

Our Ref: PSM3276-148L REV3

11 November 2021

Frasers Property
Level 2, 1C Homebush Bay Drive
Rhodes NSW 2138
Paul.Solomon@frasersproperty.com.au

G3 56 Delhi Road
North Ryde NSW 2113
P +61-2 9812 5000
F +61-2 9812 5001
E mailbox@psm.com.au
www.psm.com.au

Attention: Paul Solomon

Dear Paul

**RE: MAMRE SOUTH PRECINCT
657-708 MAMRE ROAD, KEMPS CREEK
SSD9522 MODIFICATION 3 PROPOSED WAREHOUSE - GEOTECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

1. Introduction

SSD9522 Modification 3 comprises a proposed industrial development within Lots 1 to 4 and Lot 11 of the Mamre South Precinct, Kemps Creek. PSM previously undertook geotechnical investigation works within the proposed development site in March 2017, May 2018, April 2020 and May 2020.

The following drawings were provided to PSM and used as the basis for assessing suitability of the site for the proposed development:

- Site Plan SP-KC1-DA-003 Revision P3 dated 08 November 2021 (included as Appendix A)
- Architectural drawings SP-KC1-DA-101 to 104, SP-KC1-DA-114 to 116, SP-KC1-DA-200 to 203, SP-KC1-DA-210, SP-KC1-DA-214 to 216, SP-KC1-DA-300 and 301

We understand the following about the proposed development:

- The proposed development will be located within Lot 1 to 4 and Lot 11 of the Mamre South Precinct Development
- The development will comprise the following:
 - Four (4) warehouse structures
 - Office buildings
 - Carpark areas

2. Supporting Documentation

PSM undertook investigation works within the development site between 21 to 25 May 2018. The following documents were previously provided based on the results of this geotechnical investigation:

- A geotechnical investigation factual report (Ref. PSM3276-100L REV2 dated 23 September 2020) following fieldwork undertaken in March 2017, May 2018, April 2020 and May 2020
- A bulk earthworks specification dated 08 April 2021 (Ref. PSM3276-102S REV2)
- An interim geotechnical design advice (IGDA) dated 15 September 2020 (Ref. PSM3276-101L REV2)
- A soil salinity investigation report dated 20 December 2018 (Ref. PSM3276-110L).

3. Conclusion

PSM consider that the site discussed in Section 1 is suitable for the proposed commercial/industrial development provided the following is satisfied:

- Any earthworks are undertaken in accordance with PSM bulk earthworks specification (Ref. PSM3276-102S REV2) and,
- The design for the development is based on PSM interim geotechnical design advice (IGDA) (Ref. PSM3276-101L REV2) refer to Appendix B.

Should there be any queries, please contact the undersigned.

Yours Sincerely



DANIEL DE CATALDO
SENIOR GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER



AGUSTRIA SALIM
PRINCIPAL

Encl. Appendix A Site Locality Plan
 Appendix B PSM3276-101L REV2

Appendix A


Site Locality Plan

LOT-1 DEVELOPMENT TABLE	
SITE AREA (including FRC 7,190 sq.m)	16,633 sqm
EFFICIENCY	36.8%
WAREHOUSE	3,507 sqm
OFFICE	150 sqm
TOTAL BUILDING AREA	3,657 sqm
CAR PARKING PROVIDED	29
AWNING (15M)	650 sqm
HEAVY DUTY PAVEMENT (H)	3,096 sqm
LIGHT DUTY PAVEMENT (L)	921 sqm

LOT-2 DEVELOPMENT TABLE	
SITE AREA (including FRC 7,335 sq.m)	62,440 sqm
EFFICIENCY	46.8%
WAREHOUSE	27,814 sq.m
Includes:	
Ante Room 200 sq.m	
Fridge 1,000 sq.m	
Vault 515 sq.m	
Freezer Room	
Decanting Area	
Decanting Returns Area	
Battery Charge 355 sq.m	
Receiving Office 50 sq.m	
Workshop 225 sq.m	
Warehouse Amenities 50 sq.m	
Switch Room 90 sq.m	
MAIN OFFICE	1,406 sqm
TOTAL BUILDING AREA	29,220 sqm
CAR PARKING PROVIDED	164 Spaces
20M AWNING	4,060 sqm
HEAVY DUTY PAVEMENT (H)	15,260 sqm
LIGHT DUTY PAVEMENT (L)	4,906 sqm

