

ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT REPORT

ROSEVILLE COLLEGE

**27-29 & 37 BANCROFT
AVE, ROSEVILLE, NSW**

1 OCTOBER 2019
P0006441
FINAL
PREPARED FOR ROSEVILLE COLLEGE

URBIS

URBIS STAFF RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS REPORT WERE:

Associate Director	Balazs Hansel MA Arch, MA History
Senior Consultant	Andrew Crisp BA Arch (Hons)
Consultant	Meggan Walker BA Arch (Hons)
Project Code	P0006441
Report Number	Final01

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	i
1. Introduction	1
1.1. Proposed Activity	1
1.2. Report Objectives	1
1.3. Statutory Controls	1
1.3.1. Response to SEARs	1
2. Archaeological and Environmental Context.....	6
2.1. Location	6
2.2. Archaeological Background	6
2.2.1. Regional Archaeological Context.....	6
2.2.2. Local Archaeological Context	7
2.2.3. Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS)	10
2.2.4. Past Aboriginal Land Use	13
2.2.5. Historical Land Use	13
2.3. Environmental Context.....	16
2.3.1. Geology and Soils.....	16
2.4. Archaeological Context	16
3. Consultation Process	19
3.1. Stage 1: Notification of Project Proposal and Registration of Interest.....	19
3.1.1. Government Organisation Contacts	19
3.1.2. Registration of Interest.....	20
3.1.3. Newspaper Advertisements	20
3.2. Stage 2: Presentation of Information about the Proposed Project	20
3.3. Stage 3: Gathering Information About Cultural Significance	21
3.4. Stage 4: Review of Draft Cultural Heritage Assessment Report	21
4. Cultural Heritage Values and Statement of Significance.....	22
4.1. Methods of Assessing Heritage Significance	22
4.2. Assessment Framework	22
4.2.1. Social or Cultural Value	22
4.2.2. Historic Value	22
4.2.3. Scientific (Archaeological) Value	22
4.2.4. Aesthetic Value	23
4.3. Identifying Values.....	23
4.4. Assessing Values and Significance	23
4.4.1. Assessment of Cultural Heritage Significance and Values.....	24
4.4.2. Assessment of Scientific (Archaeological) Significance	25
5. Impact Assessment.....	26
5.1. Assessment of Direct Impacts	26
5.2. Assessment of Indirect Impacts	26
6. Avoiding and Minimising Harm	27
7. Conclusions and Recommendations	28
8. References.....	30
Disclaimer	32

Appendix A Basic and Extensive AHIMS Search Results

Appendix B Consultation Process

FIGURES:

Figure 1 – Regional Location.....	3
Figure 2 – Location of the Subject Area	4
Figure 3 – Proposed Activity/Development	5
Figure 4 – Aboriginal Heritage Constraints.....	12
Figure 5 – Historical Aerial Photographs	15
Figure 6 – Soil Landscapes and Hydrology.....	18

TABLES:

Table 1 – SEARs and relevant report section	2
Table 2 – Summary of previous archaeological assessments in vicinity of the subject area.....	7
Table 3 – Results of the AHIMS search (Client Service ID: 416351)	10
Table 4 – Analysis of historical aerals.	13
Table 5 – Contacted organisation.....	19
Table 6 – Registered Aboriginal Parties	20
Table 7 – Stage 2/3 responses.....	21
Table 8 – Scientific (archaeological) significance criteria.....	23

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Urbis Pty Ltd (Urbis) was commissioned by Roseville College (the Proponent) to undertake an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) in accordance to the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974* (NPW Act) and the *National Parks and Wildlife Regulations 2009* (NPW Reg).

This ACHA has been undertaken to confirm the presence or absence of any Aboriginal objects, places and other cultural heritage values within the subject area of the proposed development. The proposed development involves the construction of a Sports and Wellbeing (SWELL) Centre within Lot 2003 DP1084428 and Lot 18 DP5035 at 27-29 and 37 Bancroft Ave, Roseville NSW, Ku-Ring-Gai Local Government Area (LGA). The specific facilities to be constructed as part of the proposed SWELL Centre include new pool, car park, gym, learning spaces and associated amenities. The subject area currently contains two multi-purpose sports courts and a residential dwelling.

This ACHA was prepared in accordance with the following guidelines:

- *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water (DECCW), 2010) (the Consultation Guidelines);
- *Guide to Investigating, Assessing and Reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in NSW* (Office of Environment and Heritage 2011) (the Assessment Guidelines);
- *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales* (DECCW 2010).
- The Australia ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance, The Burra Charter, 2013 (Burra Charter)

Aboriginal community consultation was undertaken for the project following the *Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents 2010* (DECCW 2010b). The consultation registration process resulted in the registration of 6 Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) for the project.

The ACHAR concluded that:

- There are no registered Aboriginal objects and/or archaeological sites within the subject area;
- There are no landscape features with potential for Aboriginal objects or archaeological deposits located within the subject area;
- The subject area has been the subject of high levels of disturbance since at least the 1950s by the residential subdivision of Roseville and the subsequent development of Roseville college; and
- No Aboriginal cultural heritage values have been identified by the RAPs.

Based on the findings of this ACHA and the archaeological investigation the following is recommended:

Recommendation 1 – Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Induction

It is recommended that induction materials be prepared for inclusion in site inductions for any contractors working at the subject area. The induction material should include an overview of the types of sites to be aware of (i.e. artefact scatters or concentrations of shells that could be middens), obligations under the NPW Act, and the requirements of an archaeological finds procedure (refer below), which should be prepared for the project and included in any site management plans.

The induction material may be paper based, included in any hard copy site management documents; or electronic, such as “PowerPoint” for any face to face site inductions.

Recommendation 2 – Archaeological Chance Find Procedure

Although considered highly unlikely, should any archaeological deposits be uncovered during any site works, a procedure must be implemented. Should any archaeological material uncovered during works, the following steps must be carried out:

1. All works stop in the vicinity of the find. The find must not be moved ‘out of the way’ without assessment;
2. Site supervisor, or other nominated site representative must contact either the project archaeologist (if relevant) or DPIE to contact a suitably qualified archaeologist;

3. The nominated archaeologist examines the find, provides a preliminary assessment of significance, records the item and decides on appropriate management, in conjunction with the RAPs for the project. Such management may require further consultation with DPIE, preparation of a research design and archaeological investigation/salvage methodology and preparation of AHIMS Site Card;
4. Depending on the significance of the find, reassessment of the archaeological potential of the subject area may be required, and further archaeological investigation is undertaken;
5. Reporting may need to be prepared regarding the find and approved management strategies. Any such documentation should be appended to this ACHAR, and revise accordingly;
6. Works in the vicinity of the find can only recommence upon relevant approvals from DPIE.

Recommendation 3 – Human Remains Procedure

In the unlikely event that human remains are uncovered during any site works, the following must be undertaken:

1. All works within the vicinity of the find immediately stop;
2. Site supervisor or other nominated manager must notify the NSW Police and DPIE;
3. The find must be assessed by the NSW Police, and may include the assistance of a qualified forensic anthropologist;
4. Management recommendations are to be formulated by the Police, DPIE and site representatives; and
5. Works are not to recommence until the find has been appropriately managed.

Recommendation 4 – RAP consultation

A copy of the final ACHA must be provided to all Project RAPs. Ongoing consultation with RAPs should occur as the project progresses, to ensure ongoing communication about the project and key milestones, ensure timely notification, and to ensure the consultation process does not lapse, particularly with regard to consultation should the CFP be enacted.

1. INTRODUCTION

Urbis Pty Ltd (Urbis) was commissioned by Roseville College (the Proponent) to undertake an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) in accordance to the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974* (NPW Act) and the *National Parks and Wildlife Regulations 2009* (NPW Reg).

This ACHA has been undertaken to confirm the presence or absence of any Aboriginal objects, places and other cultural heritage values within the subject area of the proposed development. The proposed development involves the construction of a Sports and Wellbeing (SWELL) Centre within Lot 2003 DP 1084428, at 27-29 Bancroft Ave, Roseville NSW and Lot 18 Sec C DP 5035 at 37 Bancroft Ave, Roseville (the subject area), within the boundary of the Ku-ring-gai Council Local Government Area (LGA), NSW. The subject area currently contains two multi-purpose sports courts and a residential dwelling. The subject area covers approximately 781 square metres (m²) (Figure 1 and Figure 2).

This ACHA was prepared in accordance with the following guidelines:

- *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water (DECCW), 2010) (the Consultation Guidelines);
- *Guide to Investigating, Assessing and Reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in NSW* (Office of Environment and Heritage 2011) (the Assessment Guidelines);
- *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales* (DECCW 2010).
- *The Australia ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance, The Burra Charter, 2013* (Burra Charter)

1.1. PROPOSED ACTIVITY

The proposed activities include the development of a new Sport and wellbeing (SWELL) Centre, including pool, car park, gym, learning spaces and associated amenities (Figure 3). The subject area currently contains two multi-purpose sports courts and a residential dwelling. The development will impact the ground surface through:

- The demolition of existing structures, clearance of vegetation and excavation of soils for basement level; followed by
- The construction of the SWELL Centre.

1.2. REPORT OBJECTIVES

The objective of this assessment has been to investigate and confirm the absence, or presence, of Aboriginal objects and places and whether they would be impacted by the proposed development. It also documents consultation with the local Aboriginal community, which aimed to identify any spiritual, traditional, historical or contemporary associations or attachments to Aboriginal sites or objects or to the subject area itself.

1.3. STATUTORY CONTROLS


The ACHA is required to inform the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) which will be submitted to support a State Significance Development Application (SSDA). The ACHA is to be carried out in accordance to the *Guide to investigating, assessing and reporting on Aboriginal cultural heritage in NSW* (OEH 2011). The ACHA will also address the relevant requirements of the Department of Planning's Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs).

1.3.1. Response to SEARs

The ACHAR is guided by the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) for the State Significant Development (SSD 9912). Table 1 identifies the relevant SEARs and the corresponding sections of the ACHAR. The Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) (now known as the Department of Planning Industry and Environment - DPIE) provided a standard SEARs with no project-specific requirements outlined in the accompanying letter from DPIE. Relevant sections of the SEARs are detailed in Table 1 below.

Table 1 – SEARs and relevant report section

SEARs Item 11 Aboriginal Heritage	Report section
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify and describe the Aboriginal cultural heritage values that exist across the site and document these in an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (ACHAR). This may include the need for surface survey and test excavation. 	Section 3, Section 4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify and address the Aboriginal cultural heritage values in accordance with the Guide to investigating, assessing and reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in NSW (OEH, 2011) and <i>Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigations of Aboriginal Objects in NSW</i> (OEH, 2010). 	Section 3, Section 4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Undertake consultation with Aboriginal people and document in accordance with <i>Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents 2010</i> (DECCW). The significance of cultural heritage values of Aboriginal people who have a cultural association with the land are to be documented in the ACHAR. 	Section 3
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify, assess and document all impacts on the Aboriginal cultural heritage values in the ACHAR. 	Section 5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The EIS and the supporting ACHAR must demonstrate attempts to avoid any impact upon cultural heritage values and identify any conservation outcomes. Where impacts are unavoidable, the ACHAR and EIS must outline measures proposed to mitigate impacts. Any objects recorded as part of the assessment must be documented and notified to DPIE. 	Section 6
SEARs - Consultation	Report section
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> During the preparation of the EIS, you must consult with the relevant local, State or Commonwealth Government authorities, service providers, community groups, special interest groups including <u>local Aboriginal land councils and registered Aboriginal stakeholders</u> and affected landowners. 	Section 3



GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56

© 2019, PSMA Australia Ltd, HERE Pty Ltd, ABS. Produced by Urbis Pty Ltd ABN 50 105 256 228, Jun 2019



1 KM

Project No - P0006441

Project Manager - BH

● Subject Area

REGIONAL LOCATION
 Roseville College Sport and Wellbeing Centre - ACHA
 Roseville College

Figure 1 – Regional Location



GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56

© 2019. PSMA Australia Ltd, HERE Pty Ltd. ABS. Produced by Urbis Pty Ltd ABN 50 105 256 228, Aug 2019



Project No - P0006441

Project Manager - BH

■ Subject Area
 — Contours
 — Hydrology

LOCATION OF THE SUBJECT AREA

Roseville College Sport and Wellbeing Centre - ACHA

Roseville College

Figure 2 – Location of the Subject Area



GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56



Project No - P0006441
Project Manager - BH

 Subject Area Proposed Impact Footprint

© 2019. PSMA Australia Ltd, HERE Pty Ltd. ABS. Produced by Urbis Pty Ltd ABN 50 105 256 228, Aug 2019

PROPOSED ACTIVITY/DEVELOPMENT

Roseville College Sport and Wellbeing Centre - ACHA

Roseville College

Figure 3 – Proposed Activity/Development

2. ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTEXT

2.1. LOCATION

The subject area is known as 27-29 Bancroft Ave, Roseville, NSW (Lot 2003 DP 1084428) and 37 Bancroft Ave, Roseville, NSW (Lot 18 Sec C DP 5035), within Ku-ring-gai Local Government Area (LGA). The subject area is located approximately 10 km north of Sydney CBD.

2.2. ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

2.2.1. Regional Archaeological Context

Previous archaeological assessments across the Cumberland Plain provide important data on Aboriginal archaeological site distribution and typology from which an understanding of the archaeological landscape within the study area can be extrapolated. A large number of development-driven archaeological investigations have taken place across the Cumberland Plain to the west of the Sydney CBD due to the rapid development of the North West and South West Growth Centres. This has resulted in the establishment of a large data set that has helped to identify patterns in past occupation and land use of the region.

Key studies undertaken on the major river systems of the Sydney Basin, including the Parramatta River, Georges River, Hawkesbury-Nepean and Hunter River/Wollombi Brook, have identified occupation of the Cumberland Plain during both the Pleistocene and Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) (AHMS, 2015; McDonald, 1999). Investigation of the wider Cumberland Plain has been extensive with the notable studies by McDonald (2005) at Rouse Hill Infrastructure Project, providing the first large-scale study of lesser creek lines, including Second Ponds Creek and Killarney Chain of Ponds, and demonstrating an increase in occupation and land-use patterns during the Late Holocene (AAJV 2017).

Aboriginal occupation in the Sydney region encompasses at least 20,000 years with dates of 13,000 before present (BP) at Shaws Creek in the Blue Mountain foothills; 11,000 BP for Mangrove Creek and Loggers Shelter and c. 20,000 BP at Burrill Lake on the South Coast (Attenbrow 2002). The majority of sites in the Sydney region have been dated to within the last 3,000 to 5,000 years, with many researchers proposing that occupation intensity increased during this period. This apparent intensity of occupation may have been influenced by rising sea levels which by about 6,500 years ago had risen to their present levels. Older occupation sites along the now submerged coastline would have been flooded, with subsequent occupation concentrating and utilising resources along the current coastlines and changing ecological systems in the hinterland and the Cumberland Plain (Attenbrow 2002).

Aboriginal people made good use of local stone raw materials sourced from the known quarries on the Cumberland Plain and from the Hawkesbury-Nepean River gravels. According to Attenbrow (2002) Knowledge of source locations for raw materials such as silcrete, basalt, quartz, tuff and chert is of great importance in determining movements, trade and exchange patterns of the people who inhabited the area.

Stone artefact scatters or isolated examples are the most abundant form of artefactual evidence for past Aboriginal land use within the Cumberland Plain. Previous archaeological studies have demonstrated the relationship between stone artefact density, proximity to water sources and landform. Relatively elevated landforms along the margins of permanent water sources are often locations of high stone artefact density whilst lower artefact densities are generally found at excavated sites on upper slopes and ridge crest contexts.

Silcrete is the predominant raw material of stone artefacts found on the Cumberland Plain. This material is found within St Marys Formation geologies, which occur as outcrops at several locations including Plumpton Ridge. Other raw materials including quartz, chert and tuff were less commonly utilised to produce stone artefacts in the area.

The knapping of stone artefacts can indicate one of two things: the knapping of stone to create tools or the discard of these tools once they have been used, and sometimes both. Large knapping events tend to occur in proximity to sources of permanent water. This is likely due to the availability of resources made these good places to camp for short periods of time. Small scale knapping events can occur anywhere in the landscape and are associated with the manufacture or maintenance of stone tools as a direct result of a specific need (AUSTRAL 2011, p.27).

The regional archaeological landscape has been variably impacted by historical and current land use practices as well as by natural processes. The preservation of archaeological sites on the Cumberland Plain is often adversely affected by erosion, floods and disturbance from various human activities. Conversely, ground surface visibility is often increased by these processes, leading to increased identification of artefacts in these areas. Previous studies have underscored the relationship between particular landforms and ground disturbance as key factors in the location of archaeological sites.

Previous studies within the Hawkesbury region have identified a correlation between Aboriginal sites and the presence of both major water courses and of exposed Hawkesbury Sandstone, which is abundant in the region. In particular the Hawkesbury River has been identified as a focal point of Aboriginal activity since at least the Late Pleistocene (15 – 11ka) (Williams et al. 2012).

2.2.2. Local Archaeological Context

The subject area has not been the subject of previous archaeological investigations. However, the immediate and wider surroundings of the subject area have been the subject of various archaeological investigations. Summary of the reports are provided in Table 2 below.

Table 2 – Summary of previous archaeological assessments in vicinity of the subject area.

Report	Summary of findings	Relevance to the subject area
Haglund & Associates, 1989, Preliminary survey for Aboriginal sites along F2-Castlereagh Freeway. Pennant Hills Road to Lane Cove Road, report to DMR	During an archaeological survey along the route of the F2 (now M2)-Castlereagh Freeway in 1989 Laila Haglund located two rock shelters with deposit. Both shelters (AHIMS #45-6-1855 and AHIMS #45-6-1854) contained middens with oyster and whelk shell recorded, while the latter also had possible remnants of stencil art along the back wall.	Overhanging sandstone geology required for the creation of rock shelters is not present in the current subject area.
Conyers, B, 1990, Survey for Aboriginal Archaeological Sites: Lane Cove River State Recreation Area, unpublished report prepared for the State Recreation Area Trust and NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service	In 1990 Conyers conducted a comprehensive survey of the Lane Cove River State Recreation Area (SRA), now known as Lane Cove National Park. Approximately one third of the SRA was surveyed during a twelve-day survey. Seven previously unrecorded Aboriginal sites were located – two engraving sites, two middens, and three rock shelters with deposit. Five potential habitation sites were also recorded along with three engraving sites which had previously been recorded.	The level of historical disturbance within the subject area has significantly reduced the archaeological potential for any type of site identify by Conyers in 1990. Distance from water and lack of requisite sandstone geology makes it highly unlikely that engravings, middens or rock shelters would have occurred within the subject area prior to the current levels of disturbance.
Attenbrow, 1993. Optus Communications Fibre Optic Cable Survey for Aboriginal Sites in Sydney Metropolitan Area	Attenbrow was commissioned by Kinhill Engineers Pty Ltd to inspect the potential for Aboriginal archaeological material to be present along a planned route for fibre optic cable installation. This was undertaken to the north and north east of the current subject area. The field survey resulted in the identification of 2 rock shelters with middens, one rock shelter with PAD and 1 open midden. Each of these sites is located	The five sites identified by Attenbrow were all located on the sandstone escarpment immediately adjacent to Middle Harbour over 2.5 km to the east.

