

# Catherine McAuley Catholic College

Diocese of Maitland-Newcastle

Traffic Impact Assessment

December 2018



#### Catherine McAuley Catholic College

Education Development, Medowie Road, Medowie

#### Traffic Impact Assessment

Author: Sean Morgan

Client: Diocese of Maitland-Newcastle C/- Webber Architects

Issue: Ver04

Reference: P0925

19 December 2018

### Quality Review and Document History

Version	Date	Description	Prepared By	Reviewed / Approved
Ver01	09/03/18	Draft	S. Morgan	C. Thomas
Ver02	10/05/18	Draft	S. Morgan	C. Thomas
Ver03	08/06/18	Draft	S. Morgan	C. Thomas
Ver04	19/12/18	Final	S. Morgan	C. Thomas

SECA solution >>>

Ground Floor, 161 Scott Street, Newcastle NSW 2300 Ph: (02) 4032-7979 Central Coast 0438 754 171 www.secasolution.com.au © Seca Solution Pty Ltd 2018 The information contained in this document is confidential and intended solely for the use of the client for the purpose for which it has been prepared. Use or copying of this document in whole or in part without the written permission of Seca Solution constitutes an infringement of copyright. The intellectual property contained in this document remains the property of Seca Solution.

### Contents

Сс	ontents		i
1.	Intro	oduction	3
	1.1	Background	3
	1.2	Scope of Report	3
	1.3	Issues and Objectives of the study	3
	1.4	Planning Context	3
	1.5	Authority Requirements	3
2.	Exis	ting Situation	8
	2.1	Site Description and Proposed Activity	8
	2.2	Site Location	8
	2.3	Site Access	8
	2.4	Existing Traffic Conditions	9
	2.5	Traffic Flows	10
	2.6	Parking Supply and Demand	11
	2.7	Public Transport	12
	2.8	Pedestrian Network	13
	2.9	Other Proposed Developments	13
3.	Pro	posed Development	14
	3.1	The Development	14
	3.2	Access	14
	3.3	Circulation	16
	3.4	Parking	17
4.	Trar	nsportation Analysis	20
	4.1	Traffic Generation	20
	4.2	Traffic Distribution and Assignment	22
	4.3	Impact on Road Safety	28
	4.4	Impact of Generated Traffic	28
	4.5	Public Transport	33
	4.6	Pedestrian and Cyclists	33
5.	Imp	rovement Analysis	34
	5.1	Improvements to Accommodate Existing Traffic	34
	5.2	Improvements to Accommodate Background Traffic	34
	5.3	Additional Improvements to Accommodate Development Traffic	34

5.4	Alter	native Improvements	. 34
6. Sum	mary	and Recommendations	.35
6.1	Sum	mary	.35
6.2	Reco	ommendations	.35
Appendix	A	Plans	. 36
Appendix	В	Student Travel Data	. 38
Appendix	С	Site Photos	. 39
Appendix	D	Accident Data	.43
Appendix	E	Traffic Data	.46
Appendix	F	SIDRA Analysis	. 52
Appendix	G	Council Meeting Minutes	.65

# SECA solution >>>>

### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1 Background

Seca Solution Pty Ltd has been commissioned by Webber Architects, on behalf of the Diocese of Maitland-Newcastle, to prepare a traffic, access and parking assessment for a proposed Education Development to be located at 2 Kingfisher Close, Medowie. The plans for the development include educational and child care facilities, as well as a chapel. Parking will be provided on site with vehicle access off Medowie Road via two separate access points, one providing entry only (200 metres to the south of South Street) and the other being an upgraded signalised intersection of Medowie Road and South Street. An additional slip lane for buses only is proposed off the northern roadside of Medowie Road in between the two intersections.

As part of the project, Seca Solution have collected current traffic data at the key locations and have observed the traffic operations in the locality of the site during peak periods.

#### 1.2 Scope of Report

The scope of this report is to review the external traffic movements associated with the proposed development and to review the parking demands and internal circulation. The report provides advice on access issues and green travel opportunities.

#### 1.3 Issues and Objectives of the study

The issues relative to the proposal are:

- Assess impact on the local road network due to the additional traffic flows;
- Assess the impact of the additional parking generated by the proposed development;
- Review the access arrangements for the development;
- Review the service arrangement for the development; and
- Assess any other transport impacts associated with the development.

The objective of the report is to document the impacts of the proposed development and provide advice on any infrastructure work required as part of the development.

#### 1.4 Planning Context

In preparing this document, the following guides and publications were used:

- RMS Guide to Traffic Generating Developments, Version 2.2 Dated October 2002
- Austroads Guide to Road Design
- Port Stephens Council Development Control Plan
- Australian / New Zealand Standard Parking Facilities Part 1: off-street car parking (AS2890.1:2004)
- Medowie Planning Strategy (December 2016)
- Medowie Traffic and Transport Study (URaP 2017)

#### 1.5 Authority Requirements

The Department of Planning and Environment have provided a set of SEAR's (Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements) that provide advice on what is to be assessed for the project and the information that is required to be provided. Relevant to traffic are the following sections:

Table 1-1 – Department of Planning and Environment SEARs

Table 1-1 – Department of Planning and Environment SEARs	
Requirement	Relevant Section of Seca Solution report
Accurate details of the current daily and peak hour vehicle, public transport, pedestrian and cycle movement and existing traffic and transport facilities provided on the road network located adjacent to the proposed development;	Section 2.5
An assessment of the operation of existing and future transport networks including public transport networks, and their ability to accommodate existing trips and the forecast number of trips to and from the development;	Section 2.7, Section 3.2.6
Details of estimated total daily and peak hour trips generated by the proposal, including vehicle, public transport, pedestrian and bicycle trips based on surveys of similar schools within the local area;	Section 4.1
The adequacy of public transport, pedestrian and bicycle networks and associated infrastructure to meet the likely future demand of the proposed development;	Section 3.4.6, Section 4.1.6, Section 4.6
The impact of the proposed development on existing and future public transport infrastructure within the vicinity of the site in consultation with Council, Roads and Maritime Services and Transport for NSW and identify measures to integrate the development with the transport network;	Section 3.2.6, Section 4.5
The identification of infrastructure required to ameliorate any impacts on traffic efficiency and road safety impacts associated with the proposed development, including details on improvements required to affected intersections including Medowie Road at Richardson Road and Blueberry Road, and Kingfisher Close at Blueberry Road;	Section 5
Details of travel demand management measures to minimise the impact on general traffic and bus operations, including details of a location-specific sustainable travel plan and the provision of facilities to increase the non-car mode share for travel to and from the site;	Section 3.3.3
The impact of trips generated by the development on nearby intersections, with consideration of the cumulative impacts from other approved developments in the vicinity, and the need/associated funding for, and details of, upgrades or road improvement works, Traffic modelling is to be undertaken using SIDRA network modelling for current and future years;	Section 4.4
The proposed walking and cycling access arrangements and connections to public transport services;	Section 4.5
Details of any proposed school bus routes along bus capable roads (i.e. travel lanes of 3.5m minimum) and infrastructure (bus stops, bus layovers etc.);	Detailed Design
The proposed access arrangements, including car and bus pick-up/drop-off facilities, and measures to mitigate any associated traffic impacts and impacts on public transport, pedestrian and bicycle networks, including pedestrian crossings and refuges and speed control devices and zones;	Section 3.2.4, Section 3.3.3, Section 4.4.3
Measures to maintain road and personal safety in line with CPTED principles;	To be dealt with by other report
The proposed car and bicycle parking provision, including end of trip facilities, which must be taken into consideration of the availability of public transport and the requirements of Council's relevant parking codes and Australian Standards;	Section 3.4
Proposed bicycle parking provision, including end of trip facilities, in secure, convenient, accessible areas close to main entries incorporating lighting and passive surveillance;	Section 3.4.6
Proposed number of on-site car parking spaces for teaching staff and visitors and corresponding compliance with existing parking codes and justification for the level of car parking provided on-site;	Section 3.4
An assessment of the cumulative on-street parking impacts of cars and bus pick- up/drop-off, staff parking and any other parking demands associated with the existing and proposed development;	Section 3.4.1

# 

Details of emergency vehicle access arrangements;	Other Consultant
An assessment of road and pedestrian safety adjacent to the proposed development and the details of required road safety measures;	Section 4.3
Service vehicle access, delivery and loading arrangements and estimated service vehicle movements (including vehicle type and the likely arrival and departure times);	Section 3.1.2, Section 3.2.3, Section 3.3.4, Section 3.4.5
<ul> <li>In relation to construction traffic:</li> <li>assessment of cumulative impacts associated with other construction</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>activities (if any);</li> <li>an assessment of road safety at key intersection and locations subject to heavy vehicle construction traffic movements and high pedestrian activity;</li> <li>details of construction program detailing the anticipated construction duration and highlighting significant and milestone stages and events during the construction process;</li> <li>details of anticipated peak hour and daily construction vehicle movements to and from the site;</li> <li>details of on-site car parking and access arrangements of construction vehicles, construction workers to and from the site, emergency vehicles and service vehicle;</li> <li>details of temporary cycling and pedestrian access during construction; and</li> <li>traffic and transport impacts during construction, including cumulative impacts associated with other construction activities, and how these impacts will be mitigated for any associated traffic, pedestrian, cyclists, parking and public transport, including the preparation of a draft Construction Traffic Management Plan in line with Council's Construction Management Plan Checklist to demonstrate the proposed management of the impact.</li> </ul>	Section 4.4.4

The Roads and Maritime Services have provided separate details on their requirements for the project and are provided below:

Requirement	Relevant Section of Seca Solution report
Assessment of all relevant vehicular traffic routes and intersections for access to / from the subject properties.	Section 2.4.1, Section 2.5.1
Current traffic counts for all of the traffic routes and intersections.	Section 2.5, Appendix F
The anticipated additional vehicular traffic generated from both the construction and operational stages of the project.	Section 4
The distribution on the road network of the trips generated by the proposed development. It is requested that the predicted traffic flows are shown diagrammatically to a level of detail sufficient for easy interpretation.	Section 4.2
Consideration of the traffic impacts on existing and proposed intersections and the capacity of the local and classified road network to safely and efficiently cater for the additional vehicular traffic generated by the proposed development during both the construction and operational stages. The traffic impact shall also include the cumulative traffic impact of other proposed developments in the area.	Section 4.4
Identify the necessary road network infrastructure upgrades that are required to maintain existing levels of service on both the local and classified road network for the development. In this regard, preliminary concept drawings shall be submitted with the EIS for any identified road infrastructure upgrades. However, it should be noted that any identified road infrastructure upgrades will need to be to the satisfaction of Roads and Maritime and Council.	Section 3.2.1, Section 5

<ul> <li>Traffic analysis of any major / relevant intersections impacted, using Sidra or similar model, including:</li> <li>Current traffic counts and 10 year traffic growth projections</li> <li>With and without development scenarios</li> <li>95<sup>th</sup> percentile back of queue lengths</li> <li>Delays and level of service on all legs for the relevant intersections</li> <li>Electronic data for RMS review</li> </ul>	Section 4.4 Sidra Files Issued
Any other impacts on the regional and state road network including consideration of pedestrian, cyclist and public transport facilities and provision for service vehicles.	Section 3.1.2, Section 3.2.3, Section 3.2.6, Section 3.3.4, Section 3.4.6, Section 4.5, Section 4.6

Further to the above requirements Roads and Maritime Services have provided additional commentary on the project, as part of a pre DA meeting on the 5<sup>th</sup> April 2018. A summary of the key issues raised are listed below:

Table 1-3 – Roads and Maritime Services commentary

Requirement	Comment	Relevant Section of Seca Solution report
RMS seek clarification over the nominated bus capacities (27 buses, 50 Students per bus) as historic precedent indicates some bus companies serve multiple schools, and buses are not all at full capacity.	Traffic generation adjusted: Number of buses amended	Section 4.1.1.4
RMS seek clarification over the percentage applied for students travelling by light vehicle to the site (currently 14% of the total population). RMS indicated historic precedent would at least double this ratio. This may require survey of existing school data with a similar catchment. RMS refer to traffic issues at Nulkaba.	Traffic generation adjusted: Light vehicle travel percentage amended	Section 4.1
RMS query comprises on pedestrian safety and internal vehicular circulation given that this is a new 'greenfield' development. A reduction in risk by the design methodology to traffic conflict between buses and pedestrians (approx. 100 students) is noted. RMS suggests the pedestrian crossing at the bus bay and staff carpark entry should be designated clearly as a level crossing to avoid confusion. Pedestrian crossings should not occur over two lane widths due to safety. A bus drop off on the Medowie Road side of the bus drop off loop road is not desired as it increases the numbers of students utilising the pedestrian crossing. RMS acknowledge comments from previous Pre-DA meeting that pedestrian traffic conflict should be managed on-site in a 'low speed' environment rather than impact on the road network.		Section 3.4.6
RMS suggest the internal circulation must be efficient for all users to avoid poor behavioural outcomes such as parents dropping students off on the Medowie road verge which is unsafe.		Section 3.3.1
There is no visitor or parent parking indicated in the design. Webber notes the overflow parking areas will be managed so that staff utilise these areas if a function is anticipated on that day to allow parents to use sealed carparking areas.	Parking provided in final design	Section 3.4.3
RMS currently reviewing SIDRA data and have some forthcoming comments on traffic light phasing to reduce queuing exiting the site.	No comments received	Section 4.4.2
RMS suggest further justification of South-bound buses entering the site via the southbound roundabout		Section 3.2.4