LOT-3 DEVELOPMENT TABLE	
SITE AREA	25,403 sqm
EFFICIENCY	41.9 %
WAREHOUSE	10,145 sq.m
OFFICE (1-LEVEL)	506 sqm
TOTAL BUILDING AREA	10,651 sqm
CAR PARKING PROVIDED	46 Spaces
AWNING (15M)	650 sqm
AWNING (5M)	58 sqm
HEAVY DUTY PAVEMENT (H)	5,262 sqm
LIGHT DUTY PAVEMENT (L)	2,482 sqm

LOT-4 DEVELOPMENT TABLE	
SITE AREA	46,886 sqm
EFFICIENCY	57.9 %
WAREHOUSE	25,321 sq.m
Includes:	
Vault 270 sqm + Expansion 270 sqm	
Chiller 585 sqm + Expansion 585 sqm	
Freezer 50 sqm + Expansion 50 sqm	
Ante Room 150 sqm	
Secondary Packaging Room 340 sqm	
Dangerous Goods Store 1,250 sqm	
Dock Office (2-Levels) 450 sqm	
Driver's Amenities 15 sqm	
OFFICE (2-Levels)	1,300 sqm
BATTERY CHARGE	535 sqm
TOTAL BUILDING AREA	27,156 sqm
CAR PARKING PROVIDED	220 Spaces
SUPERAWNING (34M)	3,075 sqm
AWNING (5M)	230 sqm
HEAVY DUTY PAVEMENT (H)	10,175 sqm
LIGHT DUTY PAVEMENT (L)	5,580 sqm



1 SITE PLAN (WAREHOUSE 1,2,3 & 4)
203 1:1000 @B1

ALL LEVELS AREA INDICATIVE AND SHOULD BE READ
IN CONJUNCTION WITH CIVIL ENGINEERS DRAWINGS
FOR FINAL LEVELS OF ALL EARTHWORKS.
ALL LEVELS AREA TO BE ±100mm

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION

9/1/2021 5:24:42 PM BM 390/Lot 14 Kemp Creek/Lot 14 Kemp Creek.rvt



FRASERS PROPERTY GROUP
LEVEL 3, 10 Homebush Bay Drive
RHODES NSW 1670
T 02 9167 2000




PROJECT PROPOSED WAREHOUSE AND INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES
HUB
ADDRESS PROPOSED LOT 1, 2, 3 & 4, 657-769 MAMRE ROAD
KEMPS CREEK 2178 NSW
PROJECT NUMBER 210811

Rev	Description	Date
P1	Draft SSDA issue for coordination.	15.10.21
P2	Draft SSDA issue for design development.	22.10.21
P3	SSDA MODIFICATION ISSUE	08.11.21

HL Architects Pty Ltd. This drawing is confidential and is subject to copyright.
It may not be copied, used, reproduced or transmitted in any way or in any
form without the express permission of HL Architects Pty Ltd.
General Notes:
Architectural drawings to be read in conjunction with all other consultants
detailed drawings, specifications & reports.
Do not scale this drawing. Verify all dimensions on site.
Refer all discrepancies to HLA before commencing any work.


HL Architects Pty Ltd A.C.N. 161 638 320
nominated architect: KOK HONG LAU
(Reg No. NSW #1555, QLD #5030, TAS #1101)
e admin@hlarchitects.com.au
t 02 9166 9942
m 0424 160 365
w www.hlarchitects.com.au
a Suite 53, 9 George St, North Strathfield NSW 2137



DRAWING TITLE
Site Plan (Warehouse 1,2,3 & 4)
DRAWING NUMBER
SP-KC1-DA - 003 -
P3