Report	Summary of findings	Relevance to the subject area
	in proximity to waterways and either makes use of or is in proximity to the abundance of sandstone within the area.	
Wirrima Consulting, 1995, Archaeological survey for Aboriginal sites. Delhi Rd – Main Rd No. 191, CSIRO to Northern Suburbs Crematorium, Lane Cove, NSW, report to RMS	In 1995 Wirrima Consulting conducted a survey for Aboriginal sites for the widening of Delhi Rd, Ryde by the RTA. A rock shelter with midden (AHIMS #45-6-2211), which was first recorded by Conyers, was relocated.	Distance from water and lack of requisite sandstone geology makes it highly unlikely that engravings, middens or rock shelters would have occurred within the subject area prior to the current levels of disturbance.
Corkill, T, 1997, Test Excavation of Rockshelter, CSIRO PAD 1, site 2 Riverside Corporate Park, North Ryde, NSW, report to Australia Pacific Projects	In 1997 Tessa Corkhill conducted an excavation of a rock shelter with potential archaeological deposit (CSIRO PAD1) at Riverside Corporate Park. The PAD was first located in 1991 and it was recommended at that time that further investigation would be required if the site was to be affected by development. Ten test pits were excavated to bedrock at depths varying from 47 centimetres to 18 centimetres. Fourteen stone artefacts were recovered although the deposit was found to be relatively disturbed with evidence of European material throughout much of the profile.	Distance from water and lack of requisite sandstone geology makes it highly unlikely that engravings, middens or rock shelters would have occurred within the subject area prior to the current levels of disturbance.
HLA-Envirosciences Pty Ltd, 2003, <i>Archaeological Subsurface Testing Program: Eden Gardens, Macquarie Park, NSW</i> , prepared for Eden Garden Botanicals Pty Ltd	<p>Archaeological excavation was undertaken at the (now) Eden Gardens Botanicals site, located on the eastern side of the junction of Lane Cove Road and the M2 Motorway. Excavations across multiple 50 x 50 centimetre test pits were undertaken to a maximum depth of 20 centimetres before sandstone was reached; these test pits were excavated in a relatively undeveloped area of bushland.</p> <p>The excavations revealed that soils were typically disturbed across the entire topsoil layers within all test pits, and only one quartz flake was uncovered as a result of the investigations.</p>	<p>Excavation was undertaken of what appeared to be a relatively undisturbed bushland landscape resulted in shallow, almost entirely archaeological sterile deposits.</p> <p>Archaeological potential in the region is closely linked proximity to water and associate resources.</p>
Jo McDonald Cultural Heritage Management Pty Ltd, 2004, UTS Ku-ring-gai, Rezoning Application, Indigenous Heritage Issues.	<p>In 2004 JMCHM undertook an Aboriginal archaeological assessment to inform the proposed rezoning of land to the immediate northwest of the former UTS campus, which has since been developed with residential flats.</p> <p>The 2004 assessment did not identify any new archaeological sites during a visual survey of the</p>	The UTS subject area was moderately to highly impacted as a result of the development of the university campus and is situated close to sandstone escarpment terrain which

Report	Summary of findings	Relevance to the subject area
	proposed development area, and no previously recorded sites were identified to be affected by the redevelopment proposal. While a low level of effective survey coverage was acknowledged, it was also noted that the lands that were to be impacted by the development proposal were assessed as having low to no archaeological sensitivity in terms of Indigenous heritage.	contains potential for shelters etc. Despite the proximity to the sandstone escarpment that report concluded that there was low archaeological potential within the UTS subject area. The level of disturbance within the current Roseville subject area is similar to that identified by McDonald's study.
Artefact Heritage, 2011, 150 Epping Rd, Lane Cove West—Heritage Study Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment and Assessment of non-Indigenous heritage for a Concept Plan application, report to Rose Group.	In 2011 Artefact Heritage conducted a survey of an area along the northern edge of Stringybark Creek in Lane Cove West. A previously recorded rock shelter with a charcoal drawing of two fish was relocated. Although the shelter had been disturbed by construction of a sewer pipe, the art remained in good condition. No new Aboriginal sites were located during the study	Distance from water and lack of requisite sandstone geology makes it highly unlikely that engravings, middens or rock shelters would have occurred within the subject area prior to the current levels of disturbance.
AHO, 2011, City of Ryde, Aboriginal Site Management Report	AHO were commissioned by the City of Ryde Council to identify and re-record known Aboriginal sites in the Council area, provide a planning document for their conservation and provide a schedule for conservation works. A total of 56 sites were identified within the Council area and not within National Park lands. This report found that areas with high potential to contain Aboriginal archaeological materials were bush land and foreshore areas.	Distance from water and lack of requisite sandstone geology makes it highly unlikely that engravings, middens or rock shelters would have occurred within the subject area prior to the current levels of disturbance.
Artefact Heritage, 2014, Lindfield Substation – Aboriginal Heritage Due Diligence Assessment, report to Parson Brinckerhoff	Artefact Heritage was commissioned by Parsons Brinckerhoff to conduct an Aboriginal Heritage Due Diligence Assessment for the construction of a proposed traction power substation at a site in Lindfield in Sydney, NSW and ancillary electrical works, generally between Killara Station and the Clanville Road overbridge. The assessment found that there were no previously recorded Aboriginal sites within the study area, the study area had been heavily modified with high levels of ground disturbance,	The level of historical disturbance within the Lindfield subject area (2012) is similar to that identified within the current Roseville subject area and was determined to have significantly reduced the archaeological potential. Distance from water and lack of requisite sandstone geology makes it highly unlikely that engravings, middens or rock

Report	Summary of findings	Relevance to the subject area
	<p>and the study area had a low Aboriginal archaeological sensitivity overall.</p> <p>The report therefore recommended that no further archaeological investigation was required.</p>	<p>shelters would have occurred within the subject area prior to the current levels of disturbance.</p>

2.2.3. Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS)

The AHIMS database comprises already registered Aboriginal archaeological objects and cultural heritage places in NSW and it is managed by the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) under Section 90Q of the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974* (NPW Act).

An extensive search of the AHIMS was carried out on the 18 April 2019 (AHIMS Client Service ID: 416351) for an area of approximately 5 km by 5 km. Altogether 80 Aboriginal sites were identified by the search.

The search found no registered Aboriginal sites within or near the subject area.


By far the most common site type were shelters with middens and other associated features, making up 50% (n=40) of identified site types. Open middens with associated features made up 20% (n=16) of identified sites. One site in the search has since been identified as not a site. The closest registered Aboriginal site, AHIMS ID#45-6-2114, is located approximately 1.5 km north-east, along Moores Creek. Details of the AHIMS search is provided in Table 3 below and the original AHIMS extensive search is included in Appendix A.

Table 3 – Results of the AHIMS search (Client Service ID: 416351)

Site Type	Context	Total	Percentage
Shelter with Midden	Closed	31	39%
Midden	Open	14	18%
Shelter with PAD	Closed	12	15%
Shelter with Art and Midden	Closed	6	8%
Shelter with Art	Closed	4	5%
Shelter with Midden and Artefact	Closed	3	4%
Shelter with Artefact	Closed	3	4%
Shelter with Art and Artefact	Closed	2	3%
Midden with Artefact	Open	2	1%
PAD	Open	1	1%
Rock engraving	Open	1	1%
Not an Aboriginal Site	N/A	1	1%
Grand Total	N/A	80	100%

It should be noted that the AHIMS register does not represent a comprehensive list of all Aboriginal objects or sites in a specified area as it lists recorded sites only identified during previous archaeological survey effort. The wider surroundings of the subject area have been the subject of various levels and intensity of

archaeological investigations during the last few decades. Most of the registered sites have been identified through targeted, pre-development surveys for infrastructure and maintenance works, with the restrictions on extent and scope of those developments.



GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56

© 2019, PSMA Australia Ltd, HERE Pty Ltd, ABS, Produced by Urbis Pty Ltd ABN 50 105 256 228, Aug 2019



Project No - P0006441

Project Manager - BH

- | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| Subject Area | ● Midden and Artefact | ● Rock engraving | ● Shelter with Artefact |
| — Contours | ● Midden with Artefact | ● Shelter with Art | ● Shelter with Midden |
| — Hydrology | ● Not an Aboriginal Site | ● Shelter with Art and Artefact | ● Shelter with Midden and Artefact |
| ● Midden | ● PAD | ● Shelter with Art and Midden | ● Shelter with PAD |

ABORIGINAL HERITAGE CONSTRAINTS

Roseville College Sport and Wellbeing Centre - ACHA

Roseville College

Figure 4 – Aboriginal Heritage Constraints

2.2.4. Past Aboriginal Land Use

Aboriginal occupation of the region is known to have extended from at least 20 000 years ago, but the lack of histories written in the area during the early years of European occupation, prior to the impacts of the European diseases and conflicts on the population numbers, has presented difficulties in understanding the pre-contact culture in the area. The population of Aboriginal people around Sydney has been estimated at between 2000 and 3000 people, with the greater Sydney region has been estimated as somewhere between 4000 and 8000. The changing belief systems, social organisation and ritual are difficult to fully understand, as behaviours recorded by Europeans may have been impacted by the presence of those same Europeans (Attenbrow 2010:17).

The land on which the subject area sits is part of the homeland of the Gu-ring-ga people, “*whose traditional country extends from Narrabeen Lagoon to Broken Bay. The diverse flora and fauna, rock overhangs, sandstone outcrops, large trees and other natural features provided for both the spiritual and physical needs of the Aboriginal peoples*” (Aboriginal Heritage Office 2015).

The land on which the subject area sits is part of the Darug Coastland language group, which was a sub-dialect of the Darug language. It was likely spoken on the Sydney Peninsula, stretching from Botany Bay, to Port Jackson, and from the coast to Paramatta, as well as the country to the north of Port Jackson (Attenbrow 2010:34). The divisions between the language groups in this area, and the adjoining Hinterland Darug, the more southern coastal Dharawal group, and inland Darginung groups were fairly fluid, with groups interacting and trading extensively.

Contact with Europeans impacted the Aboriginal population in the area heavily. Lt. Clark, on a journey up the Lane Cove River in 1790, met with a small group of Aboriginal people. One of their number had lost their wife to smallpox, with their son still being infected (Thorn 1968:8 in Aboriginal Heritage Office 2015). Robert Pymple reported that by 1856, there were few Aboriginal people remaining in the area, potentially due to smallpox infections.

2.2.5. Historical Land Use

The subject area and surrounds have been subject to high level of disturbance since the time of first European colonisation. Significant disturbance, including clearing of vegetation, the construction of buildings and roads, and other infrastructure such as the college buildings has significantly changed the original environment and created a highly developed, artificial landscape (Figure 5).

Another way of assessing historical land use is the analysis of historical aerial photos and the evolution of the subject area. The historical aerial analysis is provided in Table 4.

Table 4 – Analysis of historical aeriels.

Year	Observation
1954	This aerial shows that by 1954 there was already a considerable amount of development within the suburb of Roseville. By 1954 the subject area contained moderate density residential development with at least 14 residential lots with associated dwellings within the confines of the subject area. The north-western lot within the subject area is the only portion of the subject area at this date that appears to have been cleared of native vegetation but not yet residentially developed.
1976	Between 1954 and 1976 the north-western lot was residentially developed akin to the other small lots within the subject area. A small amount of further vegetation clearance had occurred. Otherwise the subject area has not changed considerably since 1954.
1987	Between 1978 and 1987 the northern half of the subject area saw further development within the backyards of the residential lots. This development was in the form of sheds, granny-flats and formal tennis courts. The southern half of the subject area in this period saw the amalgamation of earlier structures and construction of larger college buildings.
2004	Between 1987 and 2004 the northern half of the subject area had seen the demolition of a number of residential dwellings and the construction of multiple tennis courts. During the period between 1987 and 2004 the southern half of the subject area was subject to considerable expansion and

Year	Observation
	<p>modification to existing structures as well as the construction of multistorey college buildings.</p> <p>Ground disturbance by 2004 within the subject area is considered to be all encompassing, with impacts from construction/demolition of multiple phases of structures, importing of fill, landscaping, construction of multiple tennis courts.</p>

In summary the subject area has been subjected to high levels of disturbance over the past 65 years through multiple phases of construction/demolition outlined in detail above. The high level of disturbance suggests that most if not all of the original shallow soil profile within the subject area has been modified or entirely removed.




Figure 5 – Historical Aerial Photographs

2.3. ENVIRONMENTAL CONTEXT

The subject area is located within the Sydney Basin Bioregion, on the Hornsby plateau of the Pittwater sub-region. The characteristic landforms of the sub-region are largely divided between coastal and hinterland areas. The coastal areas include small beach, dune, and lagoon barrier systems, with steep coastal cliffs and rock platforms also prevalent. The subject area is located within the hinterland area, on the Hornsby plateau near the centre of the sub-region, with the land largely consisting of quartz sandstone with occasional shale caps (NSW National Park and Wildlife Service 2003).

2.3.1. Geology and Soils

The dominant geology of the area is Triassic Hawkesbury Sandstone, with thin ridge capping of Ashfield Shale. Narrabeen sandstones are found along the coast, and in the exposed areas of valleys. On slopes the underlying sandstone is overlaid with talus that has fallen from the sandstone uphill, and by clayey material produced by weathering of the siltstone and shale on the plateau (Aboriginal Heritage Office 2015).

Typical soils in the region follow the two broad categories of coast and hinterland seen in those landforms. Soils in the region including residual and colluvial soils, such as Hawkesbury, Warriewood, Oxford Falls, and Deep Creek; marine soils, such as Woy Woy and Narrabeen; aeolian soils, such as Newport and Tuggerah, and some estuarine soils such as Mangrove Creek (Aboriginal Heritage Office 2015).

On the plateau high points, the soils are generally either rocky outcrops, or deep yellow soils from these outcrops. On sandstone and shale slopes, the soils can be both uniform and with texture contrasts. Along creeks, loamy sands are present, and on beaches and frontal dunes, there is generally clean quartz sands with moderate shell content. Within the estuaries, organic sands and muds are present (NSW National Park and Wildlife Service 2003).

Shale caps support tall forests of Sydney blue gum, turpentine, blackbutt, and grey ironbark. On the sandstone plateau, Sydney peppermint, smooth-barked apple, scribbly gum, red bloodwood, and yellow bloodwood are common, with diverse shrubs and patches of heath. Within the gullies of the region, turpentine, coachwood, blackbutt and water gum are common. The Narrabeen sandstone lower slopes support spotted gum, Deane's gum, bangalow palms and forest oak, with banksia and tea-tree heath present on coastal dunes. Bangalay, swamp mahogany, cabbage tree palm swamp oak, common reed and cumbungi are found in the swamp areas, and mangroves and saltmarsh communities are found in the estuaries (NSW National Park and Wildlife Service 2003).

2.4. ARCHAEOLOGICAL CONTEXT

The subject area is located within the Cumberland Plain, which over the last few decades and in association with the increasing spread of urban development, has become the most intensively investigated archaeological landscape in Australia.

Through previous archaeological studies a number of predictive models relating to Aboriginal occupation patterns and site locations across the Cumberland Plain have been formulated, and more recent works have contributed to refining these models.

The most common site types found on the Cumberland Plain are open artefact scatters/open camp sites, followed by isolated finds and scarred trees. Shelter sites and grinding grooves are also found, although mainly around the periphery of the plain in sandstone geology. Key trends are summarised below:

- Site frequency and density are directly related to the location of sites within the landscape;
- Archaeological sites are usually located close to permanent water sources, with major confluences being a key requirement for occupation sites, and would have been used intensively by larger groups, or used repeatedly by smaller groups over a longer period of time;
- Sites with large numbers of artefacts can occur on ridge tops and hill crests;
- Sites situated in alluvial soils retain the potential for stratified deposits;
- Potential Archaeological Deposits (PADs) are most likely to be located along valley floors and low slopes in well-drained areas; and surface artefact distribution does not accurately reflect the composition or density of subsurface archaeological deposits. Some areas with few or no surface manifestations have often been shown to contain subsurface archaeological deposits;

- Artefact scatters are most commonly linked to the close proximity of permanent water sources in areas such as creek/riverbanks and alluvial flats. The majority of these sites are located within 100 metres of permanent fresh water;
- Artefact assemblages generally comprise a small proportion of formal tool types with the majority of assemblages dominated by unretouched flakes and debitage;
- High concentrations of artefacts are more likely to be located within resource rich areas;
- Silcrete is the dominant raw material used for tool manufacture, followed by chert (also known as tuff). Silcrete sources are located in the northern Cumberland Plain at places such as St Marys, Plumpton Ridge, Marsden Park, Schofields, Riverstone, Deans Park, Llandilo and Ropes Creek. Other raw materials include indurated mudstone from Nepean River gravels, quartz, porphyry and hornfels which may be derived from Rickaby's Creek gravels, and basalt;
- Stands of remnant old growth vegetation retain the potential for scarred trees to be present; however, large scale land clearance of the plain in general means that such stands of vegetation are rare; and
- Evidence of post-contact camp sites may be located in close proximity to early European houses and farms, or official buildings.

Previous archaeological work in the general area suggests that the broader landscape was utilised by Aboriginal communities in the past. This is in part due to the relatively navigable terrain of the surrounding landscape, as well as the ready availability of food, water and other resources, the availability of water being a crucial factor in the frequency of occupation as rivers and creeks are markers of community identity, traditional meeting places and the chosen location of campsites.


In line with this, the majority of recorded sites in the surrounding landscape were identified in association with watercourses and/or in relatively undisturbed land. For example, a relatively large number of sites have previously been recorded in association with the Lane Cove River and Middle Harbour, as well within the associated National Park, which has been maintained as relatively undisturbed land. Sites identified in association with such landscape features include middens (in association with watercourses) and rock shelter sites where suitable rock overhangs are present.

In contrast, the subject area has generally been subject to relatively severe disturbance (including the partial or potentially complete removal of topsoil, deposition of fill, development, and substantial vegetation clearance). As has been demonstrated, previous studies have established that urbanised and/or developed areas like the subject area have low potential to contain Aboriginal archaeological sites or cultural material due to disturbance and/or the absence of sensitive landscape features within the proposed impact areas.

