



PART D: EIS Synthesis and Conclusion

27. Approach to environmental management and mitigation

This chapter, together with chapter 28, provides a synthesis of the environmental impact statement. This chapter compiles the key potential impacts that have not been avoided, and the measures proposed to avoid, minimise, manage or offset the impacts identified in Part C. The chapter also provides the outcomes the proponent is seeking to achieve through the implementation of the mitigation measures.

27.1 Compilation of impacts

Part C of the EIS provides an assessment of the potential impacts of the proposal during construction and operation. The key potential impacts requiring mitigation and management are summarised in Table 27.1 and Table 27.2. The approach to mitigating and managing potential impacts is described in section 27.2. Further information on these impacts is provided in chapters 9 to 25.

Table 27.1 **Summary of key potential construction impacts**

Issue	Key potential construction impacts
Traffic, transport and access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Temporary impacts to traffic and access, and an increase in both heavy and light vehicle movements on the local road network, particularly in the vicinity of the Parkes north west connection ▶ Works on level crossings may result in local traffic disruptions and short term access restrictions ▶ New temporary access tracks may be required in some locations ▶ Construction activities would result in temporary impacts on existing rail operations ▶ Localised minor impacts on Brolgan Road traffic, including access to Coopers Road, during construction of the Brolgan Road overbridge
Biodiversity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Permanent removal or modification (clearing) of about 75.8 hectares of native vegetation, and temporary disturbance of about 35.3 hectares of native vegetation, which includes threatened ecological communities listed under the TSC Act and/or the EPBC Act ▶ Impacts on aquatic ecological systems as a result of works to culverts and access across watercourses
Noise and vibration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Potential for construction noise to exceed the relevant criteria at various receivers along the proposal site
Air quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Generation of dust from construction works and the movement of equipment and machinery
Soils and contamination	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Erosion and sedimentation during construction could result in the contamination of soils and surface waters ▶ The main contaminants that could be exposed during excavation are hydrocarbons and asbestos ▶ Contamination associated with any leaks and spills
Hydrology and flooding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Potential for inundation during flood events ▶ Changes in flows as a result of construction activities

Issue	Key potential construction impacts
Water quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Erosion and the generation of sediment, particularly during watercourse crossings and the construction of new culverts ▶ Impacts on downstream water quality if management measures are not implemented, monitored, and maintained
Aboriginal heritage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Potential to impact four listed Aboriginal heritage sites ▶ Impacts on any unexpected finds
Non-Aboriginal heritage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Impacts on the existing Parkes to Narromine line, a potential heritage item considered to be generally of local significance ▶ Potential for vibration impacts on a dilapidated cottage (referred to as 'Wyanga cottage'), which is considered to be of local heritage significance ▶ Impacts on any unexpected finds
Visual and landscape	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Visual impacts during construction as a result of the presence of construction works, plant, and disturbance
Land use and property	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Temporary disturbance to land use along the proposal site ▶ Temporary impacts to agricultural/farming practices ▶ Limited acquisition of privately owned land (mainly for the Parkes north west connection), with resultant changes in land use
Socio-economics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Beneficial impacts during construction including employment (an estimated average workforce of 150 people), training opportunities, and flow on local and regional economic benefits ▶ Impacts on the local community and/or individual landowners/occupants resulting from changes to traffic, transport and access arrangements ▶ Impacts on the amenity of the local community, and impacts associated with the inflow of the workforce into the local area, including a requirement for temporary accommodation
Sustainability and climate change	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Material consumption and associated carbon footprint ▶ Emissions of greenhouse gases ▶ Discharge to surrounding environment including waste production ▶ Clearing and land excavations ▶ Demand for fuel (diesel), water, sand, and aggregate
Waste	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Indicatively, the proposal would generate about 647,807 cubic metres of spoil which would be re-used in track formation/construction (about 19 per cent) and for spoil mounds ▶ Other waste material would include green waste, sleepers, rail tracks, formation material, fencing, and general soil waste

Issue	Key potential construction impacts
Health and safety	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Introduction of potential ignition sources and fuel sources could increase bushfire risks ▶ If inadequately managed, the storage and handling of dangerous goods and hazardous materials could cause leaks and spills, with resultant contamination and health impacts ▶ Potential rupture of underground utilities during excavation or collision of plant and equipment with aboveground services ▶ Public health and safety risks during construction

Table 27.2 *Summary of key potential operation impacts*

Issue	Key potential operation impacts
Traffic, transport and access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Impacts on travel time as a result of increased train activity at level crossings ▶ The Parkes north west connection and the Brolgan Road overbridge would impact on Millers Lookout Road and Coopers Road, and would create a short 'bypassed' section of Brolgan Road
Biodiversity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Increase in train strikes on fauna species
Noise and vibration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Noise levels at a number of residential receivers have the potential to exceed the redeveloped rail line criteria for operational rail noise by the year 2040
Air quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Increase in the number of diesel freight trains has the potential to increase levels of pollutants such as nitrogen oxides and particulate matter ▶ Decreasing the number of heavy vehicles using major transport routes such as the Newell Highway would have a positive impact on air quality for receivers along these routes
Soils and contamination	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ If inadequately managed, maintenance could result in erosion of soils ▶ Contamination of soils as a result of any accidental spills
Water quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Surface runoff, which may contain sediment, traces of fuel, dissolved metals, and other contaminants deposited in the corridor from operation activities, could impact water quality ▶ Impacts on water quality as a result of any accidental spills

Issue	Key potential operation impacts
Hydrology and flooding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Raising the height of the rail formation would impact surface water flows across the floodplain, changing the upstream flooding regime, and resulting in more concentrated flows through culverts that discharge to downstream waterways ▶ Flood modelling predicts that the proposal would: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • reduce the length of overtopping of the existing rail corridor in the proposal site during a one per cent AEP, from about 7,175 metres to 406 metres • reduce the area of upstream flooding for flood events up to the two per cent event • reduce the area subject to flooding for smaller flood events • increase the extent of flooding in a one per cent AEP event by about 10 per cent
Visual and landscape	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Introduction of new structures in the landscape, mainly associated with the Parkes north west connection and the Brolgan Road overbridge
Land use and property	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Use of the rail line would intensify once Inland Rail is operational ▶ Flood modelling predicts that the proposal would result in an increase in the area of land subject to temporary inundation, mainly affecting land subject to cropping and grazing uses
Socio-economics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Beneficial impacts would include better access to and from regional markets (including via the Parkes intermodal facility), enabler for regional economic development along the Inland Rail corridor, and safety and amenity benefits as a result of the reduction of freight transport on major road corridors
Sustainability and climate change	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Potential risk of asset damage or failure in extreme weather events ▶ Emissions of greenhouse gases from operational energy use and embodied energy in materials ▶ Reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from transfer of freight from trucks to rail ▶ Demand for fuel (diesel) and water
Waste	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Small quantities of green waste, general debris, and litter may be generated during maintenance
Health and safety	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Introduction of potential ignition sources could increase bushfire risks ▶ If inadequately managed, transport of hazardous materials and dangerous goods via rail has the potential to impact the surrounding community and the environment through leaks and spills ▶ Public health and safety risks including risks to pedestrians and road vehicles as a result of collisions with trains at level crossings, and other safety risks, such as security risks and unauthorised access

27.2 Approach to environmental management

The approach to environmental mitigation and management for the proposal involves:

- ▶ Project design – as described in section 7.1, the proposal incorporates measures to avoid and minimise impacts.
- ▶ Mitigation measures – mitigation measures provided in chapters 9 to 25 are identified as an outcome of the environmental impact assessment, and are consolidated in section 27.3.
- ▶ ARTC's Environmental Management System – would be used to manage the construction and operation of Inland Rail, including the proposal. The management system would provide the framework for implementing the construction and operation environmental management plans described below, and any conditions of other approvals, licences, or permits.
- ▶ Inland Rail NSW Construction Noise and Vibration Management Framework – describes how ARTC proposes to manage construction noise and vibration for Inland Rail in NSW as a whole, including management measures, processes, and the approach to additional assessment where required. A copy of the framework is provided in Appendix H.
- ▶ Proposal specific CEMP and OEMP – prepared to guide the approach to environmental management during construction and operation, as described in sections 27.2.1 and 27.2.2. The CEMP and OEMP would:
 - outline the environmental management practices and procedures to be followed
 - document processes for demonstrating compliance with the commitments made in this EIS, the submissions report (to be prepared), and relevant approval conditions
 - be prepared in consultation with relevant agencies and in accordance with the *Guideline for the Preparation of Environmental Management Plans* (DIPNR, 2004).
- ▶ Environmental performance outcomes – establishes the intended outcomes to be achieved by the project. The environmental performance outcomes are provided in 27.4.

27.2.1 CEMP

The CEMP would include:

- ▶ ARTC's environmental policy, objectives, and performance targets for construction
- ▶ reference to all relevant statutory and other obligations, including consents, licenses, approvals, and voluntary agreements required
- ▶ management policies, procedures, and review processes to assess the implementation of environmental management practices and the environmental performance of the proposal against the objective and targets
- ▶ requirements and guidelines for management in accordance with:
 - the conditions of approval for the proposal
 - the mitigation measures specified in this EIS
 - relevant construction management guidelines (including those listed in Appendix K).
- ▶ requirements in relation to incorporating environmental protection measures and instructions in all relevant standard operating procedures and emergency response procedures
- ▶ roles and responsibilities of all personnel and contractors to be employed on site
- ▶ incident and contingency management procedures
- ▶ procedures for complaints handling and ongoing communication with the community
- ▶ a monitoring and auditing program, as defined by this EIS and the conditions of the approval

An outline of the CEMP, including the required sub-plans and a guide to the general construction management measures required in each, is provided in Appendix K.

27.2.2 OEMP

The OEMP would include:

- ▶ a description of activities to be undertaken during operation
- ▶ an environmental risk analysis to identify the key environmental performance issues associated with the operation phase
- ▶ statutory and other obligations that the proponent is required to fulfil during operation, including approvals, consultations and agreements required from authorities and other stakeholders under key legislation and policies
- ▶ a description of the links with ARTC's Environmental Management System, and the environment protection licence relevant to the proposal
- ▶ overall environmental policies, guidelines and principles to be applied to operation
- ▶ roles and responsibilities for relevant employees involved in operation, including relevant environmental training and induction requirements
- ▶ incident and contingency management procedures
- ▶ details of how environmental performance would be managed and monitored to meet acceptable outcomes, including what actions would be taken to address identified potential adverse environmental impacts.

