |
| Moisture Content | ---- | 1.0 | % | 48.1 | 37.6 | 47.7 | 47.8 | 55.7 | |
| EA150: Particle Sizing | | | | | | | | | |
| +75µm | ---- | 1 | % | 18 | 30 | 8 | 6 | 6 | |
| +150µm | ---- | 1 | % | 12 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 2 | |
| +300µm | ---- | 1 | % | 7 | <1 | 2 | 1 | <1 | |
| +425µm | ---- | 1 | % | 3 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| +600µm | ---- | 1 | % | 2 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| +1180µm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| +2.36mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| +4.75mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| +9.5mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| +19.0mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| +37.5mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| +75.0mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| EA150: Soil Classification based on Particle Size | | | | | | | | | |
| Clay (<2 µm) | ---- | 1 | % | 21 | 19 | 23 | 22 | 22 | |
| Silt (2-60 µm) | ---- | 1 | % | 53 | 43 | 65 | 65 | 50 | |
| Sand (0.06-2.00 mm) | ---- | 1 | % | 26 | 38 | 12 | 13 | 28 | |
| Gravel (>2mm) | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| Cobbles (>6cm) | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| EA152: Soil Particle Density | | | | | | | | | |
| ∅ Soil Particle Density (Clay/Silt/Sand) | ---- | 0.01 | g/cm3 | 2.22 | 2.54 | 2.34 | 2.31 | 2.36 | |
| EG005T: Total Metals by ICP-AES | | | | | | | | | |
| Arsenic | 7440-38-2 | 5 | mg/kg | 15 | 9 | 15 | 21 | 17 | |
| Cadmium | 7440-43-9 | 1 | mg/kg | 2 | 2 | <1 | 1 | <1 | |
| Chromium | 7440-47-3 | 2 | mg/kg | 104 | 85 | 82 | 104 | 80 | |
| Copper | 7440-50-8 | 5 | mg/kg | 157 | 67 | 241 | 216 | 240 | |
| Lead | 7439-92-1 | 5 | mg/kg | 168 | 145 | 172 | 236 | 163 | |
| Nickel | 7440-02-0 | 2 | mg/kg | 21 | 20 | 18 | 24 | 19 | |
| Zinc | 7440-66-6 | 5 | mg/kg | 930 | 1120 | 671 | 900 | 639 | |
| EG035T: Total Recoverable Mercury by FIMS | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | 7439-97-6 | 0.1 | mg/kg | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | |
| EK026SF: Total CN by Segmented Flow Analyser | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Cyanide | 57-12-5 | 1 | mg/kg | 4 | 27 | 1 | 4 | <2 | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | SED06_0.0-0.5 | SED06_2.0-2.5 | SED05_0.0-0.5 | SED05_1.0-1.5 | SED04_0.0-0.1 |
|--|-------------------|------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829588-001 | ES1829588-005 | ES1829588-010 | ES1829588-012 | ES1829588-013 | |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | Result | Result | |
| EK055: Ammonia as N | | | | | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | 7664-41-7 | 20 | mg/kg | <20 | 110 | <20 | 40 | <20 | |
| EP003: Total Organic Carbon (TOC) in Soil | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Organic Carbon | ---- | 0.02 | % | 11.6 | 4.33 | 8.76 | 7.51 | 6.38 | |
| EP075(SIM)B: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 11.2 | 24.9 | 12.7 | 9.1 | 8.6 | |
| Acenaphthylene | 208-96-8 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.0 | <0.8 | |
| Acenaphthene | 83-32-9 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.8 | |
| Fluorene | 86-73-7 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 | |
| Phenanthrene | 85-01-8 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 6.5 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 3.1 | |
| Anthracene | 120-12-7 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.2 | |
| Fluoranthene | 206-44-0 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 8.1 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 3.9 | |
| Pyrene | 129-00-0 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 7.4 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 3.6 | |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 56-55-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 3.8 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 1.7 | |
| Chrysene | 218-01-9 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 4.1 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.8 | |
| Benzo(b+j)fluoranthene | 205-99-2 205-82-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 6.9 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 2.8 | |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 207-08-9 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 2.6 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.9 | |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 50-32-8 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 5.5 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 2.3 | |
| Indeno(1.2.3.cd)pyrene | 193-39-5 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.2 | |
| Dibenz(a.h)anthracene | 53-70-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 0.9 | <0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | <0.8 | |
| Benzo(g.h.i)perylene | 191-24-2 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 3.5 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.4 | |
| ^ Sum of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | 69.4 | 55.0 | 50.5 | 42.8 | 33.3 | |
| ^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (zero) | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | 8.1 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 3.0 | |
| ^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (half LOR) | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | 8.1 | 2.8 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 3.2 | |
| ^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (LOR) | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | 8.1 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 3.5 | |
| EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| C6 - C9 Fraction | ---- | 10 | mg/kg | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | |
| C10 - C14 Fraction | ---- | 50 | mg/kg | <50 | <50 | <50 | <50 | <50 | |
| C15 - C28 Fraction | ---- | 100 | mg/kg | 530 | 570 | 340 | 340 | 230 | |
| C29 - C36 Fraction | ---- | 100 | mg/kg | 400 | 470 | 340 | 310 | 220 | |
| ^ C10 - C36 Fraction (sum) | ---- | 50 | mg/kg | 930 | 1040 | 680 | 650 | 450 | |
| EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions | | | | | | | | | |
| C6 - C10 Fraction | C6_C10 | 10 | mg/kg | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | |
| ^ C6 - C10 Fraction minus BTEX (F1) | C6_C10-BTEX | 10 | mg/kg | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | SED06_0.0-0.5 | SED06_2.0-2.5 | SED05_0.0-0.5 | SED05_1.0-1.5 | SED04_0.0-0.1 |
|--|-------------------|-----|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829588-001 | ES1829588-005 | ES1829588-010 | ES1829588-012 | ES1829588-013 | |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | Result | Result | |
| EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions - Continued | | | | | | | | | |
| >C10 - C16 Fraction | ---- | 50 | mg/kg | 50 | 60 | <50 | <50 | <50 | |
| >C16 - C34 Fraction | ---- | 100 | mg/kg | 820 | 900 | 560 | 550 | 380 | |
| >C34 - C40 Fraction | ---- | 100 | mg/kg | 210 | 280 | 240 | 220 | 160 | |
| ^ >C10 - C40 Fraction (sum) | ---- | 50 | mg/kg | 1080 | 1240 | 800 | 770 | 540 | |
| ^ >C10 - C16 Fraction minus Naphthalene (F2) | ---- | 50 | mg/kg | <50 | 60 | <50 | <50 | <50 | |
| EP080: BTEXN | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | 71-43-2 | 0.2 | mg/kg | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | |
| Toluene | 108-88-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | |
| Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | |
| meta- & para-Xylene | 108-38-3 106-42-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | |
| ortho-Xylene | 95-47-6 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | |
| ^ Sum of BTEX | ---- | 0.2 | mg/kg | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | |
| ^ Total Xylenes | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | |
| Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 1 | mg/kg | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | <1 | |
| EP090: Organotin Compounds | | | | | | | | | |
| Tributyltin | 56573-85-4 | 0.5 | µgSn/kg | 11.7 | 0.8 | 8.3 | 4.4 | 4.6 | |
| EP075(SIM)S: Phenolic Compound Surrogates | | | | | | | | | |
| Phenol-d6 | 13127-88-3 | 0.5 | % | 82.8 | 79.3 | 79.1 | 77.7 | 81.0 | |
| 2-Chlorophenol-D4 | 93951-73-6 | 0.5 | % | 84.1 | 81.3 | 80.4 | 79.2 | 81.8 | |
| 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 118-79-6 | 0.5 | % | 66.0 | 61.8 | 61.8 | 62.9 | 61.4 | |
| EP075(SIM)T: PAH Surrogates | | | | | | | | | |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 321-60-8 | 0.5 | % | 92.1 | 86.0 | 86.6 | 85.7 | 88.2 | |
| Anthracene-d10 | 1719-06-8 | 0.5 | % | 94.6 | 88.4 | 90.4 | 89.5 | 92.1 | |
| 4-Terphenyl-d14 | 1718-51-0 | 0.5 | % | 83.6 | 79.0 | 80.6 | 71.3 | 81.9 | |
| EP080S: TPH(V)/BTEX Surrogates | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 | 17060-07-0 | 0.2 | % | 84.8 | 84.1 | 90.5 | 74.8 | 89.8 | |
| Toluene-D8 | 2037-26-5 | 0.2 | % | 92.0 | 94.9 | 92.0 | 86.8 | 103 | |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 0.2 | % | 89.4 | 93.8 | 95.5 | 85.0 | 95.7 | |
| EP090S: Organotin Surrogate | | | | | | | | | |
| Tripropyltin | ---- | 0.5 | % | 48.4 | 41.4 | 44.0 | 42.2 | 50.7 | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | SED04_1.0-1.1 | FS08 | REA01_0.0-0.5 | REA01_1.0-1.5 | SED04_0.0-0.1 |
|--|------------|------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829588-015 | ES1829588-024 | ES1829588-029 | ES1829588-031 | ES1829588-037 | |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | Result | Result | |
| EA055: Moisture Content (Dried @ 105-110°C) | | | | | | | | | |
| Moisture Content | ---- | 1.0 | % | 50.2 | 18.6 | 51.7 | 38.8 | 54.8 | |
| EA150: Particle Sizing | | | | | | | | | |
| +75µm | ---- | 1 | % | 8 | ---- | 10 | 61 | 7 | |
| +150µm | ---- | 1 | % | 3 | ---- | 4 | 54 | 3 | |
| +300µm | ---- | 1 | % | 1 | ---- | 1 | 41 | 1 | |
| +425µm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | <1 | 37 | 1 | |
| +600µm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | <1 | 33 | <1 | |
| +1180µm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | <1 | 16 | <1 | |
| +2.36mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | <1 | 3 | <1 | |
| +4.75mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| +9.5mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| +19.0mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| +37.5mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| +75.0mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| EA150: Soil Classification based on Particle Size | | | | | | | | | |
| Clay (<2 µm) | ---- | 1 | % | 23 | ---- | 22 | 14 | 22 | |
| Silt (2-60 µm) | ---- | 1 | % | 61 | ---- | 61 | 21 | 63 | |
| Sand (0.06-2.00 mm) | ---- | 1 | % | 16 | ---- | 17 | 58 | 15 | |
| Gravel (>2mm) | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | <1 | 7 | <1 | |
| Cobbles (>6cm) | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| EA152: Soil Particle Density | | | | | | | | | |
| ∅ Soil Particle Density (Clay/Silt/Sand) | ---- | 0.01 | g/cm3 | 2.31 | ---- | 2.40 | 2.79 | 2.37 | |
| EG005T: Total Metals by ICP-AES | | | | | | | | | |
| Arsenic | 7440-38-2 | 5 | mg/kg | 18 | <5 | 42 | 77 | 20 | |
| Cadmium | 7440-43-9 | 1 | mg/kg | 1 | <1 | 3 | 8 | <1 | |
| Chromium | 7440-47-3 | 2 | mg/kg | 95 | 4 | 115 | 369 | 97 | |
| Copper | 7440-50-8 | 5 | mg/kg | 175 | 12 | 3280 | 4180 | 338 | |
| Lead | 7439-92-1 | 5 | mg/kg | 198 | 10 | 548 | 1930 | 205 | |
| Nickel | 7440-02-0 | 2 | mg/kg | 25 | <2 | 25 | 69 | 20 | |
| Zinc | 7440-66-6 | 5 | mg/kg | 794 | 27 | 1210 | 12300 | 876 | |
| EG035T: Total Recoverable Mercury by FIMS | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | 7439-97-6 | 0.1 | mg/kg | 0.6 | <0.1 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 0.5 | |
| EK026SF: Total CN by Segmented Flow Analyser | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Cyanide | 57-12-5 | 1 | mg/kg | 4 | <1 | <2 | 12 | 3 | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | SED04_1.0-1.1 | FS08 | REA01_0.0-0.5 | REA01_1.0-1.5 | SED04_0.0-0.1 |
|--|-------------------|------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829588-015 | ES1829588-024 | ES1829588-029 | ES1829588-031 | ES1829588-037 | |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | Result | Result | |
| EK055: Ammonia as N | | | | | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | 7664-41-7 | 20 | mg/kg | 20 | <20 | <20 | 30 | <20 | |
| EP003: Total Organic Carbon (TOC) in Soil | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Organic Carbon | ---- | 0.02 | % | 7.38 | 0.41 | 3.60 | 2.64 | 6.92 | |
| EP075(SIM)B: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 13.9 | <0.5 | 5.5 | 11.1 | 9.9 | |
| Acenaphthylene | 208-96-8 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 1.4 | <0.5 | <0.8 | 1.0 | 0.9 | |
| Acenaphthene | 83-32-9 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.8 | <0.5 | <0.8 | <0.5 | <0.8 | |
| Fluorene | 86-73-7 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 1.7 | <0.5 | <0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | |
| Phenanthrene | 85-01-8 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 5.8 | <0.5 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.6 | |
| Anthracene | 120-12-7 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 2.0 | <0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.2 | |
| Fluoranthene | 206-44-0 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 6.4 | <0.5 | 5.0 | 2.9 | 4.5 | |
| Pyrene | 129-00-0 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 5.5 | <0.5 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 4.1 | |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 56-55-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 2.8 | <0.5 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 2.0 | |
| Chrysene | 218-01-9 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 2.9 | <0.5 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 2.1 | |
| Benzo(b+j)fluoranthene | 205-99-2 205-82-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 5.0 | <0.5 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 3.4 | |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 207-08-9 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 2.1 | <0.5 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 1.1 | |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 50-32-8 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 4.1 | <0.5 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 2.8 | |
| Indeno(1.2.3.cd)pyrene | 193-39-5 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 2.1 | <0.5 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.3 | |
| Dibenz(a.h)anthracene | 53-70-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.8 | <0.5 | <0.8 | <0.5 | <0.8 | |
| Benzo(g.h.i)perylene | 191-24-2 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 2.4 | <0.5 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.6 | |
| ^ Sum of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | 58.1 | <0.5 | 33.7 | 30.1 | 39.4 | |
| ^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (zero) | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | 5.4 | <0.5 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 3.6 | |
| ^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (half LOR) | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | 5.6 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 3.9 | |
| ^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (LOR) | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | 5.8 | 1.2 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 4.1 | |
| EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| C6 - C9 Fraction | ---- | 10 | mg/kg | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | |
| C10 - C14 Fraction | ---- | 50 | mg/kg | <50 | <50 | <50 | <50 | <50 | |
| C15 - C28 Fraction | ---- | 100 | mg/kg | 480 | <100 | 390 | 1070 | 270 | |
| C29 - C36 Fraction | ---- | 100 | mg/kg | 460 | <100 | 440 | 740 | 290 | |
| ^ C10 - C36 Fraction (sum) | ---- | 50 | mg/kg | 940 | <50 | 830 | 1810 | 560 | |
| EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions | | | | | | | | | |
| C6 - C10 Fraction | C6_C10 | 10 | mg/kg | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | |
| ^ C6 - C10 Fraction minus BTEX (F1) | C6_C10-BTEX | 10 | mg/kg | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | SED04_1.0-1.1 | FS08 | REA01_0.0-0.5 | REA01_1.0-1.5 | SED04_0.0-0.1 |
|--|-------------------|-----|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829588-015 | ES1829588-024 | ES1829588-029 | ES1829588-031 | ES1829588-037 | |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | Result | Result | |
| EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions - Continued | | | | | | | | | |
| >C10 - C16 Fraction | ---- | 50 | mg/kg | <50 | <50 | <50 | 90 | <50 | |
| >C16 - C34 Fraction | ---- | 100 | mg/kg | 780 | <100 | 690 | 1620 | 460 | |
| >C34 - C40 Fraction | ---- | 100 | mg/kg | 320 | <100 | 300 | 340 | 220 | |
| ^ >C10 - C40 Fraction (sum) | ---- | 50 | mg/kg | 1100 | <50 | 990 | 2050 | 680 | |
| ^ >C10 - C16 Fraction minus Naphthalene (F2) | ---- | 50 | mg/kg | <50 | <50 | <50 | 90 | <50 | |
| EP080: BTEXN | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | 71-43-2 | 0.2 | mg/kg | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | |
| Toluene | 108-88-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | |
| Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | |
| meta- & para-Xylene | 108-38-3 106-42-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | |
| ortho-Xylene | 95-47-6 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | |
| ^ Sum of BTEX | ---- | 0.2 | mg/kg | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | |
| ^ Total Xylenes | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | |
| Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 1 | mg/kg | 1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | 2 | |
| EP090: Organotin Compounds | | | | | | | | | |
| Tributyltin | 56573-85-4 | 0.5 | µgSn/kg | 8.4 | <0.5 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 5.9 | |
| EP075(SIM)S: Phenolic Compound Surrogates | | | | | | | | | |
| Phenol-d6 | 13127-88-3 | 0.5 | % | 76.4 | 81.8 | 81.2 | 78.5 | 77.0 | |
| 2-Chlorophenol-D4 | 93951-73-6 | 0.5 | % | 77.8 | 82.8 | 82.1 | 80.0 | 78.5 | |
| 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 118-79-6 | 0.5 | % | 59.6 | 57.4 | 63.1 | 64.3 | 59.3 | |
| EP075(SIM)T: PAH Surrogates | | | | | | | | | |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 321-60-8 | 0.5 | % | 83.1 | 87.5 | 88.7 | 86.4 | 83.4 | |
| Anthracene-d10 | 1719-06-8 | 0.5 | % | 85.6 | 91.4 | 92.4 | 88.1 | 87.0 | |
| 4-Terphenyl-d14 | 1718-51-0 | 0.5 | % | 70.1 | 82.6 | 82.5 | 78.4 | 76.6 | |
| EP080S: TPH(V)/BTEX Surrogates | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 | 17060-07-0 | 0.2 | % | 87.5 | 96.4 | 73.7 | 78.8 | 92.6 | |
| Toluene-D8 | 2037-26-5 | 0.2 | % | 105 | 107 | 79.9 | 89.0 | 108 | |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 0.2 | % | 99.2 | 105 | 78.3 | 85.6 | 104 | |
| EP090S: Organotin Surrogate | | | | | | | | | |
| Tripropyltin | ---- | 0.5 | % | 36.0 | 69.0 | 40.4 | 40.9 | 39.7 | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | SED04_1.0-1.5 | FS06 | REA02_0.0-0.5 | REA02_2.0-2.5 | SED01_0.0-0.5 |
|--|------------|------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829588-039 | ES1829588-042 | ES1829588-043 | ES1829588-047 | ES1829588-049 | |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | Result | Result | |
| EA055: Moisture Content (Dried @ 105-110°C) | | | | | | | | | |
| Moisture Content | ---- | 1.0 | % | 49.3 | 49.6 | 23.7 | 23.6 | 54.6 | |
| EA150: Particle Sizing | | | | | | | | | |
| +75µm | ---- | 1 | % | 6 | ---- | 81 | 69 | 45 | |
| +150µm | ---- | 1 | % | 2 | ---- | 74 | 50 | 37 | |
| +300µm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | 38 | 12 | 29 | |
| +425µm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | 13 | 8 | 26 | |
| +600µm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | 4 | 6 | 22 | |
| +1180µm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | 2 | 4 | 15 | |
| +2.36mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | 1 | 3 | 9 | |
| +4.75mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | <1 | 2 | 5 | |
| +9.5mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| +19.0mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| +37.5mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| +75.0mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| EA150: Soil Classification based on Particle Size | | | | | | | | | |
| Clay (<2 µm) | ---- | 1 | % | 25 | ---- | 8 | 12 | 19 | |
| Silt (2-60 µm) | ---- | 1 | % | 67 | ---- | 11 | 16 | 34 | |
| Sand (0.06-2.00 mm) | ---- | 1 | % | 8 | ---- | 80 | 69 | 36 | |
| Gravel (>2mm) | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | 1 | 3 | 11 | |
| Cobbles (>6cm) | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | ---- | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| EA152: Soil Particle Density | | | | | | | | | |
| ∅ Soil Particle Density (Clay/Silt/Sand) | ---- | 0.01 | g/cm3 | 2.33 | ---- | 2.62 | 2.54 | 2.34 | |
| EG005T: Total Metals by ICP-AES | | | | | | | | | |
| Arsenic | 7440-38-2 | 5 | mg/kg | 19 | 17 | <5 | 54 | 15 | |
| Cadmium | 7440-43-9 | 1 | mg/kg | 1 | 1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| Chromium | 7440-47-3 | 2 | mg/kg | 92 | 90 | 8 | 20 | 86 | |
| Copper | 7440-50-8 | 5 | mg/kg | 159 | 159 | 22 | 309 | 251 | |
| Lead | 7439-92-1 | 5 | mg/kg | 202 | 198 | 17 | 431 | 176 | |
| Nickel | 7440-02-0 | 2 | mg/kg | 24 | 24 | 3 | 13 | 19 | |
| Zinc | 7440-66-6 | 5 | mg/kg | 784 | 772 | 58 | 475 | 676 | |
| EG035T: Total Recoverable Mercury by FIMS | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | 7439-97-6 | 0.1 | mg/kg | 0.5 | 0.4 | <0.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | |
| EK026SF: Total CN by Segmented Flow Analyser | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Cyanide | 57-12-5 | 1 | mg/kg | 4 | 4 | <1 | 3 | <2 | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | SED04_1.0-1.5 | FS06 | REA02_0.0-0.5 | REA02_2.0-2.5 | SED01_0.0-0.5 |
|--|-------------------|------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829588-039 | ES1829588-042 | ES1829588-043 | ES1829588-047 | ES1829588-049 | |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | Result | Result | |
| EK055: Ammonia as N | | | | | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | 7664-41-7 | 20 | mg/kg | 30 | 30 | <20 | <20 | <20 | |
| EP003: Total Organic Carbon (TOC) in Soil | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Organic Carbon | ---- | 0.02 | % | 7.47 | 7.48 | 0.67 | 2.98 | 6.26 | |
| EP075(SIM)B: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 11.4 | 11.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 9.8 | |
| Acenaphthylene | 208-96-8 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 1.2 | 1.2 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 0.9 | |
| Acenaphthene | 83-32-9 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.8 | |
| Fluorene | 86-73-7 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 1.3 | 1.3 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 0.9 | |
| Phenanthrene | 85-01-8 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 4.9 | 4.8 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 3.6 | |
| Anthracene | 120-12-7 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 1.7 | 1.7 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1.2 | |
| Fluoranthene | 206-44-0 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 6.2 | 6.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 4.3 | |
| Pyrene | 129-00-0 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 5.5 | 5.3 | <0.5 | 0.7 | 4.0 | |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 56-55-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 2.7 | 2.6 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1.9 | |
| Chrysene | 218-01-9 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 2.8 | 2.7 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 2.0 | |
| Benzo(b+j)fluoranthene | 205-99-2 205-82-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 4.7 | 4.6 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 3.3 | |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 207-08-9 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 1.8 | 1.4 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1.1 | |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 50-32-8 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 3.9 | 3.8 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 2.7 | |
| Indeno(1.2.3.cd)pyrene | 193-39-5 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 1.8 | 1.8 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1.2 | |
| Dibenz(a.h)anthracene | 53-70-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 0.6 | 0.6 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.8 | |
| Benzo(g.h.i)perylene | 191-24-2 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 2.1 | 2.1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1.4 | |
| ^ Sum of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | 52.6 | 51.5 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 38.3 | |
| ^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (zero) | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | 5.6 | 5.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 3.5 | |
| ^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (half LOR) | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | 5.6 | 5.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 3.7 | |
| ^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (LOR) | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | 5.6 | 5.5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 4.0 | |
| EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| C6 - C9 Fraction | ---- | 10 | mg/kg | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | |
| C10 - C14 Fraction | ---- | 50 | mg/kg | <50 | <50 | <50 | <50 | <50 | |
| C15 - C28 Fraction | ---- | 100 | mg/kg | 440 | 420 | <100 | 150 | 280 | |
| C29 - C36 Fraction | ---- | 100 | mg/kg | 380 | 360 | <100 | 120 | 280 | |
| ^ C10 - C36 Fraction (sum) | ---- | 50 | mg/kg | 820 | 780 | <50 | 270 | 560 | |
| EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions | | | | | | | | | |
| C6 - C10 Fraction | C6_C10 | 10 | mg/kg | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | |
| ^ C6 - C10 Fraction minus BTEX (F1) | C6_C10-BTEX | 10 | mg/kg | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | SED04_1.0-1.5 | FS06 | REA02_0.0-0.5 | REA02_2.0-2.5 | SED01_0.0-0.5 |
|--|-------------------|-----|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829588-039 | ES1829588-042 | ES1829588-043 | ES1829588-047 | ES1829588-049 | |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | Result | Result | |
| EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions - Continued | | | | | | | | | |
| >C10 - C16 Fraction | ---- | 50 | mg/kg | <50 | <50 | <50 | <50 | <50 | |
| >C16 - C34 Fraction | ---- | 100 | mg/kg | 710 | 680 | <100 | 240 | 470 | |
| >C34 - C40 Fraction | ---- | 100 | mg/kg | 220 | 210 | <100 | <100 | 200 | |
| ^ >C10 - C40 Fraction (sum) | ---- | 50 | mg/kg | 930 | 890 | <50 | 240 | 670 | |
| ^ >C10 - C16 Fraction minus Naphthalene (F2) | ---- | 50 | mg/kg | <50 | <50 | <50 | <50 | <50 | |
| EP080: BTEXN | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | 71-43-2 | 0.2 | mg/kg | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | |
| Toluene | 108-88-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | |
| Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | |
| meta- & para-Xylene | 108-38-3 106-42-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | |
| ortho-Xylene | 95-47-6 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | |
| ^ Sum of BTEX | ---- | 0.2 | mg/kg | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | |
| ^ Total Xylenes | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | |
| Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 1 | mg/kg | 3 | 2 | <1 | <1 | 1 | |
| EP090: Organotin Compounds | | | | | | | | | |
| Tributyltin | 56573-85-4 | 0.5 | µgSn/kg | 25.5 | 17.4 | 0.7 | <0.5 | 4.9 | |
| EP075(SIM)S: Phenolic Compound Surrogates | | | | | | | | | |
| Phenol-d6 | 13127-88-3 | 0.5 | % | 76.8 | 78.4 | 79.2 | 79.2 | 81.3 | |
| 2-Chlorophenol-D4 | 93951-73-6 | 0.5 | % | 77.9 | 79.8 | 81.4 | 80.6 | 82.2 | |
| 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 118-79-6 | 0.5 | % | 62.3 | 62.4 | 57.3 | 59.8 | 59.2 | |
| EP075(SIM)T: PAH Surrogates | | | | | | | | | |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 321-60-8 | 0.5 | % | 83.1 | 85.6 | 85.8 | 85.5 | 88.5 | |
| Anthracene-d10 | 1719-06-8 | 0.5 | % | 87.9 | 90.2 | 90.0 | 89.6 | 91.7 | |
| 4-Terphenyl-d14 | 1718-51-0 | 0.5 | % | 69.2 | 69.4 | 79.8 | 81.2 | 80.4 | |
| EP080S: TPH(V)/BTEX Surrogates | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 | 17060-07-0 | 0.2 | % | 86.6 | 74.8 | 114 | 83.0 | 75.7 | |
| Toluene-D8 | 2037-26-5 | 0.2 | % | 85.2 | 81.6 | 120 | 86.6 | 82.1 | |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 0.2 | % | 88.0 | 76.8 | 119 | 93.7 | 79.1 | |
| EP090S: Organotin Surrogate | | | | | | | | | |
| Tripropyltin | ---- | 0.5 | % | 74.3 | 42.6 | 69.2 | 46.5 | 40.3 | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | SED02_0.5-0.5 | SED03_0.0-0.5 | SED07_0.0-0.5 | ---- | ---- |
|--|------------|------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------|------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | ---- | ---- | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829588-052 | ES1829588-055 | ES1829588-057 | ----- | ----- | |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | ---- | ---- | |
| EA055: Moisture Content (Dried @ 105-110°C) | | | | | | | | | |
| Moisture Content | ---- | 1.0 | % | 56.8 | 54.8 | 55.3 | ---- | ---- | |
| EA150: Particle Sizing | | | | | | | | | |
| +75µm | ---- | 1 | % | 9 | 15 | 19 | ---- | ---- | |
| +150µm | ---- | 1 | % | 4 | 8 | 8 | ---- | ---- | |
| +300µm | ---- | 1 | % | 2 | 4 | 3 | ---- | ---- | |
| +425µm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | 2 | 1 | ---- | ---- | |
| +600µm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | 1 | <1 | ---- | ---- | |
| +1180µm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | <1 | <1 | ---- | ---- | |
| +2.36mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | <1 | <1 | ---- | ---- | |
| +4.75mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | <1 | <1 | ---- | ---- | |
| +9.5mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | <1 | <1 | ---- | ---- | |
| +19.0mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | <1 | <1 | ---- | ---- | |
| +37.5mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | <1 | <1 | ---- | ---- | |
| +75.0mm | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | <1 | <1 | ---- | ---- | |
| EA150: Soil Classification based on Particle Size | | | | | | | | | |
| Clay (<2 µm) | ---- | 1 | % | 26 | 26 | 22 | ---- | ---- | |
| Silt (2-60 µm) | ---- | 1 | % | 55 | 49 | 53 | ---- | ---- | |
| Sand (0.06-2.00 mm) | ---- | 1 | % | 19 | 25 | 25 | ---- | ---- | |
| Gravel (>2mm) | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | <1 | <1 | ---- | ---- | |
| Cobbles (>6cm) | ---- | 1 | % | <1 | <1 | <1 | ---- | ---- | |
| EA152: Soil Particle Density | | | | | | | | | |
| ∅ Soil Particle Density (Clay/Silt/Sand) | ---- | 0.01 | g/cm3 | 2.37 | 2.39 | 2.39 | ---- | ---- | |
| EG005T: Total Metals by ICP-AES | | | | | | | | | |
| Arsenic | 7440-38-2 | 5 | mg/kg | 19 | 18 | 17 | ---- | ---- | |
| Cadmium | 7440-43-9 | 1 | mg/kg | <1 | <1 | <1 | ---- | ---- | |
| Chromium | 7440-47-3 | 2 | mg/kg | 84 | 82 | 79 | ---- | ---- | |
| Copper | 7440-50-8 | 5 | mg/kg | 233 | 239 | 262 | ---- | ---- | |
| Lead | 7439-92-1 | 5 | mg/kg | 169 | 171 | 175 | ---- | ---- | |
| Nickel | 7440-02-0 | 2 | mg/kg | 20 | 18 | 18 | ---- | ---- | |
| Zinc | 7440-66-6 | 5 | mg/kg | 669 | 684 | 675 | ---- | ---- | |
| EG035T: Total Recoverable Mercury by FIMS | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | 7439-97-6 | 0.1 | mg/kg | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | ---- | ---- | |
| EK026SF: Total CN by Segmented Flow Analyser | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Cyanide | 57-12-5 | 1 | mg/kg | <2 | <2 | 2 | ---- | ---- | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | SED02_0.5-0.5 | SED03_0.0-0.5 | SED07_0.0-0.5 | ---- | ---- |
|--|-------------------|------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------|------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | ---- | ---- | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829588-052 | ES1829588-055 | ES1829588-057 | ----- | ----- | |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | ---- | ---- | |
| EK055: Ammonia as N | | | | | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | 7664-41-7 | 20 | mg/kg | <20 | <20 | <20 | ---- | ---- | |
| EP003: Total Organic Carbon (TOC) in Soil | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Organic Carbon | ---- | 0.02 | % | 6.90 | 8.88 | 7.79 | ---- | ---- | |
| EP075(SIM)B: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 8.5 | 2.5 | 7.0 | ---- | ---- | |
| Acenaphthylene | 208-96-8 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | ---- | ---- | |
| Acenaphthene | 83-32-9 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | ---- | ---- | |
| Fluorene | 86-73-7 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | ---- | ---- | |
| Phenanthrene | 85-01-8 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 3.0 | 1.0 | 2.8 | ---- | ---- | |
| Anthracene | 120-12-7 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 1.0 | <0.8 | 1.0 | ---- | ---- | |
| Fluoranthene | 206-44-0 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 3.6 | 1.8 | 3.7 | ---- | ---- | |
| Pyrene | 129-00-0 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 3.3 | 1.6 | 3.4 | ---- | ---- | |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 56-55-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.8 | ---- | ---- | |
| Chrysene | 218-01-9 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.8 | ---- | ---- | |
| Benzo(b+j)fluoranthene | 205-99-2 205-82-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 2.7 | 1.3 | 2.8 | ---- | ---- | |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 207-08-9 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 0.9 | <0.8 | 1.0 | ---- | ---- | |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 50-32-8 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 2.2 | 0.9 | 2.3 | ---- | ---- | |
| Indeno(1.2.3.cd)pyrene | 193-39-5 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 1.0 | <0.8 | 1.1 | ---- | ---- | |
| Dibenz(a.h)anthracene | 53-70-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.8 | <0.8 | <0.8 | ---- | ---- | |
| Benzo(g.h.i)perylene | 191-24-2 | 0.5 | mg/kg | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ Sum of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | 30.6 | 11.8 | 29.9 | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (zero) | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | 2.8 | 1.1 | 3.0 | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (half LOR) | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | 3.1 | 1.4 | 3.2 | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (LOR) | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | 3.3 | 1.7 | 3.5 | ---- | ---- | |
| EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| C6 - C9 Fraction | ---- | 10 | mg/kg | <10 | <10 | <10 | ---- | ---- | |
| C10 - C14 Fraction | ---- | 50 | mg/kg | <50 | <50 | <50 | ---- | ---- | |
| C15 - C28 Fraction | ---- | 100 | mg/kg | 220 | <100 | 210 | ---- | ---- | |
| C29 - C36 Fraction | ---- | 100 | mg/kg | 210 | <100 | 210 | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ C10 - C36 Fraction (sum) | ---- | 50 | mg/kg | 430 | <50 | 420 | ---- | ---- | |
| EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions | | | | | | | | | |
| C6 - C10 Fraction | C6_C10 | 10 | mg/kg | <10 | <10 | <10 | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ C6 - C10 Fraction minus BTEX (F1) | C6_C10-BTEX | 10 | mg/kg | <10 | <10 | <10 | ---- | ---- | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | SED02_0.5-0.5 | SED03_0.0-0.5 | SED07_0.0-0.5 | ---- | ---- |
|--|-------------------|-----|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------|------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | ---- | ---- | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829588-052 | ES1829588-055 | ES1829588-057 | ----- | ----- | |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | ---- | ---- | |
| EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions - Continued | | | | | | | | | |
| >C10 - C16 Fraction | ---- | 50 | mg/kg | <50 | <50 | <50 | ---- | ---- | |
| >C16 - C34 Fraction | ---- | 100 | mg/kg | 370 | 200 | 340 | ---- | ---- | |
| >C34 - C40 Fraction | ---- | 100 | mg/kg | 140 | <100 | 160 | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ >C10 - C40 Fraction (sum) | ---- | 50 | mg/kg | 510 | 200 | 500 | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ >C10 - C16 Fraction minus Naphthalene (F2) | ---- | 50 | mg/kg | <50 | <50 | <50 | ---- | ---- | |
| EP080: BTEXN | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | 71-43-2 | 0.2 | mg/kg | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | ---- | ---- | |
| Toluene | 108-88-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ---- | ---- | |
| Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ---- | ---- | |
| meta- & para-Xylene | 108-38-3 106-42-3 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ---- | ---- | |
| ortho-Xylene | 95-47-6 | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ Sum of BTEX | ---- | 0.2 | mg/kg | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ Total Xylenes | ---- | 0.5 | mg/kg | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | ---- | ---- | |
| Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 1 | mg/kg | <1 | 1 | <1 | ---- | ---- | |
| EP090: Organotin Compounds | | | | | | | | | |
| Tributyltin | 56573-85-4 | 0.5 | µgSn/kg | 10.1 | 99.7 | 8.2 | ---- | ---- | |
| EP075(SIM)S: Phenolic Compound Surrogates | | | | | | | | | |
| Phenol-d6 | 13127-88-3 | 0.5 | % | 80.3 | 89.3 | 81.8 | ---- | ---- | |
| 2-Chlorophenol-D4 | 93951-73-6 | 0.5 | % | 81.6 | 88.9 | 82.7 | ---- | ---- | |
| 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 118-79-6 | 0.5 | % | 58.3 | 78.7 | 59.4 | ---- | ---- | |
| EP075(SIM)T: PAH Surrogates | | | | | | | | | |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 321-60-8 | 0.5 | % | 86.8 | 86.7 | 88.2 | ---- | ---- | |
| Anthracene-d10 | 1719-06-8 | 0.5 | % | 90.4 | 83.8 | 91.6 | ---- | ---- | |
| 4-Terphenyl-d14 | 1718-51-0 | 0.5 | % | 79.0 | 83.5 | 81.9 | ---- | ---- | |
| EP080S: TPH(V)/BTEX Surrogates | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 | 17060-07-0 | 0.2 | % | 78.0 | 84.4 | 91.8 | ---- | ---- | |
| Toluene-D8 | 2037-26-5 | 0.2 | % | 89.4 | 81.6 | 109 | ---- | ---- | |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 0.2 | % | 90.4 | 81.4 | 106 | ---- | ---- | |
| EP090S: Organotin Surrogate | | | | | | | | | |
| Tripropyltin | ---- | 0.5 | % | 41.2 | 73.2 | 47.0 | ---- | ---- | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER) | | Client sample ID | | | RN02 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|---|-------------------|------------------|------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 06-Oct-2018 00:00 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829588-023 | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- | |
| | | | | Result | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| EG020T: Total Metals by ICP-MS | | | | | | | | | |
| Arsenic | 7440-38-2 | 0.001 | mg/L | <0.001 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Cadmium | 7440-43-9 | 0.0001 | mg/L | <0.0001 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Chromium | 7440-47-3 | 0.001 | mg/L | <0.001 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Copper | 7440-50-8 | 0.001 | mg/L | <0.001 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Lead | 7439-92-1 | 0.001 | mg/L | <0.001 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Nickel | 7440-02-0 | 0.001 | mg/L | <0.001 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Zinc | 7440-66-6 | 0.005 | mg/L | <0.005 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| EG035T: Total Recoverable Mercury by FIMS | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | 7439-97-6 | 0.0001 | mg/L | <0.0001 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| EP075(SIM)B: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Acenaphthylene | 208-96-8 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Acenaphthene | 83-32-9 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Fluorene | 86-73-7 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Phenanthrene | 85-01-8 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Anthracene | 120-12-7 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Fluoranthene | 206-44-0 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Pyrene | 129-00-0 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 56-55-3 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Chrysene | 218-01-9 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Benzo(b+j)fluoranthene | 205-99-2 205-82-3 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 207-08-9 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 50-32-8 | 0.5 | µg/L | <0.5 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Indeno(1.2.3.cd)pyrene | 193-39-5 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Dibenz(a.h)anthracene | 53-70-3 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Benzo(g.h.i)perylene | 191-24-2 | 1.0 | µg/L | <1.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ Sum of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons | ---- | 0.5 | µg/L | <0.5 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (zero) | ---- | 0.5 | µg/L | <0.5 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| C6 - C9 Fraction | ---- | 20 | µg/L | <20 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| C10 - C14 Fraction | ---- | 50 | µg/L | <50 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| C15 - C28 Fraction | ---- | 100 | µg/L | <100 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| C29 - C36 Fraction | ---- | 50 | µg/L | <50 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ C10 - C36 Fraction (sum) | ---- | 50 | µg/L | <50 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER) | | | | Client sample ID | RN02 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|--|-------------------|-----|------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 06-Oct-2018 00:00 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829588-023 | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- | |
| | | | | Result | --- | --- | --- | --- | |
| EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions | | | | | | | | | |
| C6 - C10 Fraction | C6_C10 | 20 | µg/L | <20 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ C6 - C10 Fraction minus BTEX (F1) | C6_C10-BTEX | 20 | µg/L | <20 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| >C10 - C16 Fraction | ---- | 100 | µg/L | <100 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| >C16 - C34 Fraction | ---- | 100 | µg/L | <100 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| >C34 - C40 Fraction | ---- | 100 | µg/L | <100 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ >C10 - C40 Fraction (sum) | ---- | 100 | µg/L | <100 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ >C10 - C16 Fraction minus Naphthalene (F2) | ---- | 100 | µg/L | <100 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| EP080: BTEXN | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | 71-43-2 | 1 | µg/L | <1 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Toluene | 108-88-3 | 2 | µg/L | <2 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | 2 | µg/L | <2 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| meta- & para-Xylene | 108-38-3 106-42-3 | 2 | µg/L | <2 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| ortho-Xylene | 95-47-6 | 2 | µg/L | <2 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ Total Xylenes | ---- | 2 | µg/L | <2 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| ^ Sum of BTEX | ---- | 1 | µg/L | <1 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 5 | µg/L | <5 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| EP075(SIM)S: Phenolic Compound Surrogates | | | | | | | | | |
| Phenol-d6 | 13127-88-3 | 1.0 | % | 20.3 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| 2-Chlorophenol-D4 | 93951-73-6 | 1.0 | % | 39.5 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 118-79-6 | 1.0 | % | 57.0 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| EP075(SIM)T: PAH Surrogates | | | | | | | | | |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 321-60-8 | 1.0 | % | 82.8 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Anthracene-d10 | 1719-06-8 | 1.0 | % | 81.3 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| 4-Terphenyl-d14 | 1718-51-0 | 1.0 | % | 91.2 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| EP080S: TPH(V)/BTEX Surrogates | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 | 17060-07-0 | 2 | % | 105 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Toluene-D8 | 2037-26-5 | 2 | % | 113 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 2 | % | 104 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |



Surrogate Control Limits

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL | | Recovery Limits (%) | |
|--|------------|---------------------|------|
| Compound | CAS Number | Low | High |
| EP075(SIM)S: Phenolic Compound Surrogates | | | |
| Phenol-d6 | 13127-88-3 | 63 | 123 |
| 2-Chlorophenol-D4 | 93951-73-6 | 66 | 122 |
| 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 118-79-6 | 40 | 138 |
| EP075(SIM)T: PAH Surrogates | | | |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 321-60-8 | 70 | 122 |
| Anthracene-d10 | 1719-06-8 | 66 | 128 |
| 4-Terphenyl-d14 | 1718-51-0 | 65 | 129 |
| EP080S: TPH(V)/BTEX Surrogates | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 | 17060-07-0 | 73 | 133 |
| Toluene-D8 | 2037-26-5 | 74 | 132 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 72 | 130 |
| EP090S: Organotin Surrogate | | | |
| Tripropyltin | ---- | 35 | 130 |

| Sub-Matrix: WATER | | Recovery Limits (%) | |
|--|------------|---------------------|------|
| Compound | CAS Number | Low | High |
| EP075(SIM)S: Phenolic Compound Surrogates | | | |
| Phenol-d6 | 13127-88-3 | 10 | 44 |
| 2-Chlorophenol-D4 | 93951-73-6 | 14 | 94 |
| 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | 118-79-6 | 17 | 125 |
| EP075(SIM)T: PAH Surrogates | | | |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 321-60-8 | 20 | 104 |
| Anthracene-d10 | 1719-06-8 | 27 | 113 |
| 4-Terphenyl-d14 | 1718-51-0 | 32 | 112 |
| EP080S: TPH(V)/BTEX Surrogates | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 | 17060-07-0 | 71 | 137 |
| Toluene-D8 | 2037-26-5 | 79 | 131 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 460-00-4 | 70 | 128 |

Certificate of Analysis

GHD Pty Ltd NSW
 Level 15, 133 Castlereagh Street
 Sydney
 NSW 2000



NATA Accredited
 Accreditation Number 1261
 Site Number 18217

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 – Testing
 The results of the tests, calibrations and/or
 measurements included in this document are traceable
 to Australian/national standards.

Attention: Jacqui Hallchurch

Report **621469-S**
 Project name 21-27477-TASK 3J FOR CONTAMINATION
 Project ID 2127477
 Received Date Oct 08, 2018

| Client Sample ID | | | FD08 |
|---|-----|-------|--------------|
| Sample Matrix | | | Soil |
| Eurofins mgt Sample No. | | | S18-Oc09111 |
| Date Sampled | | | Oct 05, 2018 |
| Test/Reference | LOR | Unit | |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions | | | |
| TRH C6-C9 | 20 | mg/kg | < 20 |
| TRH C10-C14 | 20 | mg/kg | < 20 |
| TRH C15-C28 | 50 | mg/kg | < 50 |
| TRH C29-C36 | 50 | mg/kg | < 50 |
| TRH C10-36 (Total) | 50 | mg/kg | < 50 |
| BTEX | | | |
| Benzene | 0.1 | mg/kg | < 0.1 |
| Toluene | 0.1 | mg/kg | < 0.1 |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.1 | mg/kg | < 0.1 |
| m&p-Xylenes | 0.2 | mg/kg | < 0.2 |
| o-Xylene | 0.1 | mg/kg | < 0.1 |
| Xylenes - Total | 0.3 | mg/kg | < 0.3 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.) | 1 | % | 53 |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions | | | |
| Naphthalene ^{N02} | 0.5 | mg/kg | < 0.5 |
| TRH C6-C10 | 20 | mg/kg | < 20 |
| TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1) ^{N04} | 20 | mg/kg | < 20 |
| TRH >C10-C16 | 50 | mg/kg | < 50 |
| TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2) ^{N01} | 50 | mg/kg | < 50 |
| TRH >C16-C34 | 100 | mg/kg | < 100 |
| TRH >C34-C40 | 100 | mg/kg | < 100 |
| TRH >C10-C40 (total)* | 100 | mg/kg | < 100 |
| Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons | | | |
| Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (lower bound) * | 0.5 | mg/kg | < 0.5 |
| Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (medium bound) * | 0.5 | mg/kg | 0.6 |
| Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (upper bound) * | 0.5 | mg/kg | 1.2 |
| Acenaphthene | 0.5 | mg/kg | < 0.5 |
| Acenaphthylene | 0.5 | mg/kg | < 0.5 |
| Anthracene | 0.5 | mg/kg | < 0.5 |
| Benz(a)anthracene | 0.5 | mg/kg | < 0.5 |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 0.5 | mg/kg | < 0.5 |
| Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene ^{N07} | 0.5 | mg/kg | < 0.5 |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | 0.5 | mg/kg | < 0.5 |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 0.5 | mg/kg | < 0.5 |
| Chrysene | 0.5 | mg/kg | < 0.5 |

| | | | |
|---|-----|-------|---------------------|
| Client Sample ID | | | FD08 |
| Sample Matrix | | | Soil |
| Eurofins mgt Sample No. | | | S18-Oc09111 |
| Date Sampled | | | Oct 05, 2018 |
| Test/Reference | LOR | Unit | |
| Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons | | | |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | 0.5 | mg/kg | < 0.5 |
| Fluoranthene | 0.5 | mg/kg | < 0.5 |
| Fluorene | 0.5 | mg/kg | < 0.5 |
| Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene | 0.5 | mg/kg | < 0.5 |
| Naphthalene | 0.5 | mg/kg | < 0.5 |
| Phenanthrene | 0.5 | mg/kg | < 0.5 |
| Pyrene | 0.5 | mg/kg | < 0.5 |
| Total PAH* | 0.5 | mg/kg | < 0.5 |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.) | 1 | % | 81 |
| p-Terphenyl-d14 (surr.) | 1 | % | 86 |
| Ammonia (as N) | | | |
| | 5 | mg/kg | 7.4 |
| Cyanide (total) | | | |
| | 5 | mg/kg | < 5 |
| Total Organic Carbon | | | |
| | 0.1 | % | 0.6 |
| % Moisture | | | |
| | 1 | % | 22 |
| Heavy Metals | | | |
| Arsenic | 2 | mg/kg | 5.3 |
| Cadmium | 0.4 | mg/kg | < 0.4 |
| Chromium | 5 | mg/kg | 8.9 |
| Copper | 5 | mg/kg | 28 |
| Lead | 5 | mg/kg | 19 |
| Mercury | 0.1 | mg/kg | < 0.1 |
| Nickel | 5 | mg/kg | 5.9 |
| Zinc | 5 | mg/kg | 49 |

Sample History

Where samples are submitted/analysed over several days, the last date of extraction and analysis is reported. A recent review of our LIMS has resulted in the correction or clarification of some method identifications. Due to this, some of the method reference information on reports has changed. However, no substantive change has been made to our laboratory methods, and as such there is no change in the validity of current or previous results (regarding both quality and NATA accreditation).

If the date and time of sampling are not provided, the Laboratory will not be responsible for compromised results should testing be performed outside the recommended holding time.

| Description | Testing Site | Extracted | Holding Time |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Eurofins mgt Suite B7 | | | |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions - Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40 | Melbourne | Oct 09, 2018 | 14 Day |
| BTEX - Method: LTM-ORG-2150 VOCs in Soils Liquid and other Aqueous Matrices | Melbourne | Oct 09, 2018 | 14 Day |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions - Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40 | Melbourne | Oct 09, 2018 | 14 Day |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions - Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40 | Melbourne | Oct 09, 2018 | 14 Day |
| Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Method: LTM-ORG-2130 PAH and Phenols in Soil and Water | Melbourne | Oct 09, 2018 | 14 Day |
| Metals M8 - Method: LTM-MET-3040 Metals in Waters, Soils & Sediments by ICP-MS | Melbourne | Oct 09, 2018 | 28 Days |
| Ammonia (as N) - Method: APHA 4500-NH3 Ammonia Nitrogen by FIA | Melbourne | Oct 09, 2018 | 7 Day |
| Cyanide (total) - Method: LTM-INO-4020 Total Free WAD Cyanide by CFA | Melbourne | Oct 09, 2018 | 14 Day |
| Total Organic Carbon - Method: APHA 5310B Total Organic Carbon | Melbourne | Oct 11, 2018 | 28 Day |
| % Moisture - Method: LTM-GEN-7080 Moisture | Melbourne | Oct 08, 2018 | 14 Day |

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| Company Name: GHD Pty Ltd NSW Address: Level 15, 133 Castlereagh Street Sydney NSW 2000 Project Name: 21-27477-TASK 3J FOR CONTAMINATION Project ID: 2127477 | Order No.: Report #: 621469 Phone: 02 9239 7100 Fax: 02 9239 7199 | Received: Oct 8, 2018 3:11 PM Due: Oct 11, 2018 Priority: 3 Day Contact Name: Jacqui Hallchurch |
| Eurofins mgt Analytical Services Manager : Nibha Vaidya | | |

| Sample Detail | | | | | | Ammonia (as N) | Cyanide (total) | HOLD | Total Organic Carbon | Moisture Set | Eurofins mgt Suite B7 |
|--|-----------|--------------|---------------|--------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|------|----------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271 | | | | | | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| External Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No | Sample ID | Sample Date | Sampling Time | Matrix | LAB ID | | | | | | |
| 1 | FD08 | Oct 05, 2018 | | Soil | S18-Oc09111 | X | X | | X | X | X |
| 2 | FD06 | Oct 05, 2018 | | Soil | S18-Oc09112 | | | X | | | |
| Test Counts | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary

General

1. Laboratory QC results for Method Blanks, Duplicates, Matrix Spikes, and Laboratory Control Samples are included in this QC report where applicable. Additional QC data may be available on request.
2. All soil results are reported on a dry basis, unless otherwise stated.
3. All biota/food results are reported on a wet weight basis on the edible portion, unless otherwise stated.
4. Actual LORs are matrix dependant. Quoted LORs may be raised where sample extracts are diluted due to interferences.
5. Results are uncorrected for matrix spikes or surrogate recoveries except for PFAS compounds.
6. SVOC analysis on waters are performed on homogenised, unfiltered samples, unless noted otherwise.
7. Samples were analysed on an 'as received' basis.
8. This report replaces any interim results previously issued.

Holding Times

Please refer to 'Sample Preservation and Container Guide' for holding times (QS3001).

For samples received on the last day of holding time, notification of testing requirements should have been received at least 6 hours prior to sample receipt deadlines as stated on the SRA.

If the Laboratory did not receive the information in the required timeframe, and regardless of any other integrity issues, suitably qualified results may still be reported.

Holding times apply from the date of sampling, therefore compliance to these may be outside the laboratory's control.

For VOCs containing vinyl chloride, styrene and 2-chloroethyl vinyl ether the holding time is 7 days however for all other VOCs such as BTEX or C6-10 TRH then the holding time is 14 days.

****NOTE:** pH duplicates are reported as a range NOT as RPD

Units

mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram

mg/L: milligrams per litre

ug/L: micrograms per litre

ppm: Parts per million

ppb: Parts per billion

%: Percentage

org/100mL: Organisms per 100 millilitres

NTU: Nephelometric Turbidity Units

MPN/100mL: Most Probable Number of organisms per 100 millilitres

Terms

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Dry | Where a moisture has been determined on a solid sample the result is expressed on a dry basis. |
| LOR | Limit of Reporting. |
| SPIKE | Addition of the analyte to the sample and reported as percentage recovery. |
| RPD | Relative Percent Difference between two Duplicate pieces of analysis. |
| LCS | Laboratory Control Sample - reported as percent recovery. |
| CRM | Certified Reference Material - reported as percent recovery. |
| Method Blank | In the case of solid samples these are performed on laboratory certified clean sands and in the case of water samples these are performed on de-ionised water. |
| Surr - Surrogate | The addition of a like compound to the analyte target and reported as percentage recovery. |
| Duplicate | A second piece of analysis from the same sample and reported in the same units as the result to show comparison. |
| USEPA | United States Environmental Protection Agency |
| APHA | American Public Health Association |
| TCLP | Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure |
| COC | Chain of Custody |
| SRA | Sample Receipt Advice |
| QSM | Quality Systems Manual ver 5.1 US Department of Defense |
| CP | Client Parent - QC was performed on samples pertaining to this report |
| NCP | Non-Client Parent - QC performed on samples not pertaining to this report, QC is representative of the sequence or batch that client samples were analysed within. |
| TEQ | Toxic Equivalency Quotient |

QC - Acceptance Criteria

RPD Duplicates: Global RPD Duplicates Acceptance Criteria is 30% however the following acceptance guidelines are equally applicable:

Results <10 times the LOR : No Limit

Results between 10-20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-50%

Results >20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-30%

Surrogate Recoveries: Recoveries must lie between 50-150%-Phenols & PFASs

PFAS field samples that contain surrogate recoveries in excess of the QC limit designated in QSM 5.1 where no positive PFAS results have been reported have been reviewed and no data was affected.

WA DWER (n=10): PFBA, PFPaA, PFHxA, PFHpA, PFOA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFOS, 6:2 FTSA, 8:2 FTSA

QC Data General Comments

1. Where a result is reported as a less than (<), higher than the nominated LOR, this is due to either matrix interference, extract dilution required due to interferences or contaminant levels within the sample, high moisture content or insufficient sample provided.
2. Duplicate data shown within this report that states the word "BATCH" is a Batch Duplicate from outside of your sample batch, but within the laboratory sample batch at a 1:10 ratio. The Parent and Duplicate data shown is not data from your samples.
3. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis - where reporting LCS data, Toxaphene & Chlordane are not added to the LCS.
4. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis - where reporting Spike data, Toxaphene is not added to the Spike.
5. Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - where reporting Spike & LCS data, a single spike of commercial Hydrocarbon products in the range of C12-C30 is added and it's Total Recovery is reported in the C10-C14 cell of the Report.
6. pH and Free Chlorine analysed in the laboratory - Analysis on this test must begin within 30 minutes of sampling. Therefore laboratory analysis is unlikely to be completed within holding time. Analysis will begin as soon as possible after sample receipt.
7. Recovery Data (Spikes & Surrogates) - where chromatographic interference does not allow the determination of Recovery the term "INT" appears against that analyte.
8. Polychlorinated Biphenyls are spiked only using Aroclor 1260 in Matrix Spikes and LCS.
9. For Matrix Spikes and LCS results a dash "-" in the report means that the specific analyte was not added to the QC sample.
10. Duplicate RPDs are calculated from raw analytical data thus it is possible to have two sets of data.