# 

Pedestrian access to the public bus stops in South Street should be considered.	Pedestrian phasing on all legs at signalised intersection of Medowie Road and South Street.	Section 3.4.6
RMS seek clarification of access to overflow carparks, and how this may impact on queuing of the internal roadway.	One overflow car park on the revised plan. Access to this car park is not located off the internal roadway.	Appendix A - Site Plan
RMS recommend meeting with Council to discuss	Completed	See meeting minutes attach in Appendix G

### 2. Existing Situation

#### 2.1 Site Description and Proposed Activity

The subject site is located in Medowie and is currently occupied by a rural residential dwelling. The majority of the site is vacant land and the existing infrastructure is to be removed as part of the proposal, to make way for an education precinct and a chapel.

#### 2.2 Site Location

The subject site has frontage onto Medowie Road to the east and Kingfisher Close to the north. It incorporates two lots, 2 Kingfisher Close, Medowie and 507 Medowie Road, Medowie. The location of the site is shown below in Figure 2-1.



Figure 2-1 – Site Location (Source: Google maps)

#### 2.2.1 Zoning and Adjacent Land Use

The majority of the subject site is zoned Low Density Residential (R2), whilst part of the site is zoned Rural Landscape (RU2). The adjacent land use is low density residential.

#### 2.3 Site Access

Vehicle access is currently available off Medowie Road, with a driveway located 50 metres to the south of the intersection of Medowie Road and South Street. As a domestic driveway, all movements in / out are available.

This access will not be retained as part of the proposed development.

# SECA solution >>>>

#### 2.4 Existing Traffic Conditions

#### 2.4.1 Road Hierarchy

#### Medowie Road

The main road through the locality is **Medowie Road**, which is a regional road (MR518) that runs along the eastern boundary of the site in a north / south orientation. It provides one lane of travel in each direction with a wide (15-17 metres) pavement width in the area passing the subject site, due to the channelled turn lanes provided for turning movements (right and left) into South Street. The posted speed limit in the vicinity of the subject site is 80km/hr, with limited sealed shoulder width available. Street lighting is provided at the intersection of Medowie Road and South Street, however there are no footpaths in the locality.

#### South Street

**South Street** is a local collector road, operating under a speed limit of 50km/hr. It intersects with Medowie Road at a priority controlled T-intersection, with Medowie Road having priority and allowing for all turn movements. There is a short acceleration lane (50 metres), provided for the left turn movement onto Medowie Road, with minimal assistance for the right turn movement available (13 metre lane) prior to merging.

South Street provides one lane of travel in each direction, with wide shoulders available but no pedestrian footpaths. The road width is 11 metres for the majority of its length however, the road widens at the intersection with Medowie Road with 31 metres available. At the give-way control for turning movements onto Medowie Road the road width is 11 metres allowing room for two vehicles to sit side by side. There is a 20m central median on South Street from the intersection with Medowie Road.

Port Stephens Council is the road authority for any new works on Medowie Road and South Street however the RMS will need to review and provide concurrence for all roads works and the intersection upgrade given the classification of Medowie Road.

#### 2.4.2 Roadworks and Traffic Management Works

A review of the Port Stephens Council and RMS websites shows there are currently no road works occurring in the immediate vicinity of the subject site.

From the Medowie Planning Strategy (December 2016) there is a long term (10+ years) investigation of the strategic feasibility of upgrading Medowie Road to four lanes of travel. This is reiterated in the Medowie Traffic and Transport Study (URaP 2017), which refers to retaining the existing road reserve width of Medowie Road to facilitate the long-term investigation of using this road as a potential 4 lane road.

The Medowie Planning Strategy also refers to plans for Medowie Road to the south of South Street to become a gateway treatment for the entry to Medowie, with a change in speed limit to 70km/hr. These works have been included in the current Port Stephens Council Section 94A Development Contributions Plan Amendment No 8 (Project Number 20).

#### 2.4.3 Pedestrian and Cycling Facilities

There are no pedestrian pathways provided in the immediate locality of the subject site, reflecting the low density of development along Medowie Road in the surrounding area. Cyclists are able to ride on the local roads, with some shoulder widening and cycling lanes also marked in the locality of the site. The Medowie Planning Strategy (December 2016) proposes an off-road shared path to the east side of Medowie Road, from Ferodale Road to South Street.

#### 2.5 Traffic Flows

#### 2.5.1 Peak Hour Flows

The proposed development provides education services. It is considered that the development will predominately generate traffic movements during the traditional school start and finish times. As part of the project work, Seca Solution collected traffic data at the intersection of Medowie Road with South Street on Wednesday 2<sup>nd</sup> August 2017, the outputs for which are provided in **Appendix E**.

The surveys were undertaken from 7:30-9:30 in the morning and 2:45-6 in the afternoon to include typical school drop off and pick up times, with the peak hours determined as being 8:15-9:15AM and 3:45-4:45PM.

A summary of the current traffic flows during the peak hours is provided below in Table 2-1.

Table 2-1 – Peak hour flows

Location		Peak Flow	
		AM	РМ
Medowie Road	Northbound	341	946
(South of South Street)	Southbound	620	378
South Streat	Eastbound	119	260
South Street	Westbound	200	157

The peak hour traffic flows recorded along South Street in the AM peak were 319 vehicles (two-way), which increased to 417 vehicles in the PM.

South Street operates as a collector road for a residential subdivision in this area. The RMS Guide to Traffic Generating Developments provides recommended environmental capacities for collector streets, with 500 vehicles per hour maximum. As such, South Street currently operates within the recommended environmental capacity.