In addition, topsoil layers in this landscape context are typically shallow and, particularly on sloping land, overlie sandstone bedrock that is exposed in many areas; such sandstone presents as outcrops and/or overhangs. The potential for sub-surface Aboriginal archaeological deposits within this kind of landscape is therefore limited, with more likely site types being PADs within rock shelters, rock art, or grinding groove sites in proximity to water courses.

Based on a review of the archaeological context of the subject area, as well as the known extent to which the subject area has been disturbed, it is considered unlikely that any Aboriginal sites or objects will have been retained within or in the immediate vicinity of the subject area, either above or below the ground.

Therefore, the potential for as yet unidentified Archaeological sites or objects to be present within the subject area and particularly within the proposed impact area is assessed as low.



GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56

© 2019, PSMA Australia Ltd, HERE Pty Ltd, ABS, Produced by Urbis Pty Ltd ABN 50 105 256 228, Aug 2019



Project No - P0006441

Project Manager - BH

 Subject Area

 Hydrology

 Hydrology 200m Buffer

— Contours

 Alluvial (ALdc)

 Alluvial (ALlc)

 Colluvial (COha)

 Colluvial (COWp)

 Disturbed Terrain (DTxx)

 Erosional (ERgn)

 Erosional (ERgy)

 Erosional (ERgy/ERla)

 Erosional (ERla)

 Estuarine (ESmc)

 Residual (REbt)

 Residual (REfb)

 Residual (RElh)

 Residual (REso)

 Transferral (TRof)

 Water

SOIL LANDSCAPES AND HYDROLOGY

Roseville College Sport and Wellbeing Centre - ACHA

Roseville College

Figure 6 – Soil Landscapes and Hydrology

3. CONSULTATION PROCESS

This chapter contains information about the consultation process undertaken with Aboriginal parties to identify the cultural heritage values of the subject area. A search of the National Native Title Register (July 2019) showed that there are no registered Native Title claims over the subject area. Therefore, no Native Title holder or applicant is relevant for consultation, and the steps in the Consultation Guidelines have been followed.

In accordance with the Consultation Guidelines, consultation is an essential component of the heritage assessment process, to:

- Determine potential harm to Aboriginal cultural heritage from proposed land use activities; and
- Inform decision making for any necessary measures to avoid any harm to Aboriginal objects and mitigate and manage, if it is determined that harm cannot be avoided.

The guideline sets out four stages of consultation required to be undertaken. These are detailed below, with Urbis' actions to fulfil each requirement.

All relevant consultation documents are provided in Appendix B and the copy of the consultation log is at Appendix B.

3.1. STAGE 1: NOTIFICATION OF PROJECT PROPOSAL AND REGISTRATION OF INTEREST

3.1.1. Government Organisation Contacts

The aim of Stage 1 is to identify, notify and register Aboriginal people who hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the cultural significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places in the subject area.

To identify Aboriginal people who may be interested in registering as Aboriginal parties for the project, the organisations stipulated in Section 4.1.2 of the Consultation Guidelines were contacted (refer to Table 5).

Table 5 – Contacted organisation

Organisation	Date Notification Sent	Date Response Received
Office of the Registrar, Aboriginal Land Rights Act 1983	05/06/2019	N/A
Department of Planning, Industry and Environment, Greater Sydney Branch, Communities and Greater Sydney Division	05/06/2019	12/06/19
NTS Corp	05/06/2019	N/A
Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council	05/06/2019	N/A
Local Land Services, Greater Sydney	05/06/2019	06/06/19
Ku-ring-gai Council	05/06/2019	N/A

The template for the emails sent to the above-mentioned organisations is at Appendix B. A total of 48 Aboriginal groups and individuals with an interest in the subject area were identified following this stage and this is presented at Section 3.1.2 below.

3.1.2. Registration of Interest

In accordance with Section 4.1.3 of the Consultation Guidelines, letters were sent to the 48 Aboriginal groups and individuals on 4th July 2019, via email or post (depending on the method identified by each group), to notify them of the proposed project. The letters afforded a response time of 14 days (being 18th July 2019), in accordance with the 14 day minimum requirement. The letter template is shown in Appendix B and includes a brief introduction to the project and the project location.

A total of six (6) groups registered interested in the project as a result of this phase within the nominated timeframe. Acknowledgement emails or telephone calls were made by Urbis to respondents, to confirm registration had been received. Altogether, six (6) Aboriginal parties registered their interest for the project (refer to Table 6).

Table 6 – Registered Aboriginal Parties

Organisation/Individual	Contact Person
Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC)	Nathan Moran
Darug Land Observations	Jamie and Anna Workman
A1 Indigenous Services	Carolyn Hickey
Amanda Hickey Cultural Services	Amanda Hickey
Didge Ngunawal Clan	Lillie Carroll and Paul Boyd
Barking Owl Aboriginal Corporation	Jody Kulakowski

3.1.3. Newspaper Advertisements

In accordance with Section 4.1.3 of the Consultation Guidelines, an advertisement was placed in one local newspapers, the North Shore Times. These advertisements were featured in the 3rd July 2019 edition, and registration was open until 17th July 2019. The copy of the advertisements is at Appendix B.

Zero responses were received from the newspaper advertisement.

3.2. STAGE 2: PRESENTATION OF INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPOSED PROJECT

The aim of Stage 2 is to provide registered Aboriginal parties with information about the scope of the proposed project, and the proposed cultural heritage assessment process. A Stage 2 Information Pack including a brief introduction to the project, the project location, and AHIMS search results to provide understanding of the registered cultural sites in the local area, was sent to registered Aboriginal parties via email on the 22nd July 2019. Request for response to the Stage 2/3 Information Packet was set to 19th August

The Information Pack was prepared as a combination of Stage 2 and 3 of the Consultation Guidelines, and included the following information:

- Project overview, location and purpose;
- Proposed works – to occur in three stages: demolition, excavation, construction;
- Brief environmental and historical background;
- Notification of the potential site inspection;
- Protocol of gathering information on cultural heritage significance; and
- Request for comment on methodology and recommendations for site investigation, and request for any cultural information the respondent wished to share.

The letter is included in Appendix B at of this report.

One response on the Stage 2/3 Information Pack was received and is presented below at Section 3.3.

3.3. STAGE 3: GATHERING INFORMATION ABOUT CULTURAL SIGNIFICANCE

Stage 3 is concerned with gathering feedback on a project, proposed methodologies, and obtaining any cultural information that registered Aboriginal parties wish to share. This may include ethno-historical information, or identification of significant sites or places in the local area.

The response received on the Information Park (Stage 2/3) is summarised in Table 7 below, and written responses are at Appendix B.

Table 7 – Stage 2/3 responses

Registered Aboriginal Party	Stakeholder	Comment	Response
Darug Land Observation	Anna Workman	<p>Reviewed the project information and assessment methodology and supports the methodology.</p> <p>In relation to the long-term storage of recovered artefacts, if any, we strongly believe that recovered artefacts should be re-buried on Country (the study area).</p> <p>Furthermore, we would like to be involved in the field survey, archaeological test excavations, topsoil removal and/or all other forms of works to be carried out on the site.</p>	Acknowledged and responded

3.4. STAGE 4: REVIEW OF DRAFT CULTURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT REPORT

The aim of Stage 4 is to prepare and finalise an ACHAR with input from registered Aboriginal Parties.

This Draft ACHAR was provided to all RAPs via email on 2nd September 2019. The deadline for response was set to 5pm 30th September 2019, to allow for a minimum 28 days as stipulated by the Consultation Guidelines (DECCW, 2010).

No comments were received in response to the draft ACHAR.

4. CULTURAL HERITAGE VALUES AND STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

4.1. METHODS OF ASSESSING HERITAGE SIGNIFICANCE

Heritage significance is assessed by considering each cultural, or archaeological site, against the significance criteria set out in the Assessment Guidelines. In all case, the assessment of significance detailed below is informed by the Aboriginal community, which is documented in this report. If any culturally sensitive values were identified they would not be specifically included in the report, or made publicly available, but would be documented and lodged with the knowledge holder providing the information.

4.2. ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK

The Burra Charter (Australia ICOMOS 1999) defines the basic principles and procedure to be observed in the conservation of important places. It provides the primary framework within which decisions about the management of heritage sites should be made. The Burra Charter defines cultural significance as being derived from the values listed below.

4.2.1. Social or Cultural Value

Social or cultural value refers to the spiritual, traditional, historical or contemporary associations and attachments the subject area has for Aboriginal people. Social or cultural value is how people express their connection with a place and the meaning that place has for them.

Places of social or cultural value have associations with contemporary community identity. These places can have associations with tragic or warmly remembered experiences, periods, or events. Communities can experience a sense of loss should a place of social or cultural value be damaged or destroyed.

There is not always a consensus about a place's social or cultural value. When identifying values, it is not necessary to agree with or acknowledge the validity of each other's values, but it is necessary to document the range of values identified.

Social or cultural values can only be identified through consultation with Aboriginal people. They could involve a range of methodologies, such as cultural mapping, oral histories, archival documentation and specific information provided by Aboriginal people specifically for the investigation.

Occasionally information about social value may not be forthcoming. In these circumstances, document the consultation process but make it clear in the discussions and conclusions about social value that this was the case.

4.2.2. Historic Value

Historic value refers to the associations of a place with a historically important person, event, phase or activity in an Aboriginal community. Historic places do not always have physical evidence of their historical importance (such as structures, planted vegetation or landscape modifications). They may have 'shared' historic values with other (non-Aboriginal) communities.

Places of post-contact Aboriginal history have generally been poorly recognised in investigations of Aboriginal heritage. Consequently, the Aboriginal involvement and contribution to important regional historical themes is often missing from accepted historical narratives. This means it is often necessary to collect oral histories along with archival or documentary research to gain a sufficient understanding of historic values.

4.2.3. Scientific (Archaeological) Value

This refers to the importance of a landscape, area, place or object because of its rarity, representativeness and the extent to which it may contribute to further understanding and information (Australian ICOMOS 1988).

Information about scientific values are gathered through any archaeological investigation undertaken. Archaeological investigations must be carried out according to OEH's *Code of practice for archaeological investigation of Aboriginal objects in NSW*.

Scientific significance, also referred to as archaeological significance, is determined by assessing an Aboriginal heritage site or area according to archaeological criteria. The assessment of archaeological significance is used to develop appropriate heritage management and impact mitigation strategies.

Criteria for archaeological significance have been developed in accordance DPIE guidelines, as shown in, Table 8 below.

Table 8 – Scientific (archaeological) significance criteria

Significance Criteria	Description
Research Potential	Does the evidence suggest any potential to contribute to an understanding of the area and/or region and/or state's natural and cultural history?
Representativeness	How much variability (outside and/or inside the subject area) exists, what is already conserved, how much connectivity is there?
Rarity	Is the subject area important in demonstrating a distinctive way of life, custom, process, land-use, function or design no longer practised? Is it in danger of being lost or of exceptional interest?
Education Potential	Does the subject area contain teaching sites or sites that might have teaching potential?
Condition	What is the condition of the site? Does it appear to have been impacted/altered?

4.2.4. Aesthetic Value

This refers to sensory, scenic, architectural, and creative aspects of the place. It is often closely linked with the social values. It may consider form, scale, colour, texture and material of the fabric or landscape, and the smell and sounds associated with the place and its use (Australian ICOMOS 1988).

4.3. IDENTIFYING VALUES

The information collected in the background review for the project can be used to help identify these values. The review of background information and information gained through consultation with Aboriginal people should provide insight into past events. These include how the landscape was used and why any identified Aboriginal objects are in this location, along with contemporary uses of the land.

Information gaps are not uncommon and should be acknowledged. They may require further investigation to adequately identify the values present across the subject area. It may be helpful to prepare a preliminary values map that identifies, to the extent of information available, the:

- Known places of social, spiritual, cultural value, including natural resources of significance;
- Known historic places;
- Known Aboriginal objects and/or declared Aboriginal places; and
- Potential places/areas of social, spiritual, cultural value, including natural resources, historic or archaeological significance.

Places of potential value that are not fully identified or defined should be included as 'sensitive' areas to target further investigation.

4.4. ASSESSING VALUES AND SIGNIFICANCE

This stage is used to assess and discuss the cultural significance of the values identified during the identification and assessment of cultural significance by consulting Aboriginal people and to prepare a statement of significance. The assessment of values is a discussion of what is significant and why. An

assessment of values is more than simply restating the evidence collected during the background review and identification of values stages of the project. Rather, the assessment should lead to a statement of significance that sets out a succinct summary of the salient values that have been identified.

The assessment and justification in the statement of significance must discuss whether any value meets the following criteria (NSW Heritage Office 2001):

- Does the subject area have a strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons? – social value
- Is the subject area important to the cultural or natural history of the local area and/or region and/or state? – historic value
- Does the subject area have potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of the cultural or natural history of the local area and/or region and/or state? – scientific (archaeological) value
- Is the subject area important in demonstrating aesthetic characteristics in the local area and/or region and/or state? – aesthetic value.

Assessment of each of the criteria (above) should be graded in terms that allow the significance to be described and compared; for example, as high, moderate, or low. In applying these criteria, consideration should be given to:

- Research potential: does the evidence suggest any potential to contribute to an understanding of the area and/or region and/or state's natural and cultural history?
- Representativeness: how much variability (outside and/or inside the subject area) exists, what is already conserved, how much connectivity is there?
- Rarity: is the subject area important in demonstrating a distinctive way of life, custom, process, land-use, function or design no longer practised? Is it in danger of being lost or of exceptional interest?
- Education potential: does the subject area contain teaching sites or sites that might have teaching potential?

Then discuss what is significance and why – this should be summarised into a statement of significance. Thus, the statement of significance is a succinct summary of the salient values drawn from the identification of values.

4.4.1. Assessment of Cultural Heritage Significance and Values

An assessment of cultural heritage significance and values incorporates a range of values which may vary for different individual groups and may relate to both the natural and cultural characteristics of places or sites. Cultural significance and Aboriginal cultural views can only be determined by the Aboriginal community using their own knowledge of the area and any sites present, and their own value system. All Aboriginal heritage evidence tends to have some contemporary significance to Aboriginal people, because it represents an important tangible link to their past and to the landscape.

Consultation with members of the local Aboriginal community (project RAPs) was undertaken to identify the level of spiritual/cultural significance of the subject area and its components. In acknowledgment that the Aboriginal community themselves are in the best position to identify levels of cultural significance, the project RAPs were invited to provide comment and input into this ACHAR and to the assessment of cultural heritage significance and values presented therein.

Comments received from the representatives of the project RAPs indicate that the local area is of cultural significance. The surrounding landscape, which contains a number of Aboriginal archaeological sites that provide evidence of past occupation and a connection to Aboriginal communities that used and inhabited the area in the past, was identified by the project RAPs as being culturally significant, in a general sense.

The subject area specifically, however, was acknowledged to be disturbed, and to be highly unlikely to contain any intact archaeological material or deposits. Through consultation with project RAPs it was determined that the subject area specifically, owing to the extent of disturbance that has occurred, was not considered to be of any particular cultural or spiritual significance to the community.

4.4.2. Assessment of Scientific (Archaeological) Significance

In accordance with the *Guide to Investigating, Assessing and Reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in NSW*, and in consultation with representatives of the local Aboriginal community, the following assessment of the scientific (archaeological) significance of identified sites within the subject area has been prepared.

This assessment has determined that there are no Aboriginal sites or places within or in the vicinity of the subject area. Furthermore, as a result of the high level of disturbance there is nil to low potential for subsurface archaeological material to remain within the subject area.

The subject area is considered to contain zero scientific (archaeological) significance.

5. IMPACT ASSESSMENT

This assessment has established that the current subject area has low potential to contain Aboriginal archaeological objects or sites due to the extent to which it has been disturbed and the absence of particular landscape features such as suitable rock overhangs (i.e. rock shelters) or platforms (that may indicate the presence of rock art, engravings, or grinding grooves).

Further, no Aboriginal archaeological sites or places are recorded in or within approximately 1 km of the subject area. The closest registered site is located outside of the subject area, approximately 1 km to the north east of the subject area and well outside of any of the proposed impact areas.

5.1. ASSESSMENT OF DIRECT IMPACTS

Overall, the archaeological potential and sensitivity of the subject area has been assessed as low to nil. No Aboriginal sites or objects were identified within the subject area as part of the current ACHA, and no previously recorded sites, as registered on AHIMS, are located in any of the proposed impact areas.

Based on the above, no direct impacts to Aboriginal archaeology have been identified as part of this ACHA.

5.2. ASSESSMENT OF INDIRECT IMPACTS

The AHIMS search conducted for this assessment shows that no Aboriginal archaeological sites or places are recorded in or within approximately 1 km of the subject area. The closest registered site is located outside of the subject area, approximately 1 km to the north east and well outside of any of the proposed impact areas. This site, being AHIMS ID#45-6-2114, is registered as a rock shelter site adjacent to Middle Harbour containing art and shell midden deposit.

Overall, the archaeological potential and sensitivity of the subject area has been assessed as low to nil. No Aboriginal sites or objects were identified within the subject area as part of the current ACHA, and no previously recorded sites, as registered on AHIMS, are located in any of the proposed impact areas.

There is therefore no identified risk of indirect harm to this site as a result of the current proposal. No potential indirect impacts have been identified for any other registered or potential Aboriginal archaeological sites as a result of the current proposal.

6. AVOIDING AND MINIMISING HARM

The ACHA has identified that zero Aboriginal heritage sites will be harmed by the proposed development. No archaeological mitigation measures are required.

7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1. CONCLUSIONS

The ACHAR concluded that:

- There are no registered Aboriginal objects and/or archaeological sites within the subject area;
- There are no landscape features with potential for Aboriginal objects or archaeological deposits located within the subject area;
- The subject area has been the subject of high levels of disturbance since at least the 1950s by the residential subdivision of Roseville and the subsequent development of Roseville college; and
- No Aboriginal cultural heritage values have been identified by the RAPs.

7.2. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the conclusions of this assessment the proposed activity can proceed under the following recommendations:

Recommendation 1 – Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Induction

It is recommended that induction materials be prepared for inclusion in site inductions for any contractors working at the subject area. The induction material should include an overview of the types of sites to be aware of (i.e. artefact scatters or concentrations of shells that could be middens), obligations under the NPW Act, and the requirements of an archaeological finds procedure (refer below), which should be prepared for the project and included in any site management plans.

The induction material may be paper based, included in any hard copy site management documents; or electronic, such as “PowerPoint” for any face to face site inductions.