Appendix B

PSM3276-101L REV2



Our Ref: PSM3276-101L REV2

15 September 2020

Frasers Property
Level 2, 1C Homebush Bay Drive
RHODES NSW 2138
Naiem.Teghlobi@frasersproperty.com.au

G3 56 Delhi Road
North Ryde NSW 2113
P +61-2 9812 5000
F +61-2 9812 5001
E mailbox@psm.com.au
www.psm.com.au

Attention: Naiem Teghlobi

Dear Naiem

**RE: 657, 707, 707A, 713-755, 769 MAMRE ROAD, KEMPS CREEK
INTERIM GEOTECHNICAL DESIGN ADVICE**

1. Introduction

This letter provides interim geotechnical design advice (IGDA) for the proposed development at 657, 707, 707A, 713-755, 769 Mamre Road, Kemps Creek. This interim advice will be issued as a final on completion of the bulk earthworks.

Figure 1 presents the locality plan.

We are not aware of any performance requirements for the proposed development.

2. Bulk Earthworks

The design advice in the following sections is provided on the basis that:

- The bulk earthworks on site to be completed in accordance with a PSM Specification, currently PSM3276-102S REV1 (The Specification)
- PSM to audit the earthworks to confirm the advice in this letter at the completion of the bulk earthworks.

The Specification allows for a broad range of fill to be incorporated into the earthworks. Fill placed in accordance with the Specification will be well compacted under tight site supervision. The subgrade will be stiff or better.

The Specification complies with the intent of AS 3798-2007 "Guidelines on earthworks for commercial and residential developments" and is intended to specify the minimum requirements to achieve a fill with the properties provided in Section 3 of this letter. The Specification is generally in accordance with AS3798-2007, but for this site it allows Blended Topsoil Fill.

The Specification requires close inspection, frequent testing and external auditing of the earthworks to provide a high level of confidence that the completed work complies with the Specification. The Specification will only be varied with the consent of PSM to ensure that this interim design advice is able to be confirmed at the completion of the earthworks.

We have based our assessment of moduli on numerous plate load tests (PLTs) completed on VENM / ENM fills by PSM.

If the structural or civil engineer requires engineering properties different to those provided in Section 3 then the specification can be modified such that these properties will be obtained in the final earthworks.

This allows the additional cost of the earthworks to be balanced against any economies achieved in other parts of the works.

3. Design Advice

3.1 All Areas

This section provides interim design advice for all areas where the bulk earthworks has been undertaken in accordance with the Specification. Note, this advice allows for Blended Topsoil Fill (PSM3276-102S REV1).

3.2 Site Classification

While the proposed development is out of scope of AS2870-2011 “Residential slabs and footings”, we assess that, for the natural site, cut and fill placed in accordance with the Specification, the characteristic surface movement, y_s , would be in the range 40 mm to 60 mm and thus would classify the site as Class H1. The civil and structural engineers should consider likely heave / settlement due to the effect of climatic factors in their designs.

We recommend that all structures and services be detailed such that they preclude any local wetting up or drying out of the subgrade after initial equilibrium is reached following construction of the slab and that the subgrade be within specification at the time of construction of the slab. We note that normal mounding or sagging away from the perimeter of covered areas will still occur and perimeters, or open joints, will still respond to environmental changes.

For effectively sealed areas away from the perimeter, the design should allow for the following:

- Differential mound movement, $y_m = 20$ mm. We note that this is not the total heave or settlement but the estimated local heave or settlement due to fill variability
- Tilts of up to approximately 1 in 300.

Mounds at perimeters or penetrations of slabs open to the environment can be taken to be as per AS2870-2011 for $y_s = 55$ mm.

The designer should consider variation of fill depth across any area. It is our opinion that creep settlements can be ignored for fill of this depth placed in accordance with the Specification. Further the designer should consider the impact of any delay in construction of slabs and pavements following completion of the bulk earthworks.

3.3 Foundations

The following section provides advice and parameters that may be used when proportioning footings.

Where adjacent foundation details differ (e.g. pile and pad, differing loads or ground conditions) differential settlement will need to be assessed.

3.3.1 Pad Footings

Pad footings can be proportioned on the basis of an allowable bearing pressure (ABP) for centric vertical loads presented in Table 1.