27.2.3 Approach to design refinements

The design of the proposal as described in the EIS would be subject to ongoing refinements during the detailed design phase. Refinements may be made to:

- ▶ avoid ground conditions or services that present significant construction difficulties in terms of logistics, time and/or cost
- ▶ reduce the construction timeframe
- ▶ avoid areas of environmental sensitivity identified following approval
- ▶ reduce impacts on local residents
- ▶ improve the operation of the project without increasing the potential environmental impacts.

Such refinements may include, for example:

- ▶ minor changes to the location of construction compounds and construction site access routes
- ▶ minor changes to access roads as a result of changes to level crossings within the assessment area described in chapter 2
- ▶ changes to culvert upgrade proposals within the assessment area described in chapter 2
- ▶ changes to the level crossing upgrade proposals
- ▶ minor changes to the location of key infrastructure, refinement or reorientation of site boundaries
- ▶ minor changes in technology or the features of key proposal components.

Refinements would not include significant changes to the proposal.

For design refinements a consistency review would be undertaken to consider whether the refinement:

- ▶ would result in any of the conditions of approval not being met
- ▶ be consistent with the objectives and operation of the proposal as described in the environmental assessment
- ▶ result in a significant change to the approved project
- ▶ would trigger the requirement for additional Aboriginal heritage surveys and mitigation measures as described in Technical Report 7
- ▶ would result in any potential environmental or social impacts of a greater scale or different nature than that considered by the EIS.

A refinement that does not meet these criteria would be considered a design modification. Approval would be sought from the Minister for Planning for any such modifications in accordance with the requirements of Part 5.1 of the EP&A Act.

27.3 Compilation of mitigation measures

Table 27.3 to Table 27.5 provide a compilation of the measures proposed to mitigate and manage the potential impacts of the proposal, as detailed in Part C. The measures listed may be revised in response to submissions raised during public exhibition of the EIS and/or any design changes made following exhibition. The final list of mitigation measures would be provided in the submissions/preferred infrastructure report. If the proposal is approved, the conditions of approval, which would include reference to the finalised mitigation measures, would guide subsequent phases of the proposal. The works would be undertaken in accordance with the conditions of approval and the final list of mitigation measures.

Table 27.3 *Compilation of proposal specific mitigation measures for detailed design/pre-construction*

No.	Issue	Detailed design/pre-construction mitigation measures
D1	Environmental management	
D1.1	<i>CEMP</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ A CEMP would be prepared to detail the approach to environmental management during construction, as described in section 27.2.1 and in accordance with the conditions of approval.
D2	Traffic, transport and access	
D2.1	<i>Traffic, transport and access</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The detailed design of the proposal would minimise the potential for impacts to the surrounding road and transport network, property accesses, and access for emergency vehicles. ▶ Where any legal access to a property is permanently affected and a property has no other legal means of access, alternative access to and from a public road would be provided to an equivalent standard where feasible and practicable. Where an alternative access is not feasible or practicable, and a property is left with no access to a public road, negotiations would be undertaken with the relevant property owner for acquisition of the property in accordance with the provisions of the <i>Land Acquisition (Just Terms Compensation) Act 1991</i>.
D2.2	<i>Consultation</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Input would be sought from relevant stakeholders (including Parkes Shire Council, Narromine Shire Council, and Roads and Maritime Services) prior to finalising the detailed design of those aspects of the proposal that impact on the operation of road infrastructure under the management of these stakeholders. ▶ The traffic, transport and access management sub-plan would be developed in consultation with (where relevant) Parkes Shire Council, Narromine Shire Council, Roads and Maritime Services, and local public transport/bus operators.
D2.3	<i>Level crossings</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Level crossings would be provided with warning signage, line marking and other relevant controls; in accordance with the relevant national and ARTC standards.
D3	Biodiversity	
D3.1	<i>Biodiversity offset strategy</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The biodiversity offset strategy (phase 1) for the proposal would be finalised, in accordance with the requirements of the <i>Framework for Biodiversity Assessment</i> (OEH, 2014a) and the <i>NSW Biodiversity Offsets Policy for Major Projects</i> (OEH, 2014c).

No.	Issue	Detailed design/pre-construction mitigation measures
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The offset strategy would be approved by the Department of Planning and Environment prior to the commencement of construction work that would result in the disturbance of relevant ecological communities, threatened species, or their habitat, unless otherwise agreed.
D3.2	<i>Direct impacts to biodiversity</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Detailed design and construction planning would minimise the construction footprint and avoid impacts to native vegetation as far as practicable.
D3.3	<i>Riparian vegetation</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Compounds and stockpile sites would be located an appropriate distance from riparian vegetation to avoid impacts on aquatic habitat. This includes (for the proposal site) a minimum of 50 metres for type 2, classes 2 and 3 watercourses (Burrill Creek), and 10 to 50 metres for type 3, classes 2 to 4 watercourses (other watercourses). ▶ Direct impacts to in-stream vegetation and native vegetation on the banks of watercourses would be avoided as far as practicable.
D3.4	<i>Fish passage</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Detailed design and construction planning would minimise the potential for impacts to fish passage. To ensure that fish passage is maintained, watercourse crossing structures would be designed in accordance with the guideline <i>Why do fish need to cross the road? Fish passage requirements for waterway crossings</i> (Fairfull and Witheridge, 2003) and the minimum design requirements specified in Table 4.1 of Technical Report 3.
D3.5	<i>Rehabilitation strategy</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ A rehabilitation strategy would be prepared to guide the approach to rehabilitation of disturbed areas following the completion of construction. The strategy would include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • clear objectives and timeframes for rehabilitation works (including the biodiversity outcomes to be achieved) • details of the actions and responsibilities to progressively rehabilitate, regenerate, and/or revegetate areas, consistent with the agreed objectives • identification of flora species and sources • procedures for monitoring the success of rehabilitation • corrective actions should the outcomes of rehabilitation not conform to the objectives adopted.
D3.6	<i>Pre-clearing surveys</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Pre-clearing surveys and inspections would be undertaken prior to construction. The surveys and inspections, and any subsequent relocation of species, would be undertaken and in accordance with the biodiversity management sub-plan in the CEMP.
D4	Noise and vibration	
D4.1	<i>Noise and vibration control</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The proposal would be designed with the aim of achieving the operational noise and vibration criteria identified by the noise and vibration assessment. ▶ Track features such as crossovers, turnouts, and rail joints would be avoided near vibration sensitive structures where practicable.

No.	Issue	Detailed design/pre-construction mitigation measures
D4.2	<i>Construction vibration</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Where vibration levels are predicted to exceed the screening criteria, a more detailed assessment of the structure and vibration monitoring would be carried out in accordance with the Inland Rail NSW Construction Noise and Vibration Management Framework, to ensure vibration levels remain below appropriate limits for that structure.
D4.3	<i>Operational noise and vibration review</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ An operational noise and vibration review would be undertaken as described in section 11.5 to guide the approach to identifying feasible and reasonable mitigation measures to incorporate in the detailed design.
D5	Soils and contamination	
D5.1	<i>Structural integrity</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Foundation and batter design would include engineering measures to minimise operational risks from shrink swell, dispersive, and/or low strength soils.
D5.2	<i>Dilapidated building near site TP33</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Prior to removal of this building (if required), the presence of asbestos would be confirmed, and any removal required would be undertaken in accordance with <i>How to Safely Remove Asbestos Code of Practice</i> (Safe Work Australia, 2016).
D6	Hydrology and flooding	
D6.1	<i>Flooding</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The design features listed in section 15.3.1 would continue to be refined to not worsen existing flooding characteristics, where feasible and reasonable, up to and including the one per cent AEP event. Detailed flood modelling would consider potential changes to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • upstream flood extents • level crossing and road flood levels and extent • overland flow paths and storage effects due to spoil mounds and other proposal infrastructure • flood evacuation routes. ▶ Flood modelling to support detailed design would be carried out in accordance with the guidelines listed in section 15.1.2. ▶ Flood modelling and mitigation would consider future floodplain risk management plans, and would be undertaken in consultation with the relevant local council, the Office of Environment and Heritage, and State Emergency Services.
D6.2	<i>Emergency routes</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Where feasible, facilities and routes identified as being critical to emergency response operations would be protected from the probable maximum flood level.
D6.3	<i>Downstream watercourse stability</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Further modelling would be undertaken during detailed design to confirm the locations downstream of culverts that require erosion protection, and the extent and type of protection required.

No.	Issue	Detailed design/pre-construction mitigation measures
D6.4	<i>Water usage (private bores and surface water)</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Detailed design and construction planning would aim to minimise the use of potable water during construction. ▶ Appropriate sources for construction water would be determined prior to construction in consultation with relevant stakeholders, and appropriate approvals and agreements would be sought for the extraction of water.
D7	Water quality	
D7.1	<i>Water quality</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The design features listed in section 16.3.1 would continue to be refined and implemented to minimise the potential impacts of the proposal on water quality.
D7.2	<i>Surface water monitoring framework</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ A surface water monitoring framework would be developed as part of the soil and water management sub-plan in the CEMP. It would identify monitoring locations at discharge points, and selected locations in watercourses where works are being undertaken. ▶ The monitoring framework would include the relevant water quality objectives, parameters, and criteria from Technical Report 7, and specific monitoring locations which have been identified based on the hydrological attributes of the receiving watercourse, in consultation with DPI (Water) and the EPA.
D8	Heritage	
D8.1	<i>Avoiding impacts to Aboriginal heritage</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Detailed design and construction planning would avoid direct impacts to the identified items/sites of Aboriginal heritage significance where practicable.
D8.2	<i>Impacts to Aboriginal heritage outside the proposal site</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Any works outside the proposal site would be subject to further review and assessment to avoid impacts on Aboriginal items.
D8.3	<i>Non-Aboriginal heritage interpretation</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ An interpretation strategy would be developed for the proposal to provide a concept and framework for interpretation of the original rail line and rail infrastructure.
D8.4	<i>Impacts to Aboriginal sites</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Impacts to AHIMS listed sites 35-3-0206 and 45-3-0111 would be avoided where possible. These sites would be fenced prior to construction and their locations marked on all plans. A buffer of 10 metres around the sites would be applied to all fencing. ▶ If these sites cannot be avoided, salvage of artefacts would be undertaken prior to construction in accordance with the procedures detailed in Technical Report 8. ▶ Impacts to the scarred tree at 35-3-0207 and the artefact scatter at 35-3-0208 would be avoided. The sites would be fenced prior to construction and marked on all plans.
D8.5	<i>Impacts to potential heritage items</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The detailed design of the proposal would minimise the potential for direct impacts to Wyanga cottage. ▶ The management of potential vibration impacts at the cottage would be undertaken in accordance with the Inland Rail NSW Construction Noise and Vibration Management Framework.