Recommendation 2 – Archaeological Chance Find Procedure

Although considered highly unlikely, should any archaeological deposits be uncovered during any site works, a procedure must be implemented. Should any archaeological material uncovered during works, the following steps must be carried out:

1. All works stop in the vicinity of the find. The find must not be moved ‘out of the way’ without assessment;
2. Site supervisor, or other nominated site representative must contact either the project archaeologist (if relevant) or DPIE to contact a suitably qualified archaeologist;
3. The nominated archaeologist examines the find, provides a preliminary assessment of significance, records the item and decides on appropriate management, in conjunction with the RAPs for the project. Such management may require further consultation with DPIE, preparation of a research design and archaeological investigation/salvage methodology and preparation of AHIMS Site Card;
4. Depending on the significance of the find, reassessment of the archaeological potential of the subject area may be required, and further archaeological investigation is undertaken;
5. Reporting may need to be prepared regarding the find and approved management strategies. Any such documentation should be appended to this ACHAR, and revise accordingly;
6. Works in the vicinity of the find can only recommence upon relevant approvals from DPIE.

Recommendation 3 – Human Remains Procedure

In the unlikely event that human remains are uncovered during any site works, the following must be undertaken:

1. All works within the vicinity of the find immediately stop;
2. Site supervisor or other nominated manager must notify the NSW Police and DPIE;
3. The find must be assessed by the NSW Police, and may include the assistance of a qualified forensic anthropologist;

4. Management recommendations are to be formulated by the Police, DPIE and site representatives; and
5. Works are not to recommence until the find has been appropriately managed.

Recommendation 4 – RAP consultation

A copy of the final ACHA must be provided to all Project RAPs. Ongoing consultation with RAPs should occur as the project progresses, to ensure ongoing communication about the project and key milestones, ensure timely notification, and to ensure the consultation process does not lapse, particularly with regard to consultation should the CFP be enacted.

8. REFERENCES

- AAJV, 2016. Riverstone Wastewater Lead-ins Project, Salvage of A& Archaeological Complex (45-5-4311): AHIP C0000794. Prepared for Sydney Water.
- Aboriginal Heritage Office. 2015. *Ku-ring-gai Council Aboriginal Site Management Report*, prepared for Ku-ring-gai Council, NSW.
- AHMS, 2015. *Water Related Infrastructure for the North West Growth Centre (NWGC) First and Second Release Precincts: Aboriginal Archaeological Excavation Report*. Unpublished report for Lend Lease/Sydney Water.
- AHO, 2011, *City of Ryde, Aboriginal Site Management Report*
- Artefact Heritage, 2011, *150 Epping Rd, Lane Cove West–Heritage Study Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment and Assessment of non-Indigenous heritage for a Concept Plan application*, report to Rose Group.
- Artefact Heritage, 2014, *Lindfield Substation – Aboriginal Heritage Due Diligence Assessment*, report to Parson Brinckerhoff
- Attenbrow, V. 1993. *Optus Communications Fibre Optic Cable Survey for Aboriginal Sites in Sydney Metropolitan Area*.
- Attenbrow, V. 2003. *Sydney's Aboriginal Past: Investigating the Archaeological and Historical Records*, University of NSW Press Ltd, Sydney.
- Attenbrow, V. 2010. *Sydney's Aboriginal Past*, UNSW Press.
- Austral Archaeology, 2011. *Windsor Bridge over the Hawkesbury River: Preliminary Aboriginal archaeological and cultural heritage baseline investigations*. Unpublished report prepared for the NSW Roads and Maritime Service.
- Conyers, B, 1990. *Survey for Aboriginal Archaeological Sites: Lane Cove River State Recreation Area*, unpublished report prepared for the State Recreation Area Trust and NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service
- Corkill, T, 1997, *Test Excavation of Rockshelter, CSIRO PAD 1, site 2 Riverside Corporate Park, North Ryde, NSW*, report to Australia Pacific Projects
- DECCW, 2010. *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010*.
- DECCW, 2010. *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales*
- Haglund & Associates, 1989, *Preliminary survey for Aboriginal sites along F2-Castelreagh Freeway. Pennant Hills Road to Lane Cove Road*, report to DMR
- HLA-Envirosciences Pty Ltd, 2003, *Archaeological Subsurface Testing Program: Eden Gardens, Macquarie Park, NSW*, prepared for Eden Garden Botanicals Pty Ltd
- ICOMOS, 2013. *The Australia ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance*, The Burra Charter.
- Jo McDonald Cultural Heritage Management Pty Ltd, 2004, *UTS Ku-ring-gai, Rezoning Application, Indigenous Heritage Issues*
- Jo McDonald Cultural Heritage Management Pty Ltd. 1999. *Survey for prehistoric archaeological sites: Proposed Road into Nurragingy Reserve, Blacktown, NSW*.
- Jo McDonald, Cultural Heritage Management Pty Ltd. 2005b. *Salvage Excavation of Six Sites along Caddies, Second Ponds, Smalls and Cattai Creeks in Rouse Hill Development Area NSW*. Australian Archaeological Consultancy Monograph Series, No. 1 Australian Association of Consulting Archaeologists Inc., St Lucia, Queensland
- NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service, 1990. *Central Region Aboriginal Sites Planning Study: Lane Cove River State Recreation Area*

NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service. 2003. *The Bioregions of New South Wales: their biodiversity, conservation and history*, NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service, Sydney.

OEH, 2011. *Guide to Investigating, Assessing and Reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in NSW*.

Wirrina Consulting, 1995, *Archaeological survey for Aboriginal sites. Delhi Rd – Main Rd No. 191, CSIRO to Northern Suburbs Crematorium, Lane Cove, NSW*, report to RMS

DISCLAIMER

This report is dated 1 October 2019 and incorporates information and events up to that date only and excludes any information arising, or event occurring, after that date which may affect the validity of Urbis Pty Ltd's (**Urbis**) opinion in this report. Urbis prepared this report on the instructions, and for the benefit only, of Roseville College (**Instructing Party**) for the purpose of Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (**Purpose**) and not for any other purpose or use. To the extent permitted by applicable law, Urbis expressly disclaims all liability, whether direct or indirect, to the Instructing Party which relies or purports to rely on this report for any purpose other than the Purpose, and to any other person which relies or purports to rely on this report for any purpose whatsoever (including the Purpose).

In preparing this report, Urbis was required to make judgements which may be affected by unforeseen future events, the likelihood and effects of which are not capable of precise assessment.

All surveys, forecasts, projections and recommendations contained in or associated with this report are made in good faith and on the basis of information supplied to Urbis at the date of this report, and upon which Urbis relied. Achievement of the projections and budgets set out in this report will depend, among other things, on the actions of others over which Urbis has no control.

In preparing this report, Urbis may rely on or refer to documents in a language other than English, which Urbis may arrange to be translated. Urbis is not responsible for the accuracy or completeness of such translations and disclaims any liability for any statement or opinion made in this report being inaccurate or incomplete arising from such translations.

Whilst Urbis has made all reasonable inquiries it believes necessary in preparing this report, it is not responsible for determining the completeness or accuracy of information provided to it. Urbis (including its officers and personnel) is not liable for any errors or omissions, including in information provided by the Instructing Party or another person or upon which Urbis relies, provided that such errors or omissions are not made by Urbis recklessly or in bad faith.

This report has been prepared with due care and diligence by Urbis and the statements and opinions given by Urbis in this report are given in good faith and in the reasonable belief that they are correct and not misleading, subject to the limitations above.

APPENDIX A BASIC AND EXTENSIVE AHIMS SEARCH RESULTS


Urbis Pty Ltd - 201 Sussex St Sydney
Level 23 Tower 2, 201 Sussex Street Sydney
Sydney New South Wales 2000
Attention: Keira De Rosa
Email: kderosa@urbis.com.au

Date: 18 April 2019

Dear Sir or Madam:

AHIMS Web Service search for the following area at Datum :GDA, Zone : 56, Eastings : 329207 - 334307, Northings : 6257605 - 6262705 with a Buffer of 0 meters, conducted by Keira De Rosa on 18 April 2019.

The context area of your search is shown in the map below. Please note that the map does not accurately display the exact boundaries of the search as defined in the paragraph above. The map is to be used for general reference purposes only.



A search of the Office of the Environment and Heritage AHIMS Web Services (Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System) has shown that:

80	Aboriginal sites are recorded in or near the above location.
0	Aboriginal places have been declared in or near the above location. *

If your search shows Aboriginal sites or places what should you do?

- You must do an extensive search if AHIMS has shown that there are Aboriginal sites or places recorded in the search area.
- If you are checking AHIMS as a part of your due diligence, refer to the next steps of the Due Diligence Code of practice.
- You can get further information about Aboriginal places by looking at the gazettal notice that declared it. Aboriginal places gazetted after 2001 are available on the [NSW Government Gazette \(http://www.nsw.gov.au/gazette\)](http://www.nsw.gov.au/gazette) website. Gazettal notices published prior to 2001 can be obtained from Office of Environment and Heritage's Aboriginal Heritage Information Unit upon request

Important information about your AHIMS search

- The information derived from the AHIMS search is only to be used for the purpose for which it was requested. It is not be made available to the public.
- AHIMS records information about Aboriginal sites that have been provided to Office of Environment and Heritage and Aboriginal places that have been declared by the Minister;
- Information recorded on AHIMS may vary in its accuracy and may not be up to date .Location details are recorded as grid references and it is important to note that there may be errors or omissions in these recordings,
- Some parts of New South Wales have not been investigated in detail and there may be fewer records of Aboriginal sites in those areas. These areas may contain Aboriginal sites which are not recorded on AHIMS.
- Aboriginal objects are protected under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 even if they are not recorded as a site on AHIMS.
- This search can form part of your due diligence and remains valid for 12 months.

AHIMS Web Services (AWS)

Extensive search - Site list report

Your Ref/PO Number : P6441

Client Service ID : 416351

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
45-6-2232	Depression Cave;	AGD	56	329290	6259390	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Michael Guider					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2233	LBG Creek 1;	AGD	56	329190	6259960	Closed site	Valid	Artefact : -	Shelter with Deposit	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Michael Guider					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2234	LBG Creek 2;	AGD	56	329150	6259910	Closed site	Valid	Art (Pigment or Engraved) : -	Shelter with Art	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Michael Guider					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2235	LBG Creek 3;	AGD	56	329130	6259860	Closed site	Valid	Artefact : -	Shelter with Deposit	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Michael Guider					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2253	DSRA 1;	AGD	56	333690	6261750	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Michael Guider					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2254	DSRA 2;Forestville;	AGD	56	333690	6261790	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Michael Guider					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2255	DSRA 3;Forestville;	AGD	56	333650	6261780	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Michael Guider					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2256	DSRA 4;Forestville;	AGD	56	333690	6261690	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Michael Guider					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2257	DSRA 5;Forestville;	AGD	56	333490	6261990	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Michael Guider					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2259	DSRA 7;Forestville;	AGD	56	333420	6261980	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Michael Guider					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2260	DSRA 8;Forestville;	AGD	56	333210	6262110	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Michael Guider					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2261	DSRA 9;Forestville;	AGD	56	333230	6262240	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Michael Guider					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2361	Flat Rock Track 3	AGD	56	334180	6261120	Open site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Midden	2442
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Val Attenbrow,A Acet,F Tighe					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2371	Perfect shell shelter;	AGD	56	334150	6260750	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	2047

Report generated by AHIMS Web Service on 18/04/2019 for Keira De Rosa for the following area at Datum :GDA, Zone : 56, Eastings : 329207 - 334307, Northings : 6257605 - 6262705 with a Buffer of 0 meters. Additional Info : confirm site data. Number of Aboriginal sites and Aboriginal objects found is 80

This information is not guaranteed to be free from error omission. Office of Environment and Heritage (NSW) and its employees disclaim liability for any act done or omission made on the information and consequences of such acts or omission.

AHIMS Web Services (AWS)

Extensive search - Site list report

Your Ref/PO Number : P6441

Client Service ID : 416351

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Val Attenbrow					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2375	Small shelter;	AGD	56	334050	6260740	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	2047
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Val Attenbrow					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2661	Lane Cove PAD 1	AGD	56	329340	6259240	Open site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : -		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	ERM Australia Pty Ltd- Sydney CBD					<u>Permits</u>	1689	
45-6-2674	Turranburra	AGD	56	329250	6258350	Closed site	Valid	Shell : 2		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Michael Guider					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2258	DSRA 6;Forestville;	AGD	56	333490	6261990	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Michael Guider					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-3165	UPPER MOORES CK-3 KUR160	GDA	56	332615	6261480	Closed site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : -		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Mr.Phil Hunt					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-1080	Frenchs Forest;Decaved Cave;	AGD	56	333619	6262267	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	ASRSYS					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2508	Delhi Road; RYDE 008	GDA	56	329264	6259300	Closed site	Valid	Artefact : -	Shelter with Deposit	102489
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	W Walker,Mr.David Crew,Aboriginal Heritage Office					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2150	Walled-up Midden Echo Point Park	AGD	56	334000	6261080	Open site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Midden	1809,1911
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Mr.R Taplin					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-1122	Lindfield;Biology Book Cave;	AGD	56	333265	6261835	Closed site	Valid	Art (Pigment or Engraved) : -, Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Art,Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Mr.R Taplin					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-1123	Lindfield;This Is The Way To Fail Exams Cave;	AGD	56	333265	6261790	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	ASRSYS					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-1124	Lindfield	AGD	56	333554	6261750	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	ASRSYS					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-1125	Waterfall Cave;Lindfield;	AGD	56	333450	6261670	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Michael Guider,Mr.R Taplin					<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-1126	Lindfield	AGD	56	333634	6261470	Open site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Midden	

Report generated by AHIMS Web Service on 18/04/2019 for Keira De Rosa for the following area at Datum :GDA, Zone : 56, Eastings : 329207 - 334307, Northings : 6257605 - 6262705 with a Buffer of 0 meters. Additional Info : confirm site data. Number of Aboriginal sites and Aboriginal objects found is 80

This information is not guaranteed to be free from error omission. Office of Environment and Heritage (NSW) and its employees disclaim liability for any act done or omission made on the information and consequences of such acts or omission.

AHIMS Web Services (AWS)

Extensive search - Site list report

Your Ref/PO Number : P6441

Client Service ID : 416351

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-1127	Lindfield;Collapsed Cave;	AGD	56	333744	6261420	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-0631	echo point park;	AGD	56	333800	6260900	Closed site	Valid	Artefact : -, Shell : -	Midden,Shelter with Deposit	2047
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-0632	Castlecove;	AGD	56	334000	6260700	Open site	Not a Site	Art (Pigment or Engraved) : -	Not an Aboriginal Site	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2210	Blue Gum creek	AGD	56	329754	6259430	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	1899
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2105	Paperbark cave;	AGD	56	333485	6261885	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2109	Ormonde Rd cave;	AGD	56	333259	6261784	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2113	Moores Creek Cave;East Lindfield; (duplicate copy of 45-6-2100)	AGD	56	332790	6261950	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2116	Babbage Rd. Cave;	AGD	56	333484	6261350	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -, Art (Pigment or Engraved) : -	Shelter with Art,Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2125	MHT 1;	AGD	56	333470	6261890	Open site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2126	East Roseville	AGD	56	333564	6261740	Open site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2127	Gnat Orchid Cave;	AGD	56	333539	6261755	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2128	East Roseville;	AGD	56	333530	6261775	Open site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2129	MCW 5;	AGD	56	333189	6262490	Open site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2131	SSM 24418;	AGD	56	333259	6262035	Open site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Midden	

Report generated by AHIMS Web Service on 18/04/2019 for Keira De Rosa for the following area at Datum :GDA, Zone : 56, Eastings : 329207 - 334307, Northings : 6257605 - 6262705 with a Buffer of 0 meters. Additional Info : confirm site data. Number of Aboriginal sites and Aboriginal objects found is 80

This information is not guaranteed to be free from error omission. Office of Environment and Heritage (NSW) and its employees disclaim liability for any act done or omission made on the information and consequences of such acts or omission.

AHIMS Web Services (AWS)

Extensive search - Site list report

Your Ref/PO Number : P6441

Client Service ID : 416351

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2132	Moores Ck. 2;	AGD	56	332790	6261890	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2133	MHT 3;	AGD	56	333274	6262020	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2137	Roseville Bridge1, Roseville Chase.	AGD	56	333500	6261270	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	1809,1911
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2138	Roseville Bridge3, Roseville Chase.	AGD	56	333550	6261250	Open site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Midden	1809,1911
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2139	Boat Shed 1, Robb Reserve.	AGD	56	333990	6260750	Open site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Midden	1809,1911
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2140	Boat Shed 2, Robb Reserve.	AGD	56	334000	6260750	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	1809,1911
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2502	Baths 2;	AGD	56	333854	6261320	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2093	Anadara cluster	AGD	56	334110	6260750	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -, Shell : -	Midden,Open Camp Site	1809
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2404	Warringah Road Shelter;	AGD	56	333559	6262034	Closed site	Valid	Artefact : -	Shelter with Deposit	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2408	Roseville Bridge 6;	AGD	56	333650	6261190	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2409	Roseville Bridge 5;	AGD	56	333640	6261200	Closed site	Valid	Artefact : -	Shelter with Deposit	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2410	east roseville;MHT 2;	AGD	56	333385	6261995	Open site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2164	Boatshed view;	GDA	56	333416	6262560	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Shelter with Midden	1809
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-1353	Swaines Creek;Red Arrow Cave;	AGD	56	329500	6258400	Closed site	Valid	Art (Pigment or Engraved) : -	Shelter with Art	2047

Report generated by AHIMS Web Service on 18/04/2019 for Keira De Rosa for the following area at Datum :GDA, Zone : 56, Eastings : 329207 - 334307, Northings : 6257605 - 6262705 with a Buffer of 0 meters. Additional Info : confirm site data. Number of Aboriginal sites and Aboriginal objects found is 80

This information is not guaranteed to be free from error omission. Office of Environment and Heritage (NSW) and its employees disclaim liability for any act done or omission made on the information and consequences of such acts or omission.

AHIMS Web Services (AWS)

Extensive search - Site list report

Your Ref/PO Number : P6441

Client Service ID : 416351

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-1946	Blue Gum Creek;	AGD	56	329540	6259160	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -, Shell : -	Midden,Open Camp Site	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-0926	Echo Point Park;Old Roseville Baths;	AGD	56	333794	6261350	Closed site	Valid	Art (Pigment or Engraved) : -	Shelter with Art	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-1633	Fullers Park Lane Cove River SRA	AGD	56	329745	6259174	Open site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -	Midden	1899
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-1658	Lindfield;Lane Cove River SRA;	AGD	56	333159	6262350	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -, Art (Pigment or Engraved) : -	Shelter with Art,Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2100	Moores creek; (duplicate copy of 45-6-2113)	AGD	56	333020	6261930	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -, Shell : -	Midden,Open Camp Site	1809
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2911	Cocupara Shelter 1	GDA	56	329599	6260665	Closed site	Valid	Art (Pigment or Engraved) : 1		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2114	Middle Harbour cave;	AGD	56	333030	6262030	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -, Art (Pigment or Engraved) : -	Shelter with Art,Shelter with Midden	
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2930	Red Club Cave	GDA	56	333651	6262226	Closed site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-3004	Stringybark Creek PAD shelter 1 - LCC 079	GDA	56	329644	6257660	Closed site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : -		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-3005	Stringybark Creek PAD Shelter 2 LCC80	GDA	56	329464	6257740	Closed site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : -		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-3006	Stringbark Creek PAD Shelter 4 LCC082	GDA	56	329324	6257690	Closed site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : 1		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-3007	Stringybark Creek PAD Shelter 3 - LCC081	GDA	56	329444	6257720	Open site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : 1		

Report generated by AHIMS Web Service on 18/04/2019 for Keira De Rosa for the following area at Datum :GDA, Zone : 56, Eastings : 329207 - 334307, Northings : 6257605 - 6262705 with a Buffer of 0 meters. Additional Info : confirm site data. Number of Aboriginal sites and Aboriginal objects found is 80

This information is not guaranteed to be free from error omission. Office of Environment and Heritage (NSW) and its employees disclaim liability for any act done or omission made on the information and consequences of such acts or omission.

