

## ANGEL PLACE LEVEL 8, 123 PITT STREET SYDNEY NSW 2000

URBIS.COM.AU Urbis Pty Ltd ABN 50 105 256 228

6 March 2020

Mr David Glasgow Principal Planning Officer, Key Sites Department of Planning, Industry and Environment 4 Parramatta Square, 21 Darcy Street, Parramatta NSW 2150

Dear David,

# RE: SSD-9726 – ROYAL HALL OF INDUSTRIES - RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

We are writing on behalf of Sydney Swans Limited (the proponent) to address the issues raised in the City of Sydney submission dated 21 February 2020 related to SSD-9726 at 1 Driver Avenue, Moore Park. In response to the additional information requested, further clarification has been provided in regard to the issues raised to support your assessment and determination of the SSDA.

The attached table outlines the issues raised in the correspondence and provides additional detail from the applicant. There are no design changes arising from the response to the RFI, and as such there are no statutory non-compliance or environmental impacts which differ from those previously assessed in the Environmental Impact Statement (dated June 2019), Response to Submissions and Preferred Project report (dated November 2019), and the Response to Request for Additional Information (dated January 2020).

In addition to the table, updated Swept Path Analysis have been prepared by GTA and is provided at **Attachment A**, and Tree Protection Measures adapted from Allied Tree Consulting is provided at **Attachment B**.

We welcome the comments and have endeavoured to provide sufficient information for the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) to progress the assessment of the SSDA towards a positive determination. For further information, please don't hesitate to contact either Eliza Scobie on 8233 7613 or Nik Wheeler on 8233 9901.

Yours faithfully,

Melle

Nik Wheeler Associate Director +61 2 8233 9901

nwheeler@urbis.com.au



Table 1 Response to RFI

Proponent Response
N/A
N/A
In order to maximise view corridors towards the RHI and comply with the policies of the Conservation Management Plan, low-level shrubs are to be planted along the eastern edge of the RHI building, with the nine medium sized trees planted in the planting zone between the driveway and the site boundary.  This could be a design modification to be incorporated into the CC drawings condition which requires:  The proposed 9 Banksia Integrifolia trees which are proposed on the eastern elevation of the RHI are to be relocated to the planting area on the eastern boundary between the driveway and the site boundary. In their place, replacement low level shrubs are to be planted along the eastern edge of the RHI building.
N/A
Lockers - There are 50 lockers in the Swans amenity area on the north-eastern corner on Ground Level, and 8 in the NSW Swift amenity area on the northern eastern corner of the facility on Ground Level.  Bike parking – There are two options for the weather protection for staff parking, either install an awning on the brick wall or provide bike parking in the basement. In order to ensure bike parking is suitably installed, the following condition is proposed as a Condition of Development Consent:  "The provision of staff bicycle parking shall comply with the minimum requirements of the latest version of AS 2890.3:2015 Parking Facilities. Staff bicycle parking is to be provided between the Royal Hall of Industries and NSW Swifts building, or alternatively in the basement of the Royal Hall of Industries building, and should be secure from the street, protected from the weather and contain bicycle parking devices that



City of Sydney Comments	Proponent Response
	Swept Paths – Updated Swept Paths have been prepared by GTA and are provided at <b>Attachment A</b> .
Public Domain	N/A
Landscape and Tree Management	The CoS submission states that the required information for trees 22, 36, 56 and 61 were omitted from the documentation. Analysis of these trees was undertaken in the initial Arboricultural Report (Reference D3355) submitted in the SSDA package in June 2019, and the Arborist Addendum prepared by Allied Tree Consulting (Reference 3355A) submitted in the Response to Submissions package in November 2019. For consistency, the table at <b>Attachment B</b> outlines the referenced trees, the project impact and the required tree protection measure. Further, it is suggested that the proposed pruning to trees 8 & 9 are conditioned to be dealt with separately through the 'Limit on Consent' condition.
	Discussion of the impact of the project on Trees 8 and 9 is discussed in the Arboricultural Report (Reference D3355) submitted in the SSDA package in June 2019, and the Arborist Addendum prepared by Allied Tree Consulting (Reference 3355A) submitted in the Response to Submissions package in November 2019.
	The ground floor of the proposed NSB will conflict with the low-hanging branches of Trees 8 and 9 which extend over the heritage wall and into the site, as illustrated in Figure 1. The height of the NSB ground floor exceeds the height of the tree dripline, and as such pruning will be required to enable the construction of the building and the ongoing health of the trees. Whilst it is acknowledged that Level 1 of the NSB will not conflict with the tree dripline due to the setback, the dripline will encroach into the Ground Level roofline.



### **City of Sydney Comments**

### **Proponent Response**

Figure 1 Encroachment of Trees 8 and 9 with the heritage wall of the site.



Picture 1 Tree 8 dripline

Source: [Allied Tree Consulting]

Picture 2 Tree 9 dripline

Source: [Allied Tree Consulting]

As outlined above, the portion of pruning is not excessive and is required to enable the development of the NSB, specifically the Ground Level roofline. It is suggested that the proposed pruning to trees 8 & 9 are conditioned to be dealt with separately through the 'Limit on Consent' condition.

It is confirmed that nine medium sized trees can be planted in the planting zone between the driveway and the site boundary to ensure tree locations take into consideration the existing trees and canopy on Errol Flynn Boulevard. The wording of the suggested condition is provided above.



#### **City of Sydney Comments**

### **Proponent Response**

#### **Public Submission**

Clarification on a point raised in public submissions about the RHI and Hordern Pavilion being "preserved as entertainment venues for public use". The comment from the respondent that the Trust's Annual Report 07/08 identifies that the RHI and Hordern Pavilion as being preserved as entertainment venues for public use is incorrect. This reference is not contained in the report. Nevertheless, the submitted information has demonstrated that the proposal is in line with the objectives of the Moore Park Master Plan 2040, which sets out the long-term strategic planning for the parklands and this precinct.