Table 1 – Engineering Parameters of Inferred Geotechnical Units

Inferred Unit	Bulk Unit Weight (kN/m ³)	Soil Effective Strength Parameters		Ultimate Bearing Pressure Under Vertical Centric Loading (kPa)	Allowable Bearing Pressure under Vertical Centric Loading (kPa)	Elastic Parameters	
		c' (kPa)	Φ' (deg)			Young's Modulus (MPa)	Poisson's Ratio
SOIL UNITS, EG. ENGINEERED FILL / NATURAL SOIL	18	0	30	420*	150*	10	0.3
BEDROCK	22	N.A.	N.A.	3000***	700**	100	0.25

Note: * Minimum plan dimension of 1 m and embedment depth of at least 0.5 m

** ABP for BEDROCK assumes a settlement of approximately 1% of the least footing dimension for footings in rock.

*** UBP for BEDROCK assumes a settlement of approximately 5% of the least footing dimension for footings in rock.

Higher ABPs may be available, but these depend on the size, depth, loads, etc. and would be subject to specific advice.

Footing settlement can be assessed based on the subgrade Young's moduli provided in Table 1. We recommend that PSM inspect a representative sample of the footings during construction, to confirm the advice provided in this letter.

3.3.2 Slabs

The design of the slabs for the warehouse can be based on a subgrade with the Young's moduli in Table 1. The short-term Young's modulus for SOIL units can be taken to be 15 MPa.

The design of the slabs on ground should consider the effects of differential settlement due to varying founding conditions, pattern loading, and the shrink swell effects discussed in Section 3.2.

3.4 Permanent and Temporary Batters

The batter slope angles shown in Table 2 are recommended for the design of batters up to 4 m height and above the groundwater table; subject to the following recommendations:

1. All batters shall be protected from erosion.
2. Permanent batters shall be drained.
3. Temporary batters shall not be left unsupported for more than 1 month without further advice, and inspection by a geotechnical engineer should be undertaken following significant rain events.
4. Where loads are imposed or structures/services are located within one batter height of the crest of the batter, further advice should be sought.

Table 2 – Batter Slope Angles

Unit	Temporary	Permanent
Engineered Fill / Natural Soil	2.0H : 1V	2.5H : 1V
Bedrock	1.0H: 1V	1.5H : 1V

If the conditions above cannot be met, further advice should be sought.

Steeper batters may be possible subject to further advice, likely including inspection during construction.

3.5 Retaining Structures

The selection of the appropriate retention system is a matter of design. The designer should consider the following factors in making its selection:

- Technical factors:
 - Performance
 - Ground conditions (this is addressed below with the design parameters)
 - Surcharge loading and,
 - Proximity of structures, buildings and roads, etc.
- Non-technical factors:
 - Cost (to build and to maintain)
 - Other constraints such as real estate, neighbouring site / boundary, aesthetics, legislation, etc.

The design of these structures should be based on the following:

- Proposed wall geometry
- Effective strength parameters in Table 1 when assessing the earth pressure on retaining structures
- Surcharge loads behind retention
- Water pressure (depending on the type of structure).

Note that design of retention systems may be based on either K_a or K_o earth pressures. Design using active earth pressures provides the minimum lateral earth pressure that must be supported to avoid failure and requires a wall that can rotate or translate to allow the pressures to reduce to these values (vertical and lateral movements up to 2% of height may occur, typical movements will be much less).

Where the design is based on K_o pressures, construction should be carefully controlled to avoid unwanted effects. It should be noted that designing for K_o pressures do not, of themselves, ensure that movement does not occur. Movements are controlled by the construction method, especially sequence.

Both surface and sub-surface drainage needs to be designed and constructed properly to prevent pore water pressures from building up behind the retaining walls or appropriate water pressures must be included in the design.

3.6 Pavements

Results of CBR testing indicated a soaked CBR value between 2.0% and 4.5% (Ref. PSM3276-100L REV1 Appendix D).