AHIMS Web Services (AWS)

Extensive search - Site list report

Your Ref/PO Number : P6441

Client Service ID : 416351

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-3008	Stringybark Creek PAD Shelter 5	GDA	56	329274	6257690	Closed site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : 1		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-3009	Stringybark Creek PAD Shelter 6 - LCC 084	GDA	56	329224	6257660	Closed site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : 1		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-3098	Stringybark Ck PAD Shelter 4	GDA	56	329324	6257690	Open site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : -		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-3043	Little Blue Gum Shelter PAD 1 KUR 082	GDA	56	329434	6260370	Closed site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : 1		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-3044	Upper Moores Creek 2 KUR 103	GDA	56	332694	6261720	Closed site	Valid	Art (Pigment or Engraved) : -		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-3045	Upper Moores Creek 1 KUR 102	GDA	56	332684	6261710	Closed site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : 1		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-3588	Upper Gordon Creek - 1 KUR 161	GDA	56	331015	6262485	Closed site	Valid	Art (Pigment or Engraved) : 1		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2630	EP1	AGD	56	333910	6260920	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -, Shell : -		2805
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2631	EP2	AGD	56	333850	6261000	Open site	Valid	Shell : -, Artefact : -		2805
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2632	EP3	AGD	56	333800	6261100	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -, Shell : -		2805
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-2803	Flat Rock Track 2	AGD	56	334200	6261140	Closed site	Valid	Shell : -		
	<u>Contact</u> T Russell	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
45-6-3138	J's Rock WARR038	GDA	56	334137	6261635	Open site	Valid	Art (Pigment or Engraved) : -		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		

Report generated by AHIMS Web Service on 18/04/2019 for Keira De Rosa for the following area at Datum :GDA, Zone : 56, Eastings : 329207 - 334307, Northings : 6257605 - 6262705 with a Buffer of 0 meters. Additional Info : confirm site data. Number of Aboriginal sites and Aboriginal objects found is 80

This information is not guaranteed to be free from error omission. Office of Environment and Heritage (NSW) and its employees disclaim liability for any act done or omission made on the information and consequences of such acts or omission.

AHIMS Web Services (AWS)

Extensive search - Site list report

Your Ref/PO Number : P6441

Client Service ID : 416351

<u>SiteID</u>	<u>SiteName</u>	<u>Datum</u>	<u>Zone</u>	<u>Easting</u>	<u>Northing</u>	<u>Context</u>	<u>Site Status</u>	<u>SiteFeatures</u>	<u>SiteTypes</u>	<u>Reports</u>
45-6-3336	Little Blue Gum Shelter PAD 2	GDA	56	329430	6260240	Open site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : 1		
	<u>Contact</u>								<u>Permits</u>	
		<u>Recorders</u>	Mr.Phil Hunt							

Report generated by AHIMS Web Service on 18/04/2019 for Keira De Rosa for the following area at Datum :GDA, Zone : 56, Eastings : 329207 - 334307, Northings : 6257605 - 6262705 with a Buffer of 0 meters. Additional Info : confirm site data. Number of Aboriginal sites and Aboriginal objects found is 80

This information is not guaranteed to be free from error omission. Office of Environment and Heritage (NSW) and its employees disclaim liability for any act done or omission made on the information and consequences of such acts or omission.

APPENDIX B CONSULTATION PROCESS



ANGEL PLACE
LEVEL 8, 123 PITT STREET
SYDNEY NSW 2000

URBIS.COM.AU
Urbis Pty Ltd
ABN 50 105 256 228

05 June 2019

Office of Environment and Heritage
Greater Sydney Branch
Communities and Greater Sydney Division
PO Box 644
Parramatta NSW 2124
gs.ach@environment.nsw.gov.au

To whom it may concern,

ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT - ABORIGINAL COMMUNITY CONSULTATION STAGE 1 – ROSEVILLE COLLEGE 27-29 & 37 BANCROFT AVE, ROSEVILLE, NSW

Urbis has been commissioned by Roseville College (the Proponent) to conduct an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for a proposed development on Lot 2003 DP 1084428, at 27-29 Bancroft Ave, Roseville NSW and Lot 18 Sec C DP 5035 at 37 Bancroft Ave, Roseville (hereafter referred to as 'the Subject Area', see attached figure). The Proponent is planning to develop a Sport and Wellbeing Centre on the subject lot. The ACHA is required to inform the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) which will be submitted to support a State Significant Development Application (SSDA). The ACHA is to be carried out in accordance to the *Guide to investigating, assessing and reporting on Aboriginal cultural heritage in NSW (OEH 2011)*. The ACHA will also address the relevant requirements of the Department of Planning's Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARS).

The Proponent can be contacted via:

Email: enquiries@roseville.nsw.edu.au

Phone: 02 9884 1100

The purpose of the Aboriginal community consultation will be to assist the Proponent with:

- The preparation of the ACHA to support the EIS.
- Recording of any Aboriginal objects in line with the requirements of the OEH's Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS) that might exist within the Subject Area.
- Appropriate management recommendations for any Aboriginal cultural heritage resources that might be identified in the Subject Area during the process.

In accordance with Section 4.1.2 of the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents* (DECCW 2010) Urbis proposes to compile a list of Aboriginal people and organisations who may hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places that may exist within the Subject Area.



Should you be aware of any Aboriginal persons and/or organisations that might be interested in the project, please provide their details at your earliest convenience and preferably by 5pm on 19 June 2019 in writing to:

Alida Eisermann
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8
Angel Place
123 Pitt Street
Sydney, 2000 NSW
Email: [REDACTED]

The Proponent will write to each Aboriginal person or group whose details are provided to notify them of the proposed project and invite them to register an interest in the community consultation process.

Please be advised that, as per the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010*, the Proponent is required to forward the names of Aboriginal persons and groups who register an interest (Registered Aboriginal Parties) to Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) and OEH unless the person or group specifies, that they do not want their details released.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Balazs Hansel".

Balazs Hansel
Associate Director
[REDACTED]
bhansel@urbis.com.au



GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56

© 2019. PSMA Australia Ltd, HERE Pty Ltd. ABS. Produced by Urbis Pty Ltd ABN 50 105 256 228, Jun 2019



Project No - P0006441

Project Manager - BH

● Subject Area

REGIONAL LOCATION

Roseville College Sport and Wellbeing Centre - ACHA

Roseville College



Office of
Environment
& Heritage

47.

Our reference: Doc19/485294

Balazs Hansel
Associate Director
URBIS Pty Ltd
Level 8 Angel Place
123 Pitt Street Sydney 2000 NSW

Dear Balazs,

Thank you for your letter dated 5th June 2019 to the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) regarding obtaining a list of the Aboriginal stakeholders that may have an interest in the proposed development at Lot 2003 DP: 1084428 at 27-29 Bancroft Avenue, Roseville and at Lot 18, Sec C DP: 5305 at 37 Bancroft Avenue, Roseville NSW.

Please find attached the list of Aboriginal stakeholders known to OEH that may have an interest in the project.

As the Department of Planning and Environment is the approval authority for this project, the consultation process should be in accordance with the relevant guidelines as stipulated by the Department of Planning and Environment.

If you wish to discuss any of the above matter further please email gs.ach@environment.nsw.gov.au.

Yours sincerely

Dana Alderson
A/Senior Team Leader Planning
Greater Sydney Branch
Communities and Greater Sydney Division



ANGEL PLACE
LEVEL 8, 123 PITT STREET
SYDNEY NSW 2000

URBIS.COM.AU
Urbis Pty Ltd
ABN 50 105 256 228

05 June 2019

Office of Environment and Heritage
Greater Sydney Branch
Communities and Greater Sydney Division
PO Box 644
Parramatta NSW 2124
gs.ach@environment.nsw.gov.au

To whom it may concern,

**ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT - ABORIGINAL
COMMUNITY CONSULTATION STAGE 1 – ROSEVILLE COLLEGE 27-29 & 37
BANCROFT AVE, ROSEVILLE, NSW**

Urbis has been commissioned by Roseville College (the Proponent) to conduct an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for a proposed development on Lot 2003 DP 1084428, at 27-29 Bancroft Ave, Roseville NSW and Lot 18 Sec C DP 5035 at 37 Bancroft Ave, Roseville (hereafter referred to as 'the Subject Area', see attached figure). The Proponent is planning to develop a Sport and Wellbeing Centre on the subject lot. The ACHA is required to inform the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) which will be submitted to support a State Significant Development Application (SSDA). The ACHA is to be carried out in accordance to the *Guide to investigating, assessing and reporting on Aboriginal cultural heritage in NSW* (OEH 2011). The ACHA will also address the relevant requirements of the Department of Planning's Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARS).

The Proponent can be contacted via:

Email: enquiries@roseville.nsw.edu.au
Phone: 02 9884 1100

The purpose of the Aboriginal community consultation will be to assist the Proponent with:

- The preparation of the ACHA to support the EIS.
- Recording of any Aboriginal objects in line with the requirements of the OEH's Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS) that might exist within the Subject Area.
- Appropriate management recommendations for any Aboriginal cultural heritage resources that might be identified in the Subject Area during the process.

In accordance with Section 4.1.2 of the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents* (DECCW 2010) Urbis proposes to compile a list of Aboriginal people and organisations who may hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places that may exist within the Subject Area.



Should you be aware of any Aboriginal persons and/or organisations that might be interested in the project, please provide their details at your earliest convenience and preferably by 5pm on 19 June 2019 in writing to:

Alida Eisermann
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8
Angel Place
123 Pitt Street
Sydney, 2000 NSW
Email: aeisermann@urbis.com.au


The Proponent will write to each Aboriginal person or group whose details are provided to notify them of the proposed project and invite them to register an interest in the community consultation process.

Please be advised that, as per the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010*, the Proponent is required to forward the names of Aboriginal persons and groups who register an interest (Registered Aboriginal Parties) to Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) and OEH unless the person or group specifies, that they do not want their details released.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Balazs Hansel". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Balazs" and the last name "Hansel" clearly distinguishable.

Balazs Hansel
Associate Director
0499 986 833
bhansel@urbis.com.au



GDA 1994 MGA Zone 58

© 2019. PSMA Australia Ltd, HERE Pty Ltd. ABS. Produced by Urbis Pty Ltd ABN 50 103 258 228, Jun 2019



1 KM

Project No - P0006441

Project Manager - BH

● Subject Area

REGIONAL LOCATION

Roseville College Sport and Wellbeing Centre - ACHA

Roseville College

LIST OF ABORIGINAL STAKEHOLDERS FOR THE GREATER SYDNEY BRANCH HELD BY OEH FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE CONSULTATION REQUIREMENTS FOR PROPONENTS 2010

These lists are provided to proponents in accordance with section 4.1.2 of the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (the "Consultation Requirements") which commenced on 12 April 2010.

The consultation process involves getting the views of, and information from, Aboriginal people and reporting on these. It is not to be confused with other field assessment processes involved in preparing a proposal and an application. Consultation does not include the employment of Aboriginal people to assist in field assessment and/or site monitoring. Aboriginal people may provide services to proponents through a contractual arrangement however, this is separate from consultation. The proponent is not obliged to employ those Aboriginal people registered for consultation. Consultation as per these requirements will continue irrespective of potential or actual employment opportunities for Aboriginal people.

A copy of the Consultation Requirements can be found on the OEH website at: <http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/resources/cultureheritage/commconsultation/09781ACHconsultreq.pdf>.

Under the Consultation Requirements, a proponent is required to provide Aboriginal people who hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the cultural significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places as relevant to the proposed project area, with an opportunity to be involved in consultation. Section 3.3.1 of the Consultation Requirements states that Aboriginal people who can provide this information are, based on Aboriginal lore and custom, the traditional owners or custodians of the land that is the subject of the proposed project.

The Consultation Requirements also state that:

- Traditional owners or custodians with appropriate cultural heritage knowledge to inform decision making who seek to register their interest as an Aboriginal party are those people who:*
- *continue to maintain a deep respect for their ancestral belief system, traditional lore and custom*
 - *recognise their responsibilities and obligations to protect and conserve their culture and heritage and care for their traditional lands or Country*
 - *have the trust of their community, knowledge and understanding of their culture, and permission to speak about it.*

Please note: the placement of an organisation's name on any OEH Aboriginal stakeholder list for the Consultation Requirements does not override a proponent's requirement to also advertise in the local newspaper and to seek from other sources the names of any other Aboriginal people who may hold cultural knowledge as required under clause 80C of the National Parks and Wildlife Regulation 2009.

How to use this list

1. Determine which Local Government Areas (LGAs) your project area falls into
2. Identify which organisations and individuals on the list have an interest in the LGAs relevant to your project – identified in column 6 of the list
3. Contact the organisations/individuals who have indicated an interest in the relevant LGAs and invite them to register an interest in your project

Do not reproduce the attached list in publicly available reports and other documents. Your report should only contain the names of the organisations and individuals who you have invited to register an interest in your project and those who have registered as stakeholders for your project.

PLEASE NOTE: THE STAKEHOLDER LIST HAS NOT BEEN UPDATED TO INCLUDE THE RECENT

COUNCIL MERGERS AND NAME CHANGES. PLEASE CONSIDER THE PRE-MERGER COUNCIL

BOUNDARIES WHEN DETERMINING WHO SHOULD BE INVITED TO REGISTER FOR YOUR

PROJECT. Last update is 28th May 2019

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phase	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional information
Deerubbin Local Aboriginal Land Council	Kevin Cavanagh		Not provided			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield	Holroyd Blue Mountains The Hills Shire Parramatta
Tharawal Local Aboriginal Land Council	Rebecca Ede (CEO)		ceo@tharawal.com.au reception@tharawal.com.au			Camden Campbelltown Wollondilly	Sutherland Liverpool
Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council	Nathan Moran		Not provided			The Hills Shire Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Banksstown Strathfield Burwood Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay	Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Wollonghby
Gandangara Local Aboriginal Land Council	Not provided		Not provided			Liverpool Fairfield Holroyd Parramatta	Auburn Banksstown Sutherland
La Perouse Local Aboriginal Land Council	Chris Ingre		Not provided			Sutherland Randwick Botany Bay Waverly	Woolahra Sydney Rockdale
Parramatta City Council Aboriginal Advisory Committee	Parramatta City Council		Not provided			Parramatta	
Holroyd City Council Advisory Committee	Holroyd City Council		Not provided			Holroyd	
Darug Custodian Aboriginal Corporation	Justine Coplin		justinecoplin@optusnet.com.au			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Blue Mountains	Camden Campbelltown The Hills Shire Liverpool Parramatta
Darug Tribal Aboriginal Corporation	Not provided		Not provided			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Blue Mountains	Camden Campbelltown The Hills Shire Liverpool Parramatta
Darug Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessments	Gordon Morton		Not provided			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Blue Mountains	Strathfield Burwood Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional information	
						Liverpool Parramatta Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown	Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby	
Darug Land Observations	Jamie Workman and Anna Workman		daruglandobservations@gmail.com			Ashfield Auburn Bankstown Blacktown Blue Mountains Botany Bay Burwood Camden Campbelltown Canada Bay Canterbury Fairfield Hawkesbury The Hills Holroyd Hornsby Hunter's Hill Hurstville Kogarah Ku-ring-gai Lane Cove	Leichhardt Liverpool Manly Marrickville Mosman North Sydney Parramatta Penrith Pittwater Randwick Rockdale Ryde Stratfield Sutherland Sydney Warringah Waverley Willoughby Woollahra Wollondilly	
Des Dyer			Not provided			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd	Camden Campbelltown The Hills Shire Liverpool Parramatta	
Ken Foster			Not provided			Sutherland		
La Perouse Botany Bay Corporation	Yvonne Simms		Fax (02) 9311 3440			Sutherland		
Norma Simms			Not provided			Sutherland		
Matthew and Andrew Coe			Not provided			Sutherland		
Gundungurra Aboriginal Heritage Association Inc	Merl Williams		Not provided			Blue Mountains		
Gundungurra Tribal Council Aboriginal Corporation	Sharon Brown		Not provided			Blue Mountains		
Trevor Robinson			Not provided			Blue Mountains		

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional information
Tania Matthews			aboriginalhistoryhunter@gmail.com			Blue Mountains	
A1 Indigenous Services	Carolyn Hickey		cazadirect@live.com			Blue Mountains Ashfield Auburn Bankstown Blacktown Blue Mountains Botany Bay Burwood Camden Campbelltown Canada Bay Canterbury Fairfield Hawkesbury The Hills Holroyd Hornsby Hunter's Hill Hurstville Kogarah Kur-ring-gai Lane Cove Leichhardt Liverpool Manly Marrickville Mosman North Sydney Parramatta Penrith Pittwater Randwick Rockdale Ryde Strathfield Sutherland Sydney Warringah Waverley Willoughby Woolahra Wollondilly	Carolyn is Wonnarua
Cubbitch Barta	Glenda Chalke		Not provided			Camden Campbelltown	Liverpool Wollondilly
	Rebecca Chalke		Not provided				

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional Information	
Eric Keidge			Not provided			The Hills Shire Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Banksstown Strathfield Burwood Ashfield Ryde Auburn Canada Bay	Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby	
Gunjeewong Cultural Heritage Aboriginal Corporation	Cherie Carroll Turrise		cheriecarroll68@yahoo.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield	Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Parramatta	Cherie is a Ngunnawal Elder however lived in the Western Sydney area during her childhood. She recognises she is not from the area but has associations
	Contact details have changed as the above contact details have been hacked as of January 2018		Gunjeewong53@hotmail.com					
Merrigam Indigenous Corporation	Shaun Carroll		Not provided			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield	Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Parramatta	Shaun is Ngunnawal and is Cherie's brother. NOTE: It has been reported to OEH that the contact details for this group are incorrect; however, OEH has not been provided with revised contact details.
Corroboree Aboriginal Corporation	Marilyn Carroll-Johnson		corrobooreecorp@bigpond.com			Western Sydney Camden	Campbelltown Parramatta	Ngunnawal and lives in Western Sydney
Murra Bidgee Mullangari Aboriginal Corporation	Darleen Johnson		Not provided			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield	Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Parramatta	Ngunnawal and lives in Western Sydney
Muragadi Heritage Indigenous Corporation	Jesse Johnson		Not provided			Western Sydney Camden	Campbelltown Parramatta	Ngunnawal and lives in Western Sydney
Bidjawong Aboriginal Corporation	James Carroll		Not provided			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield	Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Parramatta	
Phil Kahn			Not provided			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd	Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta	
Wurrumay Consultancy	Kerrie Slater		wurrumay@hotmail.com; Vicki.slater@hotmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown	Camden Campbelltown	