No.	Issue	Detailed design/pre-construction mitigation measures
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Direct impacts to Wyanga cottage would be avoided by the installation of temporary fencing, and marking the cottage as a 'no go' area on plans. ▶ A photographic/archival recording would be undertaken of culverts/underbridges with timber components, former rail station sites (as described in sections 6.4.1 and 6.4.2 of Technical Report 8), and Wyanga cottage, in accordance with <i>Photographic Recording of Heritage Items Using Film or Digital Capture</i> (Heritage Division, 2006). ▶ The photographic recording would include contextual photographs showing the relationships between the rail line, station sites, and associated grain rail sidings and silos.
D9	Landscape and visual	
D9.1	<i>Landscape character and visual impacts</i>	▶ Detailed design would be undertaken in accordance with the design vision, objectives, and principles which underpin the concept design, and would take into account the guidelines listed in section 19.1.
D9.2	<i>Artist impressions</i>	▶ Following completion of detailed design of the Parkes north west connection and Brolgan Road overbridge, artist impressions and perspective drawings would be developed for consultation purposes.
D10	Land use and property	
D10.1	<i>Property impacts</i>	▶ Individual property management agreements would be developed in consultation with landowners/occupants, with respect to the management of construction on or immediately adjacent to private properties. These would detail any required adjustments to fencing, access, farm infrastructure, and relocation of any impacted structures, as required.
D10.2	<i>Acquisitions</i>	▶ All acquisitions/adjustments would be undertaken in consultation with landowners and in accordance with the requirements of the <i>Land Acquisition (Just Terms Compensation) Act 1991</i> .
D10.3	<i>Access to properties</i>	▶ Access to properties would be maintained and managed in accordance with the mitigation measures listed under item D2 above.
D10.4	<i>Travelling stock reserves</i>	▶ Local Land Services would continue to be consulted during detailed design to understand how impacts to travelling reserves routes can be avoided during construction and operation. Alternative access arrangements would be made as required.
D10.5	<i>Impacts to services and utilities</i>	▶ Utility and service providers would continue to be consulted during detailed design to identify possible interactions and develop procedures to minimise the potential for service interruptions and impacts on existing land uses.
D10.6	<i>Consultation and communication</i>	▶ Property owners and occupants would be consulted in accordance with the communication plan for the proposal (described in chapter 4), to ensure that owners/occupants are informed about the timing and scope of activities in their area; and any potential property impacts/changes, particularly in relation to potential impacts to access, services, or farm operational arrangements.

No.	Issue	Detailed design/pre-construction mitigation measures
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The results of consultation would be incorporated in the individual property management agreements as appropriate. ▶ Consultation would be undertaken with landowners affected by level crossing changes and agreement obtained, where required.
D10.7	<i>Biosecurity risks</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The weed management plan included in the CEMP would detail measures to minimise the potential for biosecurity risks during construction.
D11	Socio-economics	
D11.1	<i>Communication</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Key stakeholders (including local councils, emergency service providers, public transport providers, the general community, and surrounding land owners/occupants) would continue to be consulted regarding the proposal in accordance with the communication plan described in chapter 4.
D11.2	<i>Local access to Inland Rail</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ ARTC would continue to work with relevant stakeholders, including Parkes Shire Council, to identify opportunities to facilitate local access to Inland Rail via the Parkes intermodal facility.
D11.3	<i>Accommodation</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ A temporary workforce housing and accommodation plan would be developed and implemented during construction. This would include a requirement for consultation to be undertaken with local accommodation providers and councils regarding the availability of accommodation, and the need to maintain some availability for non-workforce accommodation.
D12	Sustainability	
D12.1	<i>Sustainability management plan</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The potential sustainability initiatives identified for the proposal would be reviewed and updated during the detailed design stage. ▶ A sustainability management plan would be developed to guide the design, construction, and operation of the proposal, to achieve an 'excellent' rating according to the ISCA infrastructure sustainability rating tool. ▶ The sustainability management plan would incorporate the updated sustainability initiatives, and the review and reporting requirements necessary to demonstrate how sustainability has been incorporated into the proposal during design, construction, and operation.
D13	Climate change	
D13.1	<i>Climate change impacts</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The climate change risk assessment would continue to be refined as the design of the proposal progresses. ▶ The adaptation measures identified for the proposal would be reviewed and final measures would be incorporated into the design where practicable.
D14	Waste	
D14.1	<i>Waste management</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Detailed design would include measures to minimise excess spoil generation. This would include a focus on optimising the design to minimise spoil volumes, and the reuse of material on-site.

No.	Issue	Detailed design/pre-construction mitigation measures
D15	Health and safety	
D15.1	<i>Public safety</i>	▶ A hazard analysis would be undertaken during detailed design to identify risks to public safety from the proposal, and how these can be mitigated through safety in design.
D15.2	<i>Services and utilities</i>	▶ The location of utilities, services and other infrastructure would be identified prior to construction to determine requirements for access to, diversion, protection and/or support.

Table 27.4 *Compilation of proposal specific mitigation measures for construction*

No.	Issue	Construction mitigation measures
C1	Environmental management	
C1.1	<i>CEMP</i>	▶ Construction of the proposal would be undertaken in accordance with the approved CEMP.
C2	Traffic, transport and access	
C2.1	<i>Access to properties</i>	▶ Property access would be maintained throughout the construction period, with suitable alternative access arrangements provided where required.
C2.2	<i>Emergency vehicle access</i>	▶ Access for emergency vehicles would be maintained along key emergency access routes throughout the construction period, with suitable alternative access arrangements provided where required.
C2.3	<i>Rail traffic diversions</i>	▶ Diversions of existing rail traffic would be undertaken in consultation with relevant stakeholders, and alternative arrangements would be provided.
C2.4	<i>Consultation</i>	<p>▶ Consultation with relevant stakeholders would be undertaken regularly to facilitate the efficient delivery of the proposal and to minimise congestion and inconvenience to road users. Stakeholders would include the relevant local council, bus operators, Roads and Maritime Services, emergency services, and affected property owners/occupants.</p> <p>▶ The community would be notified in advance of any proposed road and pedestrian network changes through signage, the local media, and other appropriate forms of communication.</p> <p>▶ Where changes to access arrangements are required, ARTC would advise property owners/occupants and consult with them in advance regarding alternative access arrangements.</p>
C3	Biodiversity	
C3.1	<i>Avoidance of impacts</i>	▶ Areas of biodiversity value outside the proposal site would be marked on plans, and fenced or signposted where practicable, to prevent unnecessary disturbance.
C3.2	<i>Weed management</i>	▶ Noxious weeds would be managed in accordance with the <i>Noxious Weeds Act 1993</i> . Weeds of national environmental significance would be managed in accordance with the <i>Weeds of National Significance Weed Management Guide</i> .

No.	Issue	Construction mitigation measures
C3.3	<i>Rehabilitation</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Rehabilitation of disturbed areas would be undertaken progressively and in accordance with the rehabilitation strategy.
C4	Noise and vibration	
C4.1	<i>Noise and vibration management</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The Inland Rail NSW Construction Noise and Vibration Management Framework would be implemented, and the proposal would be constructed, with the aim of achieving the construction noise management levels and vibration criteria identified by the noise and vibration assessment. ▶ All feasible and reasonable noise and vibration mitigation measures would be implemented. ▶ Any activities that could exceed the construction noise management levels and vibration criteria would be identified and managed in accordance with the Inland Rail NSW Construction Noise and Vibration Management Framework and the CEMP. ▶ Notification of impacts would be undertaken in accordance with the consultation plan for the proposal.
C4.2	<i>Work outside primary proposal construction working hours</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ An out-of-hours work protocol would be developed to guide the assessment and management of works outside primary proposal construction hours.
C5	Air quality	
C5.1	<i>Construction activities and earthworks that may cause dust impacts</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Where sensitive receivers are located within 150 metres of construction works, or visible dust is generated from vehicles using access roads, road watering would be implemented.
C6	Hydrology and Flooding	
C6.1	<i>Flooding</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Construction planning and the layout of construction work sites and compounds would be carried out with consideration of overland flow paths and flood risk, avoiding flood liable land and flood events where possible.
C6.2	<i>Water usage</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Monitoring would be undertaken during extraction to ensure volumes stipulated by license requirements and/or private landholder agreements are not exceeded.
C7	Water quality	
C7.1	<i>Monitoring</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Water quality would be monitored during construction in accordance with the surface water monitoring framework.
C7.2	<i>Discharge to surface water</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Discharge to surface water would be undertaken in accordance with the construction EPL, and would consider the hydrological attributes of the receiving watercourse.
C8	Heritage	
C8.1	<i>Unexpected finds</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ In the event that unexpected archaeological remains, relics, or potential heritage items are discovered during construction, all works in the immediate area would cease, and the remains and potential items would be assessed by a qualified archaeologist or

No.	Issue	Construction mitigation measures
		<p>heritage consultant. If necessary, the Heritage Division of OEH would be notified in accordance with the requirements of section 146 of the <i>Heritage Act 1977</i>.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ If potential Aboriginal items are uncovered, works within 10 metres of the item would cease. The item would then be assessed and managed by a suitability qualified person in accordance with the unexpected finds procedure in the construction heritage management plan. ▶ During pre-work briefings, employees would be made aware of the unexpected finds procedures and obligations under the NPW Act.
C8.2	<i>Human skeleton material</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ In the event that a potential burial site or potential human skeletal material is exposed during construction, the procedure recommended by the historic heritage impact assessment would be followed in accordance with the <i>Policy Directive – Exhumation of Human Remains</i> (NSW Department of Health, 2008), <i>Skeletal Remains – Guidelines for the Management of Human Skeletal Remains under the Heritage Act 1977</i> (NSW Heritage Office, 1998), and the <i>Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Standards and Guidelines Kit</i> (NPWS, 1997).
C9	Landscape and visual	
C9.1	<i>Light spill</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Temporary lighting would be designed and sited to avoid light spill into residential properties and identified sensitive receivers. ▶ Temporary and any permanent lighting would designed and sited to comply with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>AS 4282-1997 Control of the Obtrusive Effects of Outdoor Lighting</i> • <i>Dark Sky Planning Guideline: Protecting the observing conditions at Siding Spring</i> (Department of Planning and Environment, 2016).
C9.2	<i>Spoil mounds</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Spoil mounds would be shaped to reduce their angular profile and ensure that they are integrated within the landscape. Sharp transition angles in the surface profile would be avoided, and rounded profiles would be used to provide a more natural form. Grass cover would be established over the surface area in accordance with the rehabilitation strategy.
C10	Land use and property	
C10.1	<i>Communication</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Property owners/occupants would continue to be consulted during construction, in accordance with the requirements of item D10.6.
C10.2	<i>Rehabilitation</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The rehabilitation strategy (item D3.5) would include measures to restore disturbed sites as close as possible to the pre-construction condition or better, or to the satisfaction of landowners. ▶ Rehabilitation of disturbed areas would be undertaken progressively, consistent with the rehabilitation strategy and Individual property management agreements (where relevant).