The RMS Guide also provides advice with regard to mid-block road capacity for urban roads, with the following applicable to Medowie Road as a two-lane, undivided urban carriageway:

• 900 vehicles per hour per direction

The traffic data collected for the project shows that northbound flows along Medowie Road in the afternoon peak exceeds this capacity, with 946 vehicles recorded. Under the RMS Guide this equates to a Level of Service (LoS) E, slightly exceeding the limit of LoS D (900 vehicles per hour). This LoS indicates drivers have little freedom to select their desired speed and manoeuvre within the traffic stream.

#### 2.5.2 Daily Traffic Flows

The RMS Guide to Traffic Generating Developments indicates that peak hour flows typically represent between 8-12% of the daily flows (average 10%). Taking the average of the morning and afternoon peak flows recorded, the daily flows in the locality would be in the order of:

- Medowie Road 13, 020 vehicles per day,
- South Street 3,680 vehicles per day

#### 2.5.3 Daily Traffic Flow Distribution

It can be seen from Table 2-1 above that there is a dominant flow southbound along Medowie Road in the AM, representing commuters travelling to work in the Newcastle Area. In the afternoon the flows reverse, with a bias northbound, representing workers within the surrounding area travelling home at the end of the day. Similarly, along South Street the majority of vehicles are outbound onto Medowie Road in the AM, with the reverse applying in the PM.

#### 2.5.4 Vehicle Speeds

No speed surveys were completed as part of the study work. However, the volume of traffic in the peak periods does not encourage drivers to speed. Out of the peak periods when the traffic flows are much lower the road alignment could allow drivers to speed.

#### 2.5.5 Existing Site Flows

There is currently one residential dwelling on site which is accessed off Medowie Road via a private driveway. As such there are currently negligible flows associated with the site.

#### 2.5.6 Heavy Vehicle Flows

There were minimal heavy vehicle movements recorded during both the morning and afternoon peak periods, with 49 heavy vehicles in the morning, equating to 4% of the peak traffic flow and 42 heavy vehicles in the afternoon equating to 3% of the afternoon peak flow. A number of these related to public and school buses in the locality.

#### 2.5.7 Current Road Network Operation

Observations on site show that the road network in the vicinity of the subject site operates reasonably well. Delays were observed out of South Street, for the right turn in particular, with vehicle queues forming in this location. Drivers were able to find gaps in the traffic flow with maximum delays observed to be less than 1 minute.

#### 2.5.8 Traffic Safety and Accident History

A review of accident data provided by the Transport for NSW Centre for Road Safety shows 14 crashes have been recorded in the local area in the period between October 2011 and September 2016 (**Appendix D**). This incorporates accidents at the roundabout intersection of Medowie Road and Richardson Road, and to the north along Medowie Road from the roundabout to Blueberry Road.

Of these accidents, 7 occurred at the roundabout intersection, with the main cause in this location being cross traffic collision with 4 recorded. The 3 remaining roundabout accidents had varying causes including a rear end, off-road and other crash type. Given the high volume of traffic through this roundabout, it is considered to operate at a suitable level of safety given the number of accidents recorded.

Along Medowie Road between the roundabout and South Street 4 accidents occurred, with a range of causes including a U-turn manoeuvre collision, a vehicle turning right out of a domestic driveway colliding with a southbound vehicle and two vehicles going off-road.

The remaining 3 accidents involved vehicle movements at the intersection of Medowie Road and South Street. These included a right-far crash involving a vehicle turning right out of South Street colliding with a northbound vehicle in Medowie Road, as well as two rear end collisions involving northbound vehicles approaching the intersection. Given the low number of accidents at this intersection, it is considered the road in this location provides an adequate level of safety for road users. The roads and intersections are well laid out allowing drivers to operate in a safe manner.

#### 2.6 Parking Supply and Demand

#### 2.6.1 On-street Parking Provision

There is limited shoulder width available to allow vehicles to pull over on the side of Medowie Road in the locality.

Along South Street kerbside parking is generally available, with the typical restrictions at intersections and relating to the bus zones present on both sides of the road. There are additional restrictions on the southern side of the road relating to school bus zones. This includes a no stopping area in close proximity to the intersection for approximately 22 metres, with an additional no parking area adjacent running for 17 metres, followed by a bus zone for 70 metres. The signage for these areas stipulate operation between 7-9AM on school days.



#### 2.6.2 Off-Street Parking Provision

None in the locality along Medowie Road.

#### 2.6.3 Parking Demand and Utilisation

There is currently no demand for parking along Medowie Road in this location. Vehicles were observed to park along both sides of South Street during the afternoon traffic survey, in close proximity to the intersection. These vehicles related to pick-ups for children departing school buses that set down in this location.

#### 2.6.4 Short term Set down or pick up areas

There are no set down or pick up areas in the immediate locality of the site.

#### 2.7 Public Transport

#### 2.7.1 Rail Station Locations

There are no train services in the locality, with the nearest rail station located in Hexham 30km to the south of the site.

#### 2.7.2 Bus Routes and Associated Facilities

There are bus stops located on both sides of South Street, 150 metres east of the subject site. These stops provide no seating or shelter.

#### 2.7.3 Rail and Bus Service Frequencies

Bus services in the locality are provided by Hunter Valley Buses. There are two routes provided that run along Medowie Road in the vicinity of the subject site which include:

- 136 Raymond Terrace to Stockton: Operates 7 days a week with frequent trips throughout the day.
- 137 Raymond Terrace to Lemon Tree Passage: Operates daily, with frequent trips Monday-Friday and limited trips on weekends and public holidays.

The bus routes are shown in Figure 2-2 to follow.

### SECA solution >>>>



Figure 2-2 – Bus routes passing the subject site

There are also a number of school buses that pick up / drop off along South Street. The Medowie Traffic and Transport Study (2017) states there are 5 school buses which currently service the area.

#### 2.8 Pedestrian Network

There are no footpaths on Medowie Road or South Street in the locality, reflecting the low demands in the area.

#### 2.9 Other Proposed Developments

There are no other significant developments currently proposed in the vicinity of the subject site.

Quality Traffic Advice

### 3. Proposed Development

#### 3.1 The Development

The proposal details an educational development, including Catherine McAuley Catholic College, an Early Learning Centre and a chapel. It includes the following, to be implemented as a staged construction:

- Seven stream secondary school (1190 students, 125 staff)
- Three stream primary school (630 students, 35 staff)
- Early learning centre (124 spaces, 22 staff)
- Chapel (500 seats)

The development will cater for parking and pick up / drop off demands for the site. The site plan for the development is provided in **Appendix A**.