Quality Control Results

| Test | Units | Result 1 | | Acceptance Limits | Pass Limits | Qualifying Code |
|---|-------|----------|--|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Method Blank | | | | | | |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions | | | | | | |
| TRH C6-C9 | mg/kg | < 20 | | 20 | Pass | |
| TRH C10-C14 | mg/kg | < 20 | | 20 | Pass | |
| TRH C15-C28 | mg/kg | < 50 | | 50 | Pass | |
| TRH C29-C36 | mg/kg | < 50 | | 50 | Pass | |
| Method Blank | | | | | | |
| BTEX | | | | | | |
| Benzene | mg/kg | < 0.1 | | 0.1 | Pass | |
| Toluene | mg/kg | < 0.1 | | 0.1 | Pass | |
| Ethylbenzene | mg/kg | < 0.1 | | 0.1 | Pass | |
| m&p-Xylenes | mg/kg | < 0.2 | | 0.2 | Pass | |
| o-Xylene | mg/kg | < 0.1 | | 0.1 | Pass | |
| Xylenes - Total | mg/kg | < 0.3 | | 0.3 | Pass | |
| Method Blank | | | | | | |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions | | | | | | |
| Naphthalene | mg/kg | < 0.5 | | 0.5 | Pass | |
| TRH C6-C10 | mg/kg | < 20 | | 20 | Pass | |
| TRH >C10-C16 | mg/kg | < 50 | | 50 | Pass | |
| TRH >C16-C34 | mg/kg | < 100 | | 100 | Pass | |
| TRH >C34-C40 | mg/kg | < 100 | | 100 | Pass | |
| Method Blank | | | | | | |
| Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | mg/kg | < 0.5 | | 0.5 | Pass | |
| Acenaphthylene | mg/kg | < 0.5 | | 0.5 | Pass | |
| Anthracene | mg/kg | < 0.5 | | 0.5 | Pass | |
| Benz(a)anthracene | mg/kg | < 0.5 | | 0.5 | Pass | |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | mg/kg | < 0.5 | | 0.5 | Pass | |
| Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene | mg/kg | < 0.5 | | 0.5 | Pass | |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | mg/kg | < 0.5 | | 0.5 | Pass | |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | mg/kg | < 0.5 | | 0.5 | Pass | |
| Chrysene | mg/kg | < 0.5 | | 0.5 | Pass | |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | mg/kg | < 0.5 | | 0.5 | Pass | |
| Fluoranthene | mg/kg | < 0.5 | | 0.5 | Pass | |
| Fluorene | mg/kg | < 0.5 | | 0.5 | Pass | |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | mg/kg | < 0.5 | | 0.5 | Pass | |
| Naphthalene | mg/kg | < 0.5 | | 0.5 | Pass | |
| Phenanthrene | mg/kg | < 0.5 | | 0.5 | Pass | |
| Pyrene | mg/kg | < 0.5 | | 0.5 | Pass | |
| Method Blank | | | | | | |
| Ammonia (as N) | mg/kg | < 5 | | 5 | Pass | |
| Total Organic Carbon | % | < 0.1 | | 0.1 | Pass | |
| Method Blank | | | | | | |
| Heavy Metals | | | | | | |
| Arsenic | mg/kg | < 2 | | 2 | Pass | |
| Cadmium | mg/kg | < 0.4 | | 0.4 | Pass | |
| Chromium | mg/kg | < 5 | | 5 | Pass | |
| Copper | mg/kg | < 5 | | 5 | Pass | |
| Lead | mg/kg | < 5 | | 5 | Pass | |
| Mercury | mg/kg | < 0.1 | | 0.1 | Pass | |
| Nickel | mg/kg | < 5 | | 5 | Pass | |
| Zinc | mg/kg | < 5 | | 5 | Pass | |

| Test | Units | Result 1 | | | Acceptance Limits | Pass Limits | Qualifying Code | |
|---|---------------|-----------|-------|----------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| LCS - % Recovery | | | | | | | | |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions | | | | | | | | |
| TRH C6-C9 | % | 92 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| TRH C10-C14 | % | 84 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| LCS - % Recovery | | | | | | | | |
| BTEX | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | % | 89 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| Toluene | % | 87 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| Ethylbenzene | % | 82 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| m&p-Xylenes | % | 85 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| Xylenes - Total | % | 87 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| LCS - % Recovery | | | | | | | | |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions | | | | | | | | |
| Naphthalene | % | 100 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| TRH C6-C10 | % | 88 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| TRH >C10-C16 | % | 114 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| LCS - % Recovery | | | | | | | | |
| Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | % | 104 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| Acenaphthylene | % | 113 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| Anthracene | % | 107 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| Benz(a)anthracene | % | 79 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | % | 89 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene | % | 78 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | % | 92 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | % | 90 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| Chrysene | % | 108 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | % | 82 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| Fluoranthene | % | 84 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| Fluorene | % | 104 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | % | 92 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| Naphthalene | % | 102 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| Phenanthrene | % | 98 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| Pyrene | % | 83 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| LCS - % Recovery | | | | | | | | |
| Total Organic Carbon | % | 99 | | | 70-130 | Pass | | |
| LCS - % Recovery | | | | | | | | |
| Heavy Metals | | | | | | | | |
| Arsenic | % | 107 | | | 80-120 | Pass | | |
| Cadmium | % | 104 | | | 80-120 | Pass | | |
| Chromium | % | 115 | | | 80-120 | Pass | | |
| Copper | % | 115 | | | 80-120 | Pass | | |
| Lead | % | 109 | | | 80-120 | Pass | | |
| Mercury | % | 103 | | | 75-125 | Pass | | |
| Nickel | % | 115 | | | 80-120 | Pass | | |
| Zinc | % | 108 | | | 80-120 | Pass | | |
| Test | Lab Sample ID | QA Source | Units | Result 1 | | Acceptance Limits | Pass Limits | Qualifying Code |
| Spike - % Recovery | | | | | | | | |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions | | | | Result 1 | | | | |
| TRH C6-C9 | Z18-Oc08210 | NCP | % | 91 | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| TRH C10-C14 | B18-Oc09051 | NCP | % | 87 | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Spike - % Recovery | | | | | | | | |
| BTEX | | | | Result 1 | | | | |
| Benzene | Z18-Oc08210 | NCP | % | 95 | | 70-130 | Pass | |

| Test | Lab Sample ID | QA Source | Units | Result 1 | | | Acceptance Limits | Pass Limits | Qualifying Code |
|---|---------------|-----------|-------|----------|----------|-----|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Toluene | Z18-Oc08210 | NCP | % | 90 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Ethylbenzene | Z18-Oc08210 | NCP | % | 84 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| m&p-Xylenes | Z18-Oc08210 | NCP | % | 89 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| o-Xylene | Z18-Oc08210 | NCP | % | 91 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Xylenes - Total | Z18-Oc08210 | NCP | % | 90 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Spike - % Recovery | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions | | | | Result 1 | | | | | |
| Naphthalene | Z18-Oc08210 | NCP | % | 109 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| TRH C6-C10 | Z18-Oc08210 | NCP | % | 86 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| TRH >C10-C16 | B18-Oc09051 | NCP | % | 103 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Spike - % Recovery | | | | | | | | | |
| Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons | | | | Result 1 | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | M18-Oc07791 | NCP | % | 82 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Acenaphthylene | M18-Oc07791 | NCP | % | 88 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Anthracene | M18-Oc07791 | NCP | % | 84 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Benz(a)anthracene | M18-Oc07791 | NCP | % | 88 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | M18-Oc07791 | NCP | % | 101 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene | M18-Oc07791 | NCP | % | 81 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | M18-Oc07791 | NCP | % | 93 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | M18-Oc07791 | NCP | % | 112 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Chrysene | M18-Oc07791 | NCP | % | 118 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | M18-Oc07791 | NCP | % | 91 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Fluoranthene | M18-Oc07791 | NCP | % | 92 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Fluorene | M18-Oc07791 | NCP | % | 87 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | M18-Oc07791 | NCP | % | 93 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Naphthalene | M18-Oc07791 | NCP | % | 81 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Phenanthrene | M18-Oc07791 | NCP | % | 75 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Pyrene | M18-Oc07791 | NCP | % | 92 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Spike - % Recovery | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Result 1 | | | | | |
| Cyanide (total) | S18-Oc08536 | NCP | % | 60 | | | 70-130 | Fail | Q08 |
| Spike - % Recovery | | | | | | | | | |
| Heavy Metals | | | | Result 1 | | | | | |
| Arsenic | M18-Oc05234 | NCP | % | 99 | | | 75-125 | Pass | |
| Cadmium | M18-Oc05234 | NCP | % | 103 | | | 75-125 | Pass | |
| Chromium | M18-Oc05234 | NCP | % | 111 | | | 75-125 | Pass | |
| Copper | M18-Oc05234 | NCP | % | 114 | | | 75-125 | Pass | |
| Lead | M18-Oc05234 | NCP | % | 108 | | | 75-125 | Pass | |
| Mercury | M18-Oc05234 | NCP | % | 121 | | | 70-130 | Pass | |
| Nickel | M18-Oc05234 | NCP | % | 112 | | | 75-125 | Pass | |
| Zinc | M18-Oc05234 | NCP | % | 108 | | | 75-125 | Pass | |
| Test | Lab Sample ID | QA Source | Units | Result 1 | | | Acceptance Limits | Pass Limits | Qualifying Code |
| Duplicate | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions | | | | Result 1 | Result 2 | RPD | | | |
| TRH C6-C9 | Z18-Oc08209 | NCP | mg/kg | < 20 | < 20 | <1 | 30% | Pass | |
| TRH C10-C14 | M18-Oc09964 | NCP | mg/kg | < 20 | < 20 | <1 | 30% | Pass | |
| TRH C15-C28 | M18-Oc09964 | NCP | mg/kg | < 50 | < 50 | <1 | 30% | Pass | |
| TRH C29-C36 | M18-Oc09964 | NCP | mg/kg | 95 | 65 | 38 | 30% | Fail | Q15 |
| Duplicate | | | | | | | | | |
| BTEX | | | | Result 1 | Result 2 | RPD | | | |
| Benzene | Z18-Oc08209 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | <1 | 30% | Pass | |
| Toluene | Z18-Oc08209 | NCP | mg/kg | 0.8 | 0.7 | 15 | 30% | Pass | |
| Ethylbenzene | Z18-Oc08209 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | <1 | 30% | Pass | |
| m&p-Xylenes | Z18-Oc08209 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.2 | < 0.2 | <1 | 30% | Pass | |

| Duplicate | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|-----|-------|----------|----------|-----|-----|------|
| BTEX | | | | Result 1 | Result 2 | RPD | | |
| o-Xylene | Z18-Oc08209 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Xylenes - Total | Z18-Oc08209 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.3 | < 0.3 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Duplicate | | | | | | | | |
| Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions | | | | Result 1 | Result 2 | RPD | | |
| Naphthalene | Z18-Oc08209 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| TRH C6-C10 | Z18-Oc08209 | NCP | mg/kg | < 20 | < 20 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| TRH >C10-C16 | M18-Oc09964 | NCP | mg/kg | < 50 | < 50 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| TRH >C16-C34 | M18-Oc09964 | NCP | mg/kg | < 100 | < 100 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| TRH >C34-C40 | M18-Oc09964 | NCP | mg/kg | < 100 | < 100 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Duplicate | | | | | | | | |
| Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons | | | | Result 1 | Result 2 | RPD | | |
| Acenaphthene | S18-Oc05759 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Acenaphthylene | S18-Oc05759 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Anthracene | S18-Oc05759 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Benz(a)anthracene | S18-Oc05759 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | S18-Oc05759 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene | S18-Oc05759 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | S18-Oc05759 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | S18-Oc05759 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Chrysene | S18-Oc05759 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | S18-Oc05759 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Fluoranthene | S18-Oc05759 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Fluorene | S18-Oc05759 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene | S18-Oc05759 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Naphthalene | S18-Oc05759 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Phenanthrene | S18-Oc05759 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Pyrene | S18-Oc05759 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Duplicate | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Result 1 | Result 2 | RPD | | |
| Cyanide (total) | S18-Oc08535 | NCP | mg/kg | < 5 | < 5 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| % Moisture | M18-Oc09188 | NCP | % | 6.0 | 6.0 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Duplicate | | | | | | | | |
| Heavy Metals | | | | Result 1 | Result 2 | RPD | | |
| Arsenic | M18-Oc04380 | NCP | mg/kg | 7.1 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 30% | Pass |
| Cadmium | M18-Oc04380 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.4 | < 0.4 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Chromium | M18-Oc04380 | NCP | mg/kg | 23 | 21 | 13 | 30% | Pass |
| Copper | M18-Oc04380 | NCP | mg/kg | 30 | 31 | 5.0 | 30% | Pass |
| Lead | M18-Oc04380 | NCP | mg/kg | 22 | 21 | 2.0 | 30% | Pass |
| Mercury | M18-Oc04381 | NCP | mg/kg | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | <1 | 30% | Pass |
| Nickel | M18-Oc04380 | NCP | mg/kg | 38 | 36 | 5.0 | 30% | Pass |
| Zinc | M18-Oc04380 | NCP | mg/kg | 50 | 49 | 1.0 | 30% | Pass |

Comments

Sample Integrity

| | |
|---|-----|
| Custody Seals Intact (if used) | N/A |
| Attempt to Chill was evident | Yes |
| Sample correctly preserved | Yes |
| Appropriate sample containers have been used | Yes |
| Sample containers for volatile analysis received with minimal headspace | Yes |
| Samples received within HoldingTime | Yes |
| Some samples have been subcontracted | No |

Qualifier Codes/Comments

| Code | Description |
|------|--|
| N01 | F2 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "naphthalene" value from the ">C10-C16" value. The naphthalene value used in this calculation is obtained from volatiles (Purge & Trap analysis). |
| N02 | Where we have reported both volatile (P&T GCMS) and semivolatile (GCMS) naphthalene data, results may not be identical. Provided correct sample handling protocols have been followed, any observed differences in results are likely to be due to procedural differences within each methodology. Results determined by both techniques have passed all QAQC acceptance criteria, and are entirely technically valid. |
| N04 | F1 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "Total BTEX" value from the "C6-C10" value. The "Total BTEX" value is obtained by summing the concentrations of BTEX analytes. The "C6-C10" value is obtained by quantitating against a standard of mixed aromatic/aliphatic analytes. |
| N07 | Please note:- These two PAH isomers closely co-elute using the most contemporary analytical methods and both the reported concentration (and the TEQ) apply specifically to the total of the two co-eluting PAHs |
| Q08 | The matrix spike recovery is outside of the recommended acceptance criteria. An acceptable recovery was obtained for the laboratory control sample indicating a sample matrix interference |
| Q15 | The RPD reported passes Eurofins mgt's QC - Acceptance Criteria as defined in the Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary page of this report. |

Authorised By

| | |
|----------------|--------------------------------|
| Nibha Vaidya | Analytical Services Manager |
| Chris Bennett | Senior Analyst-Metal (VIC) |
| Harry Bacalis | Senior Analyst-Volatile (VIC) |
| Joseph Edouard | Senior Analyst-Organic (VIC) |
| Julie Kay | Senior Analyst-Inorganic (VIC) |



Glenn Jackson

National Operations Manager

Final report - this Report replaces any previously issued Report

- Indicates Not Requested

* Indicates NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service

Measurement uncertainty of test data is available on request or please [click here](#).

Eurofins | mgt shall not be liable for loss, cost, damages or expenses incurred by the client, or any other person or company, resulting from the use of any information or interpretation given in this report. In no case shall Eurofins | mgt be liable for consequential damages including, but not limited to, lost profits, damages for failure to meet deadlines and lost production arising from this report. This document shall not be reproduced except in full and relates only to the items tested. Unless indicated otherwise, the tests were performed on the samples as received.

Certificate of Analysis


ALS Laboratory Group Pty Ltd
5/585 Maitland Road
Mayfield West, NSW 2304
pH 02 4014 2500
fax 02 4968 0349
samples.newcastle@alsenviro.com

ALS Environmental
Newcastle, NSW



CLIENT: Jacqui Hallchurch **DATE REPORTED:** 15-Oct-2018
COMPANY: GHD PTY LTD **DATE RECEIVED:** 5-Oct-2018
ADDRESS: LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY **REPORT NO:** ES1829588-001 / PSD
PROJECT: 21-27477 **SAMPLE ID:** SED06_0.0-0.5

Particle Size Distribution



| Particle Size (mm) | % Passing |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| 2.36 | 100% |
| 1.18 | 99% |
| 0.600 | 98% |
| 0.425 | 97% |
| 0.300 | 93% |
| 0.150 | 88% |
| 0.075 | 82% |
| Particle Size (microns) | |
| 51 | 72% |
| 36 | 67% |
| 26 | 61% |
| 19 | 57% |
| 14 | 51% |
| 10 | 46% |
| 7 | 40% |
| 5 | 34% |
| 2 | 21% |

Analysis Notes

Samples analysed as received.

* Soil Particle Density results fell outside the scope of AS 1289.3.6.3. Typical sediment SPD values used for calculations and consequently, NATA endorsement does not apply to hydrometer results

Median Particle Size is not covered under the current scope of ALS's NATA accreditation.

| | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Median Particle Size (mm)* | 0.013 |
|----------------------------|-------|

Sample Comments:

Analysed: 10-Oct-18

Loss on Pretreatment NA

Limit of Reporting: 1%

Sample Description: FINES, SAND

Dispersion Method Shaker

Test Method: AS1289.3.6.2/AS1289.3.6.3

Hydrometer Type ASTM E100

Soil Particle Density (<2.36mm) 2.22 (2.45)* g/cm³

NATA Accreditation: 825 Site: Newcastle
This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.



D Blane

Dianne Blane
Laboratory Coordinator
Authorised Signatory

Certificate of Analysis


ALS Laboratory Group Pty Ltd
 5/585 Maitland Road
 Mayfield West, NSW 2304
 pH 02 4014 2500
 fax 02 4968 0349
 samples.newcastle@alsenviro.com

ALS Environmental
Newcastle, NSW



CLIENT: Jacqui Hallchurch **DATE REPORTED:** 15-Oct-2018
COMPANY: GHD PTY LTD **DATE RECEIVED:** 5-Oct-2018
ADDRESS: LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY **REPORT NO:** ES1829588-005 / PSD
PROJECT: 21-27477 **SAMPLE ID:** SED06_2.0-2.5

Particle Size Distribution



| Particle Size (mm) | % Passing |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| 0.425 | 100% |
| 0.300 | 99% |
| 0.150 | 90% |
| 0.075 | 70% |
| Particle Size (microns) | |
| 50 | 60% |
| 38 | 56% |
| 27 | 50% |
| 19 | 47% |
| 14 | 41% |
| 10 | 37% |
| 7 | 33% |
| 5 | 30% |
| 2 | 19% |

Analysis Notes

Samples analysed as received.

Median Particle Size is not covered under the current scope of ALS's NATA accreditation.

| | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Median Particle Size (mm)* | 0.027 |
|----------------------------|-------|

Sample Comments:

Analysed: 10-Oct-18

Loss on Pretreatment NA

Limit of Reporting: 1%

Sample Description: FINES, SAND

Dispersion Method Shaker

Test Method: AS1289.3.6.2/AS1289.3.6.3

Hydrometer Type ASTM E100

Soil Particle Density (<2.36mm) 2.54 g/cm³



D Blane

Dianne Blane
 Laboratory Coordinator
Authorised Signatory

NATA Accreditation: 825 Site: Newcastle
 This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements.
 Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

Certificate of Analysis


ALS Laboratory Group Pty Ltd
5/585 Maitland Road
Mayfield West, NSW 2304
pH 02 4014 2500
fax 02 4968 0349
samples.newcastle@alsenviro.com

ALS Environmental
Newcastle, NSW



CLIENT: Jacqui Hallchurch **DATE REPORTED:** 15-Oct-2018
COMPANY: GHD PTY LTD **DATE RECEIVED:** 5-Oct-2018
ADDRESS: LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY **REPORT NO:** ES1829588-010 / PSD
PROJECT: 21-27477 **SAMPLE ID:** SED05_0.0-0.5

Particle Size Distribution



| Particle Size (mm) | % Passing |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| 0.600 | 100% |
| 0.425 | 99% |
| 0.300 | 99% |
| 0.150 | 97% |
| 0.075 | 92% |
| Particle Size (microns) | |
| 49 | 84% |
| 34 | 77% |
| 26 | 72% |
| 18 | 66% |
| 13 | 60% |
| 10 | 53% |
| 7 | 45% |
| 5 | 39% |
| 2 | 23% |

Analysis Notes

Samples analysed as received.

* Soil Particle Density results fell outside the scope of AS 1289.3.6.3. Typical sediment SPD values used for calculations and consequently, NATA endorsement does not apply to hydrometer results

Median Particle Size is not covered under the current scope of ALS's NATA accreditation.

| | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Median Particle Size (mm)* | 0.009 |
|----------------------------|-------|

Sample Comments:

Analysed: 10-Oct-18

Loss on Pretreatment NA

Limit of Reporting: 1%

Sample Description: FINES, SAND

Dispersion Method Shaker

Test Method: AS1289.3.6.2/AS1289.3.6.3

Hydrometer Type ASTM E100

Soil Particle Density (<2.36mm) 2.34 (2.45)* g/cm³

NATA Accreditation: 825 Site: Newcastle

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.



D Blane

Dianne Blane
Laboratory Coordinator
Authorised Signatory

Certificate of Analysis


ALS Laboratory Group Pty Ltd
5/585 Maitland Road
Mayfield West, NSW 2304
pH 02 4014 2500
fax 02 4968 0349
samples.newcastle@alsenviro.com

ALS Environmental
Newcastle, NSW



CLIENT: Jacqui Hallchurch **DATE REPORTED:** 15-Oct-2018
COMPANY: GHD PTY LTD **DATE RECEIVED:** 5-Oct-2018
ADDRESS: LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY **REPORT NO:** ES1829588-012 / PSD
PROJECT: 21-27477 **SAMPLE ID:** SED05_1.0-1.5

Particle Size Distribution



| Particle Size (mm) | % Passing |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| 1.18 | 100% |
| 0.600 | 99% |
| 0.425 | 99% |
| 0.300 | 99% |
| 0.150 | 98% |
| 0.075 | 94% |
| Particle Size (microns) | |
| 48 | 85% |
| 34 | 81% |
| 24 | 78% |
| 18 | 68% |
| 13 | 60% |
| 10 | 57% |
| 7 | 46% |
| 5 | 37% |
| 2 | 22% |

Analysis Notes

Samples analysed as received.

* Soil Particle Density results fell outside the scope of AS 1289.3.6.3. Typical sediment SPD values used for calculations and consequently, NATA endorsement does not apply to hydrometer results

Median Particle Size is not covered under the current scope of ALS's NATA accreditation.

| | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Median Particle Size (mm)* | 0.008 |
|----------------------------|-------|

Sample Comments:

Analysed: 10-Oct-18

Loss on Pretreatment NA

Limit of Reporting: 1%

Sample Description: FINES, SAND

Dispersion Method Shaker

Test Method: AS1289.3.6.2/AS1289.3.6.3

Hydrometer Type ASTM E100

Soil Particle Density (<2.36mm) 2.31 (2.45)* g/cm³

NATA Accreditation: 825 Site: Newcastle

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.



D Blane

Dianne Blane
Laboratory Coordinator
Authorised Signatory

Certificate of Analysis


ALS Laboratory Group Pty Ltd
5/585 Maitland Road
Mayfield West, NSW 2304
pH 02 4014 2500
fax 02 4968 0349
samples.newcastle@alsenviro.com

ALS Environmental
Newcastle, NSW



CLIENT: Jacqui Hallchurch **DATE REPORTED:** 15-Oct-2018
COMPANY: GHD PTY LTD **DATE RECEIVED:** 5-Oct-2018
ADDRESS: LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY **REPORT NO:** ES1829588-013 / PSD
PROJECT: 21-27477 **SAMPLE ID:** SED04_0.0-0.1

Particle Size Distribution



| Particle Size (mm) | % Passing |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| 0.425 | 100% |
| 0.300 | 99% |
| 0.150 | 98% |
| 0.075 | 94% |
| Particle Size (microns) | |
| 51 | 68% |
| 36 | 63% |
| 26 | 62% |
| 19 | 58% |
| 14 | 50% |
| 10 | 49% |
| 7 | 41% |
| 5 | 32% |
| 2 | 22% |

Analysis Notes

Samples analysed as received.

* Soil Particle Density results fell outside the scope of AS 1289.3.6.3. Typical sediment SPD values used for calculations and consequently, NATA endorsement does not apply to hydrometer results

Median Particle Size is not covered under the current scope of ALS's NATA accreditation.

| | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Median Particle Size (mm)* | 0.014 |
|----------------------------|-------|

Sample Comments:

Analysed: 10-Oct-18

Loss on Pretreatment NA

Limit of Reporting: 1%

Sample Description: FINES, SAND

Dispersion Method Shaker

Test Method: AS1289.3.6.2/AS1289.3.6.3

Hydrometer Type ASTM E100

Soil Particle Density (<2.36mm) 2.36 (2.45)* g/cm³

NATA Accreditation: 825 Site: Newcastle

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.



D Blane

Dianne Blane
Laboratory Coordinator
Authorised Signatory

Certificate of Analysis


ALS Laboratory Group Pty Ltd
 5/585 Maitland Road
 Mayfield West, NSW 2304
 pH 02 4014 2500
 fax 02 4968 0349
 samples.newcastle@alsenviro.com

ALS Environmental
Newcastle, NSW



CLIENT: Jacqui Hallchurch **DATE REPORTED:** 15-Oct-2018
COMPANY: GHD PTY LTD **DATE RECEIVED:** 5-Oct-2018
ADDRESS: LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY **REPORT NO:** ES1829588-015 / PSD
PROJECT: 21-27477 **SAMPLE ID:** SED04_1.0-1.1

Particle Size Distribution



| Particle Size (mm) | % Passing |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| 0.600 | 100% |
| 0.425 | 99% |
| 0.300 | 99% |
| 0.150 | 97% |
| 0.075 | 92% |
| Particle Size (microns) | |
| 48 | 80% |
| 34 | 77% |
| 26 | 74% |
| 18 | 68% |
| 14 | 60% |
| 10 | 54% |
| 7 | 48% |
| 5 | 42% |
| 2 | 23% |

Analysis Notes

Samples analysed as received.

* Soil Particle Density results fell outside the scope of AS 1289.3.6.3. Typical sediment SPD values used for calculations and consequently, NATA endorsement does not apply to hydrometer results

Median Particle Size is not covered under the current scope of ALS's NATA accreditation.

| | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Median Particle Size (mm)* | 0.008 |
|----------------------------|-------|

Sample Comments:

Analysed: 10-Oct-18

Loss on Pretreatment NA

Limit of Reporting: 1%

Sample Description: FINES, SAND

Dispersion Method Shaker

Test Method: AS1289.3.6.2/AS1289.3.6.3

Hydrometer Type ASTM E100

Soil Particle Density (<2.36mm) 2.31 (2.45)* g/cm³

NATA Accreditation: 825 Site: Newcastle

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.



D Blane

Dianne Blane
 Laboratory Coordinator
Authorised Signatory

Certificate of Analysis


ALS Laboratory Group Pty Ltd
5/585 Maitland Road
Mayfield West, NSW 2304
pH 02 4014 2500
fax 02 4968 0349
samples.newcastle@alsenviro.com

ALS Environmental
Newcastle, NSW



CLIENT: Jacqui Hallchurch **DATE REPORTED:** 15-Oct-2018
COMPANY: GHD PTY LTD **DATE RECEIVED:** 5-Oct-2018
ADDRESS: LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY **REPORT NO:** ES1829588-029 / PSD
PROJECT: 21-27477 **SAMPLE ID:** REA01_0.0-0.5

Particle Size Distribution



| Particle Size (mm) | % Passing |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| 0.600 | 100% |
| 0.425 | 99% |
| 0.300 | 99% |
| 0.150 | 96% |
| 0.075 | 90% |
| Particle Size (microns) | |
| 48 | 80% |
| 34 | 76% |
| 26 | 67% |
| 18 | 61% |
| 14 | 56% |
| 10 | 50% |
| 7 | 44% |
| 5 | 36% |
| 2 | 22% |

Analysis Notes

Samples analysed as received.

* Soil Particle Density results fell outside the scope of AS 1289.3.6.3. Typical sediment SPD values used for calculations and consequently, NATA endorsement does not apply to hydrometer results

Median Particle Size is not covered under the current scope of ALS's NATA accreditation.

| | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Median Particle Size (mm)* | 0.010 |
|----------------------------|-------|

Sample Comments:

Analysed: 10-Oct-18

Loss on Pretreatment NA

Limit of Reporting: 1%

Sample Description: FINES, SAND

Dispersion Method Shaker

Test Method: AS1289.3.6.2/AS1289.3.6.3

Hydrometer Type ASTM E100

Soil Particle Density (<2.36mm) 2.4 (2.45)* g/cm³

NATA Accreditation: 825 Site: Newcastle

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.