No.	Issue	Construction mitigation measures
C11	Socio-economics	
C11.1	<i>Communication</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Local residents, businesses and other stakeholders would be notified before work starts in accordance with the communication plan, and would be regularly informed of construction activities.
C11.2	<i>Access</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Access to individual residences, services and businesses would be maintained during construction. Where alternative access arrangements need to be made, these would be developed in consultation with affected property owners/occupants.
C11.3	<i>Workforce</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Where practicable, the workforce would include workers sourced locally, and opportunities for training potential local employees would be provided. This would include exploring opportunities for local Indigenous participation in consultation with local Indigenous service providers. ▶ A zero tolerance policy relating to anti-social behaviour would be adopted for work sites.
C11.4	<i>Demands for goods and services</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Local suppliers would be identified and approached for procurement of goods and services where practicable.
C12	Sustainability	
C12.1	<i>Procurement</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Procurement would be undertaken in accordance with the <i>Sustainable Procurement Guide</i> (Australian Government, 2013) and the <i>NSW Government Resource Efficiency Policy</i> (OEH, 2014).
C12.2	<i>Reporting</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Sustainability reporting (and corrective action where required) would be undertaken during construction in accordance with the sustainability management plan.
C13	Waste	
C13.1	<i>Waste management</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Waste segregation bins (colour coded as listed in Table 24.7) would be located at key construction compounds where practicable, to facilitate segregation and prevent cross contamination.
C14	Health and safety	
C14.1	<i>Storage and handling of dangerous goods</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Hazardous materials and dangerous goods would be stored, handled, and transported in accordance with relevant regulatory requirements and relevant Australian Standards, including SEPP 33 thresholds. This would include a requirement to provide a minimum bund volume of 110% of the largest single stored volume within the bund. ▶ A risk management strategy would be developed to manage the potential for risks in situations where the minimum distance from sensitive receivers cannot be achieved, or the quantity of hazardous materials exceed SEPP 33 threshold levels.

Table 27.5 *Compilation of proposal specific mitigation measures for operation*

No.	Issue	Operation mitigation measures
O1	Environmental management	
O1.1	<i>OEMP</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ An OEMP would be prepared to detail the approach to environmental management during operation, as described in section 27.2.2 and in accordance with the conditions of approval. ▶ The proposal would be operated in accordance with the approved OEMP.
O2	Traffic, transport and access	
O2.1	<i>Level crossings</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The operation of level crossings that have been subject to changes as part of the proposal would be reviewed after the proposal commences operation to confirm: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • that the level of protection continues to be appropriate • that the infrastructure is appropriate for the traffic conditions.
O3	Biodiversity	
O3.1	<i>Fish passage</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Culverts would be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure functionality and minimise blockage of fish passage.
O3.2	<i>Weed management</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Annual inspections would be undertaken for weed infestations and to assess the need for control measures. ▶ Any outbreak of noxious and/or weeds of national environmental significance would be managed in accordance with the <i>Noxious Weeds Act 1993</i>, the <i>Weeds of National Significance Weed Management Guide</i>, and the requirements of relevant authorities.
O4	Noise	
O4.1	<i>Operational noise and vibration</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The proposal would be operated with the aim of achieving the operational noise and vibration criteria identified by the noise and vibration assessment, the requirements of the conditions of approval, and the relevant environment protection licence.
O4.2	<i>Monitoring</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Once Inland Rail has commenced operation, operational noise and vibration compliance monitoring would be undertaken at representative locations to compare actual noise performance against that predicted by the noise and vibration assessment. ▶ Compliance monitoring requirements would be defined as part of the operational noise and vibration review. ▶ The results of monitoring would be included in an operational noise and vibration compliance report, prepared in accordance with the conditions of approval.
O5	Air quality	
O5.1	<i>Rail vehicle emissions</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The proposal would be managed in accordance with the air quality management requirements specified in the EPL.
O5.2	<i>Impacts during maintenance</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Maintenance service vehicles and equipment would be maintained and operated in accordance with the manufacturers specifications.

No.	Issue	Operation mitigation measures
O6	Soils and contamination	
O6.1	<i>Soil erosion and sedimentation</i>	▶ During any maintenance work where soils are exposed, sediment and erosion control devices would be installed in accordance with <i>Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction</i> (Landcom, 2004).
O6.2	<i>Contamination</i>	▶ ARTC's existing spill response procedures would be reviewed to determine applicability and suitability during operation. The adopted procedure would include measures to minimise the potential for impacts on the local community and the environment as a result of any leaks and spills.
O7	Water quality	
O7.1	<i>General water quality management</i>	▶ The proposal would be managed in accordance with the water quality management requirements specified in the EPL for ARTC and ARTC's Environmental Management System.
O8	Socio-economics	
O8.1	<i>Community safety</i>	▶ A safety awareness program would be developed and implemented to educate the community regarding safety around trains. This would focus on community and rural property operators who cross the rail corridor to access their properties.
O9	Sustainability	
O9.1	<i>Sustainability</i>	▶ Prior to operation commencing, the sustainability management plan would be reviewed and updated, and relevant initiatives would be implemented during operation.
O10	Climate change	
O10.1	<i>Climate change</i>	<p>▶ The recommended adaptation measures would be reviewed, and a final list of adaptation measures for implementation during operation would be confirmed and implemented.</p> <p>▶ Operational management and maintenance procedures would include measures relating to potential climate change risks, as listed in chapter 23.</p> <p>▶ Emerging opportunities to manage potential climate change impacts on the proposal would continue to be monitored.</p>
O11	Waste	
O11.1	<i>Waste management</i>	▶ The waste management measures listed in Table 24.8 would be implemented where practicable during operation.

No.	Issue	Operation mitigation measures
O12	Health and safety	
O12.1	<i>Bushfire, storage and handling of dangerous goods, other health and safety risks</i>	▶ Operation would be undertaken in accordance with ARTC's standard operating procedures.

27.4 Compilation of performance outcomes

The SEARs identify a number of desired performance outcomes for the proposal. These desired performance outcomes outline the broader objectives to be achieved in the design, construction, and operation of the proposal. Based on the outcomes of the environmental impact assessment summarised in Part C of the EIS, and the implementation of the mitigation measures compiled in section 27.3, environmental performance outcomes have been established for the proposal. These are listed in Table 27.6 from the SEARs, and the third column provides the proposal specific environmental performance objectives to achieve the desired outcome.

Future design development and any design changes would be considered against these environmental performance outcomes.

Table 27.6 *Compilation of environmental performance outcomes*

Key issue (as listed in the SEARs)	SEARS desired performance outcomes	Proposal specific environmental performance outcomes
5. Air quality	The project is designed, constructed and operated in a manner that minimises air quality impacts (including nuisance dust and odour) to minimise risks to human health and the environment to the greatest extent practicable.	<p>The proposal is designed to minimise the potential for vegetation clearance and associated dust impacts.</p> <p>The proposal is constructed and operated in accordance with the requirements of the POEO Act and relevant environmental protection licences.</p> <p>Dust generated during construction will not exceed the relevant criteria in the <i>National Environment Protection (Ambient Air Quality) Measure</i> and the <i>Approved Methods for the Modelling and Assessment of Air Pollutants in New South Wales</i> (DEC, 2005).</p>
6. Biodiversity	<p>The project design considers all feasible measures to avoid and minimise impacts on terrestrial and aquatic biodiversity.</p> <p>Offsets and/or supplementary measures are assured which are equivalent to any remaining impacts of project construction and operation.</p>	<p>The proposal is designed to minimise the surface footprint and impacts on biodiversity.</p> <p>Potential impacts on biodiversity are managed in accordance with relevant legislation, including the EP&A Act, TSC Act, FM Act, EPBC Act, and the <i>Noxious Weeds Act 1993</i>.</p> <p>The biodiversity outcome is consistent with the <i>Framework for Biodiversity Assessment</i> (OEH, 2014a).</p> <p>Offsets are provided in accordance with the <i>NSW Biodiversity Offsets Policy for Major Projects</i> (OEH, 2014c).</p>

Key issue (as listed in the SEARS)	SEARS desired performance outcomes	Proposal specific environmental performance outcomes
7. Climate change risk	The project is designed, constructed and operated to be resilient to the future impacts of climate change.	<p>Climate change risks are considered throughout the design and development process.</p> <p>The proposal is designed to maximise climate change resilience while minimising costs, community, and environmental impacts.</p> <p>The climate change risk assessment is maintained in line with updated global climate models and regional projection data.</p> <p>The proposal is designed, constructed, and operated in accordance with relevant climate change legislation and guidelines.</p>
8. Flooding	<p>The project minimises adverse impacts on existing flooding characteristics.</p> <p>Construction and operation of the project avoids or minimises the risk of, and adverse impacts from, infrastructure flooding, flooding hazards, or dam failure.</p>	<p>Construction is undertaken in a manner that minimises the potential for adverse flooding impacts, through staging of works and the implementation of mitigation measures.</p> <p>The proposal makes a positive contribution to local flooding characteristics by replacing existing drainage infrastructure.</p> <p>Structures such as spoil mounds are designed and located such that flows are not significantly impeded.</p> <p>The proposal reduces the length of overtopping of the existing rail corridor.</p> <p>The proposal reduces or does not significantly increase the area subject to flooding.</p>
9. Health and safety	The project avoids, to the greatest extent possible, risk to public safety.	<p>Construction targets zero safety incidents.</p> <p>All dangerous goods are stored, handled and transported in accordance with relevant regulatory requirements and Australian Standards.</p>