#### 3.1.1 Phasing and Timing

The development is to be implemented as a staged construction. The staging plan for the development is provided in **Appendix A**.

Works along the road corridor (Medowie Road) and the bulk of the internal road layout for circulation and parking will be completed as part of the early works. The early learning centre and part of the secondary school will be constructed for the first stage, with the internal layout completed at this stage adequate to cater for the initial demands. The remainder of the internal road layout will be completed across the various stages, as required in conjunction with the development expansion.

Sufficient parking will be provided to ensure the DCP requirements are satisfied throughout the staging.

#### 3.1.2 Selection of appropriate design vehicles for access and circulation requirements

In conjunction with light vehicles there will be a requirement for delivery vehicles to access the site with loading areas to cater for up to heavy rigid vehicles (up to 12.5 metres). Waste servicing will also be completed on site, which is typically completed by 10.5 metre medium rigid vehicles. School buses will access the site, with the internal road for buses to be designed to cater for these movements.

The layout of the car park will be designed to ensure appropriate circulation of service vehicles, allowing vehicles to enter and exit the site in a forward direction, as per AS2890 and Council requirements.

#### 3.2 Access

#### 3.2.1 Driveway Location

There will be an entry only driveway located at the southern boundary of the site on Medowie Road. This driveway will allow both left and right turns into the site, with sheltered turn lanes provided for both movements. To the north of this access driveway, there is a slip lane provided for buses only to enter the site.

A further site access will be provided via an upgrade to the intersection of Medowie Road and South Street. The existing priority controlled T-intersection shall become a signalised four-way intersection, with a new internal road for the site located directly opposite South Street. This new leg of the intersection will provide for outbound movements only, with all vehicles to exit the subject site at this location.

#### 3.2.2 Sight Distances

Sight distance requirements for a signalised intersection are to be provided in accordance with Section 4 of the Road Design Guide. For the posted speed limit of 80km/hr along Medowie Road an Approach Sight Distance (ASD) of 100 metres is required. To the north of the proposed intersection there is 140 metres of ASD available, whilst to the south there is good visibility with at least 150 metres available. For the speed limit of 50km/hr along

South Street ASD of 45 metres is required, which is satisfied with in excess of 100 metres available. As such, there is adequate ASD for the signalised intersection.

There is a crest to the north of the intersection that creates a short length of Medowie Road where stopping sight distance is not available. A vehicle can disappear from a drivers view for a brief moment. This could impact upon the traffic signals and the ability for drivers to observe a queue. This can be mitigated by providing a high mast arm for the signals and a flashing yellow sign to advise of queues ahead.

#### 3.2.3 Service Vehicle Access

Buses will access the site via the dedicate entry lane off Medowie Road, which provides efficient access to the bus bays on site. An internal roundabout is provided to allow buses to turn around on site and then exit the site in a forward direction at the signalised intersection of Medowie Road and South Street.

The internal roads for the development will be designed to allow for the appropriate movements of service vehicles. All waste collection will be completed outside of school drop off and pick up times to ensure that there is no conflict between waste collection and student / parent / teacher vehicle movements.

#### 3.2.4 Queuing at entrances

Given the type of development there will be a significant number of vehicle movements generated during the school drop off and pick up periods. The demands associated with school drop off and pick up times can be intense, particularly during the afternoon which will often occur over a 20-30 minute period. Thus, there is the potential for delays and queues occurring on site during this time.

The site layout allows for an internal loop road and a drop off / pick up zone for light vehicles, as well as a separate zone for bus pick up / drop off.

The student drop off / pick up area includes 8 spaces and is located well within the site to reduce the opportunity for any delays to create a queue back onto Medowie Road. The proposed road layout allows 546 metres queuing from the site entry off Medowie Road to the start of the drop zone, equating to the provision for 91 vehicles to queue on site. Additional visitor parking is to be allocated for pick ups thereby reducing the need for vehicles to queue on the circulation road. There are 66 spaces to be provided equating to a total provision for 165 vehicles to queue on site during the school pick up period.

The bus zone includes 8 spaces for loading, as well as provision for an additional 3 spaces for buses to hold along the internal road, to prevent impacting on traffic along Medowie Road. To achieve this provision for queuing (11 total spaces) all buses are required to access the development from the south, with buses approaching from the north along Medowie Road to utilise the roundabout intersection with Richardson Road (1km south) to undertake a U-turn to access the bus zone. Discussion with Hunter Valley Buses has indicated the afternoon period will likely see all buses approach from the south along Richardson Road, thereby not requiring the U-turn, with only the morning period requiring the manoeuvre. Given the distance to the roundabout it is considered the manoeuvre is workable in principle. Further discussion with bus companies will be required as part of the ongoing development, once the enrolment of students and origins is determined, in order to assign appropriate bus services.

A management plan will be developed for the site to assist in the morning and afternoon drop off and pick up periods, with different operational hours proposed for the start and finish of each school, thereby reducing the peak number of traffic movements. It is recognised however that there will be demand for families with students in both schools who may need to wait on site for the duration of both primary and secondary school pick up times.

For traffic exiting the site, the queues will be contained within the site and will not impact upon the external traffic movements.

#### 3.2.5 Current access compared with proposed access

The existing access to the site is in the form of a domestic driveway, with a gravel surface, located off Medowie Road 50 metres south of the intersection with South Street. As a domestic driveway, all turning movements in / out of this access are available. This access will be removed as part of this project.

#### 3.2.6 Access to Public Transport

There are existing bus stops located on South Street. There are currently no pedestrian pathways in the immediate area providing access to these stops. The proposed upgrade of the Medowie Road and South Street intersection to traffic signals shall include pedestrian phasing on all legs, allowing for safe crossing of Medowie Road to access these bus stops.

The school will support a number of different school bus runs to and from the site and encourages students to use these buses rather than general public transport or private vehicles. The operation of existing bus services on South Street will be able to continue, with all services relating to the proposed development utilising Medowie Road and completing pick up / drop off internal to the site. The site will include a designated internal bus area to cater for school buses associated with the development.