D Blane

Dianne Blane
Laboratory Coordinator
Authorised Signatory

Certificate of Analysis


ALS Laboratory Group Pty Ltd
 5/585 Maitland Road
 Mayfield West, NSW 2304
 pH 02 4014 2500
 fax 02 4968 0349
 samples.newcastle@alsenviro.com

ALS Environmental
Newcastle, NSW



CLIENT: Jacqui Hallchurch **DATE REPORTED:** 15-Oct-2018
COMPANY: GHD PTY LTD **DATE RECEIVED:** 5-Oct-2018
ADDRESS: LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY **REPORT NO:** ES1829588-031 / PSD
PROJECT: 21-27477 **SAMPLE ID:** REA01_1.0-1.5

Particle Size Distribution



| Particle Size (mm) | % Passing |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| 4.75 | 100% |
| 2.36 | 97% |
| 1.18 | 84% |
| 0.600 | 67% |
| 0.425 | 63% |
| 0.300 | 59% |
| 0.150 | 46% |
| 0.075 | 39% |
| Particle Size (microns) | |
| 51 | 33% |
| 36 | 31% |
| 26 | 31% |
| 19 | 28% |
| 14 | 26% |
| 10 | 24% |
| 7 | 22% |
| 5 | 18% |
| 1 | 13% |

Analysis Notes

Samples analysed as received.

Median Particle Size is not covered under the current scope of ALS's NATA accreditation.

| | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Median Particle Size (mm)* | 0.196 |
|----------------------------|-------|

Sample Comments:

Analysed: 10-Oct-18

Loss on Pretreatment NA

Limit of Reporting: 1%

Sample Description: FINES, SAND

Dispersion Method Shaker

Test Method: AS1289.3.6.2/AS1289.3.6.3

Hydrometer Type ASTM E100

Soil Particle Density (<2.36mm) 2.79 g/cm³



D Blane

Dianne Blane
 Laboratory Coordinator
Authorised Signatory

NATA Accreditation: 825 Site: Newcastle
 This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements.
 Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

Certificate of Analysis


ALS Laboratory Group Pty Ltd
5/585 Maitland Road
Mayfield West, NSW 2304
pH 02 4014 2500
fax 02 4968 0349
samples.newcastle@alsenviro.com

ALS Environmental
Newcastle, NSW



CLIENT: Jacqui Hallchurch **DATE REPORTED:** 15-Oct-2018
COMPANY: GHD PTY LTD **DATE RECEIVED:** 5-Oct-2018
ADDRESS: LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY **REPORT NO:** ES1829588-037 / PSD
PROJECT: 21-27477 **SAMPLE ID:** SED04_0.0-0.1

Particle Size Distribution



| Particle Size (mm) | % Passing |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| 1.18 | 100% |
| 0.600 | 99% |
| 0.425 | 99% |
| 0.300 | 99% |
| 0.150 | 97% |
| 0.075 | 93% |
| Particle Size (microns) | |
| 48 | 83% |
| 34 | 79% |
| 26 | 73% |
| 18 | 67% |
| 14 | 58% |
| 10 | 51% |
| 7 | 43% |
| 5 | 37% |
| 2 | 22% |

Analysis Notes

Samples analysed as received.

* Soil Particle Density results fell outside the scope of AS 1289.3.6.3. Typical sediment SPD values used for calculations and consequently, NATA endorsement does not apply to hydrometer results

Median Particle Size is not covered under the current scope of ALS's NATA accreditation.

| | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Median Particle Size (mm)* | 0.010 |
|----------------------------|-------|

Sample Comments:

Analysed: 10-Oct-18

Loss on Pretreatment NA

Limit of Reporting: 1%

Sample Description: FINES, SAND

Dispersion Method Shaker

Test Method: AS1289.3.6.2/AS1289.3.6.3

Hydrometer Type ASTM E100

Soil Particle Density (<2.36mm) 2.37 (2.45)* g/cm³

NATA Accreditation: 825 Site: Newcastle

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.



D Blane

Dianne Blane
Laboratory Coordinator
Authorised Signatory

Certificate of Analysis


ALS Laboratory Group Pty Ltd
 5/585 Maitland Road
 Mayfield West, NSW 2304
 pH 02 4014 2500
 fax 02 4968 0349
 samples.newcastle@alsenviro.com

ALS Environmental
Newcastle, NSW



CLIENT: Jacqui Hallchurch **DATE REPORTED:** 15-Oct-2018
COMPANY: GHD PTY LTD **DATE RECEIVED:** 5-Oct-2018
ADDRESS: LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY **REPORT NO:** ES1829588-039 / PSD
PROJECT: 21-27477 **SAMPLE ID:** SED04_1.0-1.5

Particle Size Distribution



| Particle Size (mm) | % Passing |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| 0.425 | 100% |
| 0.300 | 99% |
| 0.150 | 98% |
| 0.075 | 94% |
| Particle Size (microns) | |
| 48 | 90% |
| 34 | 87% |
| 26 | 77% |
| 18 | 68% |
| 13 | 65% |
| 10 | 56% |
| 7 | 48% |
| 5 | 40% |
| 2 | 25% |

Analysis Notes

Samples analysed as received.

* Soil Particle Density results fell outside the scope of AS 1289.3.6.3. Typical sediment SPD values used for calculations and consequently, NATA endorsement does not apply to hydrometer results

Median Particle Size is not covered under the current scope of ALS's NATA accreditation.

| | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Median Particle Size (mm)* | 0.008 |
|----------------------------|-------|

Sample Comments:

Analysed: 10-Oct-18

Loss on Pretreatment NA

Limit of Reporting: 1%

Sample Description: FINES, SAND

Dispersion Method Shaker

Test Method: AS1289.3.6.2/AS1289.3.6.3

Hydrometer Type ASTM E100

Soil Particle Density (<2.36mm) 2.33 (2.45)* g/cm³

NATA Accreditation: 825 Site: Newcastle

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.



D Blane

Dianne Blane
 Laboratory Coordinator
Authorised Signatory

Certificate of Analysis


ALS Laboratory Group Pty Ltd
5/585 Maitland Road
Mayfield West, NSW 2304
pH 02 4014 2500
fax 02 4968 0349
samples.newcastle@alsenviro.com

ALS Environmental
Newcastle, NSW



CLIENT: Jacqui Hallchurch **DATE REPORTED:** 15-Oct-2018
COMPANY: GHD PTY LTD **DATE RECEIVED:** 5-Oct-2018
ADDRESS: LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY **REPORT NO:** ES1829588-043 / PSD
PROJECT: 21-27477 **SAMPLE ID:** REA02_0.0-0.5

Particle Size Distribution



| Particle Size (mm) | % Passing |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| 4.75 | 100% |
| 2.36 | 99% |
| 1.18 | 98% |
| 0.600 | 96% |
| 0.425 | 87% |
| 0.300 | 62% |
| 0.150 | 26% |
| 0.075 | 19% |
| Particle Size (microns) | |
| 56 | 19% |
| 40 | 16% |
| 28 | 15% |
| 20 | 13% |
| 14 | 13% |
| 11 | 12% |
| 8 | 11% |
| 5 | 9% |
| 2 | 8% |

Analysis Notes

Samples analysed as received.

Median Particle Size is not covered under the current scope of ALS's NATA accreditation.

| | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Median Particle Size (mm)* | 0.250 |
|----------------------------|-------|

Sample Comments:

Analysed: 10-Oct-18

Loss on Pretreatment NA

Limit of Reporting: 1%

Sample Description: FINES, SAND

Dispersion Method Shaker

Test Method: AS1289.3.6.2/AS1289.3.6.3

Hydrometer Type ASTM E100

Soil Particle Density (<2.36mm) 2.62 g/cm³

NATA Accreditation: 825 Site: Newcastle
This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.



D Blane

Dianne Blane
Laboratory Coordinator
Authorised Signatory

Certificate of Analysis


ALS Laboratory Group Pty Ltd
5/585 Maitland Road
Mayfield West, NSW 2304
pH 02 4014 2500
fax 02 4968 0349
samples.newcastle@alsenviro.com

ALS Environmental
Newcastle, NSW



CLIENT: Jacqui Hallchurch **DATE REPORTED:** 15-Oct-2018
COMPANY: GHD PTY LTD **DATE RECEIVED:** 5-Oct-2018
ADDRESS: LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY **REPORT NO:** ES1829588-047 / PSD
PROJECT: 21-27477 **SAMPLE ID:** REA02_2.0-2.5

Particle Size Distribution



| Particle Size (mm) | % Passing |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| 9.50 | 100% |
| 4.75 | 98% |
| 2.36 | 97% |
| 1.18 | 96% |
| 0.600 | 94% |
| 0.425 | 92% |
| 0.300 | 88% |
| 0.150 | 50% |
| 0.075 | 31% |
| Particle Size (microns) | |
| 58 | 28% |
| 41 | 25% |
| 29 | 25% |
| 20 | 22% |
| 15 | 20% |
| 11 | 20% |
| 7 | 18% |
| 5 | 14% |
| 2 | 12% |

Analysis Notes

Samples analysed as received.

Median Particle Size is not covered under the current scope of ALS's NATA accreditation.

| | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Median Particle Size (mm)* | 0.150 |
|----------------------------|-------|

Sample Comments:

Analysed: 10-Oct-18

Loss on Pretreatment NA

Limit of Reporting: 1%

Sample Description: FINES, SAND, SHELLS

Dispersion Method Shaker

Test Method: AS1289.3.6.2/AS1289.3.6.3

Hydrometer Type ASTM E100

Soil Particle Density (<2.36mm) 2.54 g/cm³



D Blane

Dianne Blane
Laboratory Coordinator
Authorised Signatory

NATA Accreditation: 825 Site: Newcastle
This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

Certificate of Analysis


ALS Laboratory Group Pty Ltd
5/585 Maitland Road
Mayfield West, NSW 2304
pH 02 4014 2500
fax 02 4968 0349
samples.newcastle@alsenviro.com

ALS Environmental
Newcastle, NSW



CLIENT: Jacqui Hallchurch **DATE REPORTED:** 15-Oct-2018
COMPANY: GHD PTY LTD **DATE RECEIVED:** 5-Oct-2018
ADDRESS: LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY **REPORT NO:** ES1829588-049 / PSD
PROJECT: 21-27477 **SAMPLE ID:** SED01_0.0-0.5

Particle Size Distribution



| Particle Size (mm) | % Passing |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| 9.50 | 100% |
| 4.75 | 95% |
| 2.36 | 91% |
| 1.18 | 85% |
| 0.600 | 78% |
| 0.425 | 74% |
| 0.300 | 71% |
| 0.150 | 63% |
| 0.075 | 55% |
| Particle Size (microns) | |
| 51 | 51% |
| 38 | 46% |
| 27 | 44% |
| 19 | 40% |
| 15 | 37% |
| 10 | 34% |
| 7 | 31% |
| 5 | 27% |
| 2 | 19% |

Analysis Notes

Samples analysed as received.

* Soil Particle Density results fell outside the scope of AS 1289.3.6.3. Typical sediment SPD values used for calculations and consequently, NATA endorsement does not apply to hydrometer results

Median Particle Size is not covered under the current scope of ALS's NATA accreditation.

| | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Median Particle Size (mm)* | 0.048 |
|----------------------------|-------|

Sample Comments:

Analysed: 10-Oct-18

Loss on Pretreatment NA

Limit of Reporting: 1%

Sample Description: FINES, SAND, GRAVEL

Dispersion Method Shaker

Test Method: AS1289.3.6.2/AS1289.3.6.3

Hydrometer Type ASTM E100

Soil Particle Density (<2.36mm) 2.34 (2.45)* g/cm³

NATA Accreditation: 825 Site: Newcastle

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.



D Blane

Dianne Blane
Laboratory Coordinator
Authorised Signatory

Certificate of Analysis


ALS Laboratory Group Pty Ltd
5/585 Maitland Road
Mayfield West, NSW 2304
pH 02 4014 2500
fax 02 4968 0349
samples.newcastle@alsenviro.com

ALS Environmental
Newcastle, NSW



CLIENT: Jacqui Hallchurch **DATE REPORTED:** 15-Oct-2018
COMPANY: GHD PTY LTD **DATE RECEIVED:** 5-Oct-2018
ADDRESS: LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY **REPORT NO:** ES1829588-052 / PSD
PROJECT: 21-27477 **SAMPLE ID:** SED02_0.5-0.5

Particle Size Distribution



| Particle Size (mm) | % Passing |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| 0.600 | 100% |
| 0.425 | 99% |
| 0.300 | 98% |
| 0.150 | 96% |
| 0.075 | 91% |
| Particle Size (microns) | |
| 48 | 76% |
| 34 | 75% |
| 24 | 72% |
| 18 | 63% |
| 13 | 58% |
| 10 | 52% |
| 7 | 45% |
| 5 | 38% |
| 2 | 26% |

Analysis Notes

Samples analysed as received.

* Soil Particle Density results fell outside the scope of AS 1289.3.6.3. Typical sediment SPD values used for calculations and consequently, NATA endorsement does not apply to hydrometer results

Median Particle Size is not covered under the current scope of ALS's NATA accreditation.

| | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Median Particle Size (mm)* | 0.009 |
|----------------------------|-------|

Sample Comments:

Analysed: 10-Oct-18

Loss on Pretreatment NA

Limit of Reporting: 1%

Sample Description: FINES, SAND

Dispersion Method Shaker

Test Method: AS1289.3.6.2/AS1289.3.6.3

Hydrometer Type ASTM E100

Soil Particle Density (<2.36mm) 2.37 (2.45)* g/cm³

NATA Accreditation: 825 Site: Newcastle

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.



D Blane

Dianne Blane
Laboratory Coordinator
Authorised Signatory

Certificate of Analysis


ALS Laboratory Group Pty Ltd
5/585 Maitland Road
Mayfield West, NSW 2304
pH 02 4014 2500
fax 02 4968 0349
samples.newcastle@alsenviro.com

ALS Environmental
Newcastle, NSW



CLIENT: Jacqui Hallchurch **DATE REPORTED:** 18-Oct-2018
COMPANY: GHD PTY LTD **DATE RECEIVED:** 5-Oct-2018
ADDRESS: LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY **REPORT NO:** ES1829588-055 / PSD
PROJECT: 21-27477 **SAMPLE ID:** SED03_0.0-0.5

Particle Size Distribution



| Particle Size (mm) | % Passing |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| 1.18 | 100% |
| 0.600 | 99% |
| 0.425 | 98% |
| 0.300 | 96% |
| 0.150 | 92% |
| 0.075 | 85% |
| Particle Size (microns) | |
| 50 | 72% |
| 35 | 67% |
| 26 | 61% |
| 19 | 55% |
| 14 | 50% |
| 10 | 45% |
| 7 | 40% |
| 5 | 37% |
| 1 | 24% |

Analysis Notes

Samples analysed as received.

* Soil Particle Density results fell outside the scope of AS 1289.3.6.3. Typical sediment SPD values used for calculations and consequently, NATA endorsement does not apply to hydrometer results

Median Particle Size is not covered under the current scope of ALS's NATA accreditation.

| | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Median Particle Size (mm)* | 0.014 |
|----------------------------|-------|

Sample Comments:

Analysed: 10-Oct-18

Loss on Pretreatment NA

Limit of Reporting: 1%

Sample Description: FINES, SAND

Dispersion Method Shaker

Test Method: AS1289.3.6.2/AS1289.3.6.3

Hydrometer Type ASTM E100

Soil Particle Density (<2.36mm) 2.39 (2.45)* g/cm³

NATA Accreditation: 825 Site: Newcastle

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.



D Blane

Dianne Blane
Laboratory Coordinator
Authorised Signatory

Certificate of Analysis


ALS Laboratory Group Pty Ltd
5/585 Maitland Road
Mayfield West, NSW 2304
pH 02 4014 2500
fax 02 4968 0349
samples.newcastle@alsenviro.com

ALS Environmental
Newcastle, NSW



CLIENT: Jacqui Hallchurch **DATE REPORTED:** 15-Oct-2018
COMPANY: GHD PTY LTD **DATE RECEIVED:** 5-Oct-2018
ADDRESS: LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY **REPORT NO:** ES1829588-057 / PSD
PROJECT: 21-27477 **SAMPLE ID:** SED07_0.0-0.5

Particle Size Distribution



| Particle Size (mm) | % Passing |
|-------------------------|-----------|
| 1.18 | 100% |
| 0.600 | 99% |
| 0.425 | 99% |
| 0.300 | 97% |
| 0.150 | 91% |
| 0.075 | 81% |
| Particle Size (microns) | |
| 48 | 73% |
| 36 | 63% |
| 27 | 57% |
| 19 | 53% |
| 14 | 49% |
| 10 | 43% |
| 7 | 36% |
| 5 | 31% |
| 2 | 22% |

Analysis Notes

Samples analysed as received.

* Soil Particle Density results fell outside the scope of AS 1289.3.6.3. Typical sediment SPD values used for calculations and consequently, NATA endorsement does not apply to hydrometer results

Median Particle Size is not covered under the current scope of ALS's NATA accreditation.

| | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Median Particle Size (mm)* | 0.015 |
|----------------------------|-------|

Sample Comments:

Analysed: 10-Oct-18

Loss on Pretreatment NA

Limit of Reporting: 1%

Sample Description: FINES, SAND

Dispersion Method Shaker

Test Method: AS1289.3.6.2/AS1289.3.6.3

Hydrometer Type ASTM E100

Soil Particle Density (<2.36mm) 2.39 (2.45)* g/cm³

NATA Accreditation: 825 Site: Newcastle

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.



D Blane

Dianne Blane
Laboratory Coordinator
Authorised Signatory

ANALYSIS

| | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Client | GHD PTY LTD | Laboratory : | Environmental Division Sydney | 1 of 15 |
| Contact | MS JACQUI HALLCHURCH | Contact | CUSTOMER.SERVICES.ES | Work Order: ES1890029 |
| Address: | LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY NSW, AUSTRALIA 2000 | Address: | 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW 2164 Australia | |

| | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| Project | 21-27477 | Quote # | --- | Received: | 4 Oct 2018 |
| Order # | - Not provided - | | | Issued | 23 Oct 2018 |
| C-O-C # | - Not provided - | | | | |
| Site | - Not provided - | | | | |
| E-mail | jacqui.hallchurch@ghd.com | E-mail | ALSEnviro.Sydney@alsglobal.com | Number of Samples | |
| Phone | 9239 7100 | Phone | +61-2-8784 8555 | Received: | 14 |
| Fax | 9239 7199 | Fax | +61-2-8784 8500 | Analysed: | 14 |

Notes
 LOR = Limit of reporting
 I-TEF = International toxic equivalency factor
 I-TEQ = International toxic equivalence
 WHO-TEF = World Health Organisation toxic equivalency factor
 WHO-TEQ = World Health Organisation toxic equivalence
 Samples analysed 'as received', results reported on 'dry weight' basis.

- 1 I-TEQ_(zero) and WHO-TEQ_(zero) calculated treating <LOR as zero concentration
- 2 I-TEQ_(0.5 LOR) and WHO-TEQ_(0.5 zero) calculated treating <LOR as 0.5 LoR concentration
- 3 I-TEQ_(LOR) and WHO-TEQ_(LOR) calculated treating <LOR as LoR concentration
- 4 Totals LORs are calculated by multiplying the number of peaks by the individual LOR per compound
- 5 13C12 Rec(%) = The absolute recovery of Isotopically labelled compound added by the Laboratory to both quantitate and measure extraction efficiency.

T = tetra
 Pe = penta
 Hx = hexa
 Hp = hepta
 O = octa
 CDD, dioxin = chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxin
 CDF, furan = chlorinated dibenzofuran

ALSE - Excellence in Analytical Testing



NATA Accredited Laboratory - 825

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements.

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025

This document has been digitally signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories. Digital signing has been carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

| Signatory | Position | Department |
|------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|
| Peter Blow | HRMS Chemist | GC/HR-MS - NATA 825 (818 - Brisbane) |

Client : GHD PTY LTD
 Project : 21-27477

Work Order : ES1890029
 ALS Quote Reference : ---



ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR DIOXINS AND FURANS

Method Code EP300

Laboratory Sample ID: ES1890029001
 Client Sample ID: SED06_0.0-0.5

Qc Lot Number: 4533955
 Sample Matrix: SOIL

Date Sampled: 05-Oct-2018
 Date Extracted: 19-Oct-2018
 Date Analysed: 19-Oct-2018

| Compound | Conc pg/g | LOR pg/g | WHO-TEF | WHO-TEQ ₁ (zero) | WHO-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | WHO-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | I-TEF | I-TEQ ₁ (zero) | I-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | I-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | ¹³ C ₁₂ Rec(%) |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 2378-TCDD | <0.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 102.5 |
| 12378-PeCDD | <2.5 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.24 | 2.49 | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 1.24 | 94.4 |
| 123478-HxCDD | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 60.7 |
| 123678-HxCDD | 3.9 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.1 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 86.9 |
| 123789-HxCDD | 7.0 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.1 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | - |
| 1234678-HpCDD | 159.0 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 1.59 | 1.59 | 1.59 | 0.01 | 1.59 | 1.59 | 1.59 | 53.6 |
| OCDD | 7480.0 | 9.9 | 0.0003 | 2.24 | 2.24 | 2.24 | 0.001 | 7.48 | 7.48 | 7.48 | 20.0 |
| 2378-TCDF | 2.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.1 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 88.0 |
| 12378-PeCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 82.1 |
| 23478-PeCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 1.24 | 75.5 |
| 123478-HxCDF | 3.8 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.1 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 64.0 |
| 123678-HxCDF | 2.9 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.1 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 92.8 |
| 234678-HxCDF | 2.8 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.1 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 74.8 |
| 123789-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 106.0 |
| 1234678-HpCDF | 16.9 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 41.4 |
| 1234789-HpCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 50.7 |
| OCDF | 34.1 | 5.0 | 0.0003 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | - |
| Total TEQ | - | - | - | 6.33 | 8.49 | 10.65 | - | 11.59 | 13.40 | 15.21 | - |

| Group Totals | Conc pg/g | LOR ₄ pg/g | No. of Peaks |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Tetra-Dioxins | 144.0 | 5.5 | 11 |
| Penta-Dioxins | 72.6 | 19.9 | 8 |
| Hexa-Dioxins | 567.0 | 19.9 | 8 |
| Hepta-Dioxins | 794.0 | 5.0 | 2 |
| Octa-Dioxin | 7480.0 | 9.9 | 1 |
| Tetra-Furans | 44.4 | 11.4 | 23 |
| Penta-Furans | 29.3 | 27.3 | 11 |
| Hexa-Furans | <29.8 | 29.8 | 12 |
| Hepta-Furans | 38.8 | 9.9 | 4 |
| Octa-Furan | 34.1 | 5.0 | 1 |
| Σ PCDD/Fs | 9204.2 | | |

Client : GHD PTY LTD
 Project : 21-27477

Work Order : ES1890029
 ALS Quote Reference : ---



ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR DIOXINS AND FURANS

Method Code EP300

Laboratory Sample ID: ES1890029002
 Client Sample ID: SED06_2.2-2.5

Qc Lot Number: 4533955
 Sample Matrix: SOIL

Date Sampled: 05-Oct-2018
 Date Extracted: 19-Oct-2018
 Date Analysed: 19-Oct-2018

| Compound | Conc pg/g | LOR pg/g | WHO-TEF | WHO-TEQ ₁ (zero) | WHO-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | WHO-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | I-TEF | I-TEQ ₁ (zero) | I-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | I-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | ¹³ C ₁₂ Rec(%) |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 2378-TCDD | <0.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 100.8 |
| 12378-PeCDD | <2.5 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.24 | 2.49 | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 1.24 | 128.0 |
| 123478-HxCDD | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 53.0 |
| 123678-HxCDD | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 84.7 |
| 123789-HxCDD | 3.3 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.1 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | - |
| 1234678-HpCDD | 75.3 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.01 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 59.9 |
| OCDD | 3570.0 | 9.9 | 0.0003 | 1.07 | 1.07 | 1.07 | 0.001 | 3.57 | 3.57 | 3.57 | 33.9 |
| 2378-TCDF | 1.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.1 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 100.4 |
| 12378-PeCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 95.5 |
| 23478-PeCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 1.24 | 98.1 |
| 123478-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 48.5 |
| 123678-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 71.0 |
| 234678-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 64.9 |
| 123789-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 62.7 |
| 1234678-HpCDF | 8.8 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 41.9 |
| 1234789-HpCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 49.4 |
| OCDF | 14.2 | 5.0 | 0.0003 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | - |
| Total TEQ | - | - | - | 2.44 | 5.10 | 7.75 | - | 4.95 | 7.26 | 9.57 | - |

| Group Totals | Conc pg/g | LOR ₄ pg/g | No. of Peaks |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Tetra-Dioxins | 74.0 | 7.0 | 14 |
| Penta-Dioxins | 49.3 | 22.4 | 9 |
| Hexa-Dioxins | 273.0 | 22.4 | 9 |
| Hepta-Dioxins | 359.0 | 5.0 | 2 |
| Octa-Dioxin | 3570.0 | 9.9 | 1 |
| Tetra-Furans | 39.5 | 9.9 | 20 |
| Penta-Furans | 25.7 | 24.9 | 10 |
| Hexa-Furans | <24.9 | 24.9 | 10 |
| Hepta-Furans | 20.0 | 9.9 | 4 |
| Octa-Furan | 14.2 | 5.0 | 1 |
| Σ PCDD/Fs | 4424.7 | | |

Client : GHD PTY LTD
 Project : 21-27477

Work Order : ES1890029
 ALS Quote Reference : ---



ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR DIOXINS AND FURANS

Method Code EP300 Laboratory Sample ID: ES1890029003 Qc Lot Number: 4533955 Date Sampled: 05-Oct-2018
 Client Sample ID: SED05_0.0-0.5 Sample Matrix: SOIL Date Extracted: 19-Oct-2018
 Date Analysed: 19-Oct-2018

| Compound | Conc pg/g | LOR pg/g | WHO-TEF | WHO-TEQ ₁ (zero) | WHO-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | WHO-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | I-TEF | I-TEQ ₁ (zero) | I-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | I-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | ¹³ C ₁₂ Rec(%) |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 2378-TCDD | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.06 | 1.06 | 1.06 | 1 | 1.06 | 1.06 | 1.06 | 95.4 |
| 12378-PeCDD | <2.5 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.25 | 2.50 | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 1.25 | 91.6 |
| 123478-HxCDD | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 53.9 |
| 123678-HxCDD | 3.9 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.1 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 79.8 |
| 123789-HxCDD | 7.1 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.1 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | - |
| 1234678-HpCDD | 155.0 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 1.55 | 1.55 | 1.55 | 0.01 | 1.55 | 1.55 | 1.55 | 54.0 |
| OCDD | 8820.0 | 10.0 | 0.0003 | 2.65 | 2.65 | 2.65 | 0.001 | 8.82 | 8.82 | 8.82 | 25.5 |
| 2378-TCDF | 3.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.1 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 83.0 |
| 12378-PeCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 87.5 |
| 23478-PeCDF | 2.7 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.5 | 1.36 | 1.36 | 1.36 | 83.8 |
| 123478-HxCDF | 3.8 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.1 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 44.0 |
| 123678-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 68.4 |
| 234678-HxCDF | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 63.5 |
| 123789-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 64.5 |
| 1234678-HpCDF | 14.9 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 37.8 |
| 1234789-HpCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 46.0 |
| OCDF | 24.3 | 5.0 | 0.0003 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | - |
| Total TEQ | - | - | - | 8.27 | 9.95 | 11.62 | - | 15.01 | 16.08 | 17.16 | - |

| Group Totals | Conc pg/g | LOR ₄ pg/g | No. of Peaks |
|------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Tetra-Dioxins | 123.0 | 5.5 | 11 |
| Penta-Dioxins | 102.0 | 25.0 | 10 |
| Hexa-Dioxins | 556.0 | 20.0 | 8 |
| Hepta-Dioxins | 857.0 | 5.0 | 2 |
| Octa-Dioxin | 8820.0 | 10.0 | 1 |
| Tetra-Furans | 58.5 | 9.5 | 19 |
| Penta-Furans | 32.9 | 22.5 | 9 |
| Hexa-Furans | <25.0 | 25.0 | 10 |
| Hepta-Furans | 29.6 | 10.0 | 4 |
| Octa-Furan | 24.3 | 5.0 | 1 |
| Σ PCDD/Fs | 10603.3 | | |