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional information
Warragil Cultural Services	Aaron Slater (Manager)		Warragil_c.s@hotmail.com			Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Blue Mountains Sutherland Liverpool	Liverpool Parramatta Wollondilly Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta
Kawul Cultural Services	Vicky Slater (Manager)		Vicki.slater@hotmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Blue Mountains Sutherland Liverpool	Camden Campbelltown Parramatta Wollondilly The Hills Shire Auburn Bankstown
Tocomwall	Scott Franks		Not provided			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown The Hills Shire Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown	Strathfield Burwood Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby
Dharawal Mens Aboriginal Corporation	Elwyn Brown		Not provided			Camden Campbelltown Blue Mountains Ashfield Auburn Bankstown Blacktown Blue Mountains Botany Bay Burwood Camden Campbelltown Canada Bay Canterbury Fairfield Hawkesbury The Hills Holroyd	Wollondilly Strathfield Burwood Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Liverpool Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay
Amanda Hickey Cultural Services	Amanda Hickey		amandahickey@live.com.au				Amanda is Wonnarua

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional information	
						Hornsby Hunter's Hill Hurstville Kogarah Ku-ring-gai Lane Cove	Ryde Warringah Willoughby Penrith Parramatta Marrickville Wollondilly	
Widescope Indigenous Group	Steven Hickey and Donna Hickey		Not provided			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith	Fairfield Holroyd Parramatta Blue Mountains	
HSB Consultants	Patricia Hampton		Not provided			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith	Fairfield Holroyd Parramatta	
Rane Consulting	Tony Williams		aiw1901@bigpond.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith	Fairfield Holroyd Parramatta	
Anthony Williams			Not provided			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith	Fairfield Holroyd Parramatta	
Dhinawan-Dhigaraa Culture & Heritage Pty Ltd	Ricky Fields Athol Smith		dhinawan.fields@gmail.com Not provided			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith	Fairfield Holroyd Parramatta	
Gunyuu	Kylie Ann Bell		gunyuuchs@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield	Burwood Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pitwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby	This group states that their boundaries (Murrin Peoples) extend from the Hawkesbury River to the Snowy River.
Walbunja	Hika Te Kowhai		walbunja@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool	Burwood Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney	This group states that their boundaries (Murrin Peoples) extend from the Hawkesbury River to the Snowy River

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional information	
						Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield	Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby Wollondilly	
Badu	Karia Lea Bond		Not provided			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield	Burwood Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby	This group states that their boundaries (Murrin Peoples) extend from the Hawkesbury River to the Snowy River
Goobah Developments	Basil Smith		Not provided			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield	Burwood Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby	This group states that their boundaries (Murrin Peoples) extend from the Hawkesbury River to the Snowy River
Mulling	Lee-Roy James Boola		Not provided			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool	Burwood Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichardt Manly Mosman North Sydney	This group states that their boundaries (Murrin Peoples) extend from the Hawkesbury River to the Snowy River

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional information	
						Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Banksstown Strathfield	Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby	
Yerramurra	Robert Parson		yerramurra@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Banksstown Strathfield	Burwood Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby	This group states that their boundaries (Murrin Peoples) extend from the Hawkesbury River to the Snowy River
Nundaguri	Newton Carriage		nundaguri@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Banksstown Strathfield	Burwood Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby	This group states that their boundaries (Murrin Peoples) extend from the Hawkesbury River to the Snowy River
Murrumbul	Mark Henry		murrumbul@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool	Burwood Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichardt Manly Mosman North Sydney	This group states that their boundaries (Murrin Peoples) extend from the Hawkesbury River to the Snowy River.

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional information	
						Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield	Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby	
Jerrington	Joanne Anne Stewart		jerrington@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield	Burwood Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby	This group states that their boundaries (Murrin Peoples) extend from the Hawkesbury River to the Snowy River
Pemulwuy CHTS	Pemulwuy Johnson		pemulwuyd@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown The Hills Shire Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield	Strathfield Burwood Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby	This group states that their boundaries (Murrin Peoples) extend from the Hawkesbury River to the Snowy River
Bilinga	Simalene Carriage		bilingachts@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool	Burwood Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichardt Manly Mosman North Sydney	This group states that their boundaries (Murrin Peoples) extend from the Hawkesbury River to the Snowy River.

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional information	
						Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield	Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby	
Mununga	Kaya Dawn Bell		munyungachts@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield	Burwood Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby	This group states that their boundaries (Murrin Peoples) extend from the Hawkesbury River to the Snowy River.
Wingikara	Hayley Bell		wingikarachts@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield	Burwood Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby	This group states that their boundaries (Murrin Peoples) extend from the Hawkesbury River to the Snowy River.
Minnamunung	Aaron Broad		Not provided			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool	Burwood Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney	

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional information	
						Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield	Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby	
Walgalu	Ronald Stewart		walgaluchts@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield Randwick Woollahra	Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby Blue Mountains Burwood The Hills Waverly Wollondilly	This group states that their boundaries (Murrin Peoples) extend from the Hawkesbury River to the Snowy River
Thauaia	Shane Carriage		thauairachts@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Leichhardt Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield Randwick Woollahra	Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby Blue Mountains Burwood The Hills Waverly Wollondilly	This group states that their boundaries (Murrin Peoples) extend from the Hawkesbury River to the Snowy River
Dharug	Andrew Bond		dharrugchts@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown	Ashfield Auburn	This group states that their boundaries (Murrin

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional information	
						Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield Randwick Woollahra	Peoples) extend from the Hawkesbury River to the Snowy River	
Bilinga Cultural Heritage Technical Services	Robert Brown		bilinga@mirramajah.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield Randwick Woollahra	Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby Blue Mountains Burwood The Hills Waverly Wollondilly	This group is a member of the Mirramajah Group of RAPS
Gunyu Cultural Heritage Technical Services	Darlene Hoskins-McKenzie		gunyu@mirramajah.com management@mirramajah.com (management & administrative email address for the Mirramajah Group of RAPS).			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale	Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde	This group is a member of the Mirramajah Group of RAPS

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional Information
Munyunga Cultural Heritage Technical Services	Suzannah McKenzie		munyunga@mirramajah.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby Blue Mountains Burwood The Hills Waverly Woolahra	This group is a member of the Mirramajah Group of RAPS
Murrumbul Cultural Heritage Technical Services	Levi McKenzie- Kirkbright		murrumbul@mirramajah.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Banksown Strathfield Randwick Woolahra	This group is a member of the Mirramajah Group of RAPS
Wingikara Cultural Heritage Technical Services	Wanda! Kirkbright		wingikara@mirramajah.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd	This group is a member of the Mirramajah Group of RAPS

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional information	
						Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield Randwick Woollahra	Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby Blue Mountains Burwood The Hills Waverly Wollondilly	
Gulaga	Wendy Smith		gulagachs@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield Randwick Woollahra	Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby Blue Mountains Burwood The Hills Waverly Wollondilly	This group states that their boundaries (Murrin Peoples) extend from the Hawkesbury River to the Snowy River
Biamanga	Seli Storer		biamangachs@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield Randwick Woollahra	Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby Blue Mountains	This group states that their boundaries (Murrin Peoples) extend from the Hawkesbury River to the Snowy River

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional information
						Strathfield Randwick Woollahra	
Callendulla	Corey Smith		cullendulachts@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Banksstown Strathfield Randwick Woollahra	This group states that their boundaries (Murrin Peoples) extend from the Hawkesbury River to the Snowy River
Murrumarang	Roxanne Smith		murrumarangchits@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Auburn Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Banksstown Strathfield Randwick Woollahra	This group states that their boundaries (Murrin Peoples) extend from the Hawkesbury River to the Snowy River
DJMD Consultancy	Darren Duncan		darrenjduncan@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Parramatta Sydney Marrickville Strathfield Randwick Woollahra	Darren is associated with Metro and Deerubin LALCs

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional information	
						Willoughby Blue Mountains Burwood The Hills Ryde	Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater	
Butucabin Aboriginal Corporation	Jennifer Beale		koori@ozemail.com.au			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield Burwood Randwick Woolahra	Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby Blue Mountains Burwood The Hills Waverly Wollondilly	Preferred contact via email
Didge Ngunawal Clan	Lillie Carroll Paul Boyd		didgengunawalclan@yahoo.com.au			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield Burwood Randwick Woolahra	Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby Blue Mountains Burwood The Hills Waverly Wollondilly	
Ginninderra Aboriginal Corporation	Steven Johnson and Kyslie Carroll		Ginninderra.corp@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd	Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichhardt Manly	

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional information	
						Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield Randwick Woollahra	Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby Blue Mountains Burwood The Hills Waverly Wollondilly	
Garrara Aboriginal Corporation	Raymond Ingrey		raymond@barivu.org.au			Sutherland Liverpool Camden Campbelltown Wollondilly		
Nerrigundah	Newton Carriage		nerrigundachts@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield Randwick Woollahra	Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby Blue Mountains Burwood The Hills Waverly	
Duncan Falk Consultancy	Duncan Falk		duncanfalk@hotmail.com			Camden Campbelltown		
Sharon Hodgetts			sharonhodgetts@hotmail.com			Hawkesbury		
Waiwan Aboriginal Group	Philip Boney		waarian12@outlook.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool	Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove	

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional information	
						Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield Randwick Woollahra	Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby Blue Mountains Burwood The Hills Waverly	
Guntawang Aboriginal Resources Incorporated	Wendy Morgan		Wenlissa01@hotmail.com			Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Fairfield Holroyd Wollondilly Blue Mountains		
Barking Owl Aboriginal Corporation	Mrs Jody Kulakowski (Director)		barkingowlcorp@gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield Randwick Woollahra	Ashfield Auburn Canada Bay Leichhardt Manly Mosman North Sydney Lane Cove Hunters Hill Hornsby Ku-Ring-Gai Pittwater Botany Bay Ryde Warringah Willoughby Blue Mountains Burwood The Hills Waverly Wollondilly	
Yulay Cultural Services	Arika Jalomaki (Manager)		yulayculturalservices@gmail.com			Deerubbin LALC Tharawal LALC Gandangarra LALC		LGAs of interest not specified, rather, LALC boundaries within which the organisation wish to be consulted
Thooruga Nura	John Carriage (Chief Executive Officer)		thoorganura@gmail.com			Ashfield Auburn Bankstown Blacktown Blue Mountains Botany Bay Burwood Camden	Leichhardt Liverpool Manly Marrickville Mosman North Sydney Parramatta Penrith	

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional information	
						Campbelltown Canada Bay Randwick Canterbury Fairfield Hawkesbury The Hills Holroyd Hornsby Hunter's Hill Hurstville Kogarah Ku-ring-gai Lane Cove	Pittwater Randwick Rockdale Ryde Strathfield Sutherland Sydney Warringah Waverley Willoughby Woollahra Wollondilly	
Barraby Cultural Services	Lee Field (Manager)		barrabyculturalservices@gmail.com			Tharawal LALC Gandagarra LALC	LGAs of interest not specified, rather, LALC boundaries within which the organisation wish to be consulted	
Yurrandaali Cultural Services	Bo Field (Manager)		yurrandaali_cs@hotmail.com			Tharawal LALC Gandagarra LALC	LGAs of interest not specified, rather, LALC boundaries within which the organisation wish to be consulted	
Darug Boorooberongal Elders Aboriginal Corporation	Gordon Workman		fax 02 9831 8868 boorooberongal@outlook.com			Ashfield Auburn Bankstown Blacktown Blue Mountains Botany Bay Burwood Camden Campbelltown Canada Bay Canterbury Fairfield Hawkesbury The Hills Holroyd Hornsby Hunter's Hill Hurstville Kogarah Ku-ring-gai Lane Cove	Leichhardt Liverpool Manly Marrickville Mosman North Sydney Parramatta Penrith Pittwater Randwick Rockdale Ryde Strathfield Sutherland Sydney Warringah Waverley Willoughby Woollahra Wollondilly	

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional information
B.H. Heritage Consultants	Ralph Hampton Nola Hampton		hamptonralph46@gmail.com kindhampton@77gmail.com			Hawkesbury Blacktown Penrith Fairfield Holroyd Camden Campbelltown Liverpool Parramatta Sutherland Sydney Kogarah Hurstville Rockdale Canterbury Marrickville Bankstown Strathfield Randwick Woollahra	Nola and Ralph would BOTH like to be notified of all projects
Ngambaa Cultural Connections	Kaarina Slater		ngambaaculturalconnections@hotmail.com			Asfield Auburn Bankstown Blacktown Blue Mountains Botany Bay Burwood Camden Campbelltown Canada Bay Canterbury Fairfield Hawkesbury The Hills Holroyd Hornsby Hunter's Hill Hurstville Kogarah Ku-ring-gai Lane Cove	LALC boundaries within which the organisation wish to be consulted: Deerubin LALC Gandangarra LALC Tharawal LALC
Goodradigbee Cultural & Heritage Aboriginal Corporation,	Caine Carroll		goodradigbee1@outlook.com			Asfield Auburn Bankstown Blacktown Blue Mountains Botany Bay Burwood Camden Campbelltown Canada Bay	Leichhardt Liverpool Manly Marrickville Mosman North Sydney Parramatta Penrith Pittwater Randwick Rockdale

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional information	
						Canterbury Fairfield Hawkesbury The Hills Holroyd Hornsby Hunter's Hill Hurstville Kogarah Ku-ring-gai Lane Cove	Ryde Strathfield Sutherland Sydney Warringah Waverley Willoughby Woollahra Wollondilly	
Mura Indigenous Corporation,	Phillip Carroll		mura.indigenous.com.bigpond.com			Ashfield Auburn Bankstown Manly Marrickville Blue Mountains Botany Bay Burwood Camden Campbelltown Canada Bay Canterbury Fairfield Hawkesbury The Hills Holroyd Hornsby Hunter's Hill Hurstville Kogarah Ku-ring-gai Lane Cove	Leichhardt Liverpool Manly Marrickville Mosman North Sydney Parramatta Penrith Pittwater Randwick Rockdale Ryde Strathfield Sutherland Sydney Warringah Waverley Willoughby Woollahra Wollondilly	
Ararung Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Site Assessments	Jamie Eastwood		James.eastwood@ymail.com			Ashfield Auburn Bankstown Blacktown Blue Mountains Botany Bay Burwood Camden Campbelltown Canada Bay Canterbury Fairfield Hawkesbury The Hills Holroyd Hornsby Hunter's Hill Hurstville Kogarah Ku-ring-gai Lane Cove	Leichhardt Liverpool Manly Marrickville Mosman North Sydney Parramatta Penrith Pittwater Randwick Rockdale Ryde Strathfield Sutherland Sydney Warringah Waverley Willoughby Woollahra Wollondilly	

Organisation/ Individual	Contact Name	Phone Number	Email Address/ Fax	Address	Postal	LGA's	Additional Information
Louise Adermann	Louise Adermann		louiseadermann@hotmail.com			<p>Bayside Council. The Bayside Council area includes the suburbs of Amcliffe, Banksia, Banksmeadow, Bardwell Park, Bardwell Valley, Bexley, Bexley North, Botany, Brighton-Le-Sands, Carlton (part), Daceyville, Dolls Point, Eastgardens, Eastlakes, Hillsdale, Kingsgrove (part), Kogarah (part), Kyeemagh, Mascot, Monterey, Pagewood, Ransgate (part), Ransgate Beach, Rockdale, Rosebery (part), Sandringham, Sans Souci (part), Turrella and Woll Creek</p>	

Meggan Walker

From: Margaret Bottrell <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, 6 June 2019 1:31 PM
To: Balazs Hansel
Subject: ROSEVILLE COLLEGE 27-29 & 37 BANCROFT AVE, ROSEVILLE-ACHA Stage 1

To Balazs Hansel,

RE: ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT - ABORIGINAL COMMUNITY CONSULTATION STAGE 1 – ROSEVILLE COLLEGE 27-29 & 37 BANCROFT AVE, ROSEVILLE, NSW

Thank you for your letter dated 5 June 2019, requesting assistance with identifying Aboriginal stakeholder groups or persons who may have an interest in your project area.

Greater Sydney Local Land Services (GS LLS) acknowledges that Local Land Services have been listed in *Section 4.1.2 (g)* of the *Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents 2010*, under *Part 6, National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974* as a source of information to obtain the “names of Aboriginal people who may hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the significance of Aboriginal *objects* and/or *places*”.

GS LLS is a partner with many Aboriginal communities in the region on many natural resource management (NRM) projects. However, GS LLS is not the primary source for contacting or managing contact lists for Aboriginal communities or persons that may inform or provide comment on planning issues. GS LLS considers cultural heritage issues that relate to land-use planning in general and only considers culture and heritage issues in the context of NRM.

We strongly recommend that you make contact with the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH), Cultural Heritage Division, for all-inclusive contact lists of persons and organisations that may assist with your investigation.

Note: Hawkesbury Nepean Catchment Management Authority (HNCMA) no longer exists. All work previously carried out by HNCMA is now delivered by Greater Sydney Local Land Services (GS LLS).

Regards,

--

Margaret Bottrell Senior Strategic Land Services Officer
(Aboriginal Communities)
Greater Sydney Local Land Service

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

W: <http://www.lls.nsw.gov.au>





This message is intended for the addressee named and may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete it and notify the sender. Views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, and are not necessarily the views of their organisation.

Search National Native Title Register

The National Native Title Register (NNTR) is a register established under s. 192 of the *Native Title Act 1993* (Cth).

The NNTR contains determinations of native title made by:

- the High Court of Australia
- the Federal Court of Australia
- or a recognised body such as South Australia's Supreme Court and Environment Resources and Development Court.

Further [information about the NNTR](#) is available.

Tribunal file no.	<input type="text"/>
Federal Court file no.	<input type="text"/>
Short name	<input type="text"/>
Case name	<input type="text"/>
State or Territory	ALL ▼
Registered Native Title Body Corporate	<input type="text"/>
Representative A/TSI body area	<input type="text"/>
Local government area	Ku-Ring-Gai Council
Determination type	ALL ▼
Legal process	ALL ▼
Determination outcome	ALL ▼
Determination date between	<input type="text"/> and <input type="text"/>
Sort by	Determination date ▼
<input type="button" value="Search >"/>	

Your search returned **1** matches.