Key issue (as listed in the SEARS)	SEARS desired performance outcomes	Proposal specific environmental performance outcomes
10. Heritage	<p>The design, construction and operation of the project facilitates, to the greatest extent possible, the long term protection, conservation and management of the heritage significance of items of environmental heritage and Aboriginal objects and places.</p> <p>The design, construction and operation of the project avoids or minimises impacts, to the greatest extent possible, on the heritage significance of environmental heritage and Aboriginal objects and places.</p>	<p>The proposal is designed to minimise the surface footprint.</p> <p>The design is sympathetic to the historic significance of the existing rail corridor and the heritage significance of surrounding listed heritage items, and where practicable, avoids and minimises impacts to heritage.</p> <p>Impacts on heritage are managed in accordance with relevant legislation, including the EP&A Act, the <i>Heritage Act 1977</i>, and relevant guidelines.</p> <p>The potential impacts identified are mitigated by photographic/archival recording.</p>
11. Noise and vibration – amenity	<p>Construction noise and vibration (including airborne noise, ground-borne noise and blasting) are effectively managed to minimise adverse impacts on acoustic amenity.</p> <p>Increases in noise emissions and vibration affecting nearby properties and other sensitive receivers during operation of the proposal are effectively managed to protect the amenity and well-being of the community.</p>	<p>The proposal minimises impacts to the local community by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ controlling noise and vibration at the source ▶ controlling noise and vibration on the source to receiver transmission path ▶ controlling noise and vibration at the receiver ▶ implementing practicable and reasonable measures to minimise the noise and vibration impacts of construction activities on local sensitive receivers.
12. Noise and vibration – structural	<p>Construction noise and vibration (including airborne noise, ground-borne noise and blasting) are effectively managed to minimise adverse impacts on the structural integrity of buildings, items including Aboriginal places and environmental heritage, and nearby road infrastructure.</p> <p>Increases in noise emissions and vibration affecting environmental heritage as defined in the <i>Heritage Act 1977</i> during operation of the proposal are effectively managed.</p>	<p>The proposal minimises impacts to structures by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ controlling vibration at the source ▶ controlling vibration on the source to receiver transmission path ▶ implementing practicable and reasonable measures to minimise vibration impacts of construction activities on structures.
13. Protected and sensitive lands	<p>The project is designed, constructed and operated to avoid or minimise impacts on protected and sensitive lands.</p>	<p>The proposal does not impact on protected and sensitive lands as defined by the SEARs.</p>

Key issue (as listed in the SEARS)	SEARS desired performance outcomes	Proposal specific environmental performance outcomes
14. Socio-economic, land use property, agriculture and biosecurity	<p>The project minimises adverse social and economic impacts and capitalises on opportunities potentially available to affected communities.</p> <p>The project minimises impacts to property and business and achieves appropriate integration with adjoining land uses, including maintenance of appropriate access to properties and community facilities, and minimisation of displacement of existing land use activities, dwellings and infrastructure.</p>	<p>The proposal minimises impacts to the local community and businesses.</p> <p>As part of Inland Rail as a whole, the proposal provides for the development of an efficient and sustainable route for the transport of freight between Brisbane and Melbourne.</p> <p>The proposal provides opportunities for regional economic development, by enabling local and regional businesses to access Inland Rail via regional transport hubs.</p> <p>Impacts to existing land use and properties are minimised.</p> <p>The proposal is appropriately integrated with adjoining land uses, and access to private properties is maintained.</p> <p>The proposal is appropriately integrated with local and regional land use planning strategies.</p>
15. Soils	<p>The environmental values of land, including soils, subsoils and landforms, are protected.</p> <p>Risks arising from the disturbance and excavation of land and disposal of soil are minimised, including disturbance to acid sulfate soils and site contamination.</p>	<p>Site-specific soil, subsoil and landform characteristics are taken into consideration during detailed design and construction.</p> <p>Any contamination is managed in accordance with relevant regulatory requirements.</p> <p>Any soil waste is assessed, classified, managed and disposed of in accordance with the <i>Waste Classification Guidelines</i> (EPA, 2014).</p>
16. Sustainability	<p>The project reduces the NSW Government's operating costs and ensures the effective and efficient use of resources.</p> <p>Conservation of natural resources is maximised.</p>	<p>The design process targets an 'excellent' rating in accordance with the ISCA rating tool.</p> <p>Sustainability considerations are integrated throughout the design, construction, and operation phases of the proposal.</p> <p>The proposal contributes to one of the desired outcomes of Inland Rail – to have more than 750,000 fewer tonnes of carbon, one-third less fuel consumption, and reduced truck volumes in over 20 regional towns.</p>

Key issue (as listed in the SEARS)	SEARS desired performance outcomes	Proposal specific environmental performance outcomes
17. Traffic, transport and access	<p>Network connectivity, safety and efficiency of the transport system in the vicinity of the project are managed to minimise impacts.</p> <p>The safety of transport system customers is maintained.</p> <p>Impacts on network capacity and the level of service are effectively managed.</p> <p>Works are compatible with existing infrastructure and future transport corridors.</p>	<p>The proposal provides for more efficient and productive freight rail operations.</p> <p>Impacts to traffic and transport are minimised.</p> <p>Motorist, pedestrian and cyclist safety will be maintained or improved.</p> <p>The proposal contributes to one of the desired outcomes of Inland Rail – to have reduced truck volumes on the road network, improving road safety.</p> <p>Safe access to properties is maintained.</p> <p>The proposal is integrated with existing and future local and regional transport infrastructure and planning strategies.</p>
18. Visual amenity	<p>The project minimises adverse impacts on the visual amenity of the built and natural environment (including public open space) and capitalises on opportunities to improve visual amenity.</p>	<p>Vegetation providing screening to the rail corridor is retained where practicable.</p> <p>The proposal is designed to have regard to the surrounding landscape and visual environment.</p> <p>The proposal incorporates features to minimise the potential visual impacts where visual receptors are concentrated.</p> <p>The proposal makes a positive contribution to the quality of the visual environment in the vicinity of the Parkes north west connection.</p> <p>The proposal is visually integrated with its surroundings.</p>
19. Waste	<p>All wastes generated during the construction and operation of the proposal are effectively stored, handled, treated, reused, recycled and/or disposed of lawfully, and in a manner that protects environmental values.</p>	<p>Waste is managed in accordance with the POEO Act and the WARR Act.</p> <p>Waste is assessed, classified, managed, and disposed of in accordance with the <i>Waste Classification Guidelines</i> (EPA, 2014).</p> <p>Reusable spoil is beneficially reused in accordance with the project spoil reuse hierarchy.</p>

Key issue (as listed in the SEARS)	SEARS desired performance outcomes	Proposal specific environmental performance outcomes
20. Water - hydrology	<p>Long term impacts on surface water and groundwater hydrology (including drawdown, flow rates and volumes) are minimised.</p> <p>The environmental values of nearby, connected and affected water sources, groundwater and dependent ecological systems including estuarine and marine water (if applicable) are maintained (where values are achieved) or improved and maintained (where values are not achieved).</p> <p>Sustainable use of water resources.</p>	<p>The proposal avoids long term impacts to surface water.</p> <p>Opportunities to reuse water resources are considered during the design process.</p> <p>The use of water during construction is minimised.</p>
21. Water - quality	<p>The project is designed, constructed and operated to protect the NSW Water Quality Objectives where they are currently being achieved, and contribute towards achievement of the Water Quality Objectives over time where they are currently not being achieved, including downstream of the project to the extent of the project impact including estuarine and marine waters (if applicable).</p>	<p>The proposal is designed and constructed such that changes to water flows in watercourses are minimised.</p> <p>Water discharged does not exceed the ANZECC 2000 guidelines for protection of aquatic ecosystems or water quality trigger values.</p> <p>Impacts to water quality during construction and operation are minimised.</p>

28. Conclusion

This chapter provides the conclusion to the EIS. It summarises the proposal for which approval is sought; the uncertainties that still exist and how these will be resolved; and provides the justification for the proposal, having regard to biophysical, economic and social considerations.

28.1 Summary description of the proposal for which approval is sought

This EIS considers the potential impacts of the proposal to construct and operate the Parkes to Narromine section of Inland Rail. It has been prepared to support ARTC's application for approval of the proposal in accordance with the requirements of Part 5.1 of the EP&A Act, and as a controlled action under the EPBC Act. The EIS addresses the environmental assessment requirements of the Secretary of the Department of Planning and Environment, dated 8 November 2016.

28.1.1 Proposal features

The proposal consists of 106 kilometres of upgraded track and associated facilities, and is generally located in the existing rail corridor between the towns of Parkes and Narromine, via Peak Hill. A new connection to the Broken Hill Line is also proposed outside the rail corridor at the southern end of the proposal site near Parkes.

The key features of the proposal involve:

- ▶ upgrading the existing track, track formation, and culverts within the existing rail corridor for a distance of 106 kilometres between Parkes and Narromine
- ▶ realigning the track where required within the existing rail corridor to minimise tight curves
- ▶ providing three new crossing loops within the existing rail corridor, at Goonumbla, Peak Hill, and Timjelly
- ▶ providing a new 5.3 kilometre long rail connection ('the Parkes north west connection'), including a road bridge over the new section of rail at Brolgan Road ('the Brolgan Road overbridge').

Ancillary works that would be undertaken include works to level crossings, signalling and communications, signage, fencing, and services and utilities.

Further information on the design features of the proposal is provided in chapter 7.

28.1.2 Operation

The proposal would form part of the rail network managed and maintained by ARTC. Train services would be provided by a variety of operators.

Prior to the opening of Inland Rail as a whole, the rail line would be used by existing rail traffic, which includes trains carrying grain and ore at an average rate of about four trains per day.