Client : GHD PTY LTD
 Project : 21-27477

Work Order : ES1890029
 ALS Quote Reference : ---



ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR DIOXINS AND FURANS

Method Code EP300

Laboratory Sample ID: ES1890029004
 Client Sample ID: SED05_1.0-1.5

Qc Lot Number: 4533955
 Sample Matrix: SOIL

Date Sampled: 05-Oct-2018
 Date Extracted: 19-Oct-2018
 Date Analysed: 19-Oct-2018

| Compound | Conc pg/g | LOR pg/g | WHO-TEF | WHO-TEQ ₁ (zero) | WHO-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | WHO-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | I-TEF | I-TEQ ₁ (zero) | I-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | I-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | ¹³ C ₁₂ Rec(%) |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 2378-TCDD | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 1 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 100.2 |
| 12378-PeCDD | <2.4 | 2.4 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.21 | 2.42 | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 1.21 | 92.7 |
| 123478-HxCDD | <2.4 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 53.2 |
| 123678-HxCDD | 3.1 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.1 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 80.2 |
| 123789-HxCDD | 4.8 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.1 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | - |
| 1234678-HpCDD | 124.0 | 2.4 | 0.01 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 0.01 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 53.5 |
| OCDD | 7430.0 | 9.7 | 0.0003 | 2.23 | 2.23 | 2.23 | 0.001 | 7.43 | 7.43 | 7.43 | 28.2 |
| 2378-TCDF | 2.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.1 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 71.6 |
| 12378-PeCDF | <2.4 | 2.4 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 72.2 |
| 23478-PeCDF | 3.2 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.5 | 1.59 | 1.59 | 1.59 | 75.3 |
| 123478-HxCDF | 3.4 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.1 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 46.1 |
| 123678-HxCDF | <2.4 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 72.8 |
| 234678-HxCDF | 3.1 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.1 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 63.2 |
| 123789-HxCDF | <2.4 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 71.8 |
| 1234678-HpCDF | 17.1 | 2.4 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 37.4 |
| 1234789-HpCDF | <2.4 | 2.4 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 49.6 |
| OCDF | 30.6 | 4.8 | 0.0003 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | - |
| Total TEQ | - | - | - | 6.84 | 8.46 | 10.08 | - | 12.70 | 13.74 | 14.78 | - |

| Group Totals | Conc pg/g | LOR ₄ pg/g | No. of Peaks |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Tetra-Dioxins | 92.8 | 6.8 | 14 |
| Penta-Dioxins | 67.8 | 19.4 | 8 |
| Hexa-Dioxins | 450.0 | 21.8 | 9 |
| Hepta-Dioxins | 708.0 | 4.8 | 2 |
| Octa-Dioxin | 7430.0 | 9.7 | 1 |
| Tetra-Furans | 64.6 | 9.2 | 19 |
| Penta-Furans | 43.7 | 26.6 | 11 |
| Hexa-Furans | <26.6 | 26.6 | 11 |
| Hepta-Furans | 36.5 | 9.7 | 4 |
| Octa-Furan | 30.6 | 4.8 | 1 |
| Σ PCDD/Fs | 8924.0 | | |

Client : GHD PTY LTD
 Project : 21-27477

Work Order : ES1890029
 ALS Quote Reference : ---



ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR DIOXINS AND FURANS

Method Code EP300 Laboratory Sample ID: ES1890029008 Qc Lot Number: 4533954 Date Sampled: 05-Oct-2018
 Client Sample ID: REA01_0.0-0.5 Sample Matrix: SOIL Date Extracted: 19-Oct-2018
 Date Analysed: 19-Oct-2018

| Compound | Conc pg/g | LOR pg/g | WHO-TEF | WHO-TEQ ₁ (zero) | WHO-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | WHO-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | I-TEF | I-TEQ ₁ (zero) | I-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | I-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | ¹³ C ₁₂ Rec(%) |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 2378-TCDD | <0.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 93.3 |
| 12378-PeCDD | <2.5 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.24 | 2.48 | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 1.24 | 97.5 |
| 123478-HxCDD | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 53.8 |
| 123678-HxCDD | 4.8 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.1 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 87.4 |
| 123789-HxCDD | 7.2 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.1 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | - |
| 1234678-HpCDD | 171.0 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 1.71 | 1.71 | 1.71 | 0.01 | 1.71 | 1.71 | 1.71 | 63.3 |
| OCDD | 5720.0 | 9.9 | 0.0003 | 1.72 | 1.72 | 1.72 | 0.001 | 5.72 | 5.72 | 5.72 | 38.8 |
| 2378-TCDF | 4.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.1 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 69.1 |
| 12378-PeCDF | 5.3 | 2.5 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 76.9 |
| 23478-PeCDF | 8.7 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 2.62 | 2.62 | 2.62 | 0.5 | 4.36 | 4.36 | 4.36 | 79.0 |
| 123478-HxCDF | 12.8 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 1.28 | 1.28 | 1.28 | 0.1 | 1.28 | 1.28 | 1.28 | 44.5 |
| 123678-HxCDF | 8.4 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.1 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 77.0 |
| 234678-HxCDF | 9.3 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.1 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 68.0 |
| 123789-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 70.8 |
| 1234678-HpCDF | 44.4 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.01 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 42.8 |
| 1234789-HpCDF | 6.9 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 54.5 |
| OCDF | 72.5 | 5.0 | 0.0003 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.001 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | - |
| Total TEQ | - | - | - | 11.68 | 13.29 | 14.90 | - | 17.59 | 18.58 | 19.57 | - |

| Group Totals | Conc pg/g | LOR ₄ pg/g | No. of Peaks |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Tetra-Dioxins | 82.5 | 5.5 | 11 |
| Penta-Dioxins | 75.2 | 19.8 | 8 |
| Hexa-Dioxins | 479.0 | 17.3 | 7 |
| Hepta-Dioxins | 738.0 | 5.0 | 2 |
| Octa-Dioxin | 5720.0 | 9.9 | 1 |
| Tetra-Furans | 74.5 | 10.4 | 21 |
| Penta-Furans | 85.3 | 29.7 | 12 |
| Hexa-Furans | 70.3 | 24.8 | 10 |
| Hepta-Furans | 80.3 | 9.9 | 4 |
| Octa-Furan | 72.5 | 5.0 | 1 |
| Σ PCDD/Fs | 7477.6 | | |

Client : GHD PTY LTD
 Project : 21-27477

Work Order : ES1890029
 ALS Quote Reference : ---



ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR DIOXINS AND FURANS

Method Code EP300 Laboratory Sample ID: ES1890029009 Qc Lot Number: 4533955 Date Sampled: 05-Oct-2018
 Client Sample ID: REA01_1.0-1.5 Sample Matrix: SOIL Date Extracted: 19-Oct-2018
 Date Analysed: 19-Oct-2018

| Compound | Conc pg/g | LOR pg/g | WHO-TEF | WHO-TEQ ₁ (zero) | WHO-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | WHO-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | I-TEF | I-TEQ ₁ (zero) | I-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | I-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | ¹³ C ₁₂ Rec(%) |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 2378-TCDD | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 1 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 87.3 |
| 12378-PeCDD | 3.7 | 2.5 | 1 | 3.71 | 3.71 | 3.71 | 0.5 | 1.86 | 1.86 | 1.86 | 97.1 |
| 123478-HxCDD | 5.6 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.1 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 46.7 |
| 123678-HxCDD | 9.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.1 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 72.5 |
| 123789-HxCDD | 17.0 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 0.1 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | - |
| 1234678-HpCDD | 289.0 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 2.89 | 2.89 | 2.89 | 0.01 | 2.89 | 2.89 | 2.89 | 54.4 |
| OCDD | 15000.0 | 10.0 | 0.0003 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 0.001 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 30.2 |
| 2378-TCDF | 4.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.1 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 72.1 |
| 12378-PeCDF | 4.6 | 2.5 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 77.4 |
| 23478-PeCDF | 8.9 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 2.66 | 2.66 | 2.66 | 0.5 | 4.44 | 4.44 | 4.44 | 78.8 |
| 123478-HxCDF | 11.8 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 1.18 | 1.18 | 1.18 | 0.1 | 1.18 | 1.18 | 1.18 | 38.2 |
| 123678-HxCDF | 7.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.1 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 65.1 |
| 234678-HxCDF | 8.7 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.1 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 56.8 |
| 123789-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 62.8 |
| 1234678-HpCDF | 42.8 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.01 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 34.5 |
| 1234789-HpCDF | 4.2 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 48.0 |
| OCDF | 49.0 | 5.0 | 0.0003 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | - |
| Total TEQ | - | - | - | 21.69 | 21.82 | 21.94 | - | 32.24 | 32.36 | 32.49 | - |

| Group Totals | Conc pg/g | LOR ₄ pg/g | No. of Peaks |
|------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Tetra-Dioxins | 211.0 | 5.5 | 11 |
| Penta-Dioxins | 162.0 | 22.4 | 9 |
| Hexa-Dioxins | 1190.0 | 19.9 | 8 |
| Hepta-Dioxins | 1630.0 | 5.0 | 2 |
| Octa-Dioxin | 15000.0 | 10.0 | 1 |
| Tetra-Furans | 79.8 | 9.5 | 19 |
| Penta-Furans | 81.7 | 27.4 | 11 |
| Hexa-Furans | 65.1 | 27.4 | 11 |
| Hepta-Furans | 78.4 | 10.0 | 4 |
| Octa-Furan | 49.0 | 5.0 | 1 |
| Σ PCDD/Fs | 18547.0 | | |

Client : GHD PTY LTD
 Project : 21-27477

Work Order : ES1890029
 ALS Quote Reference : ---



ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR DIOXINS AND FURANS

Method Code EP300 Laboratory Sample ID: ES1890029010 Qc Lot Number: 4533955 Date Sampled: 05-Oct-2018
 Client Sample ID: SED04_0.0-0.5 Sample Matrix: SOIL Date Extracted: 19-Oct-2018
 Date Analysed: 19-Oct-2018

| Compound | Conc pg/g | LOR pg/g | WHO-TEF | WHO-TEQ ₁ (zero) | WHO-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | WHO-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | I-TEF | I-TEQ ₁ (zero) | I-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | I-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | ¹³ C ₁₂ Rec(%) |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 2378-TCDD | <0.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.49 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.49 | 98.5 |
| 12378-PeCDD | <2.5 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.23 | 2.47 | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 1.23 | 99.7 |
| 123478-HxCDD | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 54.1 |
| 123678-HxCDD | 3.7 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.1 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 84.1 |
| 123789-HxCDD | 7.6 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.1 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.76 | - |
| 1234678-HpCDD | 149.0 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 1.49 | 1.49 | 1.49 | 0.01 | 1.49 | 1.49 | 1.49 | 63.5 |
| OCDD | 8540.0 | 9.9 | 0.0003 | 2.56 | 2.56 | 2.56 | 0.001 | 8.54 | 8.54 | 8.54 | 34.5 |
| 2378-TCDF | 2.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.1 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 83.3 |
| 12378-PeCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 92.6 |
| 23478-PeCDF | 2.6 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.5 | 1.29 | 1.29 | 1.29 | 95.3 |
| 123478-HxCDF | 2.7 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.1 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 45.8 |
| 123678-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 72.8 |
| 234678-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 69.6 |
| 123789-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 68.5 |
| 1234678-HpCDF | 12.7 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 42.0 |
| 1234789-HpCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 52.2 |
| OCDF | 24.4 | 4.9 | 0.0003 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | - |
| Total TEQ | - | - | - | 6.60 | 8.62 | 10.64 | - | 13.11 | 14.54 | 15.97 | - |

| Group Totals | Conc pg/g | LOR ₄ pg/g | No. of Peaks |
|------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Tetra-Dioxins | 121.0 | 5.9 | 12 |
| Penta-Dioxins | 101.0 | 19.7 | 8 |
| Hexa-Dioxins | 537.0 | 19.7 | 8 |
| Hepta-Dioxins | 831.0 | 4.9 | 2 |
| Octa-Dioxin | 8540.0 | 9.9 | 1 |
| Tetra-Furans | 41.1 | 9.4 | 19 |
| Penta-Furans | <32.1 | 32.1 | 13 |
| Hexa-Furans | <19.7 | 19.7 | 8 |
| Hepta-Furans | 26.9 | 9.9 | 4 |
| Octa-Furan | 24.4 | 4.9 | 1 |
| Σ PCDD/Fs | 10222.4 | | |

Client : GHD PTY LTD
 Project : 21-27477

Work Order : ES1890029
 ALS Quote Reference : ---



ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR DIOXINS AND FURANS

Method Code EP300 Laboratory Sample ID: ES1890029011 Qc Lot Number: 4533955 Date Sampled: 05-Oct-2018
 Client Sample ID: SED04_1.0-1.5 Sample Matrix: SOIL Date Extracted: 19-Oct-2018
 Date Analysed: 19-Oct-2018

| Compound | Conc pg/g | LOR pg/g | WHO-TEF | WHO-TEQ ₁ (zero) | WHO-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | WHO-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | I-TEF | I-TEQ ₁ (zero) | I-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | I-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | ¹³ C ₁₂ Rec(%) |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 2378-TCDD | <0.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 93.9 |
| 12378-PeCDD | <2.5 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.25 | 2.50 | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 1.25 | 96.3 |
| 123478-HxCDD | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 46.3 |
| 123678-HxCDD | 3.6 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.1 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 73.9 |
| 123789-HxCDD | 7.6 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.1 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.76 | - |
| 1234678-HpCDD | 138.0 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 0.01 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 1.38 | 52.5 |
| OCDD | 7490.0 | 10.0 | 0.0003 | 2.25 | 2.25 | 2.25 | 0.001 | 7.49 | 7.49 | 7.49 | 28.9 |
| 2378-TCDF | 2.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.1 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 69.5 |
| 12378-PeCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 75.1 |
| 23478-PeCDF | 2.6 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.5 | 1.28 | 1.28 | 1.28 | 75.0 |
| 123478-HxCDF | 3.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.1 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 39.1 |
| 123678-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 63.0 |
| 234678-HxCDF | 2.7 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.1 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 58.2 |
| 123789-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 55.5 |
| 1234678-HpCDF | 14.9 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 36.6 |
| 1234789-HpCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 50.3 |
| OCDF | 30.5 | 5.0 | 0.0003 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | - |
| Total TEQ | - | - | - | 6.55 | 8.47 | 10.39 | - | 12.33 | 13.65 | 14.97 | - |

| Group Totals | Conc pg/g | LOR ₄ pg/g | No. of Peaks |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Tetra-Dioxins | 83.7 | 6.0 | 12 |
| Penta-Dioxins | 58.2 | 17.5 | 7 |
| Hexa-Dioxins | 448.0 | 29.9 | 12 |
| Hepta-Dioxins | 698.0 | 5.0 | 2 |
| Octa-Dioxin | 7490.0 | 10.0 | 1 |
| Tetra-Furans | 36.4 | 9.5 | 19 |
| Penta-Furans | 29.2 | 25.0 | 10 |
| Hexa-Furans | <32.4 | 32.4 | 13 |
| Hepta-Furans | 32.7 | 10.0 | 4 |
| Octa-Furan | 30.5 | 5.0 | 1 |
| Σ PCDD/Fs | 8906.7 | | |

Client : GHD PTY LTD
 Project : 21-27477

Work Order : ES1890029
 ALS Quote Reference : ---



ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR DIOXINS AND FURANS

Method Code EP300 Laboratory Sample ID: ES1890029013 Qc Lot Number: 4533954 Date Sampled: 05-Oct-2018
 Client Sample ID: REA02_0.0-0.5 Sample Matrix: SOIL Date Extracted: 19-Oct-2018
 Date Analysed: 19-Oct-2018

| Compound | Conc pg/g | LOR pg/g | WHO-TEF | WHO-TEQ ₁ (zero) | WHO-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | WHO-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | I-TEF | I-TEQ ₁ (zero) | I-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | I-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | ¹³ C ₁₂ Rec(%) |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 2378-TCDD | <0.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.49 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.49 | 45.1 |
| 12378-PeCDD | <2.5 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.24 | 2.47 | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 1.24 | 52.9 |
| 123478-HxCDD | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 33.3 |
| 123678-HxCDD | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 50.1 |
| 123789-HxCDD | 3.1 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.1 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | - |
| 1234678-HpCDD | 64.6 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.01 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 40.3 |
| OCDD | 3430.0 | 9.9 | 0.0003 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 0.001 | 3.43 | 3.43 | 3.43 | 24.8 |
| 2378-TCDF | <0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 36.1 |
| 12378-PeCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 41.6 |
| 23478-PeCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.74 | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 1.24 | 42.3 |
| 123478-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 28.2 |
| 123678-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 46.3 |
| 234678-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 39.7 |
| 123789-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 42.9 |
| 1234678-HpCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 27.4 |
| 1234789-HpCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 37.8 |
| OCDF | <4.9 | 4.9 | 0.0003 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.001 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | - |
| Total TEQ | - | - | - | 1.98 | 4.66 | 7.35 | - | 4.38 | 6.72 | 9.06 | - |

| Group Totals | Conc pg/g | LOR ₄ pg/g | No. of Peaks |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Tetra-Dioxins | 137.0 | 4.0 | 8 |
| Penta-Dioxins | 77.5 | 17.3 | 7 |
| Hexa-Dioxins | 532.0 | 14.8 | 6 |
| Hepta-Dioxins | 685.0 | 4.9 | 2 |
| Octa-Dioxin | 3430.0 | 9.9 | 1 |
| Tetra-Furans | <7.9 | 7.9 | 16 |
| Penta-Furans | <27.2 | 27.2 | 11 |
| Hexa-Furans | <19.8 | 19.8 | 8 |
| Hepta-Furans | <4.9 | 4.9 | 2 |
| Octa-Furan | <4.9 | 4.9 | 1 |
| Σ PCDD/Fs | 4861.5 | | |

Client : GHD PTY LTD
 Project : 21-27477

Work Order : ES1890029
 ALS Quote Reference : ---



ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR DIOXINS AND FURANS

Method Code EP300

Laboratory Sample ID: ES1890029015
 Client Sample ID: SED01_0.0-0.5

Qc Lot Number: 4533955
 Sample Matrix: SOIL

Date Sampled: 05-Oct-2018
 Date Extracted: 19-Oct-2018
 Date Analysed: 19-Oct-2018

| Compound | Conc pg/g | LOR pg/g | WHO-TEF | WHO-TEQ ₁ (zero) | WHO-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | WHO-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | I-TEF | I-TEQ ₁ (zero) | I-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | I-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | ¹³ C ₁₂ Rec(%) |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 2378-TCDD | <0.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.49 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.49 | 99.0 |
| 12378-PeCDD | <2.5 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.23 | 2.46 | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 1.23 | 98.6 |
| 123478-HxCDD | 2.7 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.1 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 54.3 |
| 123678-HxCDD | 4.7 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.1 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 77.9 |
| 123789-HxCDD | 8.9 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.1 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | - |
| 1234678-HpCDD | 171.0 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 1.71 | 1.71 | 1.71 | 0.01 | 1.71 | 1.71 | 1.71 | 59.4 |
| OCDD | 10100.0 | 9.8 | 0.0003 | 3.03 | 3.03 | 3.03 | 0.001 | 10.10 | 10.10 | 10.10 | 34.5 |
| 2378-TCDF | 3.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.1 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 78.8 |
| 12378-PeCDF | 2.6 | 2.5 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 87.3 |
| 23478-PeCDF | 5.1 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 1.54 | 1.54 | 1.54 | 0.5 | 2.57 | 2.57 | 2.57 | 83.3 |
| 123478-HxCDF | 5.3 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.1 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 45.2 |
| 123678-HxCDF | 4.3 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.1 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 69.0 |
| 234678-HxCDF | 5.2 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.1 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 65.3 |
| 123789-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 64.1 |
| 1234678-HpCDF | 27.9 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.01 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 40.7 |
| 1234789-HpCDF | 2.7 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 57.4 |
| OCDF | 38.3 | 4.9 | 0.0003 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | - |
| Total TEQ | - | - | - | 10.11 | 11.70 | 13.30 | - | 18.28 | 19.26 | 20.24 | - |

| Group Totals | Conc pg/g | LOR ₄ pg/g | No. of Peaks |
|------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Tetra-Dioxins | 118.0 | 5.9 | 12 |
| Penta-Dioxins | 87.6 | 17.2 | 7 |
| Hexa-Dioxins | 591.0 | 22.1 | 9 |
| Hepta-Dioxins | 974.0 | 4.9 | 2 |
| Octa-Dioxin | 10100.0 | 9.8 | 1 |
| Tetra-Furans | 51.0 | 9.8 | 20 |
| Penta-Furans | 43.4 | 24.6 | 10 |
| Hexa-Furans | 46.1 | 31.9 | 13 |
| Hepta-Furans | 52.6 | 9.8 | 4 |
| Octa-Furan | 38.3 | 4.9 | 1 |
| Σ PCDD/Fs | 12102.0 | | |

Client : GHD PTY LTD
 Project : 21-27477

Work Order : ES1890029
 ALS Quote Reference : ---



ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR DIOXINS AND FURANS

Method Code EP300 Laboratory Sample ID: ES1890029016 Qc Lot Number: 4533955 Date Sampled: 05-Oct-2018
 Client Sample ID: SED02_0.0-0.5 Sample Matrix: SOIL Date Extracted: 19-Oct-2018
 Date Analysed: 19-Oct-2018

| Compound | Conc pg/g | LOR pg/g | WHO-TEF | WHO-TEQ ₁ (zero) | WHO-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | WHO-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | I-TEF | I-TEQ ₁ (zero) | I-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | I-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | ¹³ C ₁₂ Rec(%) |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 2378-TCDD | <0.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.49 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.49 | 98.1 |
| 12378-PeCDD | <2.4 | 2.4 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.22 | 2.44 | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 1.22 | 112.6 |
| 123478-HxCDD | <2.4 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 52.4 |
| 123678-HxCDD | 3.9 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.1 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 79.7 |
| 123789-HxCDD | 7.7 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.1 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | - |
| 1234678-HpCDD | 132.0 | 2.4 | 0.01 | 1.32 | 1.32 | 1.32 | 0.01 | 1.32 | 1.32 | 1.32 | 58.1 |
| OCDD | 9230.0 | 9.8 | 0.0003 | 2.77 | 2.77 | 2.77 | 0.001 | 9.23 | 9.23 | 9.23 | 29.1 |
| 2378-TCDF | 2.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 87.9 |
| 12378-PeCDF | <2.4 | 2.4 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 89.8 |
| 23478-PeCDF | 2.8 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.5 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 96.5 |
| 123478-HxCDF | 3.1 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.1 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 42.4 |
| 123678-HxCDF | <2.4 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 74.1 |
| 234678-HxCDF | <2.4 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 66.5 |
| 123789-HxCDF | <2.4 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 62.9 |
| 1234678-HpCDF | 12.9 | 2.4 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 39.6 |
| 1234789-HpCDF | <2.4 | 2.4 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 50.6 |
| OCDF | 23.9 | 4.9 | 0.0003 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | - |
| Total TEQ | - | - | - | 6.78 | 8.78 | 10.78 | - | 13.82 | 15.23 | 16.65 | - |

| Group Totals | Conc pg/g | LOR ₄ pg/g | No. of Peaks |
|------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Tetra-Dioxins | 114.0 | 4.9 | 10 |
| Penta-Dioxins | 86.6 | 24.4 | 10 |
| Hexa-Dioxins | 548.0 | 19.5 | 8 |
| Hepta-Dioxins | 834.0 | 4.9 | 2 |
| Octa-Dioxin | 9230.0 | 9.8 | 1 |
| Tetra-Furans | 43.3 | 9.8 | 20 |
| Penta-Furans | 23.6 | 17.1 | 7 |
| Hexa-Furans | <26.8 | 26.8 | 11 |
| Hepta-Furans | 28.1 | 9.8 | 4 |
| Octa-Furan | 23.9 | 4.9 | 1 |
| Σ PCDD/Fs | 10931.5 | | |

Client : GHD PTY LTD
 Project : 21-27477

Work Order : ES1890029
 ALS Quote Reference : ---



ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR DIOXINS AND FURANS

Method Code EP300 Laboratory Sample ID: ES1890029017 Qc Lot Number: 4533955 Date Sampled: 05-Oct-2018
 Client Sample ID: SED03_0.0-0.5 Sample Matrix: SOIL Date Extracted: 19-Oct-2018
 Date Analysed: 19-Oct-2018

| Compound | Conc pg/g | LOR pg/g | WHO-TEF | WHO-TEQ ₁ (zero) | WHO-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | WHO-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | I-TEF | I-TEQ ₁ (zero) | I-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | I-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | ¹³ C ₁₂ Rec(%) |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 2378-TCDD | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 1 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 98.1 |
| 12378-PeCDD | 3.5 | 2.5 | 1 | 3.48 | 3.48 | 3.48 | 0.5 | 1.74 | 1.74 | 1.74 | 106.4 |
| 123478-HxCDD | 4.2 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.1 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 55.8 |
| 123678-HxCDD | 7.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.1 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 78.2 |
| 123789-HxCDD | 16.3 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 0.1 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 1.63 | - |
| 1234678-HpCDD | 282.0 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 2.82 | 2.82 | 2.82 | 0.01 | 2.82 | 2.82 | 2.82 | 65.6 |
| OCDD | 11000.0 | 9.9 | 0.0003 | 3.30 | 3.30 | 3.30 | 0.001 | 11.00 | 11.00 | 11.00 | 42.6 |
| 2378-TCDF | 3.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.1 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 92.8 |
| 12378-PeCDF | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 91.7 |
| 23478-PeCDF | 3.6 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 0.5 | 1.81 | 1.81 | 1.81 | 95.8 |
| 123478-HxCDF | 3.2 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.1 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 57.8 |
| 123678-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 64.8 |
| 234678-HxCDF | 2.8 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.1 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 68.0 |
| 123789-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 69.5 |
| 1234678-HpCDF | 16.4 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 46.4 |
| 1234789-HpCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 69.1 |
| OCDF | 36.3 | 4.9 | 0.0003 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | - |
| Total TEQ | - | - | - | 15.76 | 16.02 | 16.28 | - | 22.52 | 22.78 | 23.04 | - |

| Group Totals | Conc pg/g | LOR ₄ pg/g | No. of Peaks |
|------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Tetra-Dioxins | 150.0 | 5.4 | 11 |
| Penta-Dioxins | 117.0 | 27.2 | 11 |
| Hexa-Dioxins | 614.0 | 19.8 | 8 |
| Hepta-Dioxins | 1190.0 | 4.9 | 2 |
| Octa-Dioxin | 11000.0 | 9.9 | 1 |
| Tetra-Furans | 49.6 | 8.9 | 18 |
| Penta-Furans | 40.5 | 22.3 | 9 |
| Hexa-Furans | <32.1 | 32.1 | 13 |
| Hepta-Furans | 36.4 | 9.9 | 4 |
| Octa-Furan | 36.3 | 4.9 | 1 |
| Σ PCDD/Fs | 13233.8 | | |

Client : GHD PTY LTD
 Project : 21-27477

Work Order : ES1890029
 ALS Quote Reference : ---



ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR DIOXINS AND FURANS

Method Code EP300

Laboratory Sample ID: ES1890029018
 Client Sample ID: SED07_0.0-0.5

Qc Lot Number: 4533954
 Sample Matrix: SOIL

Date Sampled: 05-Oct-2018
 Date Extracted: 19-Oct-2018
 Date Analysed: 19-Oct-2018

| Compound | Conc pg/g | LOR pg/g | WHO-TEF | WHO-TEQ ₁ (zero) | WHO-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | WHO-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | I-TEF | I-TEQ ₁ (zero) | I-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | I-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | ¹³ C ₁₂ Rec(%) |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 2378-TCDD | <0.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 105.9 |
| 12378-PeCDD | <2.5 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.25 | 2.49 | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 1.25 | 117.2 |
| 123478-HxCDD | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 59.2 |
| 123678-HxCDD | 4.0 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.1 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 81.4 |
| 123789-HxCDD | 7.9 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.1 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | - |
| 1234678-HpCDD | 150.0 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 0.01 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 64.1 |
| OCDD | 7540.0 | 10.0 | 0.0003 | 2.26 | 2.26 | 2.26 | 0.001 | 7.54 | 7.54 | 7.54 | 36.9 |
| 2378-TCDF | 3.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.1 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 94.1 |
| 12378-PeCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 92.9 |
| 23478-PeCDF | 3.1 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.5 | 1.56 | 1.56 | 1.56 | 96.3 |
| 123478-HxCDF | 3.4 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.1 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 44.0 |
| 123678-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 73.5 |
| 234678-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 68.1 |
| 123789-HxCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 64.5 |
| 1234678-HpCDF | 12.8 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 44.9 |
| 1234789-HpCDF | <2.5 | 2.5 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 64.9 |
| OCDF | 27.6 | 5.0 | 0.0003 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | - |
| Total TEQ | - | - | - | 6.66 | 8.70 | 10.74 | - | 12.58 | 14.02 | 15.47 | - |

| Group Totals | Conc pg/g | LOR ₄ pg/g | No. of Peaks |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Tetra-Dioxins | 106.0 | 7.0 | 14 |
| Penta-Dioxins | 79.0 | 19.9 | 8 |
| Hexa-Dioxins | 444.0 | 22.4 | 9 |
| Hepta-Dioxins | 777.0 | 5.0 | 2 |
| Octa-Dioxin | 7540.0 | 10.0 | 1 |
| Tetra-Furans | 42.9 | 10.0 | 20 |
| Penta-Furans | 32.9 | 24.9 | 10 |
| Hexa-Furans | <29.9 | 29.9 | 12 |
| Hepta-Furans | 27.9 | 10.0 | 4 |
| Octa-Furan | 27.6 | 5.0 | 1 |
| Σ PCDD/Fs | 9077.3 | | |