#### 3.3 Circulation

#### 3.3.1 Pattern of circulation

All vehicles (excluding buses) will be required to enter the site at the access located on the south-eastern boundary of the site, off Medowie Road. The internal roads allow for circulation through the site, providing access to the various parking areas on site, as well as the school drop zone. The circulation road has been designed to cater for the high demand for vehicles on site during school pick up, with storage provided to ensure queuing does not extend back onto Medowie Road. This includes a loop road along the southern boundary requiring drivers to complete a circuit and travel back in the same direction. Outside of the peak periods a short cut has been provided for more efficient access to parking areas on site, with this shortcut to be controlled by a gate which is to be closed during peak school demands to ensure drivers utilise the full length of the circulation road.

The implementation of the staged development, with school expansion over a number of years, will allow for the travel habits and drop off / pick up operation to be established and controlled as the development progresses. At the beginning the traffic demands will be much lower, thus enabling drop off and pick ups to occur on-site in an efficient manner. The proper use of the infrastructure will be monitored to ensure parents / students are engaging in safe drop off, with no drop off to be permitted on Medowie Road.

It is considered the opportunity to establish safe practice when the capacity and easier to control, will then enable a suitable culture to develop over the course of the development.

Turning bays are provided on site where required, to ensure forward circulation through parking aisles. All vehicles will exit the site at the proposed signalised intersection of Medowie Road and South Street.

Buses will enter the site from the south using the slip lane off Medowie Road. The internal road allows for buses to pull into the dedicated bus bays, with a roundabout provided on site for buses to turn around and exit the site in a forward direction at the signalised intersection of Medowie Road and South Street.

#### 3.3.2 Internal Road width (driveway)

The width of the internal roadways shall allow for two-way traffic movements in accordance with AS2890.

#### 3.3.3 Internal Bus Movements

There is a designated bus area to be provided internal to the site, with access via a left slip lane off Medowie Road to be designated for bus use only. All buses will access the site from the south and utilise the slip lane provided, allowing buses to hold in this area if required without impacting upon through movements along Medowie Road.

The internal roads for the development allow sufficient width for bus movements, with a roundabout on-site allowing buses to turn around on site and exit in a forward direction.

The layout of this bus bay allows for efficient loading and unloading of students, in close proximity to the forecourt area of the precinct. The design of a safe and efficient layout provides peace of mind for parents sending their children to school on buses as an alternative to private vehicle use.

#### 3.3.4 Service Area Layout

There is a bin loading zone along the internal road near the Early Learning Centre, which allows for waste collection to occur off the circulation road. This zone allows for service vehicle access in and out in a forward direction, with drivers able to proceed to the site exit (signalised intersection of Medowie Road and South Street) and leave the site in a forward direction.

There is a further loading zone off the circulation roadway to the north of the site adjacent to the secondary school buildings. There is a roundabout provided upon exiting this zone to allow vehicles to turn around in one movement and proceed to exit the site in a forward direction.

#### 3.4 Parking

#### 3.4.1 Proposed Supply

The plans allow for the provision of 294 parking spaces over a number of bays, with 11 of these spaces to be designated as accessible parking across the site. All parking demands for the development will be catered for on site, with no on street parking. Accessible parking will be provided to satisfy Council DCP requirements and designed in accordance with AS2890.6 Off-street Parking for People with Disabilities.

There are a further 8 spaces to be provided for Primary School and Secondary School drop off and pick up. Outside of drop off and pick up periods, these 8 spaces are to be utilised for parking for the chapel.

#### 3.4.2 Council code and local parking policies and plans

Parking requirements for educational establishments under the Port Stephens DCP are as follows:

- 1 car space per employee +
- 1 car space per 8 senior high school students +
- 2 bike spaces per 20 employees and students +
- 1 Accessible car space per 20 car spaces

Parking requirements for Child Care Centres under the Port Stephens DCP are:

- 1 car space for every 4 childcare places +
- 1 Accessible car space

The Port Stephens DCP requires a merit based assessment for the purposes of calculating the general parking requirements for a chapel. For the purpose of these parking calculations, we have adopted a parking rate of 1 car space per 3 seats. This is consistent with the DCP rate set by the adjoining Newcastle City Council and also Lake Macquarie Council. Port Stephens Council does specify bike and accessible parking requirements, which are:

- 2 bike spaces per 20 employees and visitors +
- 1 accessible car space per 20 car spaces

#### 3.4.3 Parking Layout

A review of the site plan (**Appendix A**) shows there are four formal parking areas designated on site, with parking provision as per Table 3-1.

# SECA solution >>>>

Parking Area	Allocation
Car Park 1	<ul> <li>66 visitor spaces</li> <li>30 spaces for staff or students (inclusive of 5 accessible spaces)</li> <li>8 spaces for drop off/pick up</li> </ul>
Car Park 2	24 spaces for staff or students
Car Park 3	12 spaces for staff
Car Park 4	• 162 spaces for staff (inclusive of 6 accessible spaces)
TOTAL	<ul> <li>228 spaces for staff or students (inclusive of 11 accessible spaces)</li> <li>66 visitor spaces</li> <li>8 spaces for drop off/pick up</li> </ul>

There is a further potential parking area, marked as Car Park 5 on the site plan, which could provide 60 informal overflow spaces. This parking area is only to be provided if needed in the future.

#### 3.4.4 Projected demand

Parking demands for the development will be highest during the week in school periods, relating to staff and senior student parking. Based on the DCP rates outlined previously in Section 3.4.2, the development will need to cater for the parking demands listed below in Table 3-2.

	Capacity	Number of Staff	Total DCP Requirement	Accessible Parking Requirement	Number of Bike Spaces
Secondary School	1190 (Assume 25% Seniors)	125	125+38 = 163	8 of 163	132
Primary School	630	35	35	2 of 35	66
Early Learning Centre	124	22	30	1 of 30	0
Total Parking			228	11 of 228	198

Table 3-2 – DCP Parking requirements for Schools and Child Care Centre

The bike parking requirements outlined in the DCP are excessive for the site. Given the catchment of this site it is considered that cycling will not be a major attractor for students and staff, with a significant reduction in spaces as to that required by the DCP considered appropriate. A reduction to 50 bike spaces is therefore proposed for the site.

The proposed parking supply for the educational services of 228 spaces (11 of which are designated accessible), satisfies the DCP requirement above. The provision of 66 visitor parking spaces outside of the DCP rates has been determined based on the need to cater for school pick up demands, ensuring that all parking/queuing can be accommodated on site. The school pick up demand was determined based on comparison with similar schools in the Hunter region. RMS indicated that no parking will be permitted on Medowie Road and the development must ensure adequate off-street parking, with no external impact upon the classified road network.