Short name	Case name	Legal process	Determination date	Outcome
Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (Municipality of Ku-Ring-Gai)	Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council	Unopposed	12/04/2002	Native title does not exist

Request for Search of Tribunal Registers

Search for overlapping interests i.e.: Is there a native title claim, determination or land use agreement over this land?

Please note: the NNTT cannot search over freehold land.

For further information on freehold land: [Click Here](#) (NNTT website)

1. Your details

NAME:	Meggan Walker
POSITION:	Heritage Consultant (Archaeology)
COMPANY/ORGANISATION:	Urbis
POSTAL ADDRESS:	Level 8, 123 Pitt Street, Sydney, NSW, 2000
TELEPHONE:	[REDACTED]
	[REDACTED]
YOUR REFERENCE:	P0006441
DATE OF REQUEST:	04/07/19

2. Reason for your request

Are you a party to a native title proceeding?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Please provide Federal Court/Tribunal file number/or application name:

OR

Do you need to identify existing native title interests to comply with the *Native Title Act 1993* (Cth) or other State/Territory legislation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Please provide brief details of these obligations here:

Archaeological assessment

3. Identify the area to be searched

If there is insufficient room below, please send more information on a Word or Excel document.

Mining tenure

State/Territory: _____

Tenement ref/s: _____

OR

Crown land / non-freehold tenure

Tenure type: ☒ Lease ☐ Reserve or other Crown land

State/Territory: New South Wales

Lot and plan details: Lot 2003 of Deposited Plan (DP) 1084428 and Lot 18, Section C of DP 5035.

Pastoral Lease number or name: _____

Other details: (Town/County/Parish/Section/Hundred/Portion): Roseville/Cumberland/Gordon

Email completed form to: GeospatialSearch@nntt.gov.au

Meggan Walker

From: Geospatial Search Requests <GeospatialSearch@NNTT.gov.au>
Sent: Friday, 5 July 2019 12:27 PM
To: Meggan Walker
Subject: RE: SR6052 - Search request for Lot 2003 of Deposited Plan (DP) 1084428 and Lot 18, Section C of DP 5035, Roseville - SR6052

UNCLASSIFIED

Native title search – NSW Parcels – Lot 2003 on DP1084428 and Lot 18, Section C on DP5035
Your ref: 607-0017177- Our ref: SR5286

Dear Meggan Walker,

Thank you for your search request received on 04 July 2019 in relation to the above area.

Please note: Records held by the National Native Title Tribunal as at 04 July 2019 indicate that the identified parcels appear to be freehold, and freehold tenure extinguishes native title.

The National Native Title Tribunal does not hold data sets for freehold tenure; consequently, we **cannot** conduct searches over freehold. For confirmation of freehold data, please contact the NSW Land and Property Information office or seek independent legal advice.

For further information, please visit our [website](#).

Cultural Heritage Searches in NSW

The National Native Title Tribunal (the Tribunal) has undertaken steps to remove itself from the formal list of sources for information about indigenous groups in development areas. The existence or otherwise of native title is quite separate to any matters relating to Aboriginal cultural heritage. Information on native title claims, native title determinations and Indigenous Land Use Agreements is available on the Tribunal's website.

Interested parties are invited to use Native Title Vision (NTV) the Tribunal's online mapping system to discover native title matters in their area of interest. Access to NTV is available at

<http://www.nntt.gov.au/assistance/Geospatial/Pages/NTV.aspx>

Training and self-help documents are available on the NTV web page under "Training and help documents". For additional assistance or general advice on NTV please contact GeospatialSearch@NNTT.gov.au

Additional information can be extracted from the Registers available at

<http://www.nntt.gov.au/searchRegApps/Pages/default.aspx>

If you have any further queries, please do not hesitate to contact us on the free call number 1800 640 501.

Regards,

Geospatial Searches

National Native Title Tribunal | Perth

Email: GeospatialSearch@nntt.gov.au | www.nntt.gov.au

From: Meggan Walker <mwalker@urbis.com.au>

Sent: Thursday, 4 July 2019 1:51 PM

To: Geospatial Search Requests <GeospatialSearch@NNTT.gov.au>

Subject: SR6052 - Search request for Lot 2003 of Deposited Plan (DP) 1084428 and Lot 18, Section C of DP 5035, Roseville

Hello,

Please find attached a search of Tribunal request for Lot 2003 of Deposited Plan (DP) 1084428 and Lot 18, Section C of DP 5035, Roseville Anglican College, Roseville.

Please let me know if you have any questions or need any further information.

Kind regards,

MEGGAN WALKER
CONSULTANT



**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



ANGEL PLACE, LEVEL 8, 123 PITT STREET
SYDNEY, NSW 2000, AUSTRALIA

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

Jobs

Community Services & Development

The Burdekin Association

Our youth, their future.

AWESOME FOSTER CARERS NEEDED

Carers needed to support, guide and provide a safe environment for our young people aged 12-18 years, in your home or ours.

Call Zoe Mckinstry at The Burdekin Association on
(02) 8976-1777 for further information



www.burdekin.org.au

Motoring

Cars For Sale

2009 ISUZU DMAX Twin Cab

Rego until December '19

Aluminium tray with augmented suspension, 108,000kms regular service, roof rack, rear camera, bluetooth, anderson plug, excellent condition (BLX 27H)

\$16,500

P- 0414 192 841



NISSAN PATROL 2002 ST Plus

Dual Fuel 5 speed Manual Many Options. Excellent Vehicle. Only 184,000kms

\$13,500neg

ALW 87E

02 4362 3281

Central Coast

VW TIGUAN



2009, TSi 2.0, auto, 4 Motion, black/black leather, 18 inch alloys, park assist, only 147,222 kms, Full Service record, Excellent condition. Rego. EBC19G

REDUCED \$8,950

0418 648 016

Motoring

Cars Wanted

AAA Ca\$h4Car\$

CARS, VANS, UTES & TRUCKS.

WE ARE LOCAL AND WON'T BE BEATEN

FROM \$330-\$33,000

Conditions Apply

FREE CALL

1800 049 462

0411 105 555

AUSTRALIAN OWNED AND OPERATED

TOP CASH 7 DAYS

For all Cars, Vans, Utes, 4x4, Trucks, etc.

\$300 - \$30,000*

Call George

0404 714 714

*Conditions apply

WE WILL BEAT ANY PRICE

1HR PICKUP

WE ARE LOCAL

AAA ANY CAR TRUCK UTE VAN 4WD

Bought Fr TOP\$ TO 30,000\$

4 RESALE Or WRECKING in HRS or

REMOVED FREE

BEST PRICE CASH

Call 24/7 KIM @ 0424 163 489

IBUYUSED CARS.COM.AU YEARS 1918-2019

LOCAL & LICENCED (CONDITIONS APPLY)

ABSOLUTELY UNWANTED

All cars, vans, utes & trucks, removed free.

Cash up to:

\$10,000

All Areas, same day removal

Call Mike

8764 8071

0414 423 200

Marine & Boating

WANTED BOATS Old / New Any cond.

We pick up & pay cash! Ph: Carlos 0431 682 188

waterfun188@gmail.com

buy search sell

.com.au

Clutter, be gone! Sell your old stuff at Buy Search Sell.

buy search sell

.com.au

Notices

General Notices

Roseville College

Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment – Community consultation Stage 1

Roseville College (the Proponent) is proposing to undertake a development for a new Sport and Wellbeing Centre on Lot 2003 DP 1084428, at 27-29 Bancroft Ave, Roseville NSW and Lot 18 Sec C DP 5035 at 37 Bancroft Ave, Roseville, NSW (hereafter referred as the Subject Area). The Proponent is undertaking an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) to inform the Environment Impact Statement (EIS) to support the State Significant Development Application (SSDA).

The proponent can be contacted directly via:

[REDACTED]

In accordance with Section 4.1.3 of the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents (DECCW, 2010)* and Clause 80C of the *NSW National Parks and Wildlife Regulation 2009*, the Proponent is seeking the registration of Aboriginal persons or groups who may hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the significance of Aboriginal object(s) and or places that may be present in the Subject Area.

The purpose of community consultation with Aboriginal people is to assist the Proponent in the preparation of the ACHA, the assessment of the cultural heritage significance of the Subject Area.

Please register your interest in writing to the contact details provided below by 5.00pm on 19 July 2019.

Alida Eisermann
Urbis Pty Ltd
Angel Place
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street
Angel Place
Sydney, 2000 NSW

Email: aeisermann@urbis.com.au

Please be advised that the Proponent is required to forward the names of Aboriginal persons and groups who register an interest to the Office of Environment and Heritage and the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council, unless the person or group specifies that they do not want their details released.

Need a new ride?



buy

search

sell

.com.au

NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee

Notice of Final Determinations

The NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee has made Final Determinations to list the following.

Critically Endangered Ecological Community
(Part 1 of Schedule 2)

Monaro Tableland Cool Temperate Grassy Woodland in the South Eastern Highlands Bioregion
Werriwa Tablelands Cool Temperate Grassy Woodland in the South Eastern Highlands and South East Corner Bioregions

A copy of the Determinations, which contains the reasons for the determinations, may be obtained free of charge on the Internet www.environment.nsw.gov.au, by contacting the NSW Threatened Species Scientific Committee, PO Box 1967 Hurstville BC 1481. Tel: (02) 9585 6940 or in person at the Office of Environment and Heritage Information Centre, Level 14, 59-61 Goulburn Street, Sydney. Copies of the determination may also be obtained from National Parks and Wildlife Service Area Offices and Visitor Centres, subject to availability.

Dr Marco Duretto, Chairperson

For more information: Visit our website www.environment.nsw.gov.au

mytributes

13 11 35

mytributes.com.au

Tributes

Deaths



RODI, Paolo (Paul)

19.01.1949 - 24.06.2019

"Que Sera Sera"

Passed away peacefully surrounded by love at Greenwich Hospital on Monday 24 June, 2019.

Dearly loved Father of Marie, Belinda & Susan, Father-in-law to Frank & Ryan, Nonno to Lucas, Michael, Jake & Arabella.

Cherished Brother, Brother-in-law, Uncle, Great Uncle and very much adored friend to many.

The Daughters of the late Paul Rodi wish to extend our sincere thanks for your many kindnesses, condolences & support. We also send gratitude & appreciation to the doctors & nurses of Greenwich Hospital for the wonderful care & respect shown to our Father.

A private service will be held.

"Always loved, forever in our hearts, now dancing in Gods garden."

To share their special memories

mytributes

mytributes.com.au

13 11 35

Notification of Determination

Notification of determination of Complying Development Certificate by Robert Wood of Newland Wood Building Certification in Willoughby City Council area.

In accordance with Section 4.59 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, a Complying Development Certificate No NW18/3820 has been determined on 24th June 2019 for Lot 107 in DP 14219 by Robert Wood (NSW BPB2141) of Newland Wood Building Certification.

The Complying Development determined by this application is for the Demolition of the existing house and the construction of a new two-storey dwelling house with swimming pool at the rear at 439 Pershurst Street, Roseville NSW 2069.

The determination of the application for complying development certificate is available for public inspection, free of charge, during ordinary office hours at the council offices of Willoughby City Council.

Find it with Buy Search Sell

buy search sell

.com.au

Remember them mytributes



7th August 2019

Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council
PO Box 1103, Strawberry Hills
NSW, 2016
nmoran@metrolalc.org.au

To Whom it May Concern,

**RE: ABORIGINAL COMMUNITY CONSULTATION FOR ROSEVILLE COLLEGE,
AT 27-29 & 37 BANCROFT AVENUE, ROSEVILLE, NSW – STAGE 1 LIST, OF
REGISTERED ABORIGINAL PARTIES**

In accordance with Section 4.1.6 of the *Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents 2010(DECW, 2010)* please find below the compiled list of Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) for the abovementioned project. Please also find rectified contact details where relevant.

Table 1 – list of RAP details

Name of RAP	Contact Person
Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC)	Nathan Moran
Darug Land Observations	Jamie and Anna Workman
A1 Indigenous Services	Carolyn Hickey
Amanda Hickey Cultural Services	Amanda Hickey
Didge Ngunawal Clan	Lillie Carroll and Paul Boyd
Barking Owl Aboriginal Corporation	Jody Kulakowski

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "M. Walker".

Meggan Walker
Heritage Consultant, Archaeology



7th August 2019

Greater Sydney Branch
Department of Planning, Industry and the Environment
Climate Change and Sustainability Division
gs.ach@environment.nsw.gov.au

To Whom it May Concern,

**RE: ABORIGINAL COMMUNITY CONSULTATION FOR ROSEVILLE COLLEGE,
AT 27-29 & 37 BANCROFT AVENUE, ROSEVILLE, NSW – STAGE 1 LIST, OF
REGISTERED ABORIGINAL PARTIES**

In accordance with Section 4.1.6 of the *Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents 2010(DECW, 2010)* please find below the compiled list of Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) for the abovementioned project. Please also find rectified contact details where relevant.

Table 1 – list of RAP details

Name of RAP	Contact Person	Rectified Contact Details
Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC)	Nathan Moran	
Darug Land Observations	Jamie and Anna Workman	N/A
A1 Indigenous Services	Carolyn Hickey	N/A
Amanda Hickey Cultural Services	Amanda Hickey	N/A
Didge Ngunawal Clan	Lillie Carroll and Paul Boyd	N/A
Barking Owl Aboriginal Corporation	Jody Kulakowski	N/A

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "M. Walker".

Meggan Walker
Heritage Consultant, Archaeology



ANGEL PLACE
LEVEL 8, 123 PITT STREET
SYDNEY NSW 2000

URBIS.COM.AU
Urbis Pty Ltd
ABN 50 105 256 228

22 July 2019

To Whom It May Concern,

**RE: ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT FOR PROPOSED
DEVELOPMENT OF A SPORT AND WELLBEING CENTRE AT ROSEVILLE
COLLEGE, ROSEVILLE – ABORIGINAL COMMUNITY CONSULTATION STAGE 2
PRESENTATION OF INFORMATION AND STAGE 3 GATHERING
INFORMATION ABOUT CULTURAL SIGNIFICANCE**

Thank you for registering your interest in the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for the proposed development of a sport and wellbeing centre for Roseville College, at 27-29 & 37 Bancroft Avenue, Roseville, NSW. In accordance with Section 4.2 and 4.3 of the *Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents* (DECCW, 2010) (hereafter referred as the Consultation Requirements), please find a summary of information on the proposed development and the protocol for providing cultural heritage information during the ACHA below. Please note that more detailed information will be provided in due course and as part of the developing ACHA.

1. LOCATION OF DEVELOPMENT

The proposed development is located on Lot 2003 DP 1084428, at 27-29 Bancroft Ave, and Lot 18 Sec C DP 5035 at 37 Bancroft Ave, Roseville NSW (hereafter referred to as 'the Subject Area', see Figure 1 and Figure 2). The Subject Area comprises an approximately 781 square metres (m²) and currently covered by structures and infrastructure of Roseville College. It is bordered by residential structures to the west, Victoria street to the south, residential structures to the east, and Bancroft avenue to the north.

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVELOPMENT

Urbis has been commissioned by Roseville College (the Proponent) to prepare an ACHA to support a State Significant Development Application (SSDA) for the proposed development of a Sport and Wellbeing Centre at 27-29 & 37 Bancroft Ave, Roseville NSW.

The construction of the new Sport and Wellbeing (SWELL) Centre, will involve the demolition of two multi-purpose sports courts and an existing dwelling located at 37 Bancroft Avenue and the construction of the new infrastructure, including additional carparking, an indoor swimming pool, gymnasium and additional learning spaces.




Figure 1 – Regional Location



GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56

© 2019, PSMA Australia Ltd, HERE Pty Ltd, ABS. Produced by Urbis Pty Ltd ABN 50 105 256 228, Jul 2019

200 M

Project No - P0006441

Project Manager - BH

Subject Area Contours Hydrology

LOCATION OF THE SUBJECT AREA

Roseville College

Roseville College

Figure 2 – location of the Subject Area

3. ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND INFORMATION

This section comprises the summary of the archaeological background research completed to date for Aboriginal cultural heritage resources including the search of the Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS), preliminary landscape analysis and additional archaeological background information.

3.1. AHIMS

The AHIMS database comprises already registered Aboriginal archaeological objects and cultural heritage places in NSW and it is managed by the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) under Section 90Q of the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974* (NPW Act).

The search of the AHIMS was carried out on the 18 April 2019 (AHIMS Client Service ID: 416351) for an area of approximately 5 kilometre (km) by 5 km. Altogether 80 Aboriginal sites were identified by the search. The search found no registered Aboriginal sites within or near the Subject Area. By far the most common site type were shelters with middens and other associated features, making up 50% (n=40) of identified site types. Open middens with associated features made up 20% (n=16) of identified sites. One site in the search has since been identified as not a site. The closest registered Aboriginal site, AHIMS ID#45-6-2114, is located approximately 1.5 km north-east, Along Moores Creek (Figure 3). Details of the AHIMS search is provided in Table 1 below and the original AHIMS extensive search is included in Appendix 1.

Table 1 – Results of AHIMS search (Client Service ID: 416351).

Site Type	Total
Shelter with Midden	31
Midden	14
Shelter with PAD	12
Shelter with Art and Midden	6
Shelter with Art	4
Shelter with Midden and Artefact	3
Shelter with Artefact	3
Shelter with Art and Artefact	2
Midden with Artefact	2
PAD	1
Rock engraving	1
Not an Aboriginal Site	1
Grand Total	80


It should be noted that the AHIMS register does not represent a comprehensive list of all Aboriginal objects or sites in a specified area as it lists recorded sites only identified during previous archaeological survey effort. The wider surroundings of the Subject Area and in general the Roseville area have been the subject of various levels and intensity of archaeological investigations during the last few decades. Most of the registered sites have been identified through targeted, pre-development surveys for infrastructure and maintenance works, with the restrictions on extent and scope of those developments.

3.2. PREVIOUS ARCHAEOLOGICAL WORKS

The Subject Area has not been the subject of previous archaeological investigations. However, the immediate and wider surroundings of the Subject Area have been the subject of various investigations. Summary of the reports are provided in Table 2 below.

Table 2 – Summary of previous archaeological assessments within the area.

Report	Summary of Findings
ATTENBROW, 1993. <i>Optus Communications Fibre Optic Cable Survey for Aboriginal Sites in Sydney Metropolitan Area</i>	Atttenbrow was commissioned by Kinhill Engineers Pty Ltd to inspect the potential for Aboriginal archaeological material to be present along a planned route for fibre optic cable installation. This was undertaken to the north and north east of the current Subject Area. The field survey resulted in the identification of 2 rock shelters with middens, one rock shelter with PAD and 1 open midden. Each of these sites is located in proximity to waterways and either makes use of or is in proximity to the abundance of sandstone within the area.
AHO, 2011, <i>City of Ryde, Aboriginal Site Management Report</i>	AHO were commissioned by the City of Ryde Council to identify and re-record known Aboriginal sites in the Council area, provide a planning document for their conservation and provide a schedule for conservation works. A total of 56 sites were identified within the Council area and not within National Park lands. This report found that areas with high potential to contain Aboriginal archaeological materials were bush land and foreshore areas. This report also asserts the importance of the landscape as a whole to Indigenous people, both in the past and now.



GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56

© 2019, PSMA Australia Ltd, HERE Pty Ltd, ABS, Produced by Urbis Pty Ltd ABN 50 105 256 228, Jul 2019

800 M

Project No - P0006441

Project Manager - BH

- | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| Subject Area | ● Midden and Artefact | ● Rock engraving | ● Shelter with Artefact |
| — Contours | ● Midden with Artefact | ● Shelter with Art | ● Shelter with Midden |
| — Hydrology | ● Not an Aboriginal Site | ● Shelter with Art and Artefact | ● Shelter with Midden and Artefact |
| ● Midden | ● PAD | ● Shelter with Art and Midden | ● Shelter with PAD |

ABORIGINAL HERITAGE CONSTRAINS

Roseville College

Roseville College

Figure 3 – AHIMS Map

4. CURRENT ENVIRONMENT

The Subject Area is located between Bancroft Avenue and Victoria street in Roseville. Currently occupied by Roseville College, the Subject Area consists of several structures and some recreational spaces including tennis courts. Disturbance is high across the Subject Area, resulting from the construction of these structures and prior development in the area.

4.1. TOPOGRAPHY

The Subject Area is located within the Sydney Basin Bioregion, on the Hornsby plateau of the Pittwater sub-region. The Subject Area is located on highly modified terrain, being situated on levelled, imported fill. The Subject Area slopes slightly to the west following the natural flow of the land. However it has been extensively modified, and fill imported in order to level it for the construction of the existing structures.

4.2. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

The main geological formation within the region is Triassic Hawkesbury Sandstone and thin ridge cappings of Ashfield Shale. Soils in the Subject Area are part of the Glenorie Soil Landscape (9130gn), which is typified by undulating to rolling low hills on Wianamatta Group shales. Local relief 50-80 m, slopes 5-20% with narrow ridges, hillcrests and valleys. Soils in this landscape include friable dark brown loam; hard setting brown clay loam; whole-coloured, reddish-brown strongly pedal clay. (Figure 4).

4.3. VEGETATION

The native vegetation of the Subject Area has been entirely cleared, with structures overlaying imported and levelled fill. Vegetation can be presumed to have been tall open, wet sclerophyll forests as are common in the undisturbed part of the Glenorie Soil Landscape.

5. HISTORICAL LAND USE

The Subject Area and surrounds have been subject to high level of disturbance since the time of first European colonisation. Significant disturbance, including clearing of vegetation, the construction of buildings and roads, and other infrastructure such as the college buildings has significantly changed the original environment and created an highly developed, artificial landscape (Figure 5).


Another way of assessing historical land use is the analysis of historical aerial photos and the changing layout of the Subject Area. Summary of the analysis of the historical aerals is provided in Table 3.



Table 3 – Summary of analysis of historical aerals.

Year	Observation
1954	This aerial shows that by 1954, there was already a considerable amount of development within the Roseville area. Regarding the Subject Area, this aerial shows that structures are already existent on the site as early as 1954, with both residential and some other, larger structures are visible. The north-western section of the Subject Area appears to be cleared but no buildings are visible.
1976	Similarly, in this aerial from 1976, the Subject Area is shown to be developed and in use, with more development in the north west of the Subject Area.
1987	In the 1987 aerial, the buildings of the Roseville College visible in southern section of the Subject Area, with changing footprints of existing residential dwellings are also visible.
2004	In the 2004 aerial, the college within the Subject Area have been expanding, and the tennis court has also been established. The main buildings in the south of the Subject Area have been demolished or modified, with improved facilities built. Regarding ground disturbance, the majority of the ground surface has been disturbed and covered with either buildings, imported fill and turf, landscaping, the tennis court and the remaining residential dwellings.

In summary, the Subject Area has been subject to high level of disturbance over the past 65 years, in association with its uses as a school, with the entire Subject Area experiencing disturbance at some point. This disturbance is associated with the construction of buildings and infrastructure, the paving and landscaping of areas and the establishment of tennis courts. The high level of disturbance suggests that most of the original soil structure of the Subject Area has been modified or entirely removed and replaced by imported fill.



GDA 1984 MGA Zone 56

© 2019, PSMA Australia Ltd, HERE Pty Ltd. ABS. Produced by Urbis Pty Ltd ABN 50 105 256 228, Jul 2019



Project No - P0006441
Project Manager - BH

Subject Area

Hydrology

Hydrology 200m Buffer

Contours

Alluvial (ALdc)

Alluvial (ALLc)

Colluvial (COha)

Colluvial (COWp)

Disturbed Terrain (DTxx)

Erosional (ERgn)

Erosional (ERgy)

Erosional (ERgy/ERla)

Erosional (ERla)

Estuarine (ESmc)

Residual (REbt)

Residual (REfb)

Residual (RElh)

Residual (REso)

Transferral (TRof)

Water

SOIL LANDSCAPES AND HYDROLOGY

Roseville College

Roseville College

Figure 4 – Soil and Hydrology




Figure 5 – Historic Aerial Photographs

6. SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY FOR THE ACHA

6.1. SCOPE

The ACHA will be prepared in accordance with the legislative requirements of the NPW Act and the following guidelines:

- *Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents 2010 (DECCW, 2010).*
- *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales (DECCW, 2010).*
- *Guide to Investigating, Assessing and Reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in New South Wales (OEH, 2011).*
- *The Burra Charter: The Australia ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance, 2013.*

The ACHA will:

- Synthesise the results of the technical investigation including the environment, existing Aboriginal cultural heritage and archaeological resources in and near the Subject Area.
- Detailed research of the historical land use and impacts on the Subject Area.
- Detail the community consultation process and any Aboriginal cultural heritage values identified, in compliance with the Consultation Requirements.
- Include an assessment of significance of any Aboriginal objects or Aboriginal cultural heritage values that may exist within the Subject Area.
- Include an impact assessment and provide management and mitigation measures to inform the SSD application.

6.2. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

The ACHA will follow the general methodology described below:

- Desktop assessment, including synthesising and evaluating background information of archaeological resources, existing and past environment and developing a predictive model.
- Consultation with the Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) throughout the preparation of the ACHA.
- Site inspection (if warranted) of the Subject Area and on-site meeting with the RAPs to discuss the extent level of disturbance and the proposed project.
- Preparation of draft ACHA synthesising all information collected during the process and providing the draft to the Proponent and the RAPs for comments.
- Incorporate all comments and finalise the ACHA.

Please note that site inspection may not be carried out as the entire Subject Area is artificial landscape and covered by various structures which will make impossible to inspect the ground surface. Also, the desktop assessment has established, that there is a very high possibility that the entire original soil profile has been removed or significantly disturbed by the historical land use. The rationale for the site inspection will be discussed with the Proponent and further information will be provided.



7. ABORIGINAL COMMUNITY INPUT POINTS FOR THE ACHA PROCESS

Urbis welcomes input and information from the RAPs at any stage throughout the entire process of the ACHA. In line with the Consultation Requirements, the main input points for the consultation are the following:

- During Stage 2 - Presentation of information about the proposed project (this project information and methodology).
- During Stage 3 - Gathering information about cultural significance (this methodology and throughout the assessment process).
- During site inspection (if warranted) in consultation with and approval from the Proponent.
- During Stage 4 - Review of the draft ACHA.

8. GATHERING INFORMATION ABOUT CULTURAL SIGNIFICANCE

In accordance with Section 4.3 of the Consultation Requirements, Urbis welcomes any information on cultural heritage and cultural significance of the Subject Area. Urbis is seeking information on cultural values and archaeological significance of the Subject Area, including:

- Whether there are any Aboriginal objects of cultural value to Aboriginal people in and near the Subject Area.
- Whether there are any places of cultural value to Aboriginal people in the area of the proposed project (whether they are Aboriginal places declared under s.84 of the NPW Act or not). This will include places of social, spiritual and cultural value, historic places with cultural significance, and potential places/areas of historic, social, spiritual and/or cultural significance.

Please also consider the following when providing information:

- Do you have information on any Aboriginal objects within or near the Subject Area?
- Do you or somebody you know have information of cultural values, stories in relation to the Subject Area and if that information can be shared?

In order to comply with the Consultation Requirements, streamline information provided during Stage 2 and 3, and to inform the Proponent for the field inspection component, Urbis would like to collect information from you in relation to the following:

1. **Cultural connection:** Please describe the nature of your cultural connection to the country on which the Subject Area is situated. Please include any relevant cultural knowledge or knowledge of Aboriginal objects or places within the Subject Area. Have you ever lived in or near the Subject Area? If you are a Traditional Owner, please state this clearly.
2. **Representing your community members:** Please state who you or your organisation represents. Do you or your organisation represent other members of the Aboriginal community? If so, please describe how information is provided to the other members, and how their information and knowledge may be provided back to the Proponent and Urbis.
3. **Previous experience:** Please list your relevant (for example, in the area of the proposed project) previous experience in providing cultural heritage advice and survey participation.
4. **Schedule of Rates:** Please provide your Certificate of Currency including Product and Public Liability Insurance and Worker's Compensation. Please also schedule of rates (hourly/half day/day) for fieldwork participation, and include any expenses you may expect to incur, and



these will be sought to be reimbursed. Please note that it is for the discretion for the Proponent to decide if they invite RAPs for site works and the consultation process does not guarantee paid employment.

Please find the above list at the end of this document in Appendix 2 for your convenience to fill-out and send back to Urbis.

Please note that in accordance with Section 3.4 of the Consultation Requirements consultation does not include the employment of Aboriginal people to assist in field assessment and/or site monitoring. Aboriginal people may provide services to the Proponent through a contractual arrangement; however, this is separate from consultation. The Proponent is not obliged to employ those Aboriginal people registered for consultation. Consultation as per these requirements will continue irrespective of potential or actual employment opportunities for Aboriginal people.

9. SENSITIVE CULTURAL INFORMATION – MANAGEMENT PROTOCOL

If your or your organisation has sensitive or restricted public access information for determining or managing the heritage values of the Subject Area, it is proposed that the Proponent will manage this information (if provided by the Aboriginal community) in accordance with a sensitive cultural information management protocol. It is anticipated that the protocol will include making note of and managing the material in accordance with the following key limitations as advised by Aboriginal people at the time of the information being provided:

- Any restrictions on access of the material
- Any restrictions on communication of the material (confidentiality)
- Any restrictions on the location/storage of the material
- Any cultural recommendations on handling the material
- Any names and contact details of persons authorised within the relevant Aboriginal group to make decisions concerning the Aboriginal material and degree of authorisation.
- Any details of any consent given in accordance with customary law
- Any access and use by the RAPs of the cultural information in the material.

Please consider the above list when providing your recommendations regarding any culturally sensitive information.

10. CRITICAL TIMELINES

Critical timelines for the ACHA are outlined in Table 4 below. Please note that some of these timeframes are estimates at this stage in the process and are provided to allow forward planning of personnel and resources.

Table 4 – Critical timelines.

Project Stage	Due Date
Stage 2 and 3: Provision of comments on the provided project information and proposed methodology (this document).	Within 28 days from delivery of this document, by Monday 5pm 19 August 2019.
Stage 3: Site survey (if needed).	20 August.



Project Stage	Due Date
Stage 4: Provision of the draft ACHA report (including the proposed management and mitigation measures) to the RAPs.	Within 1 day of the site inspection on the 21 August.
Stage 4: Provision of comments on draft ACHA report.	Within 28 days from delivery of the draft ACHA report to the RAPs closing on the 19 September 2019.
Stage 4: Finalisation of the ACHA report including the consideration of all comments and feedback.	Within one week of the closing of the comment period for the draft ACHA report.

Please provide the requested information by 5pm, Monday the 19 August 2019. Comments received after this date might be excluded from the draft ACHA. Please provide your comments in writing to:

Meggan Walker
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8
Angel Place
123 Pitt Street
Sydney, 2000 NSW

[Redacted]
[Redacted]

Kind regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Balazs Hansel".

Balazs Hansel
Associate Director, Archaeology

[Redacted]



APPENDIX 1 - AHIMS EXTENSIVE SEARCH RESULTS



APPENDIX 2 – ACHA QUESTIONNAIRE

1. **Cultural connection:** Please describe the nature of your cultural connection to the country on which the Subject Area is situated. Please include any relevant cultural knowledge or knowledge of Aboriginal objects or places within the Subject Area. Have you ever lived in or near the Subject Area? If you are a Traditional Owner, please state this clearly.



2. **Representing your community members:** Please state who you or your organisation represents. Do you or your organisation represent other members of the Aboriginal community? If so, please describe how information is provided to the other members, and how their information and knowledge may be provided back to the Proponent and Urbis.



3. **Previous experience:** Please list your relevant (for example, in the area of the proposed project) previous experience in providing cultural heritage advice and survey participation.



4. **Schedule of Rates:** Please provide your Certificate of Currency including Product and Public Liability Insurance and Worker's Compensation. Please also schedule of rates (hourly/half day/day) for fieldwork participation, and include any expenses you may expect to incur, and these will be sought to be reimbursed. Please note that it is for the discretion for the Proponent to decide if they invite RAPs for site works and the consultation process does not guarantee paid employment.



**DARUG LAND
OBSERVATIONS PTY LTD
ABN 27 602 765 453**

EMAIL: DARUGLANDOBSERVATIONS@GMAIL.COM

██████████ ██████████ ██████████ ██████████

██

17th August, 2019

Meggan Walker
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, Angel Place
123 Pitt Street
SYDNEY NSW 2000

Email: mwalker@urbis.com.au

Dear Keira,

**RE: PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF A SPORT & WELLBEING
CENTRE, ROSEVILLE COLLEGE, ROSEVILLE**

Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report: Project Information &
Assessment Methodology

Darug Land Observations Pty Ltd (DLO) has reviewed the project information and assessment methodology, and supports the methodology for the proposed development of a Sport and Wellbeing Centre at Roseville College, within Lot 2003 DP1084428 and Lot 18 Sec C DP 5035, located at 27-29 Bancroft Avenue and 37 Bancroft Avenue, in Roseville.

In relation to the long-term storage of recovered artefacts, if any, we strongly believe that recovered artefacts should be re-buried on Country (the study area).

Furthermore, we would like to be involved in the field survey, archaeological test excavations, topsoil removal and/or all other forms of works to be carried out on the site.

Look forward to working with you on this project.

Yours sincerely,

Jamie Workman
Darug Land Observations Pty Ltd

The Late Uncle Gordon Workman
Darug Elder

APPENDIX C CONSULTATION LOG

Date	Time	Type	Contacted	Contacted Individual	Contacted by	Contacted by Individual	Subject	Reply	Follow-up needed?	Person actioned	Comment
Stage 1											
5/06/2019	4:00pm	email	All agencies: OEH, ORALRA, NTSCorp, LLS, KRGC, MLALC	N/A	Urbis	Balazs Hansel (BH)	Stage 1.2 agency notice	Delivery receipts saved	N/A	BH	Delivery receipts saved
6/06/2019	1:30pm	email	Urbis	Balazs Hansel	LLS	Margaret Bottrell	Stage 1.2 LLS response	Acknowledged and replied	N/A	BH	Provided no details and suggested OEH.
12/06/2019	9:18am	email	Urbis	BH	OEH	Barry Gunther	Stage 1.2 OEH response	Acknowledged and replied	N/A	BH	Provided OEH list
3/07/2019	N/A	N/A	North Shore Times Newspaper	N/A	N/A	N/A	Stage 1.3 Newspaper Notice	N/A	N/A	AE	public notice ran in North Shore Times
4/07/2019	N/A	email and express post	All potential RAPs	N/A	Urbis	BH	Stage 1.3 registration of interest	Delivery and read receipts are saved progressively	N/A	BH	Delivery and read receipts are saved progressively
4/07/2019	10:30am	email	Urbis	Balazs Hansel	Amanda Hickey Archaeological Services	Amanda Hickey	Stage 1.3 registration of interest	Acknowledged, field and replied	N/A	B	N/A
4/07/2019	4:55pm	email	Urbis	Balazs Hansel	DNC	Paul Boyd/Lilly Carroll	Stage 1.3 registration of interest	Acknowledged, field and replied	N/A	MW	N/A
5/07/2019	2:17pm	email	Urbis	Alida Eisermann	BOAC	Jody Kulakowski	Stage 1.3 registration of interest	Acknowledged, field and replied	N/A	MW	N/A
8/07/2019	9:24am	email	Urbis	Alida Eisermann	DLO	Anna	Stage 1.3 registration of interest	Acknowledged, field and replied	N/A	MW	N/A
14/07/2019	12.10pm	email	Urbis	Alida Eisermann	A1	Carolyn Hickey	Stage 1.3 registration of interest	Acknowledged, field and replied	N/A	MW	N/A
7/08/2019	9:50am	email	DPIE and MLALC	DPIE and MLALC	Urbis	Meggan Walker	Stage 1 DPIE LALC notice	N/A	N/A	MW	filed and saved
Stage 2 and 3											
22/07/2019	3pm	email	All RAPs	All RAPs	Urbis	BH	Stage 2 and 3 letter was sent out	Delivery receipts saved	Remainder email in three weeks' time	BH	N/A
12/08/2019	2:15pm	email	All RAPs	All RAPs	Urbis	MW	Stage 2 and 3 reminder	Delivery receipts saved	N/A	MW	N/A
17/08/2019	11:51am	email	Urbis	Meggan Walker	DLO	Anna Workman	Stage 2 and 3 response	Acknowledged and saved	N/A	MW	they support the methodology and request any artefacts recovered be reburied on country
19/08/2019	5pm	N/A	N/A	N/A	MN/A	N/A	Stage 3 and 3 period closes	N/A	N/A	MW	N/A
Stage 4											
2/09/2018	2:15pm	email	ALL RAPs	N/A	N/A	N/A	Stage 4 - Draft ACHAR sent	Delivery and read receipts are saved progressively	2 weeks time reminder email	MW	N/A





BRISBANE

Level 7, 123 Albert Street
Brisbane QLD 4000
Australia
T +61 7 3007 3800

MELBOURNE

Level 12, 120 Collins Street
Melbourne VIC 3000
Australia
T +61 3 8663 4888

PERTH

Level 14, The Quadrant
1 William Street
Perth WA 6000
Australia
T +61 8 9346 0500

SYDNEY

Level 23, Darling Park Tower 2
201 Sussex Street
Sydney NSW 2000
Australia
T +61 2 8233 9900