Client : GHD PTY LTD
 Project : 21-27477

Work Order : ES1890029
 ALS Quote Reference : ---



ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR DIOXINS AND FURANS

Method Code EP300 Laboratory Sample ID: ES1890029019 Qc Lot Number: 4533954 Date Sampled: 04-Oct-2018
 Client Sample ID: REA02_2.0-2.1 Sample Matrix: SOIL Date Extracted: 19-Oct-2018
 Date Analysed: 19-Oct-2018

| Compound | Conc pg/g | LOR pg/g | WHO-TEF | WHO-TEQ ₁ (zero) | WHO-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | WHO-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | I-TEF | I-TEQ ₁ (zero) | I-TEQ ₂ (0.5 LOR) | I-TEQ ₃ (LOR) | ¹³ C ₁₂ Rec(%) |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 2378-TCDD | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 1 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 91.2 |
| 12378-PeCDD | <2.4 | 2.4 | 1 | 0.00 | 1.22 | 2.44 | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 1.22 | 101.6 |
| 123478-HxCDD | <2.4 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 51.0 |
| 123678-HxCDD | 3.3 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.1 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 73.8 |
| 123789-HxCDD | 6.5 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.1 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | - |
| 1234678-HpCDD | 124.0 | 2.4 | 0.01 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 0.01 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 56.5 |
| OCDD | 7170.0 | 9.8 | 0.0003 | 2.15 | 2.15 | 2.15 | 0.001 | 7.17 | 7.17 | 7.17 | 34.2 |
| 2378-TCDF | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.1 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 80.9 |
| 12378-PeCDF | 2.8 | 2.4 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 88.3 |
| 23478-PeCDF | 3.1 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.5 | 1.56 | 1.56 | 1.56 | 90.0 |
| 123478-HxCDF | 5.5 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.1 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 44.6 |
| 123678-HxCDF | 2.9 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.1 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 69.6 |
| 234678-HxCDF | 3.3 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.1 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 62.7 |
| 123789-HxCDF | <2.4 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 64.5 |
| 1234678-HpCDF | 18.2 | 2.4 | 0.01 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.01 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 39.5 |
| 1234789-HpCDF | <2.4 | 2.4 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 57.1 |
| OCDF | 17.1 | 4.9 | 0.0003 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | - |
| Total TEQ | - | - | - | 7.57 | 9.05 | 10.52 | - | 13.28 | 14.14 | 15.01 | - |

| Group Totals | Conc pg/g | LOR ₄ pg/g | No. of Peaks |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Tetra-Dioxins | 97.4 | 6.8 | 14 |
| Penta-Dioxins | 74.8 | 19.5 | 8 |
| Hexa-Dioxins | 425.0 | 19.5 | 8 |
| Hepta-Dioxins | 687.0 | 4.9 | 2 |
| Octa-Dioxin | 7170.0 | 9.8 | 1 |
| Tetra-Furans | 36.0 | 10.3 | 21 |
| Penta-Furans | 39.8 | 29.3 | 12 |
| Hexa-Furans | 28.4 | 22.0 | 9 |
| Hepta-Furans | 31.4 | 9.8 | 4 |
| Octa-Furan | 17.1 | 4.9 | 1 |
| Σ PCDD/Fs | 8606.9 | | |

Company Name: GHD Pty Ltd NSW
Address: Level 15, 133 Castlereagh Street
 Sydney
 NSW 2000
Project Name: 21-27477-TASK 3J FOR CONTAMINATION
Project ID: 2127477

Order No.:
Report #: 621580
Phone: 02 9239 7100
Fax: 02 9239 7199

Received: Oct 8, 2018 3:11 PM
Due: Oct 22, 2018
Priority: 10 Day
Contact Name: Jacqui Hallchurch

Eurofins | mgt Analytical Services Manager : Nibha Vaidya

| Sample Detail | | | | | | Organotins | Tributyl Tin (as Sn) |
|---|-----------|--------------|---------------|--------|-------------|------------|----------------------|
| Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271 | | | | | | | |
| Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217 | | | | | | | |
| Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794 | | | | | | | |
| Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736 | | | | | | | |
| External Laboratory | | | | | | X | X |
| No | Sample ID | Sample Date | Sampling Time | Matrix | LAB ID | | |
| 1 | FD08 | Oct 05, 2018 | | Soil | S18-Oc09704 | X | X |
| Test Counts | | | | | | 1 | 1 |

Eurofins Environment Testing Australia Pty Ltd
attn. Results
2-5 Kingston Town Close
Vic 3166 Oakleigh
AUSTRALIEN

Person in charge Mr. F. Schmidt
ASM Mr. F. Schmidt

Report date 18.10.2018

Page 1/3

Analytical report AR-18-GF-039085-01



Sample Code 710-2018-21046001

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|
| Reference | SOIL |
| | FD08 |
| Sample sender | Mr. Onur Mehmet |
| Reception date time | 12.10.2018 |
| Transport by | DHL |
| Client Purchase order nr. | 18-128-621580 |
| Purchase order date | 09.10.2018 |
| Client sample code | 18-Oc09704 |
| Number of containers | 1 |
| Reception temperature | room temperature |
| End analysis | 18.10.2018 |

Test results

| | | | |
|------------------------|---|---------|----------|
| GFDRY | Dry Residue (°) (#) | | |
| Method | Internal, , Gravimetry | | |
| dry residue | | 80.7 | % |
| GFU04 | polychlorinated dibenzodioxins and -furans (17 PCDD/F): (wet) sewage sludge, slag, ash, soil (°) (#) | | |
| Method | Internal, GLS DF 130, GC-HRMS | | |
| 2,3,7,8-TetraCDD | | < 0.191 | ng/kg dw |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PentaCDD | | < 0.255 | ng/kg dw |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HexaCDD | | < 0.510 | ng/kg dw |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HexaCDD | | 0.940 | ng/kg dw |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HexaCDD | | 1.96 | ng/kg dw |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HeptaCDD | | 56.5 | ng/kg dw |
| OctaCDD | | 3910 | ng/kg dw |

The results of examination refer exclusively to the checked samples.
 Duplicates - even in parts - must be authorized by the test laboratory in written form.
 Eurofins GfA Lab Service GmbH · Neuländer Kamp 1 a · D-21079 Hamburg
 Headquarters: Eurofins GfA Lab Service GmbH – Neuländer Kamp 1a D-21079 Hamburg
 HRB 115907 AG Hamburg
 General Managers: Dr. Scarlett Biselli
 VAT No.: DE 275912372
 Hypovereinsbank • Bank code: 207 300 17 • Account No.: 7000002400 • SWIFT-BIC: HYVEDEMM33
 IBAN: DE12 2073 0017 7000 0024 00



Durch die Deutsche Akkreditierungsstelle GmbH (DAKKS) akkreditiertes Prüflaboratorium

DIN EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005

Die Akkreditierung gilt nur für die in der Urkunde aufgeführten Prüfverfahren

| | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|----------|
| 2,3,7,8-TetraCDF | 0.531 | ng/kg dw |
| 1,2,3,7,8-PentaCDF | < 0.467 | ng/kg dw |
| 2,3,4,7,8-PentaCDF | < 0.467 | ng/kg dw |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8-HexaCDF | < 0.425 | ng/kg dw |
| 1,2,3,6,7,8-HexaCDF | 0.429 | ng/kg dw |
| 1,2,3,7,8,9-HexaCDF | < 0.425 | ng/kg dw |
| 2,3,4,6,7,8-HexaCDF | < 0.425 | ng/kg dw |
| 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HeptaCDF | 1.88 | ng/kg dw |
| 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HeptaCDF | < 0.404 | ng/kg dw |
| OctaCDF | 5.04 | ng/kg dw |
| WHO(2005)-PCDD/F TEQ (lower-bound) | 2.14 | ng/kg dw |
| WHO(2005)-PCDD/F TEQ (upper-bound) | 2.93 | ng/kg dw |
| I-TEQ (NATO/CCMS) (lower-bound) | 4.88 | ng/kg dw |
| I-TEQ (NATO/CCMS) (upper-bound) | 5.64 | ng/kg dw |

GFU61 organotin compounds (8 OTC): environmental material, soil, solids, sludge, liquids (°) (#)

Method Internal, GLS OC 600, GC-MS

| | | |
|------------------------------|--------|----------|
| Monobutyltin (MBT) | 2.4 | µg/kg dw |
| Monobutyltin (MBT) - Sn | 1.6 | µg/kg dw |
| Dibutyltin (DBT) | 3.9 | µg/kg dw |
| Dibutyltin (DBT) - Sn | 2.0 | µg/kg dw |
| Tributyltin (TBT) | 4.7 | µg/kg dw |
| Tributyltin (TBT) - Sn | 1.9 | µg/kg dw |
| Tetrabutyltin (TTBT) | < 0.64 | µg/kg dw |
| Tetrabutyltin (TTBT) - Sn | < 0.22 | µg/kg dw |
| Monooctyltin (MOT) | < 0.64 | µg/kg dw |
| Monooctyltin (MOT) - Sn | < 0.33 | µg/kg dw |
| Dioctyltin (DOT) | < 0.64 | µg/kg dw |
| Dioctyltin (DOT) - Sn | < 0.22 | µg/kg dw |
| Triphenyltin (TPhT) | < 0.64 | µg/kg dw |
| Triphenyltin (TPhT) - Sn | < 0.22 | µg/kg dw |
| Tricyclohexyltin (TCyT) | < 1.3 | µg/kg dw |
| Tricyclohexyltin (TCyT) - Sn | < 0.41 | µg/kg dw |

(°) = The test was performed at the laboratory site: Am Neuländer Gewerbepark 4


(#) = Eurofins GfA Lab Service GmbH (Hamburg) is accredited for this test.

< - Concentration below the indicated limit of quantification (LOQ)



Analytical Services Manager, ASM (Dieter Stegemann)

10.10.18
confirmed



CHAIN OF CUSTODY
ALS Laboratory:
please tick →

ADELAIDE 21 Burma Road Pootara SA 5095
Ph: 08 8359 0890 E: ade@alsglobal.com

BRISBANE 32 Shand Street Stafford QLD 4053
Ph: 07 3243 7222 E: samples.brisbane@alsglobal.com

GLADSTONE 46 Callamandah Drive Clinton QLD 4680
Ph: 07 7471 5600 E: gladstone@alsglobal.com

MACKAY 78 Harbour Road Mackay QLD 4740
Ph: 07 4944 0177 E: mackay@alsglobal.com

MELBOURNE 2-4 Wesall Road Springvale VIC 3171
Ph: 03 8549 9500 E: samples.melbourne@alsglobal.com

MUDGEE 27 Sydney Road Mudgie NSW 2950
Ph: 02 6372 6735 E: mudgie@mail@alsglobal.com

NEWCASTLE 5 Rose Gum Road Warabrook NSW 2304
Ph: 02 4968 9433 E: samples.newcastle@alsglobal.com

NOWRA 4/13 Geary Place North Nowra NSW 2541
Ph: 024423 2063 E: nowra@alsglobal.com

PERTH 10 Hod Way Malaga WA 6090
Ph: 08 9209 7655 E: samples.perth@alsglobal.com

SYDNEY 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW 2164
Ph: 02 8784 8555 E: samples.sydney@alsglobal.com

TOWNSVILLE 14-15 Desma Court Bohle QLD 4850
Ph: 07 4795 0600 E: townsville.environmental@alsglobal.com

WOLLONGONG 99 Kenny Street Wollongong NSW 2500
Ph: 02 4225 3125 E: portkembia@alsglobal.com

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|----|
| CLIENT: GHD Pty Ltd | | TURNAROUND REQUIREMENT: <input type="checkbox"/> Standard TAT (List due date): | | Expected 3 days. | | FOR LABORATORY USE ONLY (Circle) | |
| OFFICE: Level 15, 133 Castlereagh St, Sydney | | (Standard TAT may be longer for some tests e.g., Ultra Trace Organics) | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non Standard or urgent TAT (List due date): | | | |
| PROJECT: 21-27477 - Task 3J for Contamination | | ALS QUOTE NO.: SY-236-18 | | COC SEQUENCE NUMBER (Circle) | | Free Ca / frozen ice blocks present upon receipt? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 14 |
| ORDER NUMBER: 2127477 | | | | COC: ① 2 3 4 5 6 7 OF: 1 2 3 ④ 5 6 7 | | Random Sample Temperature on Receipt: <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| PROJECT MANAGER: Jacqui Hallichurch | | CONTACT PH: 0447 202 580 | | RELINQUISHED BY: S. Eccleshall | | RECEIVED BY: ANDREW | |
| SAMPLER: Sarah Eccleshall | | SAMPLER MOBILE: 0459 546 332 | | DATE/TIME: 2/10/18 21:00 | | DATE/TIME: 4/10/18 9:00 pm | |
| COC emailed to ALS? (YES / NO) | | EDD FORMAT (or default): | | RELINQUISHED BY: | | RECEIVED BY: | |
| Email Reports to: sarah.eccleshall@ghd.com; jacqui.hallichurch@ghd.com | | | | DATE/TIME: | | DATE/TIME: | |
| Email Invoice to (will default to PM if no other addresses are listed): | | | | | | | |

COMMENTS/SPECIAL HANDLING/STORAGE OR DISPOSAL:

| ALS USE | SAMPLE DETAILS MATRIX: SOLID (S) WATER (W) | | | CONTAINER INFORMATION | | |
|--------------|--|---------------|--------|--|------------------|---------------|
| | SAMPLE ID | DATE / TIME | MATRIX | TYPE & PRESERVATIVE (refer to codes below) | TOTAL CONTAINERS | pH and pH fox |
| | 1 SEDOG_0-0-0-1 | 4/10/18 10:15 | S | ASS | 1 | X |
| | 2 SEDOG_0-5-0-6 | 4/10/18 10:30 | S | ASS | 1 | X |
| | 3 SEDOG-1-0-1-1 | 4/10/18 | S | | 1 | |
| | 4 SEDOG-1-5-1-6 | | | | 1 | |
| | 5 SEDOG-2-0-2-1 | | | | 1 | X |
| | 6 SEDOG-2-5-2-6 | | | | 1 | |
| | 7 SEDOG-3-0-3-1 | | | | 1 | X |
| | 8 SEDOG-3-5-3-6 | | | | 1 | |
| | 9 SEDOG-4-0-4-1 | | | | 1 | |
| | 10 SEDOG-4-3-4-4 | | | | 1 | |
| | FDO3 | | | | 1 | X |
| TOTAL | | | | | | |

Environmental Division
Sydney
Work Order Reference
ES1829389



Telephone : + 61-2-8784 8555

Suite Codes must be listed to attract suite price (if required) or Dissolved (field filtered bottle required).

| | | |
|----|---|--|
| HT | Confirmed fast screen with Sarah EA037 Sutton / ... Lab / Analysis: pH fox - Brisbane Organics / ... Pesticides / ... FDO3 FDO1 Eushus | Hold X X X X X X X X X X |
|----|---|--|

URGENT

Please forward to ew@als.com.au

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; AG = Amber Glass Unpreserved; AP - Airfreight Unpreserved Plastic; V = VOA Vial HCl Preserved; VB = VOA Vial Sodium Bisulphate Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulfuric Preserved; AV = Airfreight Unpreserved Vial SG = Sulfuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl preserved Plastic; HS = HCl preserved Speciation bottle; SP = Sulfuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass; Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; ASS = Plastic Bag for Acid Sulphate Soils; B = Unpreserved Bag.



CHAIN OF CUSTODY

ALS Laboratory:
please tick →

ADELAIDE 21 Burma Road Pooraka SA 5095
Ph: 08 8359 0890 E: adelaide@alsglobal.com

BRISBANE 32 Shand Street Stafford QLD 4053
Ph: 07 3243 7222 E: samples.brisbane@alsglobal.com

GLADSTONE 46 Callernindah Drive Clinton QLD 4680
Ph: 07 4771 5600 E: gladstone@alsglobal.com

MACKAY 76 Harbour Road Mackay QLD 4740
Ph: 07 4944 0177 E: mackay@alsglobal.com

MELBOURNE 2-4 Westall Road Springvale VIC 3171
Ph: 03 8549 9600 E: samples.melbourne@alsglobal.com

MUDGEE 27 Sydney Road Mudjee NSW 2850
Ph: 02 6372 8735 E: mudjee@mail@alsglobal.com

NEWCASTLE 5 Rose Gum Road Warabrook NSW 2304
Ph: 02 4968 9433 E: samples.newcastle@alsglobal.com

NOWRA 4/13 Geary Place North Nowra NSW 2541
Ph: 024423 2063 E: nowra@alsglobal.com

PERTH 10 Hod Way Malaga WA 6090
Ph: 08 9209 7655 E: samples.perth@alsglobal.com

SYDNEY 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW 2164
Ph: 02 8784 5555 E: samples.sydney@alsglobal.com

TOWNSVILLE 14-15 Dasma Court Bohle QLD 4818
Ph: 07 4795 0600 E: townsville.environmental@alsglobal.com

WOLLONGONG 99 Kenny Street Wollongong NSW 2500
Ph: 02 4225 3125 E: perth@alsglobal.com

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|---------------------|--|
| CLIENT: GHD Pty Ltd | | TURNAROUND REQUIREMENT: <input type="checkbox"/> Standard TAT (List due date): (Standard TAT may be longer for some tests e.g. Ultra Trace Organics) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non Standard or urgent TAT (List due date): | | | | FOR LABORATORY USE ONLY (Circle) | | | |
| OFFICE: level 15, 133 Castlereagh St, Sydney | | ALS QUOTE NO.: SY-236-18 | | COC SEQUENCE NUMBER (Circle) | | Custody Seal intact? Yes No N/A | | | |
| PROJECT: 21-27477 - Task 3J for Contamination | | | | COC: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | | Free ice / frozen ice blocks present upon receipt? Yes No N/A | | | |
| ORDER NUMBER: 2127477 | | | | OP: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | | Random Sample Temperature on Receipt: C | | | |
| PROJECT MANAGER: Jacqui Halchurch | | CONTACT PH: 0447 202 580 | | RELINQUISHED BY: S. Eccleshall | | RECEIVED BY: | | RECEIVED BY: | |
| SAMPLER: Sarah Eccleshall | | SAMPLER MOBILE: 0459 546 332 | | DATE/TIME: 4/10/18 21:00 | | DATE/TIME: | | DATE/TIME: | |
| COC emailed to ALS? (YES / NO) | | EDD FORMAT (or default): | | | | | | | |
| Email Reports to: sarah.eccleshall@ghd.com; jacqui.halchurch@ghd.com | | | | | | | | | |
| Email Invoice to (will default to PM if no other addresses are listed): | | | | | | | | | |

COMMENTS/SPECIAL HANDLING/STORAGE OR DISPOSAL:

| ALS USE | SAMPLE DETAILS MATRIX: SOLID (S) WATER (W) | | | CONTAINER INFORMATION | | | ANALYSIS REQUIRED Including SUITES (NB. Suite Codes must be listed to attract suite price) Where Metals are required, specify Total (unfiltered bottle required) or Dissolved (field filtered bottle required). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|------|
| | LAB ID | SAMPLE ID | DATE / TIME | MATRIX | TYPE & PRESERVATIVE (refer to codes below) | TOTAL CONTAINERS | pH and pH tox | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Hold |
| | 11 | SE005_0.0-0.1 | 4/10/18 | S | ASS | 1 | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 12 | SE005_0.5-0.6 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 13 | SE005_1.0-1.1 | | | | 1 | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 14 | SE005_1.5-1.6 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 15 | SE005_2.0-2.1 | | | | 1 | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 16 | SE005_2.5-2.6 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 17 | FS01 | | | | 1 | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 18 | FS02 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 19 | FS03 | | | | 1 | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - | FD01 | | | | 1 | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 20 | FD02 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | | | | | | | TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

please forward to envofin

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; AG = Amber Glass Unpreserved; AP - Airfreight Unpreserved Plastic
 V = VOA Vial HCl Preserved; VB = VOA Vial Sodium Bisulphate Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulfuric Preserved; AV = Airfreight Unpreserved Vial SG = Sulfuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl preserved Plastic; HS = HCl preserved Speciation bottle; SP = Sulfuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass;
 Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; ASS = Plastic Bag for Acid Sulphate Soils; B = Unpreserved Bag.



CHAIN OF CUSTODY

ALS Laboratory:
please tick →

ADELAIDE 21 Burma Road Pooraka SA 5095
Ph: 08 8359 0690 E: adelaide@alsglobal.com
BRISBANE 32 Shand Street Stafford QLD 4053
Ph: 07 3243 7222 E: samples.brisbane@alsglobal.com
GLADSTONE 46 Gatemondah Drive Clinton QLD 4680
Ph: 07 7471 5600 E: gladstone@alsglobal.com

MACKAY 78 Harbour Road Mackay QLD 4740
Ph: 07 4944 0177 E: mackay@alsglobal.com
MELBOURNE 2-4 Westall Road Springvale VIC 3171
Ph: 03 9549 3600 E: samples.melbourne@alsglobal.com
MUDGEE 27 Sydney Road Mudgee NSW 2850
Ph: 02 6372 6735 E: mudgee.mail@alsglobal.com

NEWCASTLE 5 Rose Gum Road Warabrook NSW 2304
Ph: 02 4968 9433 E: samples.newcastle@alsglobal.com
NOWRA 4/13 Geary Place North Nowra NSW 2541
Ph: 02 4423 2063 E: nowra@alsglobal.com
PERTH 10 Hed Way Malaga WA 6050
Ph: 08 9209 7655 E: samples.perth@alsglobal.com

SYDNEY 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW 2164
Ph: 02 8784 8555 E: samples.sydney@alsglobal.com
TOWNSVILLE 14-75 Desma Court Bohle QLD 4818
Ph: 07 4736 0600 E: townsville.environmental@alsglobal.com
WOLLONGONG 99 Kenny Street Wollongong NSW 2500
Ph: 02 4225 3125 E: portkembbla@alsglobal.com

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| CLIENT: GHD Pty Ltd | | TURNAROUND REQUIREMENT: <input type="checkbox"/> Standard TAT (List due date): (Standard TAT may be longer for some tests e.g., Ultra Trace Organics) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non Standard or urgent TAT (List due date): | | FOR LABORATORY USE ONLY (Circle) | |
| OFFICE: level 15, 133 Castlereagh St, Sydney | | ALS QUOTE NO.: SY-236-18 | | Custody Seal Intact? Yes No N/A | |
| PROJECT: 21-27477 - Task 3J for Contamination | | COC SEQUENCE NUMBER (Circle) | | Free ice / frozen ice blocks present upon receipt? Yes No N/A | |
| ORDER NUMBER: 2127477 | | COC: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | | Random Sample Temperature on Receipt: °C | |
| PROJECT MANAGER: Jacqui Hailchurch | | CONTACT PH: 0447 202 580 | | Other comment: | |
| SAMPLER: Sarah Eccleshall | | SAMPLER MOBILE: 0459 546 332 | | RECEIVED BY: | |
| COC emailed to ALS? (YES / NO) | | EDD FORMAT (or default): | | RELINQUISHED BY: | |
| Email Reports to: sarah.eccleshall@ghd.com; jacqui.hailchurch@ghd.com | | RELINQUISHED BY: <i>SEccleshall</i> | | DATE/TIME: | |
| Email Invoice to (will default to PM if no other addresses are listed): | | DATE/TIME: 6/10/18 21:00 | | DATE/TIME: | |

COMMENTS/SPECIAL HANDLING/STORAGE OR DISPOSAL:

| ALS USE | SAMPLE DETAILS MATRIX: SOLID (S) WATER (W) | | | CONTAINER INFORMATION | | ANALYSIS REQUIRED including SUITES (NB. Suite Codes must be listed to attract suite price) Where Metals are required, specify Total (unfiltered bottle required) or Dissolved (field filtered bottle required). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|-------------|--------|---|------------------|--|-----|----|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|------|---|
| LAB ID | SAMPLE ID | DATE / TIME | MATRIX | TYPE & PRESERVATIVE (refer to codes below) | TOTAL CONTAINERS | pH | and | pH | fox | | | | | | | | | | | | Hold | |
| 33 | REA02-2.0-2.1 | 6/10/18 | S | ASS | 1 | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | REA02-2.5-2.6 | 6/10/18 | S | ASS | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| 35 | REA02-3.0-3.1 | 6/10/18 | S | ASS | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | TRT BLANK | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | TRT BLANK | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; AG = Amber Glass Unpreserved; AP - Airfreight Unpreserved Plastic
 V = VOA Vial HCl Preserved; VB = VOA Vial Sodium Bisulphate Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulfuric Preserved; AV = Airfreight Unpreserved Vial SG = Sulfuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl preserved Plastic; HS = HCl preserved Speciation bottle; SP = Sulfuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass;
 Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; ASS = Plastic Bag for Acid Sulphate Soils; B = Unpreserved Bag.



SAMPLE RECEIPT NOTIFICATION (SRN)

Work Order : ES1829389

| | | | |
|--------------|--|--------------|--|
| Client | : GHD PTY LTD | Laboratory | : Environmental Division Sydney |
| Contact | : MS JACQUI HALLCHURCH | Contact | : Brenda Hong |
| Address | : LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY NSW, AUSTRALIA 2000 | Address | : 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164 |
| E-mail | : jacqui.hallchurch@ghd.com | E-mail | : Brenda.Hong@alsglobal.com |
| Telephone | : +61 02 9239 7100 | Telephone | : (02) 8784 8504 |
| Facsimile | : +61 02 9239 7199 | Facsimile | : +61-2-8784 8500 |
| Project | : 2127477 | Page | : 1 of 3 |
| Order number | : 2127477 | Quote number | : ES2018GHDSER0015 (SY/236/18) |
| C-O-C number | : ---- | QC Level | : NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard |
| Site | : Task 3J for Contamination | | |
| Sampler | : Sarah Eccleshall | | |

Dates

| | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Date Samples Received | : 04-Oct-2018 21:00 | Issue Date | : 05-Oct-2018 |
| Client Requested Due Date | : 10-Oct-2018 | Scheduled Reporting Date | : 10-Oct-2018 |

Delivery Details

| | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Mode of Delivery | : Client Drop Off | Security Seal | : Not Available |
| No. of coolers/boxes | : 1 | Temperature | : 1.4 - Ice present |
| Receipt Detail | : | No. of samples received / analysed | : 35 / 14 |

General Comments

- This report contains the following information:
 - Sample Container(s)/Preservation Non-Compliances
 - Summary of Sample(s) and Requested Analysis
 - Proactive Holding Time Report
 - Requested Deliverables
- **Samples FD03 and FD01 have been forwarded to Eurofins as per COC request.**
- **Please refer to the Proactive Holding Time Report table below which summarises breaches of recommended holding times that have occurred prior to samples/instructions being received at the laboratory. The absence of this summary table indicates that all samples have been received within the recommended holding times for the analysis requested.**
- pH f and pH fox Analysis to be conducted by ALS Brisbane.
- Please direct any queries you have regarding this work order to the above ALS laboratory contact.
- Analytical work for this work order will be conducted at ALS Sydney.
- Sample Disposal - Aqueous (3 weeks), Solid (2 months) from receipt of samples.



Sample Container(s)/Preservation Non-Compliances

All comparisons are made against pretreatment/preservation AS, APHA, USEPA standards.