It is estimated that the operation of Inland Rail would involve an annual average of about 8.5 trains per day in 2025, increasing to 15 trains per day in 2040. In the proposal site, this would be additional to the existing rail traffic using the rail line.

The trains would be a mix of grain, bulk freight, and other general transport trains. Total annual freight tonnages would be about 11.8 million tonnes in 2025, increasing to about 19 million tonnes in 2040 (from the existing two million tonnes of grain per year).

Train speeds would vary according to axle loads, and range from 80 to 115 kilometres per hour (for 21 tonne trains). Trains would operate 24 hours per day. They would be up to 1,800 metres long; carry double stacked containers; and have a height of 6.5 metres.

Further information on how the proposal would be operated is provided in chapter 7.

28.1.3 Construction

Construction of the proposal would commence once all necessary approvals are obtained, and the detailed design is complete. It is anticipated that construction would take about 18 months, commencing in early to mid 2018, and concluding in late 2019.

Construction along the existing rail corridor would depend on the possession strategy. However, it is anticipated that progress would be from south to north, and involve three main stages:

- ▶ stage 1 – Parkes to Goonumbla
- ▶ stage 2 – Goonumbla to Narwonah
- ▶ stage 3 – Narwonah to Narromine.

Construction of the Parkes north west connection and the Brolgan Road overbridge would be undertaken in parallel with the above stages.

Further information on how the proposal would be constructed is provided in chapter 8.

28.2 Proposal uncertainties

The EIS is based on the feasibility design for the proposal. Given the current level of design development, there remain some uncertainties relating to technical requirements, how the proposal would be constructed, and how it would operate as part of Inland Rail overall. These details would be resolved as the design of the proposal, and Inland Rail as a whole, progresses.

A summary of the uncertainties around the design, construction and/or operational methodologies of the proposal, and how these will be resolved, is provided in Table 28.1.

Table 28.1 *Proposal uncertainties*

Category	Uncertainty	How uncertainties will be resolved
Design	Property acquisition – exact areas that need to be acquired	Refining the amount and location of property acquisition will involve a detailed survey of the proposal site and surrounding properties, and confirmation of the final detailed design for the proposal.
	Spoil mounds – location and design	The location, sizing and design of the spoil mounds will be determined during the detailed design phase, with consideration given to the results of hydraulic modelling.
	Final level crossing strategy	The next stage in the level crossing strategy involves reviewing the proposed arrangements for each crossing in detail, and confirming the preferred approach, taking into account input from affected land owners and stakeholders, opportunities for alternative access.
	Parkes north west connection – connections and integration with the Parkes intermodal facility	The connections will be refined during detailed design.
	Brolgan Road overbridge – access arrangements	Access and turning arrangements for local properties, and arrangements for the short ‘bypassed’ section of Brolgan Road, will be confirmed as part of the detailed design and in consultation with Parkes Shire Council.

Category	Uncertainty	How uncertainties will be resolved
	Utilities – impacts to utilities to be defined in detail	Site utilities investigations will be completed during detailed design to validate current assessments and confirm relocation/protection requirements.
	Existing sidings – horizontal distances, loading points and mainline impacts	A detailed survey will be completed during detailed design to assist in defining the specific locations and operational requirements of existing sidings, as well as impacts to loading infrastructure at these sidings.
Construction methodology	Haul routes – exact routes and haulage methods	A detailed haulage program will be developed based on the detailed design.
	Compound sites – location, layout and facilities	The final location and layout of compound sites will be confirmed based on the detailed design, taking into account the criteria and requirements specified in chapter 8.
Operational methodology	Stop locations between Narromine and Parkes for freight trains using Inland Rail	Train stopping patterns and associated infrastructure requirements will continue to be refined as the design of the other sections of Inland Rail progresses. Train stopping patterns will be developed in consultation with potential users of Inland Rail and key stakeholders, including the agencies responsible for major intermodal terminals along the route (such as Parkes Shire Council).

28.3 Justification of the proposal

28.3.1 Summary of proposal justification

Australia's freight task is set to experience significant growth over the coming decades. The existing freight infrastructure cannot support this projected growth, with increasing pressure on already congested roads and rail lines through Sydney, and increasing use of heavy trucks such as B-doubles and, potentially, B-triples along the Hume-Pacific and Newell highway corridors.

Inland Rail will address the growing freight task by helping to move freight off the congested road network, and moving interstate freight off the congested Sydney suburban rail network. It provides a reliable road-competitive solution to the freight task, and enables the commercial and social benefits of rail to be leveraged to meet Australia's long-term freight challenge.

Inland Rail will connect key production areas in Queensland, NSW and Victoria with export ports in Brisbane and Melbourne, and provide linkages between Melbourne, Brisbane, Sydney, Adelaide and Perth. It will reduce freight transit times, reduce congestion on rail and road networks, and enable the movement of larger freight volumes via rail, by making the movement of longer and double stacked trains possible.

Inland Rail will provide the backbone infrastructure necessary to significantly upgrade the performance of the east coast rail freight network to better serve future freight demands, while also diverting demand from the constrained road freight and rail passenger network.

In summary, as described in chapter 5, Inland Rail is needed to respond to the growth in demand for freight transport, and address existing freight capacity and infrastructure issues. The analysis of demands undertaken by ARTC indicated that there would be sufficient demand for Inland Rail.

The proposal is a critical component of Inland Rail, and has been designed to maximise use of the existing rail corridor, while still contributing to the overall efficiency of Inland Rail. Through the Parkes north west connection, the proposal would assist in connecting south-east Queensland more directly with Adelaide and Perth (via Parkes), delivering immediate interoperability with the high performance east–west trans-continental line.

28.3.2 Summary of proposal benefits

The proposal is a key component of Inland Rail, which would:

- ▶ Boost the Australian economy – Inland Rail is expected to increase Australia’s gross domestic product by \$16 billion during its construction and first 50 years of operation.
- ▶ Create jobs – it is estimated that construction of Inland Rail would require a workforce of up to 16,000 people at the peak of construction, and an average of 700 additional jobs per year over the construction period.
- ▶ Improve connections within the national freight network – Inland Rail will enhance the National Land Transport Network by creating a rail linkage between Parkes and Brisbane, providing a connection between Queensland and the southern and western states, and a connection to the east–west trans-continental line.
- ▶ Provide better access to and from regional markets – Inland Rail will make it easier for freight to move from farms, mines, and ports to national and overseas markets.
- ▶ Reduce costs – it is estimated that rail costs for intercapital freight travelling between Melbourne and Brisbane will reduce by \$10 per tonne. Highway maintenance costs will reduce.
- ▶ Offer better transit time and reliability – Inland Rail will allow a transit time of less than 24 hours between Melbourne and Brisbane and a reliability of 98 per cent – matching current road levels.
- ▶ Increase the capacity of the transport network – Inland Rail will increase the capacity for freight and passenger services by reducing congestion along the busy coastal transport route, and allow for growth in passenger services, particularly in the Sydney region.
- ▶ Reduce distances travelled – with Inland Rail, the rail distance between Melbourne and Brisbane will reduce by 200 kilometres, and the distance between Brisbane and Perth, and Brisbane and Adelaide will reduce by 500 kilometres.
- ▶ Improve road safety – it is estimated that each year, there will be up to 15 fewer serious crashes, avoiding fatalities and serious injuries.
- ▶ Improve sustainability – carbon emissions will reduce by 750,000 tonnes.
- ▶ Improve community amenity – truck volumes and road congestion on some of Australia’s busiest highways will reduce, which will also mean a reduction in trucks travelling through more than 20 regional towns. This will lead to corresponding reduction in amenity impacts associated with the movement of freight by road, including noise and air emissions.
- ▶ Provide an alternative north-south freight link – Inland Rail will provide a second link between Queensland and the southern states, making Australia’s national freight rail network less vulnerable to disruptions, for example from extreme weather events.
- ▶ Promote complementary supply chain investments – Inland Rail will be a catalyst for complementary private sector investments, such as fleet upgrades, new metropolitan and regional terminals, and integrated freight precincts.

28.3.3 Consequences of not proceeding

The proposal is a section of Inland Rail as a whole, and Inland Rail cannot proceed if the proposal does not proceed. This would mean that the benefits of Inland Rail would not be realised.

28.3.4 Environmental considerations

Environmental investigations were undertaken during preparation of the EIS to assess the potential impacts of the proposal. These included specialist assessments of terrestrial and aquatic biodiversity; heritage; traffic and transport; hydrology, flooding and water quality; noise and vibration; soils; landscape and visual amenity; air quality; sustainability and climate change; socio-economics; and waste. The EIS has documented the potential environmental impacts of the proposal, considering both potential positive and negative impacts, and identifies mitigation measures to protect the environment where required.

Biophysical environment

The main potential impacts of the proposal on the biophysical environment include:

- ▶ direct impacts to biodiversity as a result of clearing of areas of native vegetation
- ▶ potential indirect flora and fauna impacts
- ▶ water quality impacts during construction
- ▶ geomorphological impacts to watercourses as a result of the construction of new culverts
- ▶ an increase the extent of flooding in a one in 100 year event by about 10 per cent.

Cultural

The main potential impacts of the proposal on the cultural environment (including land use, heritage, and socio-economics) include:

- ▶ minor changes to access arrangements as a result of the proposed consolidation of some level crossings
- ▶ disturbance of items with potential heritage significance
- ▶ visual impacts as a result of the introduction of new permanent structures in the landscape
- ▶ amenity related impacts during construction and operation (for example, noise, dust, traffic)
- ▶ acquisition of land
- ▶ minor impacts to surrounding agricultural land uses
- ▶ employment and associated economic benefits during construction
- ▶ contribution to the benefits of Inland Rail, as summarised in section 28.3.2
- ▶ local and regional benefits via the opportunities presented by the Parkes intermodal facility.

Addressing the potential impacts

As described in chapters 7, 8 and 27, the proposal would incorporate environmental management and design features to ensure that potential impacts are managed and mitigated as far as practicable. The majority of the potential construction related impacts would be effectively mitigated by the implementation of best practice construction management, including the implementation of the environmental management approaches described in section 27.2.

The biodiversity offset strategy would be finalised and implemented to address the residual impacts of the proposal on biodiversity values, according to the requirements for Part 5.1 projects under the EP&A Act, and to offset impacts on EPBC Act matters.