We recommend a design CBR value of 2.0% is adopted for pavement design. Particular attention should be paid to preserving the equilibrium moisture content in the subgrade as zones that become saturated may exhibit lower CBR strengths.

Higher values, particularly in areas of significant cut, may be provided on completion of testing on the finished bulk earthworks or if, on request, the Specification is varied to obtain such higher value on fill.

3.7 General

We note that the final bulk earthworks subgrade will require proof rolling and plate load testing to confirm the properties provided and may require some boxing out and refilling, etc. Plate load testing during the filling will be required where blended topsoil has been used.

We understand that the structural engineer should be able to design an efficient slab and shallow footings for these geotechnical conditions. If assessed deformation and settlement is an issue, then our advice can be further refined if required.

We note that desiccation and/or wetting up of the pad surface is possible should it be exposed to the elements for an extended period of time, particularly at completion of the bulk earthworks prior to the builder taking responsibility for the pad. To reduce the likelihood of this and preserve the pad condition we recommend the following should be considered following completion of the bulk earthworks:

- Placement of a sacrificial layer comprising road base or other equivalent material
- Grade the pad surface to reduce the extent and severity of standing water during and after weather events
- Minimise the time between the completion of earthworks and the builder commencing construction of the warehouse roof
- Limit vehicular and plant access until a roof has been installed.

Alternately, the developer or builder may have to undertake some surficial remediation if the pad is to comply with the requirements of this IGDA (i.e. comply with the PSM Specification) at the time of construction.

It is PSM's opinion that it should be the builder's responsibility to maintain the condition of the pad after the handover date and accept the risk that comes with modifying excavation levels and weather. There should be a strict transfer of the risk. We recommend that building tenderers be required to indicate how they intend to manage this risk.

Should there be any queries, do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

For and on behalf of
PELLS SULLIVAN MEYNINK



MATIAS BRAGA
GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER



AGUSTRIA SALIM
PRINCIPAL

Encl.

Figure 1 Locality Plan

DO NOT SCALE. DRAWINGS. VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON SITE.
© 2015 FRASERS PROPERTY AUSTRALIA PTY LTD.
This drawing is confidential and is subject to copyright. It may not be
copied, used, reproduced or transmitted in any way or in any form
without the express permission of Frasers Property Australia Pty Ltd.

REVISION	DESCRIPTION	DATE
A	DA ISSUE	27/03/2020

EXISTING LOTS		
LOT 34 DP1118173	261803 SQM	
LOT X DP421633	192538 SQM	
LOT Y DP421633	170023 SQM	
LOT 22 DP258414	266392 SQM	
LOT 1 DP1018318	288160 SQM	
TOTAL	1178916 SQM	

PROPOSED NEW LOTS		
LOT 1	51665 SQM	
LOT 2	47944 SQM	
LOT 3	37329 SQM	
LOT 4	42454 SQM	
LOT 5	44970 SQM	
LOT 6	52625 SQM	
LOT 7	34597 SQM	
LOT 8	43493 SQM	
LOT 9	37484 SQM	
LOT 10	42050 SQM	
LOT 11	47094 SQM	
LOT 12	48924 SQM	
LOT 13	26499 SQM	
LOT 14	43654 SQM	
LOT 15	60266 SQM	
LOT 16	55467 SQM	
LOT 17	27617 SQM	
LOT 18	29151 SQM	
LOT 19 RETENTION BIO-BASIN	15150 SQM	
LOT 20 RETENTION BIO-BASIN (RE1)	9068 SQM	
LOT 21 PUBLIC RECREATION AREA (RE1)	12938 SQM	
LOT 22 BUILT FORM AMENITY AREA (RE2)	12167 SQM	
LOT 23	65903 SQM	
LOT 24	168434 SQM	
LOT 25	49629 SQM	
PUBLIC ACCESS ROADS	39357 SQM	
WESTERN SOUTH CREEK ACCESS ROAD	19430 SQM	
WESTERN SOUTH CREEK ACCESS LANE	510 SQM	
BAKERS LANE ROAD WIDENING	364 SQM	
MAMRE ROAD WIDENING	12683 SQM	
TOTAL	1178916 SQM	