- **No sample container / preservation non-compliance exists.**

Summary of Sample(s) and Requested Analysis

Some items described below may be part of a laboratory process necessary for the execution of client requested tasks. Packages may contain additional analyses, such as the determination of moisture content and preparation tasks, that are included in the package.

If no sampling time is provided, the sampling time will default 00:00 on the date of sampling. If no sampling date is provided, the sampling date will be assumed by the laboratory and displayed in brackets without a time component

Matrix: **SOIL**

| Laboratory sample ID | Client sampling date / time | Client sample ID | (On Hold) SOIL No analysis requested | SOIL - EA037 ASS Field Screening Analysis |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|---|--|
| ES1829389-001 | 04-Oct-2018 10:15 | SED06_0.0-0.1 | | ✓ |
| ES1829389-002 | 04-Oct-2018 10:30 | SED06_0.5-0.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829389-003 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED06_1.0-1.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829389-004 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED06_1.5-1.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829389-005 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED06_2.0-2.1 | | ✓ |
| ES1829389-006 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED06_2.5-2.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829389-007 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED06_3.0-3.1 | | ✓ |
| ES1829389-008 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED06_3.5-3.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829389-009 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED06_4.0-4.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829389-010 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED06_4.3-4.4 | ✓ | |
| ES1829389-011 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED05_0.0-0.1 | | ✓ |
| ES1829389-012 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED05_0.5-0.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829389-013 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED05_1.0-1.1 | | ✓ |
| ES1829389-014 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED05_1.5-1.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829389-015 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED05_2.0-2.1 | | ✓ |
| ES1829389-016 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED05_2.5-2.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829389-017 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | FS01 | | ✓ |
| ES1829389-018 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | FS02 | ✓ | |
| ES1829389-019 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | FS03 | | ✓ |
| ES1829389-020 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | FD02 | ✓ | |
| ES1829389-021 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_0.0-0.1 | | ✓ |
| ES1829389-022 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_0.5-0.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829389-023 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_1.0-1.1 | | ✓ |
| ES1829389-024 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_1.5-1.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829389-025 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_2.0-2.1 | | ✓ |
| ES1829389-026 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_2.5-2.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829389-027 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_3.0-3.1 | ✓ | |
| ES1829389-028 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_3.4-3.5 | ✓ | |
| ES1829389-029 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_0.0-0.1 | | ✓ |
| ES1829389-030 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_0.5-0.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829389-031 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_1.0-1.1 | | ✓ |
| ES1829389-032 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_1.5-1.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829389-033 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_2.0-2.1 | | ✓ |
| ES1829389-034 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_2.5-2.6 | ✓ | |
| ES1829389-035 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_3.0-3.1 | ✓ | |

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

| | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|
| Work Order | : ES1829389 | Page | : 1 of 5 |
| Client | : GHD PTY LTD | Laboratory | : Environmental Division Sydney |
| Contact | : MS JACQUI HALLCHURCH | Contact | : Brenda Hong |
| Address | : LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY NSW, AUSTRALIA 2000 | Address | : 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164 |
| Telephone | : +61 02 9239 7100 | Telephone | : (02) 8784 8504 |
| Project | : 2127477 | Date Samples Received | : 04-Oct-2018 21:00 |
| Order number | : 2127477 | Date Analysis Commenced | : 11-Oct-2018 |
| C-O-C number | : ---- | Issue Date | : 11-Oct-2018 16:37 |
| Sampler | : Sarah Eccleshall | | |
| Site | : Task 3J for Contamination | | |
| Quote number | : SY/236/18 | | |
| No. of samples received | : 35 | | |
| No. of samples analysed | : 14 | | |

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

| <i>Signatories</i> | <i>Position</i> | <i>Accreditation Category</i> |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Ben Felgendrejeris | Senior Acid Sulfate Soil Chemist | Brisbane Acid Sulphate Soils, Stafford, QLD |



General Comments

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contact for details.

Key : CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.
LOR = Limit of reporting
^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting
~ = Indicates an estimated value.

- ASS: EA037 (Rapid Field and F(ox) screening): pH F(ox) Reaction Rate: 1 - Slight; 2 - Moderate; 3 - Strong; 4 - Extreme
- EA037 ASS Field Screening: NATA accreditation does not cover performance of this service.



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | SED06_0.0-0.1 | SED06_2.0-2.1 | SED06_3.0-3.1 | SED05_0.0-0.1 | SED05_1.0-1.1 |
|--|------------|-----|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 04-Oct-2018 10:15 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829389-001 | ES1829389-005 | ES1829389-007 | ES1829389-011 | ES1829389-013 | |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | Result | Result | |
| EA037: Ass Field Screening Analysis | | | | | | | | | |
| pH (F) | ---- | 0.1 | pH Unit | 8.5 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 8.5 | |
| pH (Fox) | ---- | 0.1 | pH Unit | 6.4 | 8.0 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 7.2 | |
| Reaction Rate | ---- | 1 | - | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | SED05_2.0-2.1 | FS01 | FS03 | REA01_0.0-0.1 | REA01_1.0-1.1 |
|--|------------|-----|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829389-015 | ES1829389-017 | ES1829389-019 | ES1829389-021 | ES1829389-023 | |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | Result | Result | |
| EA037: Ass Field Screening Analysis | | | | | | | | | |
| pH (F) | ---- | 0.1 | pH Unit | 8.8 | 8.5 | 8.8 | 8.7 | 8.8 | |
| pH (Fox) | ---- | 0.1 | pH Unit | 7.2 | 5.1 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.5 | |
| Reaction Rate | ---- | 1 | - | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | REA01_2.0-2.1 | REA02_0.0-0.1 | REA02_1.0-1.1 | REA02_2.0-2.1 | ---- |
|--|------------|-----|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | ---- | ---- |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829389-025 | ES1829389-029 | ES1829389-031 | ES1829389-033 | ----- | ----- |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | Result | ---- | ---- |
| EA037: Ass Field Screening Analysis | | | | | | | | | |
| pH (F) | ---- | 0.1 | pH Unit | 8.4 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 8.4 | ---- | ---- |
| pH (Fox) | ---- | 0.1 | pH Unit | 2.3 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 7.8 | ---- | ---- |
| Reaction Rate | ---- | 1 | - | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | ---- | ---- |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Company Name: GHD Pty Ltd NSW Address: Level 15, 133 Castlereagh Street Sydney NSW 2000 Project Name: 21-27477- TASK 3J FOR CONTAMINATION Project ID: 2127477 | Order No.: Report #: 621432 Phone: 02 9239 7100 Fax: 02 9239 7199 | Received: Oct 8, 2018 3:11 PM Due: Oct 11, 2018 Priority: 3 Day Contact Name: Jacqui Hallchurch |
| Eurofins mgt Analytical Services Manager : Nibha Vaidya | | |

| Sample Detail | | | | | | Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test |
|---|-----------|--------------|---------------|--------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271 | | | | | | |
| Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217 | | | | | | |
| Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794 | | | | | | X |
| Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736 | | | | | | |
| External Laboratory | | | | | | |
| No | Sample ID | Sample Date | Sampling Time | Matrix | LAB ID | |
| 1 | FD03 | Oct 04, 2018 | | Soil | S18-Oc08877 | X |
| 2 | FD01 | Oct 04, 2018 | | Soil | S18-Oc08878 | X |
| Test Counts | | | | | | 2 |

Certificate of Analysis

GHD Pty Ltd NSW
Level 15, 133 Castlereagh Street
Sydney
NSW 2000



NATA Accredited
Accreditation Number 1261
Site Number 18217

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 – Testing
 The results of the tests, calibrations and/or
 measurements included in this document are traceable
 to Australian/national standards.

Attention: **Jacqui Hallchurch**

Report **621416-S**
 Project name 21-27477- TASK 3J FOR CONTAMINATION
 Project ID 2127477
 Received Date Oct 08, 2018

| | | | |
|---|-----|----------|---------------------|
| Client Sample ID | | | FD04 |
| Sample Matrix | | | Soil |
| Eurofins mgt Sample No. | | | S18-Oc08815 |
| Date Sampled | | | Oct 05, 2018 |
| Test/Reference | LOR | Unit | |
| Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test | | | |
| pH-F (Field pH test)* | 0.1 | pH Units | 8.9 |
| pH-FOX (Field pH Peroxide test)* | 0.1 | pH Units | 6.8 |
| Reaction Ratings* ^{S05} | | comment | 4.0 |

Sample History

Where samples are submitted/analysed over several days, the last date of extraction and analysis is reported. A recent review of our LIMS has resulted in the correction or clarification of some method identifications. Due to this, some of the method reference information on reports has changed. However, no substantive change has been made to our laboratory methods, and as such there is no change in the validity of current or previous results (regarding both quality and NATA accreditation).

If the date and time of sampling are not provided, the Laboratory will not be responsible for compromised results should testing be performed outside the recommended holding time.

Description

Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test

Testing Site

Brisbane

Extracted

Oct 09, 2018

Holding Time

7 Days

- Method: LTM-GEN-7060 Determination of field pH (pHF) and field pH peroxide (pHFOX) tests

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Company Name: GHD Pty Ltd NSW Address: Level 15, 133 Castlereagh Street Sydney NSW 2000 Project Name: 21-27477- TASK 3J FOR CONTAMINATION Project ID: 2127477 | Order No.: Report #: 621416 Phone: 02 9239 7100 Fax: 02 9239 7199 | Received: Oct 8, 2018 3:11 PM Due: Oct 11, 2018 Priority: 3 Day Contact Name: Jacqui Hallchurch |
| Eurofins mgt Analytical Services Manager : Nibha Vaidya | | |

| Sample Detail | | | | | | Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test |
|---|-----------|--------------|---------------|--------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271 | | | | | | |
| Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217 | | | | | | |
| Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794 | | | | | | X |
| Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736 | | | | | | |
| External Laboratory | | | | | | |
| No | Sample ID | Sample Date | Sampling Time | Matrix | LAB ID | |
| 1 | FD04 | Oct 05, 2018 | | Soil | S18-Oc08815 | X |
| Test Counts | | | | | | 1 |

Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary

General

1. Laboratory QC results for Method Blanks, Duplicates, Matrix Spikes, and Laboratory Control Samples are included in this QC report where applicable. Additional QC data may be available on request.
2. All soil results are reported on a dry basis, unless otherwise stated.
3. All biota/food results are reported on a wet weight basis on the edible portion, unless otherwise stated.
4. Actual LORs are matrix dependant. Quoted LORs may be raised where sample extracts are diluted due to interferences.
5. Results are uncorrected for matrix spikes or surrogate recoveries except for PFAS compounds.
6. SVOC analysis on waters are performed on homogenised, unfiltered samples, unless noted otherwise.
7. Samples were analysed on an 'as received' basis.
8. This report replaces any interim results previously issued.

Holding Times

Please refer to 'Sample Preservation and Container Guide' for holding times (QS3001).

For samples received on the last day of holding time, notification of testing requirements should have been received at least 6 hours prior to sample receipt deadlines as stated on the SRA.

If the Laboratory did not receive the information in the required timeframe, and regardless of any other integrity issues, suitably qualified results may still be reported.

Holding times apply from the date of sampling, therefore compliance to these may be outside the laboratory's control.

For VOCs containing vinyl chloride, styrene and 2-chloroethyl vinyl ether the holding time is 7 days however for all other VOCs such as BTEX or C6-10 TRH then the holding time is 14 days.

****NOTE:** pH duplicates are reported as a range NOT as RPD

Units

mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram

mg/L: milligrams per litre

ug/L: micrograms per litre

ppm: Parts per million

ppb: Parts per billion

%: Percentage

org/100mL: Organisms per 100 millilitres

NTU: Nephelometric Turbidity Units

MPN/100mL: Most Probable Number of organisms per 100 millilitres

Terms

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Dry | Where a moisture has been determined on a solid sample the result is expressed on a dry basis. |
| LOR | Limit of Reporting. |
| SPIKE | Addition of the analyte to the sample and reported as percentage recovery. |
| RPD | Relative Percent Difference between two Duplicate pieces of analysis. |
| LCS | Laboratory Control Sample - reported as percent recovery. |
| CRM | Certified Reference Material - reported as percent recovery. |
| Method Blank | In the case of solid samples these are performed on laboratory certified clean sands and in the case of water samples these are performed on de-ionised water. |
| Surr - Surrogate | The addition of a like compound to the analyte target and reported as percentage recovery. |
| Duplicate | A second piece of analysis from the same sample and reported in the same units as the result to show comparison. |
| USEPA | United States Environmental Protection Agency |
| APHA | American Public Health Association |
| TCLP | Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure |
| COC | Chain of Custody |
| SRA | Sample Receipt Advice |
| QSM | Quality Systems Manual ver 5.1 US Department of Defense |
| CP | Client Parent - QC was performed on samples pertaining to this report |
| NCP | Non-Client Parent - QC performed on samples not pertaining to this report, QC is representative of the sequence or batch that client samples were analysed within. |
| TEQ | Toxic Equivalency Quotient |

QC - Acceptance Criteria

RPD Duplicates: Global RPD Duplicates Acceptance Criteria is 30% however the following acceptance guidelines are equally applicable:

Results <10 times the LOR : No Limit

Results between 10-20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-50%

Results >20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-30%

Surrogate Recoveries: Recoveries must lie between 50-150%-Phenols & PFASs

PFAS field samples that contain surrogate recoveries in excess of the QC limit designated in QSM 5.1 where no positive PFAS results have been reported have been reviewed and no data was affected.

WA DWER (n=10): PFBA, PFPaA, PFHxA, PFHpA, PFOA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFOS, 6:2 FTSA, 8:2 FTSA

QC Data General Comments

1. Where a result is reported as a less than (<), higher than the nominated LOR, this is due to either matrix interference, extract dilution required due to interferences or contaminant levels within the sample, high moisture content or insufficient sample provided.
2. Duplicate data shown within this report that states the word "BATCH" is a Batch Duplicate from outside of your sample batch, but within the laboratory sample batch at a 1:10 ratio. The Parent and Duplicate data shown is not data from your samples.
3. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis - where reporting LCS data, Toxaphene & Chlordane are not added to the LCS.
4. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis - where reporting Spike data, Toxaphene is not added to the Spike.
5. Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - where reporting Spike & LCS data, a single spike of commercial Hydrocarbon products in the range of C12-C30 is added and it's Total Recovery is reported in the C10-C14 cell of the Report.
6. pH and Free Chlorine analysed in the laboratory - Analysis on this test must begin within 30 minutes of sampling. Therefore laboratory analysis is unlikely to be completed within holding time. Analysis will begin as soon as possible after sample receipt.
7. Recovery Data (Spikes & Surrogates) - where chromatographic interference does not allow the determination of Recovery the term "INT" appears against that analyte.
8. Polychlorinated Biphenyls are spiked only using Aroclor 1260 in Matrix Spikes and LCS.
9. For Matrix Spikes and LCS results a dash "-" in the report means that the specific analyte was not added to the QC sample.
10. Duplicate RPDs are calculated from raw analytical data thus it is possible to have two sets of data.

Quality Control Results

| Test | Lab Sample ID | QA Source | Units | Result 1 | | | Acceptance Limits | Pass Limits | Qualifying Code |
|---|---------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Duplicate | | | | | | | | | |
| Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test | | | | Result 1 | Result 2 | RPD | | | |
| pH-F (Field pH test)* | S18-Oc08815 | CP | pH Units | 8.9 | 8.8 | pass | 30% | Pass | |
| Reaction Ratings* | S18-Oc08815 | CP | comment | 4.0 | 4.0 | pass | 30% | Pass | |

Comments
Sample Integrity

| | |
|---|-----|
| Custody Seals Intact (if used) | N/A |
| Attempt to Chill was evident | Yes |
| Sample correctly preserved | Yes |
| Appropriate sample containers have been used | Yes |
| Sample containers for volatile analysis received with minimal headspace | Yes |
| Samples received within HoldingTime | Yes |
| Some samples have been subcontracted | No |

Qualifier Codes/Comments

| Code | Description |
|------|--|
| S05 | Field Screen uses the following fizz rating to classify the rate the samples reacted to the peroxide: 1.0; No reaction to slight. 2.0; Moderate reaction. 3.0; Strong reaction with persistent froth. 4.0; Extreme reaction. |

Authorised By

| | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|
| Nibha Vaidya | Analytical Services Manager |
| Myles Clark | Senior Analyst-SPOCAS (QLD) |


Glenn Jackson
National Operations Manager

Final report - this Report replaces any previously issued Report

- Indicates Not Requested

* Indicates NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service

Measurement uncertainty of test data is available on request or please [click here](#).

Eurofins | mgt shall not be liable for loss, cost, damages or expenses incurred by the client, or any other person or company, resulting from the use of any information or interpretation given in this report. In no case shall Eurofins | mgt be liable for consequential damages including, but not limited to, lost profits, damages for failure to meet deadlines and lost production arising from this report. This document shall not be reproduced except in full and relates only to the items tested. Unless indicated otherwise, the tests were performed on the samples as received.



CHAIN OF CUSTODY

ALS Laboratory:
please tick →

DADELAIDE 21 Burma Road Pocraka SA 5095
Ph: 08 8359 0890 E: adelaide@alsglobal.com

BRISBANE 32 Shand Street Stafford QLD 4053
Ph: 07 3243 7222 E: samples.brisbane@alsglobal.com

GLADSTONE 46 Callemondah Drive Clinton QLD 4680
Ph: 07 7471 5600 E: gladstone@alsglobal.com

MACKAY 78 Harbour Road Mackay QLD 4740
Ph: 07 4944 0177 E: mackay@alsglobal.com

MELBOURNE 2-4 Westall Road Springvale VIC 3171
Ph: 03 8549 9600 E: samples.melbourne@alsglobal.com

MUDGEE 27 Sydney Road Mudgee NSW 2850
Ph: 02 5372 6735 E: mudgee@mail@alsglobal.com

NEWCASTLE 5 Rose Gum Road Warabrook NSW 2304
Ph: 02 4968 9433 E: samples.newcastle@alsglobal.com

NOWRA 4/13 Geary Place North Nowra NSW 2541
Ph: 024423 2063 E: nowra@alsglobal.com

PERTH 10 Hod Way Malaga WA 6090
Ph: 08 9209 7855 E: samples.perth@alsglobal.com

SYDNEY 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW 2164
Ph: 02 8784 8555 E: samples.sydney@alsglobal.com

TOWNSVILLE 14-15 Desma Court Bohle QLD 4816
Ph: 07 4796 0600 E: townsville.environmental@alsglobal.com

WOLLONGONG 99 Kenny Street Wollongong NSW 2500
Ph: 02 4225 3125 E: portkembla@alsglobal.com

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| CLIENT: GHD Pty Ltd | | TURNAROUND REQUIREMENT: <input type="checkbox"/> Standard TAT (List due date): (Standard TAT may be longer for some tests e.g., Ultra Trace Organics) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non Standard or urgent TAT (List due date): <u>3 days</u> | | FOR LABORATORY USE ONLY (Circle): | |
| OFFICE: level 15, 133 Castlereagh St, Sydney | | ALS QUOTE NO.: SY-236-18 | | Contain/Salt/React: Yes No N/A Free ice / frozen ice bricks present upon receipt: Yes No N/A Random Sample Temperature on Receipt: C Other comment: | |
| PROJECT: 21-27477 - Task 3J for Contamination | | COC SEQUENCE NUMBER (Circle): | | | |
| ORDER NUMBER: 2127477 | | COC: 1 (2) 3 4 5 6 7 | | | |
| PROJECT MANAGER: Jacqui Hallchurch | | CONTACT PH: 0447 202 580 | | | |
| SAMPLER: Sarah Eccleshall | | SAMPLER MOBILE: 0459 546 332 | | RECEIVED BY: | |
| COC emailed to ALS? (YES / NO) | | EDD FORMAT (or default): | | RELINQUISHED BY: | |
| Email Reports to: sarah.eccleshall@ghd.com; jacqui.hallchurch@ghd.com | | DATE/TIME: 5/10/18 20:30 | | DATE/TIME: | |
| Email Invoice to (will default to PM if no other addresses are listed): | | DATE/TIME: | | DATE/TIME: | |

COMMENTS/SPECIAL HANDLING/STORAGE OR DISPOSAL:

| ALS USE | SAMPLE DETAILS MATRIX: SOLID (S) WATER (W) | | | CONTAINER INFORMATION | | ANALYSIS REQUIRED including SUITES (NB. Suite Codes must be listed to attract suite price) Where Metals are required, specify Total (unfiltered bottle required) or Dissolved (field filtered bottle required). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|------|
| | LAB ID | SAMPLE ID | DATE / TIME | MATRIX | TYPE & PRESERVATIVE (refer to codes below) | TOTAL CONTAINERS | pH and pH fox | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 11 | SED04_0.0-0.1 | 5/10/18 | S | ASS | 21 | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | Hold |
| | 12 | SED04_0.5-0.8 | 5/10/18 | S | ASS | 21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 13 | SED04_1.0-1.1 | 5/10/18 | S | ASS | 21 | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | 14 | SED04_1.5-1.6 | 5/10/18 | S | ASS | 21 | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 15 | SED04_2.0-2.1 | 5/10/18 | S | ASS | 21 | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 16 | SED04_2.5-2.6 | 5/10/18 | S | ASS | 21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X |
| | - | FD04 | 5/10/18 | S | ASS | 1 | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 17 | FS04 | 5/10/18 | S | ASS | 1 | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 18 | FD05 | 5/10/18 | S | ASS | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; AG = Amber Glass Unpreserved; AP = Airfreight Unpreserved Plastic
 V = VOA Vial HCl Preserved; VB = VOA Vial Sodium Bisulphate Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulfuric Preserved; AV = Airfreight Unpreserved Vial SG = Sulfuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl preserved Plastic; HS = HCl preserved Speciation bottle; SP = Sulfuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass;
 Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; ASS = Plastic Bag for Acid Sulphate Soils; B = Unpreserved Bag.



SAMPLE RECEIPT NOTIFICATION (SRN)

Work Order : ES1829587

| | | | |
|--------------|--|--------------|--|
| Client | : GHD PTY LTD | Laboratory | : Environmental Division Sydney |
| Contact | : Jacqui Hallchurch | Contact | : Brenda Hong |
| Address | : LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY NSW, AUSTRALIA 2000 | Address | : 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164 |
| E-mail | : Jacqui.hallchurch@ghd.com | E-mail | : Brenda.Hong@alsglobal.com |
| Telephone | : 02 9239 7046 | Telephone | : (02) 8784 8504 |
| Facsimile | : ---- | Facsimile | : +61-2-8784 8500 |
| Project | : 2127477 | Page | : 1 of 3 |
| Order number | : 2127477 | Quote number | : ES2018GHDSE0015 (SY/236/18) |
| C-O-C number | : ---- | QC Level | : NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard |
| Site | : ---- | | |
| Sampler | : SARAH ECCLESHALL | | |

Dates

| | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Date Samples Received | : 05-Oct-2018 20:30 | Issue Date | : 08-Oct-2018 |
| Client Requested Due Date | : 11-Oct-2018 | Scheduled Reporting Date | : 11-Oct-2018 |

Delivery Details

| | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Mode of Delivery | : Client Drop Off | Security Seal | : Not Available |
| No. of coolers/boxes | : 4 | Temperature | : 5.2' C - Ice present |
| Receipt Detail | : | No. of samples received / analysed | : 18 / 17 |

General Comments

- This report contains the following information:
 - Sample Container(s)/Preservation Non-Compliances
 - Summary of Sample(s) and Requested Analysis
 - Proactive Holding Time Report
 - Requested Deliverables
- **Samples FD05 was received extra and placed on hold.**
- **Please refer to the Proactive Holding Time Report table below which summarises breaches of recommended holding times that have occurred prior to samples/instructions being received at the laboratory. The absence of this summary table indicates that all samples have been received within the recommended holding times for the analysis requested.**
- **pHfox analysis will be conducted by ALS Brisbane.**
- Please direct any queries you have regarding this work order to the above ALS laboratory contact.
- Analytical work for this work order will be conducted at ALS Sydney.
- Sample Disposal - Aqueous (3 weeks), Solid (2 months) from receipt of samples.



Sample Container(s)/Preservation Non-Compliances

All comparisons are made against pretreatment/preservation AS, APHA, USEPA standards.

- **No sample container / preservation non-compliance exists.**

Summary of Sample(s) and Requested Analysis

Some items described below may be part of a laboratory process necessary for the execution of client requested tasks. Packages may contain additional analyses, such as the determination of moisture content and preparation tasks, that are included in the package.

If no sampling time is provided, the sampling time will default 00:00 on the date of sampling. If no sampling date is provided, the sampling date will be assumed by the laboratory and displayed in brackets without a time component

Matrix: **SOIL**

| Laboratory sample ID | Client sampling date / time | Client sample ID | (On Hold) SOIL No analysis requested | SOIL - EA037 ASS Field Screening Analysis |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|---|--|
| ES1829587-001 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED01_0.0-0.1 | | ✓ |
| ES1829587-002 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED01_0.0-0.5 | | ✓ |
| ES1829587-003 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED01_0.5-0.65 | | ✓ |
| ES1829587-004 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED02_0.0-0.1 | | ✓ |
| ES1829587-005 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED02_0.0-0.5 | | ✓ |
| ES1829587-006 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED02_0.55-0.65 | | ✓ |
| ES1829587-007 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED03_0.0-0.1 | | ✓ |
| ES1829587-008 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED03_0.0-0.5 | | ✓ |
| ES1829587-009 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED03_0.5-0.65 | | ✓ |
| ES1829587-010 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED07_0.0-0.65 | | ✓ |
| ES1829587-011 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED04_0.0-0.1 | | ✓ |
| ES1829587-012 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED04_0.5-0.6 | | ✓ |
| ES1829587-013 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED04_1.0-1.1 | | ✓ |
| ES1829587-014 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED04_1.5-1.6 | | ✓ |
| ES1829587-015 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED04_2.0-2.1 | | ✓ |
| ES1829587-016 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED04_2.5-2.6 | | ✓ |
| ES1829587-017 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | FS04 | | ✓ |
| ES1829587-018 | 06-Oct-2018 00:00 | FD05 | ✓ | |

Proactive Holding Time Report

Sample(s) have been received within the recommended holding times for the requested analysis.

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

| | |
|---|---|
| Work Order : ES1829587 Client : GHD PTY LTD Contact : Jacqui Hallchurch Address : LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY NSW, AUSTRALIA 2000 Telephone : 02 9239 7046 Project : 2127477 Order number : 2127477 C-O-C number : ---- Sampler : SARAH ECCLESHALL Site : ---- Quote number : SY/236/18 No. of samples received : 18 No. of samples analysed : 17 | Page : 1 of 6 Laboratory : Environmental Division Sydney Contact : Brenda Hong Address : 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164 Telephone : (02) 8784 8504 Date Samples Received : 05-Oct-2018 20:30 Date Analysis Commenced : 10-Oct-2018 Issue Date : 10-Oct-2018 16:12 |
|---|---|

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

| <i>Signatories</i> | <i>Position</i> | <i>Accreditation Category</i> |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Ben Felgendrejeris | Senior Acid Sulfate Soil Chemist | Brisbane Acid Sulphate Soils, Stafford, QLD |



General Comments

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contact for details.

Key : CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.
LOR = Limit of reporting
^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting
~ = Indicates an estimated value.

- ASS: EA037 (Rapid Field and F(ox) screening): pH F(ox) Reaction Rate: 1 - Slight; 2 - Moderate; 3 - Strong; 4 - Extreme
- EA037 ASS Field Screening: NATA accreditation does not cover performance of this service.