The allocation of visitor parking also serves to cater for school visitors during the day and mid-week demands for the chapel, which is not incorporated under the DCP rates. The school drop zone can also be used for chapel parking during the day outside of the school drop off and pick up period, providing an additional 8 parking spaces.

This equates to between 66-74 spaces to cater for school period demands for the chapel and visitors, which is considered adequate to cater for demand.

In regard to peak parking demand for the chapel, applying the parking rates outlined in Section 3.4.2 would see a requirement for 167 parking spaces. Shared use of the parking on site will be practical with peak parking demand for the chapel use occurring separate to the school use. Mass occurs of a Saturday evening and Sunday when the school and early learning centre are closed, thus demand will be catered for within the school parking. Wedding services of a Saturday can also make use of school parking facilities.

#### 3.4.5 Service Vehicle Parking

Loading bays are provided on site for service vehicles, allowing servicing to be completed without impacting upon internal circulation for the site.

#### 3.4.6 Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities

The proposed signalised intersection of Medowie Road and South Street is to include provision for pedestrian crossing on all legs of the intersection.

Based on the existing development in the area the pedestrian demands for the site are predominately from the east (along South Street), with some additional demands from the north along Medowie Road toward the Medowie Town Centre. There is negligible demand from the south along Medowie Road and there are currently no plans for future development in this area, with the Pacific Dunes Golf Course encompassing the majority of the land on the opposite side of Medowie Road. The upgraded intersection will provide pedestrian crossing on all legs, with the development including a pedestrian pathway linking the site to the intersection. This pathway travels along the north of the school access road, meeting Medowie Road at the location of the crossing on the northern leg of the intersection.

The internal pathway includes a clearly specified pedestrian crossing of the internal access road. During school start and finish times this location will see traffic demands for buses entering the internal bus zone, with minimal demand for light vehicles passing through the crossing area. This roadway provides access to the bus pick up area and primarily to staff parking. Staff are typically on site prior to the start and finish of school, minimising vehicle movements at this time. This crossing can operate in a safe manner in conjunction with the low vehicle speeds on site and shall be part of the onsite management plan for the school.

There is a wide network of further internal pedestrian paths for the site, linking the various developments to the surrounding parking areas, enabling all internal movements to occur in a safe manner.

Bicycle storage facilities will be provided within the school grounds to cater for both staff and student cycling demands.

### 4. Transportation Analysis

#### 4.1 Traffic Generation

#### 4.1.1 Primary School and Secondary School Mode of Transport

#### 4.1.1.1 Student Movements

The RMS Guide to Traffic Generating Developments does not provide a traffic generation rate for educational facilities. Student travel data has been provided by the Catholic Schools Office detailing the modal split for a number of existing primary schools (4) and secondary schools (4) in the Hunter region, a summary of which is provided in **Appendix B**.

Through consultation with the RMS the recorded light vehicle and bus generation rates for both the primary school and secondary school in Lochinvar were recommended as the basis for determining the traffic generation for the proposed development. The rates are outlined in Table 4-1 below.

Table 4-1 – Adopted traffic generation rates for the primary school and high school

	Primary School	Secondary School
Private Vehicle Travel	58%	25%
Bus Travel	37%	70%
Walk/Cycle	5%	5%
Total	100%	100%

The rates above were applied to the proposed school populations, to giving the modal split outlined in Table 4-2.

	Primary School	Secondary School
Private Vehicle Travel	365	298
Bus Travel	233	833
Walk/Cycle	32	59
Total	630	1190

Table 4-2 – Modal split of students for the primary school and high school populations

For private vehicle trips an average vehicle occupancy of 2 students per vehicle has been adopted, to account for shared trips between siblings and car pooling which typically occurs for school developments.

For the primary school applying a vehicle occupancy rate of 2 students per vehicle, indicates that for the 365 students travelling via private vehicle, there will be 183 vehicles generated. This gives 183 inbound and 183 outbound movements in the AM with the same in the PM pick up period.

For the secondary school, the 298 students travelling via private vehicle will generate 149 vehicles. Of these 149 vehicles some will relate to senior student drivers, thereby seeing only 1 inbound trip in the AM with no outbound movement required, with the reverse in the PM. There are 38 parking spaces to be provided under the DCP for senior students on site, assuming full use, there will be 38 inbound movements in the AM and 38 outbound in the PM. Allowing for the remaining drop off and pick up movements gives

- 149 inbound movements and 111 outbound in the AM,
- 111 inbound movements and 149 outbound in the PM.

Combining both schools, it is anticipated 1066 students shall travel via bus. For a capacity of 50 seats per bus, there would be 22 buses required to service the development. Based on the large catchment and potential for bus services to operate below their full capacity, including shared services with other schools, an allowance has been made for additional services. A bus occupancy of 75% has been allowed for to accommodate this, which gives a total of 29 buses for the development.

Observations of other schools (St Paul's Catholic College Booragul, and Mount St Benedict College, Pennant Hills) show that bus demand can represent 55-75% of student enrolments. Operationally these schools see a demand for in the order of 40% of buses being loaded at one time. Applying this rate for the development with 29 total services, would see approximately 12 buses required to hold in the bus bay during peak PM loading. Morning drop offs typically occur over a wider time period. The development has provision for 11 buses to store on site. Typical school bus zone operations entail a bus management plan and appropriate scheduling to ensure efficient operation of the bus zone. The management of the bus arrival times for CMCC will ensure there is no spill over onto the local road network.

#### 4.1.1.2 Staff Movements

Staff movements typically do not correspond with the peak movement of students, as the vast majority of staff will arrive at the school before the student arrival periods and leave after the students have departed. Allowing for the full complement of staff on site across the two schools, there would be 160 staff arriving in the AM and 160 departing in the PM.

In the AM the school peak coincides with the road network peak, with staff to arrive prior to this when traffic flows are lower. In the PM the staff movements have not been included in the traffic modelling during the school peak vehicle movements, however these have been included as part of the assessment of the separate road network peak in the PM.

#### 4.1.2 Early Learning Centre (ELC)

The RMS guide provides traffic generation rates for child care centres. For a long day care centre, the following rates apply:

- 0.8 peak hour trips per child during the morning peak (7:00am to 9:00am) and
- 0.7 peak hour trips per child during the afternoon / evening peak (4:00pm to 6:00pm).