28.3.5 Ecologically sustainable development

The EP&A Act adopts the definition of ecologically sustainable development contained in the POEO Act 1991. An assessment of the proposal against the principles of ecologically sustainable development as per clause 7(4) of Schedule 2 of the Regulation 2000 is provided below.

Precautionary principle

A range of environmental investigations, as described in Part C of the EIS, have been undertaken during the development of the proposal and the environmental assessment process, to ensure that potential impacts are understood with a high degree of certainty. The assessment of the potential impacts of the proposal is considered to be consistent with the precautionary principle. The assessments undertaken are consistent with accepted scientific and assessment methodologies, and have taken into account relevant statutory and agency requirements. The assessments have applied a conservative approach with regard to construction and operational arrangements, and the modelling used.

The proposal has evolved to avoid impacts where possible and to reflect the findings of the studies undertaken. The route for the proposal has been selected to minimise the potential environmental impacts, particularly the amount of vegetation clearing that would be required, by maximising the use of existing rail corridors.

A number of safeguards have been proposed to minimise potential impacts. These safeguards would be implemented during construction and operation of the project. No safeguards have been postponed as a result of lack of scientific certainty.

Principle of inter-generational equity

Construction of a long linear infrastructure project such as the proposal has the potential for some degree of environmental and social disturbance. These disturbances include the clearing of vegetation; some disturbance to private properties during construction; potential disturbance of some heritage sites; and localised impacts. However, the potential for environmental and social disturbance as a result of construction has to be balanced against the long term benefits of the Inland Rail overall.

Should the proposal not proceed, the principle of intergenerational equity may be compromised, as future generations would experience the increased environmental and safety impacts associated with the transport of large volumes of freight via the Newell Highway. The strategic planning studies summarised in chapter 5 have identified a strong need and justification for Inland Rail. The proposal would, as part of Inland Rail, benefit future generations by providing a safer, more efficient, means of freight transport.

Conservation of biological diversity and ecological integrity

Ecological studies have been undertaken to identify potential adverse impacts on biodiversity. Where potential impacts cannot be avoided, mitigation measures would be implemented to reduce the impact as far as possible.

The proposal would result in the clearing of some vegetation associated with threatened plant communities. Mitigation measures are proposed to minimise and manage the significance of the impact on native vegetation and flora and fauna. Biodiversity offsets would be implemented to address the impacts that cannot be avoided.

Improved valuation and pricing of environmental resources

The assessment has identified the environmental and other consequences of the proposal, and identified mitigation measures where appropriate to manage potential impacts. If approved, the construction and operation of the proposal would be in accordance with relevant legislation, the conditions of approval, and the construction and operation environmental management plans. These requirements would result in an economic cost to the proponent. The implementation of mitigation measures would increase both the capital and operating costs of the proposal. This signifies that environmental resources have been given appropriate valuation.

The concept design for the proposal has been developed with an objective of minimising potential impacts on the surrounding environment. This indicates that the concept design has been developed with an environmental objective in mind.

28.4 Concluding statement

The proposal involves upgrading the existing rail line and associated works between Parkes and Narromine, and operating the new/upgraded section of rail line as part of Inland Rail. The proposal is needed to support the development of Inland Rail, and to provide a connection between Inland Rail and the east-west trans-continental rail line via the Broken Hill Line.

Potential impacts resulting from the proposal are considered manageable through the implementation of the proposed mitigation measures.

The detailed design for the proposal would be developed with the objective of minimising potential impacts on the local and regional environment, and the local community. The design and construction methodology would continue to be developed with this overriding objective in mind, taking into account the input of stakeholders.

To manage the potential impacts identified by the EIS, and in some cases remove them completely, the assessment chapters outline a range of mitigation measures that would be implemented during construction and operation of the project. Chapter 27 summarises the mitigation measures that would be implemented. The environmental performance of the project would be managed by the implementation of the CEMP and OEMP. These plans would also ensure compliance with relevant legislation and any conditions of approval.

With the implementation of the proposed mitigation and management measures the potential environmental impacts of the proposal would be adequately managed.

29. Reference list

- ACIL Tasman, 2010, *Melbourne-Brisbane Inland Rail Alignment Study Final Report July 2010 Appendix B Market Take Up*, prepared for ARTC
- Acid Sulfate Soils Management Advisory Committee (ASSMAC), 1998, *Acid Sulfate Soils Assessment Guidelines*, August 1998
- ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000a, *Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality*, National Water Quality Management Strategy, Paper No. 4 Volume 1, October 2000
- ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000b, *Australian Guidelines for Water Quality Monitoring and Reporting*, National Water Quality Management Strategy, No. 7, October 2000
- ARTC, 2010, *Melbourne–Brisbane Inland Rail Alignment Study*, Final Report, July 2010
- ARTC, 2012, *Level Crossing Design ESD-03-01*, Engineering (Signalling) Standard, version 1.3 22 November 2012
- ARTC, 2004, *Locomotive Specific Interface Requirements* (WOS 01.300)
- ARTC, 2015, *Inland Rail 2015 - Melbourne to Brisbane Inland Rail, Attachment A: ARTC 2015 Inland Rail Programme Business Case*, Inland Rail Implementation Group Report to the Australian Government
- Austroroads, 2014, *Cycling Aspects of Austroroads Guides*
- Austroroads, 2007, *Guide to Traffic Management – Part 3 Traffic Studies and Analysis*
- Australian Grain Magazine, 2016, July Edition 2016
- Australian Greenhouse Office (AGO), 2006, *Guidelines for Climate Change Risk Management for Governments and Business*
- Australian Government, 2009, *National Waste Policy: Less Waste, More Resources*, November 2009
- Australian Government, 2013, *Sustainable Procurement Guide*
- Australian Government Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (DSEWPC), 2012, *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 Environmental Offsets Policy*, October 2012
- Australian Government Department of the Environment, 2013, *Matters of National Environmental Significance Significant Impact Guidelines 1.1*, Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999
- Bureau of Meteorology (BoM), 2016, *Climate statistics for Australian locations*, BoM, viewed 8 June 2016, http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/averages/tables/cw_065068.shtml
- Commonwealth of Australia, 1992, Ecologically Sustainable Development Steering Committee, ACT
- CSIRO, 2015, *Technical Report: Climate Change in Australia*, Projections for Australia's NRM regions, CSIRO and the Bureau of Meteorology
- Countess Environmental, 2006, *Western Regional Air Partnership Fugitive Dust Handbook*, prepared by Countess Environmental for the Western Governors Association, Colorado, September 2006
- Cunningham, G.M & Higginson, F.R & Riddler, A.M.H & Emery, K.A., 1988, *Systems used to classify rural lands in New South Wales*, Soil Conservation Services and NSW Agriculture, viewed on 1 May 2017, http://www.google.com.au/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&ved=0ahUKEwiMv--NgtPTAhXKi5QKHVOeAQ4QFggmMAA&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.environment.nsw.gov.au%2F~%2Fmedia%2FD147BB1A03454E2DA13CDBF84120566E.ashx&usg=AFQjCNH7jRTU4lvL4ZqHFN4E_BXL1M5-Gg
- Department of the Environment, 2015, *National Climate Resilience and Adaptation Strategy*, Accessed 29 June 2016, <https://www.environment.gov.au/climate-change/adaptation/publications/national-climate-resilience-and-adaptation-strategy>

- Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC), 2005, *Approved Methods for the Modelling and Assessment of Air Pollutants in New South Wales*, December 2005
- DEC, 2006a, *Assessing Vibration: a technical guideline*, February 2006
- DEC, 2006b, *Technical framework: Assessment and management of odour from stationary sources in NSW*, November 2006
- DEC, 2006c, *Contaminated Sites: Guidelines for NSW Site Auditor Scheme (2nd Edition)*
- DEC, 2006d, *Using the ANZECC Guidelines and Water Quality Objectives in NSW*, June 2006
- Department of Environment and Climate Change (DECC), 2007, *Floodplain Risk Management Guideline: Practical Consideration of Climate Change*, version 1.0, issue date 25/10/2007
- DECC, 2008, *Managing Urban Stormwater Soils and Construction, Volume 2C Unsealed roads*, January 2008
- DECC, 2009, *Interim Construction Noise Guideline*, July 2009
- DECC, 2010a, *Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents 2010*, prepared under Part 6 of the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974*, April 2010
- DECC, 2010b, *Code of practice for archaeological investigation of Aboriginal objects in New South Wales*, prepared under Part 6 of the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974*, September 2010
- Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water (DECCW), 2011, *Road Noise Policy*, March 2011
- Department of Infrastructure and Regional Development 2004, *Planning Guidelines for Walking and Cycling*
- Department of Infrastructure and Regional Development, 2015, *State of Australian Cities 2014-2015*, December 2014
- Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources (DIPNR), 2005, *Floodplain Development Manual: the management of flood liable land*
- Department of Land and Water Conservation (DLWC), 2002, *NSW State Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems Policy*, April 2002
- Department of Planning, 2008, *Development near rail corridors and busy roads – interim guideline*, The State Government of NSW through the Department of Planning
- Department of Planning and Environment, 2016, *Dark Sky Planning Guideline - Protecting the observing conditions at Siding Spring*, June 2016
- Department of Primary Industries (DPI), 2012, *NSW Aquifer Interference Policy* - NSW Government policy for the licensing and assessment of aquifer interference activities, DPI - NSW Office of Water, September 2012
- DPI, 2011, *Land use conflict risk assessment guide*
- DPI, 2013, *Policy and guidelines for fish habitat conservation and management*
- DPI (Water), 2017, Macquarie-Bogan River and Lachlan River catchments
- Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, 2013, *Sustainable Procurement Guide*, Commonwealth of Australia
- Department of Trade and Investment, Regional Infrastructure and Services (DTIRS), 2015, *Economic Development Strategy for Regional NSW*, February 2015
- Department of Transport and Regional Services, 2006, *North–South Rail Corridor Study Executive Report*, Prepared by Ernst & Young
- Department of Urban Affairs and Planning and Environment Protection Authority, 1998, *Managing Land Contamination Planning Guidelines SEPP 55 – Remediation of Land*, August 1998
- DSEWPC, 2013, *Sustainable Procurement Guide*