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | SED01_0.0-0.1 | SED01_0.0-0.5 | SED01_0.5-0.65 | SED02_0.0-0.1 | SED02_0.0-0.5 |
|--|------------|-----|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829587-001 | ES1829587-002 | ES1829587-003 | ES1829587-004 | ES1829587-005 | |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | Result | Result | |
| EA037: Ass Field Screening Analysis | | | | | | | | | |
| pH (F) | ---- | 0.1 | pH Unit | 8.2 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 8.2 | |
| pH (Fox) | ---- | 0.1 | pH Unit | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.2 | |
| Reaction Rate | ---- | 1 | - | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | SED02_0.55-0.65 | SED03_0.0-0.1 | SED03_0.0-0.5 | SED03_0.5-0.65 | SED07_0.0-0.65 |
|--|------------|-----|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829587-006 | ES1829587-007 | ES1829587-008 | ES1829587-009 | ES1829587-010 | ES1829587-010 |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | Result | Result | Result |
| EA037: Ass Field Screening Analysis | | | | | | | | | |
| pH (F) | ---- | 0.1 | pH Unit | 8.6 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 8.4 | 8.5 | |
| pH (Fox) | ---- | 0.1 | pH Unit | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.4 | |
| Reaction Rate | ---- | 1 | - | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | SED04_0.0-0.1 | SED04_0.5-0.6 | SED04_1.0-1.1 | SED04_1.5-1.6 | SED04_2.0-2.1 |
|--|------------|-----|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829587-011 | ES1829587-012 | ES1829587-013 | ES1829587-014 | ES1829587-015 | |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | Result | Result | |
| EA037: Ass Field Screening Analysis | | | | | | | | | |
| pH (F) | ---- | 0.1 | pH Unit | 8.6 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 8.8 | |
| pH (Fox) | ---- | 0.1 | pH Unit | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 7.2 | |
| Reaction Rate | ---- | 1 | - | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | SED04_2.5-2.6 | FS04 | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|--|------------|-----|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | 05-Oct-2018 00:00 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1829587-016 | ES1829587-017 | ----- | ----- | ----- | |
| | | | | Result | Result | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| EA037: Ass Field Screening Analysis | | | | | | | | | |
| pH (F) | ---- | 0.1 | pH Unit | 8.2 | 8.8 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| pH (Fox) | ---- | 0.1 | pH Unit | 6.1 | 6.6 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| Reaction Rate | ---- | 1 | - | 4 | 4 | ---- | ---- | ---- | |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Company Name: GHD Pty Ltd NSW Address: Level 15, 133 Castlereagh Street Sydney NSW 2000 Project Name: 21-27477- TASK 3J FOR CONTAMINATION Project ID: 2127477 | Order No.: Report #: 621416 Phone: 02 9239 7100 Fax: 02 9239 7199 | Received: Oct 8, 2018 3:11 PM Due: Oct 11, 2018 Priority: 3 Day Contact Name: Jacqui Hallchurch |
| Eurofins mgt Analytical Services Manager : Nibha Vaidya | | |

| Sample Detail | | | | | | Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test |
|---|-----------|--------------|---------------|--------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271 | | | | | | |
| Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217 | | | | | | |
| Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794 | | | | | | X |
| Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736 | | | | | | |
| External Laboratory | | | | | | |
| No | Sample ID | Sample Date | Sampling Time | Matrix | LAB ID | |
| 1 | FD04 | Oct 05, 2018 | | Soil | S18-Oc08815 | X |
| Test Counts | | | | | | 1 |

Certificate of Analysis

GHD Pty Ltd NSW
 Level 15, 133 Castlereagh Street
 Sydney
 NSW 2000



NATA Accredited
Accreditation Number 1261
Site Number 18217

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 – Testing
 The results of the tests, calibrations and/or
 measurements included in this document are traceable
 to Australian/national standards.

Attention: **Jacqui Hallchurch**

Report **621432-S**
 Project name 21-27477- TASK 3J FOR CONTAMINATION
 Project ID 2127477
 Received Date Oct 08, 2018

| | | | | |
|---|-----|----------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Client Sample ID | | | FD03 | FD01 |
| Sample Matrix | | | Soil | Soil |
| Eurofins mgt Sample No. | | | S18-Oc08877 | S18-Oc08878 |
| Date Sampled | | | Oct 04, 2018 | Oct 04, 2018 |
| Test/Reference | LOR | Unit | | |
| Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test | | | | |
| pH-F (Field pH test)* | 0.1 | pH Units | 8.8 | 8.5 |
| pH-FOX (Field pH Peroxide test)* | 0.1 | pH Units | 6.9 | 6.9 |
| Reaction Ratings* ^{S05} | | comment | 4.0 | 4.0 |

Sample History

Where samples are submitted/analysed over several days, the last date of extraction and analysis is reported. A recent review of our LIMS has resulted in the correction or clarification of some method identifications. Due to this, some of the method reference information on reports has changed. However, no substantive change has been made to our laboratory methods, and as such there is no change in the validity of current or previous results (regarding both quality and NATA accreditation).

If the date and time of sampling are not provided, the Laboratory will not be responsible for compromised results should testing be performed outside the recommended holding time.

Description

Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test

Testing Site

Brisbane

Extracted

Oct 09, 2018

Holding Time

7 Days

- Method: LTM-GEN-7060 Determination of field pH (pHF) and field pH peroxide (pHFOX) tests

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Company Name: GHD Pty Ltd NSW Address: Level 15, 133 Castlereagh Street Sydney NSW 2000 Project Name: 21-27477- TASK 3J FOR CONTAMINATION Project ID: 2127477 | Order No.: Report #: 621432 Phone: 02 9239 7100 Fax: 02 9239 7199 | Received: Oct 8, 2018 3:11 PM Due: Oct 11, 2018 Priority: 3 Day Contact Name: Jacqui Hallchurch |
| Eurofins mgt Analytical Services Manager : Nibha Vaidya | | |

| Sample Detail | | | | | | Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test |
|---|-----------|--------------|---------------|--------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271 | | | | | | |
| Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217 | | | | | | |
| Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794 | | | | | | X |
| Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736 | | | | | | |
| External Laboratory | | | | | | |
| No | Sample ID | Sample Date | Sampling Time | Matrix | LAB ID | |
| 1 | FD03 | Oct 04, 2018 | | Soil | S18-Oc08877 | X |
| 2 | FD01 | Oct 04, 2018 | | Soil | S18-Oc08878 | X |
| Test Counts | | | | | | 2 |

Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary

General

- Laboratory QC results for Method Blanks, Duplicates, Matrix Spikes, and Laboratory Control Samples are included in this QC report where applicable. Additional QC data may be available on request.
- All soil results are reported on a dry basis, unless otherwise stated.
- All biota/food results are reported on a wet weight basis on the edible portion, unless otherwise stated.
- Actual LORs are matrix dependant. Quoted LORs may be raised where sample extracts are diluted due to interferences.
- Results are uncorrected for matrix spikes or surrogate recoveries except for PFAS compounds.
- SVOC analysis on waters are performed on homogenised, unfiltered samples, unless noted otherwise.
- Samples were analysed on an 'as received' basis.
- This report replaces any interim results previously issued.

Holding Times

Please refer to 'Sample Preservation and Container Guide' for holding times (QS3001).

For samples received on the last day of holding time, notification of testing requirements should have been received at least 6 hours prior to sample receipt deadlines as stated on the SRA.

If the Laboratory did not receive the information in the required timeframe, and regardless of any other integrity issues, suitably qualified results may still be reported.

Holding times apply from the date of sampling, therefore compliance to these may be outside the laboratory's control.

For VOCs containing vinyl chloride, styrene and 2-chloroethyl vinyl ether the holding time is 7 days however for all other VOCs such as BTEX or C6-10 TRH then the holding time is 14 days.

****NOTE:** pH duplicates are reported as a range NOT as RPD

Units

mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram

mg/L: milligrams per litre

ug/L: micrograms per litre

ppm: Parts per million

ppb: Parts per billion

%: Percentage

org/100mL: Organisms per 100 millilitres

NTU: Nephelometric Turbidity Units

MPN/100mL: Most Probable Number of organisms per 100 millilitres

Terms

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Dry | Where a moisture has been determined on a solid sample the result is expressed on a dry basis. |
| LOR | Limit of Reporting. |
| SPIKE | Addition of the analyte to the sample and reported as percentage recovery. |
| RPD | Relative Percent Difference between two Duplicate pieces of analysis. |
| LCS | Laboratory Control Sample - reported as percent recovery. |
| CRM | Certified Reference Material - reported as percent recovery. |
| Method Blank | In the case of solid samples these are performed on laboratory certified clean sands and in the case of water samples these are performed on de-ionised water. |
| Surr - Surrogate | The addition of a like compound to the analyte target and reported as percentage recovery. |
| Duplicate | A second piece of analysis from the same sample and reported in the same units as the result to show comparison. |
| USEPA | United States Environmental Protection Agency |
| APHA | American Public Health Association |
| TCLP | Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure |
| COC | Chain of Custody |
| SRA | Sample Receipt Advice |
| QSM | Quality Systems Manual ver 5.1 US Department of Defense |
| CP | Client Parent - QC was performed on samples pertaining to this report |
| NCP | Non-Client Parent - QC performed on samples not pertaining to this report, QC is representative of the sequence or batch that client samples were analysed within. |
| TEQ | Toxic Equivalency Quotient |

QC - Acceptance Criteria

RPD Duplicates: Global RPD Duplicates Acceptance Criteria is 30% however the following acceptance guidelines are equally applicable:

Results <10 times the LOR : No Limit

Results between 10-20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-50%

Results >20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-30%

Surrogate Recoveries: Recoveries must lie between 50-150%-Phenols & PFASs

PFAS field samples that contain surrogate recoveries in excess of the QC limit designated in QSM 5.1 where no positive PFAS results have been reported have been reviewed and no data was affected.

WA DWER (n=10): PFBA, PFPaA, PFHxA, PFHpA, PFOA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFOS, 6:2 FTSA, 8:2 FTSA

QC Data General Comments

- Where a result is reported as a less than (<), higher than the nominated LOR, this is due to either matrix interference, extract dilution required due to interferences or contaminant levels within the sample, high moisture content or insufficient sample provided.
- Duplicate data shown within this report that states the word "BATCH" is a Batch Duplicate from outside of your sample batch, but within the laboratory sample batch at a 1:10 ratio. The Parent and Duplicate data shown is not data from your samples.
- Organochlorine Pesticide analysis - where reporting LCS data, Toxaphene & Chlordane are not added to the LCS.
- Organochlorine Pesticide analysis - where reporting Spike data, Toxaphene is not added to the Spike.
- Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - where reporting Spike & LCS data, a single spike of commercial Hydrocarbon products in the range of C12-C30 is added and it's Total Recovery is reported in the C10-C14 cell of the Report.
- pH and Free Chlorine analysed in the laboratory - Analysis on this test must begin within 30 minutes of sampling. Therefore laboratory analysis is unlikely to be completed within holding time. Analysis will begin as soon as possible after sample receipt.
- Recovery Data (Spikes & Surrogates) - where chromatographic interference does not allow the determination of Recovery the term "INT" appears against that analyte.
- Polychlorinated Biphenyls are spiked only using Aroclor 1260 in Matrix Spikes and LCS.
- For Matrix Spikes and LCS results a dash "-" in the report means that the specific analyte was not added to the QC sample.
- Duplicate RPDs are calculated from raw analytical data thus it is possible to have two sets of data.

Quality Control Results

| Test | Lab Sample ID | QA Source | Units | Result 1 | | | Acceptance Limits | Pass Limits | Qualifying Code |
|---|---------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Duplicate | | | | | | | | | |
| Acid Sulfate Soils Field pH Test | | | | Result 1 | Result 2 | RPD | | | |
| pH-F (Field pH test)* | S18-Oc08815 | NCP | pH Units | 8.9 | 8.8 | pass | 30% | Pass | |
| Reaction Ratings* | S18-Oc08815 | NCP | comment | 4.0 | 4.0 | pass | 30% | Pass | |

Comments

Sample Integrity

| | |
|---|-----|
| Custody Seals Intact (if used) | N/A |
| Attempt to Chill was evident | Yes |
| Sample correctly preserved | Yes |
| Appropriate sample containers have been used | Yes |
| Sample containers for volatile analysis received with minimal headspace | Yes |
| Samples received within HoldingTime | Yes |
| Some samples have been subcontracted | No |

Qualifier Codes/Comments

| Code | Description |
|------|--|
| S05 | Field Screen uses the following fizz rating to classify the rate the samples reacted to the peroxide: 1.0; No reaction to slight. 2.0; Moderate reaction. 3.0; Strong reaction with persistent froth. 4.0; Extreme reaction. |

Authorised By

| | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|
| Nibha Vaidya | Analytical Services Manager |
| Myles Clark | Senior Analyst-SPOCAS (QLD) |



Glenn Jackson

National Operations Manager

Final report - this Report replaces any previously issued Report

- Indicates Not Requested

* Indicates NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service

Measurement uncertainty of test data is available on request or please [click here](#).

Eurofins | mgt shall not be liable for loss, cost, damages or expenses incurred by the client, or any other person or company, resulting from the use of any information or interpretation given in this report. In no case shall Eurofins | mgt be liable for consequential damages including, but not limited to, lost profits, damages for failure to meet deadlines and lost production arising from this report. This document shall not be reproduced except in full and relates only to the items tested. Unless indicated otherwise, the tests were performed on the samples as received.

Hi Brenda,

Based on the results of the field screen for acid sulfate samples:
Can I please get the following samples analysed for CrS.

| | Sample name |
|----|----------------|
| 1 | REA01_0.0-0.1 |
| 2 | REA01_2.0-2.1 |
| 3 | REA02_0.0-0.1 |
| 4 | SED01_0.0-0.1 |
| 5 | SED02_0.0-0.1 |
| 6 | SED03_0.0-0.1 |
| 7 | SED07_0.0-0.65 |
| 8 | SED04_0.0-0.1 |
| 9 | SED04_1.5-1.6 |
| 10 | SED05_0.0-0.1 |
| 11 | SED06_0.0-0.1 |
| 12 | SED06_3.0-3.1 |
| 13 | FS01 |
| 14 | FS04 |

Can the CrS also be requested from FD01 and FD04 which were forwarded to Eurofins.

Many thanks,
Sarah

Sarah Eccleshall
MSc, BSc (Hons)
Contamination & Environmental Management

GHD
Proudly employee owned
T: +61 2 9239 7715 | M: +61 459 546 332 | E: sarah.eccleshall@ghd.com
Level 15 133 Castlereagh Street Sydney NSW 2000 Australia | www.ghd.com

Connect



[WATER](#) | [ENERGY & RESOURCES](#) | [ENVIRONMENT](#) | [PROPERTY & BUILDINGS](#) | [TRANSPORTATION](#)

Please consider our environment before printing this email

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email, including any attachments, is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender immediately, and please delete it; you should not copy it or use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. GHD and its affiliates reserve the right to monitor and modify all email communications through their networks.

This e-mail has been scanned for viruses

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email, including any attachments, is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender immediately, and please delete it; you should not copy it or use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. GHD and its

Environmental Division
Brisbane
Work Order Reference
EB1824725



Telephone : +61-7-3243 7222



SAMPLE RECEIPT NOTIFICATION (SRN)

Work Order : **EB1824725**

| | | | |
|--------------|---|--------------|---|
| Client | : GHD PTY LTD | Laboratory | : Environmental Division Brisbane |
| Contact | : SARAH ECCLESHALL | Contact | : Caroline Hill |
| Address | : LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET SYDNEY NSW, AUSTRALIA 2000 | Address | : 2 Byth Street Stafford QLD Australia 4053 |
| E-mail | : sarah.eccleshall@ghd.com | E-mail | : Caroline.Hill@Alsglobal.com |
| Telephone | : ---- | Telephone | : +61-7-3243 7222 |
| Facsimile | : ---- | Facsimile | : +61-7-3243 7218 |
| Project | : 2127477 | Page | : 1 of 3 |
| Order number | : | Quote number | : ES2018GHDSER0015 (SY/236/18) |
| C-O-C number | : ---- | QC Level | : NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard |
| Site | : ---- | | |
| Sampler | : | | |

Dates

| | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Date Samples Received | : 04-Oct-2018 14:23 | Issue Date | : 12-Oct-2018 |
| Client Requested Due Date | : 18-Oct-2018 | Scheduled Reporting Date | : 18-Oct-2018 |

Delivery Details

| | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Mode of Delivery | : Samples On Hand | Security Seal | : Not Available |
| No. of coolers/boxes | : ---- | Temperature | : ---- |
| Receipt Detail | : Rebatch | No. of samples received / analysed | : 14 / 14 |

General Comments

- This report contains the following information:
 - Sample Container(s)/Preservation Non-Compliances
 - Summary of Sample(s) and Requested Analysis
 - Proactive Holding Time Report
 - Requested Deliverables
- **This work order has been created to rebatch samples from ES1829389, ES1829587**
- Discounted Package Prices apply only when specific ALS Group Codes ('W', 'S', 'NT' suites) are referenced on COCs.
- Please direct any turn around / technical queries to the laboratory contact designated above.
- Sample Disposal - Aqueous (3 weeks), Solid (2 months) from receipt of samples.
- Analysis will be conducted by ALS Environmental, Brisbane, NATA accreditation no. 825, Site No. 818 (Micro site no. 18958).
- **Breaches in recommended extraction / analysis holding times (if any) are displayed overleaf in the Proactive Holding Time Report table.**



Sample Container(s)/Preservation Non-Compliances

All comparisons are made against pretreatment/preservation AS, APHA, USEPA standards.

- **No sample container / preservation non-compliance exists.**

Summary of Sample(s) and Requested Analysis

Some items described below may be part of a laboratory process necessary for the execution of client requested tasks. Packages may contain additional analyses, such as the determination of moisture content and preparation tasks, that are included in the package.

If no sampling time is provided, the sampling time will default 00:00 on the date of sampling. If no sampling date is provided, the sampling date will be assumed by the laboratory and displayed in brackets without a time component

Matrix: **SOIL**

| Laboratory sample ID | Client sampling date / time | Client sample ID | SOIL - EA033 Chromium Suite for Acid Sulphate Soils |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|--|
| EB1824725-001 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_0.0-0.1 | ✓ |
| EB1824725-002 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA01_2.0-2.1 | ✓ |
| EB1824725-003 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | REA02_0.0-0.1 | ✓ |
| EB1824725-004 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED01_0.0-0.1 | ✓ |
| EB1824725-005 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED02_0.0-0.1 | ✓ |
| EB1824725-006 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED03_0.0-0.1 | ✓ |
| EB1824725-007 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED07_0.0-0.65 | ✓ |
| EB1824725-008 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED04_0.0-0.1 | ✓ |
| EB1824725-009 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED04_1.5-1.6 | ✓ |
| EB1824725-010 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED05_0.0-0.1 | ✓ |
| EB1824725-011 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED06_0.0-0.1 | ✓ |
| EB1824725-012 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | SED06_3.0-3.1 | ✓ |
| EB1824725-013 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | FS01 | ✓ |
| EB1824725-014 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | FS04 | ✓ |

Proactive Holding Time Report

Sample(s) have been received within the recommended holding times for the requested analysis.

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Work Order : **EB1824725**
Client : **GHD PTY LTD**
Contact : SARAH ECCLESHALL
Address : LEVEL 15, 133 CASTLEREAGH STREET
 SYDNEY NSW, AUSTRALIA 2000

Telephone : ----
Project : 2127477
Order number :
C-O-C number : ----
Sampler : ----
Site : ----
Quote number : SY/236/18
No. of samples received : 14
No. of samples analysed : 14

Page : 1 of 5
Laboratory : Environmental Division Brisbane
Contact : Caroline Hill
Address : 2 Byth Street Stafford QLD Australia 4053

Telephone : +61-7-3243 7222
Date Samples Received : 04-Oct-2018 14:23
Date Analysis Commenced : 17-Oct-2018
Issue Date : 18-Oct-2018 16:50



Accreditation No. 825
 Accredited for compliance with
 ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

| <i>Signatories</i> | <i>Position</i> | <i>Accreditation Category</i> |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Ben Felgendrejeris | Senior Acid Sulfate Soil Chemist | Brisbane Acid Sulphate Soils, Stafford, QLD |



General Comments

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contact for details.

Key : CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.
LOR = Limit of reporting
^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting
ø = ALS is not NATA accredited for these tests.
~ = Indicates an estimated value.

- ASS: EA033 (CRS Suite): Retained Acidity not required because pH KCl greater than or equal to 4.5
- ASS: EA033 (CRS Suite): Liming rate is calculated and reported on a dry weight basis assuming use of fine agricultural lime (CaCO₃) and using a safety factor of 1.5 to allow for non-homogeneous mixing and poor reactivity of lime. For conversion of Liming Rate from 'kg/t dry weight' to 'kg/m³ in-situ soil', multiply 'reported results' x 'wet bulk density of soil in t/m³'.



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | REA01_0.0-0.1 | REA01_2.0-2.1 | REA02_0.0-0.1 | SED01_0.0-0.1 | SED02_0.0-0.1 |
|--|------------|-------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | EB1824725-001 | EB1824725-002 | EB1824725-003 | EB1824725-004 | EB1824725-005 | |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | Result | Result | |
| EA033-A: Actual Acidity | | | | | | | | | |
| pH KCl (23A) | ---- | 0.1 | pH Unit | 8.7 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 8.1 | 8.5 | |
| Titrateable Actual Acidity (23F) | ---- | 2 | mole H+ / t | <2 | <2 | <2 | <2 | <2 | |
| sulfidic - Titrateable Actual Acidity (s-23F) | ---- | 0.02 | % pyrite S | <0.02 | <0.02 | <0.02 | <0.02 | <0.02 | |
| EA033-B: Potential Acidity | | | | | | | | | |
| Chromium Reducible Sulfur (22B) | ---- | 0.005 | % S | 0.677 | 6.29 | 0.113 | 0.271 | 0.142 | |
| acidity - Chromium Reducible Sulfur (a-22B) | ---- | 10 | mole H+ / t | 422 | 3920 | 70 | 169 | 89 | |
| EA033-C: Acid Neutralising Capacity | | | | | | | | | |
| Acid Neutralising Capacity (19A2) | ---- | 0.01 | % CaCO3 | 38.8 | 6.74 | 2.65 | 5.43 | 4.65 | |
| acidity - Acid Neutralising Capacity (a-19A2) | ---- | 10 | mole H+ / t | 7760 | 1350 | 529 | 1080 | 929 | |
| sulfidic - Acid Neutralising Capacity (s-19A2) | ---- | 0.01 | % pyrite S | 12.4 | 2.16 | 0.85 | 1.74 | 1.49 | |
| EA033-E: Acid Base Accounting | | | | | | | | | |
| ANC Fineness Factor | ---- | 0.5 | - | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | |
| Net Acidity (sulfur units) | ---- | 0.02 | % S | <0.02 | 4.85 | <0.02 | <0.02 | <0.02 | |
| Net Acidity (acidity units) | ---- | 10 | mole H+ / t | <10 | 3020 | <10 | <10 | <10 | |
| Liming Rate | ---- | 1 | kg CaCO3/t | <1 | 227 | <1 | <1 | <1 | |
| Net Acidity excluding ANC (sulfur units) | ---- | 0.02 | % S | 0.68 | 6.29 | 0.11 | 0.27 | 0.14 | |
| Net Acidity excluding ANC (acidity units) | ---- | 10 | mole H+ / t | 422 | 3920 | 70 | 169 | 89 | |
| Liming Rate excluding ANC | ---- | 1 | kg CaCO3/t | 32 | 294 | 5 | 13 | 7 | |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | Client sample ID | SED03_0.0-0.1 | SED07_0.0-0.65 | SED04_0.0-0.1 | SED04_1.5-1.6 | SED05_0.0-0.1 |
|--|------------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | EB1824725-006 | EB1824725-007 | EB1824725-008 | EB1824725-009 | EB1824725-010 |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | Result | Result |
| EA033-A: Actual Acidity | | | | | | | | |
| pH KCl (23A) | ---- | 0.1 | pH Unit | 8.5 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 8.6 |
| Titrateable Actual Acidity (23F) | ---- | 2 | mole H+ / t | <2 | <2 | <2 | <2 | <2 |
| sulfidic - Titrateable Actual Acidity (s-23F) | ---- | 0.02 | % pyrite S | <0.02 | <0.02 | <0.02 | <0.02 | <0.02 |
| EA033-B: Potential Acidity | | | | | | | | |
| Chromium Reducible Sulfur (22B) | ---- | 0.005 | % S | 0.129 | 0.112 | 0.163 | 0.368 | 0.103 |
| acidity - Chromium Reducible Sulfur (a-22B) | ---- | 10 | mole H+ / t | 81 | 70 | 102 | 230 | 64 |
| EA033-C: Acid Neutralising Capacity | | | | | | | | |
| Acid Neutralising Capacity (19A2) | ---- | 0.01 | % CaCO3 | 5.14 | 5.06 | 5.08 | 5.27 | 4.95 |
| acidity - Acid Neutralising Capacity (a-19A2) | ---- | 10 | mole H+ / t | 1030 | 1010 | 1020 | 1050 | 989 |
| sulfidic - Acid Neutralising Capacity (s-19A2) | ---- | 0.01 | % pyrite S | 1.64 | 1.62 | 1.63 | 1.69 | 1.58 |
| EA033-E: Acid Base Accounting | | | | | | | | |
| ANC Fineness Factor | ---- | 0.5 | - | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Net Acidity (sulfur units) | ---- | 0.02 | % S | <0.02 | <0.02 | <0.02 | <0.02 | <0.02 |
| Net Acidity (acidity units) | ---- | 10 | mole H+ / t | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 | <10 |
| Liming Rate | ---- | 1 | kg CaCO3/t | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 | <1 |
| Net Acidity excluding ANC (sulfur units) | ---- | 0.02 | % S | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.37 | 0.10 |
| Net Acidity excluding ANC (acidity units) | ---- | 10 | mole H+ / t | 81 | 70 | 102 | 230 | 64 |
| Liming Rate excluding ANC | ---- | 1 | kg CaCO3/t | 6 | 5 | 8 | 17 | 5 |



Analytical Results

| Sub-Matrix: SOIL (Matrix: SOIL) | | | | Client sample ID | SED06_0.0-0.1 | SED06_3.0-3.1 | FS01 | FS04 | ---- |
|--|------------|-------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | 04-Oct-2018 00:00 | ---- | |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | EB1824725-011 | EB1824725-012 | EB1824725-013 | EB1824725-014 | ----- | |
| | | | | Result | Result | Result | Result | ---- | |
| EA033-A: Actual Acidity | | | | | | | | | |
| pH KCl (23A) | ---- | 0.1 | pH Unit | 8.6 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 8.4 | ---- | |
| Titrateable Actual Acidity (23F) | ---- | 2 | mole H+ / t | <2 | <2 | <2 | <2 | ---- | |
| sulfidic - Titrateable Actual Acidity (s-23F) | ---- | 0.02 | % pyrite S | <0.02 | <0.02 | <0.02 | <0.02 | ---- | |
| EA033-B: Potential Acidity | | | | | | | | | |
| Chromium Reducible Sulfur (22B) | ---- | 0.005 | % S | 0.122 | 0.636 | 3.38 | 0.399 | ---- | |
| acidity - Chromium Reducible Sulfur (a-22B) | ---- | 10 | mole H+ / t | 76 | 397 | 2110 | 249 | ---- | |
| EA033-C: Acid Neutralising Capacity | | | | | | | | | |
| Acid Neutralising Capacity (19A2) | ---- | 0.01 | % CaCO3 | 4.78 | 3.79 | 15.2 | 5.19 | ---- | |
| acidity - Acid Neutralising Capacity (a-19A2) | ---- | 10 | mole H+ / t | 954 | 757 | 3040 | 1040 | ---- | |
| sulfidic - Acid Neutralising Capacity (s-19A2) | ---- | 0.01 | % pyrite S | 1.53 | 1.21 | 4.87 | 1.66 | ---- | |
| EA033-E: Acid Base Accounting | | | | | | | | | |
| ANC Fineness Factor | ---- | 0.5 | - | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | ---- | |
| Net Acidity (sulfur units) | ---- | 0.02 | % S | <0.02 | <0.02 | 0.13 | <0.02 | ---- | |
| Net Acidity (acidity units) | ---- | 10 | mole H+ / t | <10 | <10 | 81 | <10 | ---- | |
| Liming Rate | ---- | 1 | kg CaCO3/t | <1 | <1 | 6 | <1 | ---- | |
| Net Acidity excluding ANC (sulfur units) | ---- | 0.02 | % S | 0.12 | 0.64 | 3.38 | 0.40 | ---- | |
| Net Acidity excluding ANC (acidity units) | ---- | 10 | mole H+ / t | 76 | 397 | 2110 | 249 | ---- | |
| Liming Rate excluding ANC | ---- | 1 | kg CaCO3/t | 6 | 30 | 158 | 19 | ---- | |

GHD

Level 11, Crown Tower
Sydney NSW 2000

T: 61 2 4222 2300 E: wolmail@ghd.com

© GHD 2018

This document is and shall remain the property of GHD. The document may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of Engagement for the commission. Unauthorised use of this document in any form whatsoever is prohibited.

2127477-

41974/<https://projects.ghd.com/oc/Sydney1/eastcoastlngterminal/Delivery/Documents/2127477-REP-Sediment Contamination.docx>

www.ghd.com