- Ecologically Sustainable Development Steering Committee, 1992, *National Strategy for Ecologically Sustainable Development*, Commonwealth of Australia
- Environment Protection Authority of NSW (EPA), 1995, *Contaminated Sites: Sampling Design Guidelines*
- EPA, 2000, *NSW Industrial Noise Policy*, January 2010
- EPA, 2013, *Rail Infrastructure Noise Guideline*, May 2013
- EPA, 2014a, *Waste Classification Guidelines, Part 1: Classifying Waste*
- EPA, 2014b, *NSW Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery Strategy 2014 – 21*
- EPA, 2015a, *Guidelines on the Duty to Report Contamination under the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997*, September 2015
- EPA, 2015b, *New South Wales State of the Environment 2015*, December 2015
- EPA, 2010, *Protection of the Environment Operations (Clean Air) Regulation 2010*
- EPA Victoria, 2007, *Protocol for Environmental Management - State Environment Protection Policy (Air Quality Management) - Mining and Extractive Industry*, EPA Victoria, December 2007
- Fairfull, S. and Witheridge, G., 2003, *Why do fish need to cross the road? Fish passage requirements for waterway crossings*, NSW Fisheries, January 2003
- Federal Transit Administration, 2006, *Transit noise and vibration impact assessment*, US Department of Transportation Federal Transit Administration, May 2006
- Heritage Office and Department of Urban Affairs and Planning, 1996, *NSW Heritage Manual*
- Heritage Office, 2001, *Assessing Heritage Significance*
- Heritage Office, 2002, *Statements of Heritage Impact*
- Infrastructure Australia, 2011, *National Land Freight Strategy Discussion Paper*, February 2011
- Infrastructure Australia, 2012, *National Land Freight Strategy Update Paper*, June 2012
- Infrastructure Australia, 2013, *Urban Transport Strategy*, December 2013
- Infrastructure NSW, 2014, *State Infrastructure Strategy Update 2014, Recommendations to the NSW Government*, November 2014
- Infrastructure Australia, 2015, *2015 Australian Infrastructure Audit*
- Infrastructure Australia, 2016, *Australian Infrastructure Plan, Priorities and Reforms for our Nation's Future*, and *The Infrastructure Priority List Project and Initiative Summaries*, February 2016
- Infrastructure Australia and the National Transport Commission (IA/NTC), 2011, *National Ports Strategy - Infrastructure for an economically, socially, and environmentally sustainable future*, endorsed by COAG in July 2012
- Infrastructure Sustainability Council of Australia (ISCA), 2014, *Infrastructure sustainability rating tool*, accessed via <http://isca.org.au/is-rating-scheme/is-overview/is-rating-tool/item/68-is-rating-tool>
- ISCA, 2016, *Infrastructure Sustainability Planning Guidelines*, September 2016
- Inland Rail Implementation Group, 2015, *Melbourne–Brisbane Inland Rail Report*, report to the Australian Government, August 2015
- International Organisation for Standardisation and Standards Australia and New Zealand (ISO/ANZS), 2009, *ISO/ANZS 31000 (2009) Risk Management*.
- Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia, 2016, IBRA Subregions, April 2016
- Landcom, 2014, *Soils and Construction: Managing Urban Stormwater*, Volume 1, 4th Edition, March 2004
- Lyall & Associates, 2010, *Flood Policy*, Narromine Shire Council, viewed 1 May 2017
<http://www.narromine.nsw.gov.au/development/flooding>
- Parliamentary Counsel's Office 1991, *Land Acquisition (Just Terms Compensation) Act 1991*

- Narromine Shire Council, 2009, *Floodplain Risk Management Plan and Study*, Narromine Shire Council, viewed 1 May 2017 <http://www.narromine.nsw.gov.au/development/flooding>
- National Environmental Protection Council (NEPC), 2013. *National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999*, as amended by the National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Amendment Measure 2013 (No. 1)
- National Transport Commission, 2016, *Australian Code for the Transport of Dangerous Goods by Road & Rail Dangerous Goods Code*, Edition 7.4 Update, June 2016
- NSW Government, 2013, *NSW Freight and Ports Strategy*, November 2013
- NSW Government, 2014a, *Rebuilding NSW – State Infrastructure Strategy*, June 2014
- NSW Government, 2014b, *A Plan for Growing Sydney*, December 2014
- NSW Government, 2015, *Newell Highway Corridor Strategy*, May 2015
- NSW Government, 2016, *Draft Central West and Orana Regional Plan*, April 2016
- NSW Office of Water, 2012, *NSW Aquifer Interference Policy*
- NSW Rural Fire Service, 2006, *Planning for bush fire protection*, prepared by NSW Rural Fire Service in cooperation with the Department of Planning
- Office of Environment & Heritage (OEH), 2011a, *Contaminated Sites: Guidelines for Consultants Reporting on Contaminated Sites*, August 2011
- Office of the National Rail safety Regulator 2016, *Railway crossings policy*
- OEH, 2011b, *Guide to investigating, assessing and reporting on Aboriginal cultural heritage in NSW*, prepared under Part 6 of the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974*, April 2011
- OEH, 2012, *Erosion and sediment control on unsealed roads: A field guide for erosion and sediment control maintenance practices*, June 2012
- OEH 2014a, *Framework for Biodiversity Assessment*, NSW Biodiversity Offsets Policy for Major Projects, September 2014
- OEH, 2014b, *BioBanking Assessment Methodology 2014*, September 2014
- OEH, 2014c, *NSW Biodiversity Offsets Policy for Major Projects*, September 2014
- OEH, 2014d, *NSW Government Resource Efficiency Policy*, July 2014
- OEH, 2016, *Bathurst Air Quality Data*, OEH, viewed 8 June 2016, <http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/AQMS/search.htm>
- Office of the National Rail Safety Regulator (ONRSR), 2016, *Railway crossings policy*, version 1.1, 29 July 2016
- OzArk Environmental and Heritage Management Pty Ltd (OzArk), 2011, *Tomingley Gold Project Cultural Heritage Assessment*, Report to Alkane Resources Ltd
- Parkes Bush Fire Management Committee, 2002, *Bush Fire Risk Management Plan*, prepared by the Parkes Bush Fire Management Committee pursuant to section 52 of the *Rural Fires Act 1997*, June 2012
- Parsons Brinckerhoff, 2012, *Northern Sydney Freight Corridor Strathfield Rail Underpass Air Quality Assessment*
- Regional Development Australia – Central West, 2013, *Central West Regional Plan 2013 – 2016*
- Regional Development Australia – Central West, 2014, *NSW Central West Freight Study*, prepared by Lycopodium Rail, November 2014
- Regional Development Australia – Central West, 2015, *Orana Regional Plan 2013 – 2016*
- Roads and Maritime Services (Roads and Maritime), 2015, *Noise Criteria Guideline*, April 2015
- Roads and Traffic Authority of NSW (RTA), 2001, *Environmental Noise Management Manual*, December 2001

- Roads and Traffic Authority of NSW (RTA), 2002, *Guide to Traffic Generating Developments*, Version 2.2
- Roads and Traffic Authority of NSW (RTA), 2005, *NSW Bicycle Guidelines*, Version 1.2
- Safe Work Australia, 2016, *How to Safely Remove Asbestos Code of Practice*, April 2016
- Sinclair Knight Merz (SKM), 2011, *National Water Quality Assessment 2011*, prepared for the Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, December 2011
- Standards Australia 2004, *Australian Standard (AS) 1940-2004 The storage and handling of flammable and combustible liquids*, Sydney
- Standards Australia 2008, *AS 3780-2008 The storage and handling of corrosive substances*, Sydney
- Standards Australia 2013, 2013:5334 *Climate change adaptation for settlements and infrastructure – a risk based approach*
- Standing Council on Transport and Infrastructure, 2013, *National Land Freight Strategy - A place for freight*, May 2013
- State Emergency Service 2014a, *Parkes Shire Local Flood Plan*
- State Emergency Service 2014b, *Narromine Shire Local Flood Plan*
- Sydney Trains, 2013, *Environmental Management System Guide: Noise and Vibration from Rail Facilities*
- Transport for NSW, 2012a, *NSW Long Term Transport Master Plan*, December 2012
- Transport for NSW, 2012b, *NSW Road Safety Strategy 2012-2021*, December 2012
- Transport for NSW, 2012c, *Construction Noise Strategy*, 7TP-ST-157/2.0, Standard - Applicable to Transport Projects, version 2.0, April 2012
- Transport for NSW, 2013, *New England North West Regional Transport Plan*, December 2013
- Transport for NSW, 2014, *NSW Sustainable Design Guidelines*, Version 3.0
- Transport for NSW, 2015, *Newell Highway Corridor Strategy*, May 2015
- Transport for NSW, undated, *Construction of New Level Crossing Policy*
- Vanclay F 2003, *International Principles for Social Impact Assessment*, Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, vol. 21, no. 1, pp.5-11
- Vanclay, F., Esteves, A., Aucamp, I., Franks, D. 2015, *Social Impact Assessment: Guidance for assessing and managing the social impacts of projects*, International Association for Impact Assessment
- Willyweather, 2016. *Five year wind rose for Parkes Airport AWS*, viewed 8 June 2016, <http://wind.willyweather.com.au/nsw/central-west/parkes-airport.html>
- WorkCover NSW, 2014, *Managing asbestos in or on soil*, March 2014
- World Commission on Environment and Development (WCED), 1987, *Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development: Our Common Future* (the Brundtland Commission)

This report has been prepared by GHD for ARTC and may only be used and relied on by ARTC for the purpose agreed between GHD and ARTC as set out in section 1.5 of this report. GHD otherwise disclaims responsibility to any person other than ARTC arising in connection with this report. GHD also excludes implied warranties and conditions, to the extent legally permissible.

The services undertaken by GHD in connection with preparing this report were limited to those specifically detailed in the report and are subject to the scope limitations set out in the report. The opinions, conclusions and any recommendations in this report are based on assumptions made by GHD described in this report. GHD disclaims liability arising from any of the assumptions being incorrect.

Whilst every care has been taken to prepare the maps included in this report, GHD and ARTC, make no representations or warranties about its accuracy, reliability, completeness or suitability for any particular purpose and cannot accept liability and responsibility of any kind (whether in contract, tort or otherwise) for any expenses, losses, damages and/or costs (including indirect or consequential damage) which are or may be incurred by any party as a result of the map being inaccurate, incomplete or unsuitable in any way and for any reason.