For the proposed capacity of 124 children, the childcare centre would therefore generate up to 100 peak hour trips during the morning peak hour (50 inbound / 50 outbound) and 87 trips during the afternoon / evening peak (44 inbound / 43 outbound). Note that these rates do not allow for reduced demand associated with absenteeism, holidays/leave and shared trips with siblings at the ELC. It also doesn't allow for shared trips associated with siblings at the primary or high school. It is therefore considered the peak traffic generation for the proposed child care centre could be less than that calculated.

For daily trips, allowing 2 vehicle movements per child across the morning drop-off and afternoon pickup periods, and up to 22 staff, to arrive in the morning and depart in the afternoon, the proposed childcare centre could generate up to 540 vehicle movements per day.

#### 4.1.3 Chapel

The RMS Guide does not provide trip rates for chapels. Equating the suggested parking rate of 1 space per three seats, to vehicle trips will see 1 vehicle trip per three seats, being 167 vehicle movements for full capacity events (500 seats). These vehicle movements will occur outside of the traditional drop off and pick up times associated with schools, with peak chapel demands occurring of a weekend or during the daytime (after 9.30am) associated with funerals, all being outside of the peak periods for the road network. As such, traffic impacts for the chapel are much less significant than that relating to the school use on site and will be accommodated by the road network assessed to cater for school traffic. This traffic has therefore not been included in the cumulative peak hour assessments.

#### 4.1.4 Cumulative Traffic Generation – Education Uses

The total peak vehicle movements for the development (Early Learning Centre, Primary School and Secondary School uses - excluding school staff movements occurring outside of school start and finish times) are shown in Table 4-3.

Table 4-3 – Peak vehicle movements for the development

	Second	Secondary School Primary School Early Learning Centre		Total				
	Inbound	Outbound	Inbound	Outbound	Inbound	Outbound	Inbound	Outbound
AM	149	111	183	183	50	50	382	344
PM	111	149	183	183	44	43	338	375

It is recognised the majority of vehicle movements for the Early Learning Centre will not coincide with the school use, particularly in the PM, where the identified peak in the RMS Guide is 4pm-6pm. There will also be demand for cross use between school and child care, thereby not generating additional traffic demands. The vehicle movements for the Early Learning Centre have however been included in the peak movements above to ensure a robust assessment of the site.

#### 4.1.5 Daily and Seasonal Factors

Traffic flows associated with this development will have significant variation for both daily flows and seasonal flows. For school developments the majority of weekday traffic movements occur in the morning and afternoon before and after school, with staff and students arriving and departing during this period. The traffic flows outside of these times during the day is typically minimal for schools, with infrequent variation through the week due to sports carnivals, excursions etc.

There will be virtually no flows relating to the high school and primary school during school holidays and weekends. The child care centre will also experience no traffic demand of a weekend, however there will be weekend traffic relating to the Chapel. Similarly, there shall be some additional daytime traffic throughout the day associated with the chapel with higher traffic demands associated with the occasional funeral.

#### 4.1.6 Pedestrian Movements

The development shall generate pedestrian movements relating to local students and staff walking to school. Given the extent of residential development (existing and future) in the area, it is expected 5% of students will reside within walking/cycling distance of the development. Pedestrian demands are to the north and east of the subject site. The upgrade of the intersection of Medowie Road and South Street to a signalised intersection, will incorporate pedestrian phasing on all legs to allow pedestrians to safely cross Medowie Road.

The internal site layout allows for the safe and efficient movement of pedestrians through the site.

#### 4.2 Traffic Distribution and Assignment

#### 4.2.1 Hourly Distribution of Trips

The traffic demands associated with schools typically occur over a short period of time (20-30 minute peak) relating to pick up with a longer but lower peak of a morning for the drop off period. Outside of these periods the site is expected to generate low flows during the week. There will be intermittent periods of high demand relating to the chapel for functions including funerals and weddings, however regular church services will generate lower demands.

#### 4.2.2 Origin / Destinations Assignment

Data has been provided by the Catholic Schools Office in regard to the catchment area for the proposed schools and the expected origins of students to attend. The data provided for the primary school is outlined in Table 4-4 to follow.

	Existing Student Origins	Percentage of Capacity	Extrapolated to Maximum Capacity	Site Approach
Medowie	57	42.9%	270	From the north (90%) From the east (10%)
Karuah	16	12%	76	From the north
Tea Gardens	12	9%	57	From the north
Salt Ash	10	7.5%	47	From the south
Williamtown	9	6.8%	43	From the south
Hawks Nest	8	6.0%	38	From the north
North Arm Cove	5	3.8%	24	From the north
Pindimar	5	3.8%	24	From the north
Tanilba Bay	4	3.0%	19	From the south
Lemon Tree Passage	3	2.3%	14	From the south
Swan Bay	2	1.5%	9	From the north
Limeburners Creek	2	1.5%	9	From the north
TOTAL	133	100%	630	

Table 4-4 – Existing Primary School students in Medowie feed zone.

The data provided for the 133 primary school students in the Medowie catchment zone has been extrapolated, assuming even growth in all areas (simplified), to predict the origin of future students for the school operating at full capacity (630 students). The direction of approach has been assigned depending on the most efficient route. Based on the table above the total distribution of primary school students approaching the subject site will be:

- 480 from the north (76%)
- 123 from the south (20%)
- 27 from the east (4%)

A summary of the data provided for the secondary school is outlined in Table 4-5 below.

	Existing Student Origins	Percentage of Capacity	Extrapolated to Maximum Capacity	Site Approach
Williamtown - Medowie - Karuah	153	29.2%	347	From the north (80%) From the east (10%) From the south (10%)
Stockton - Fullerton Cove	118	22.5%	268	From the south
Nelson Bay Peninsula	130	24.8%	295	From the south
Lemon Tree Passage - Tanilba Bay	61	11.6%	139	From the south
Anna Bay	36	6.9%	82	From the south
Tea Gardens - Hawks Nest	8	1.5%	18	From the north
Bulahdelah - Stroud	18	3.4%	41	From the north
TOTAL	524	100%	1190	

Table 4-5 – Existing	Secondarv	School	students	in	Medowie feed zone.
Tuble To Exiding	oooonaary	0011001	01000110		1110001101000 20110

The secondary school data was interpreted in the same manner as that of the primary school. Based on the table above the distribution of secondary school students approaching the subject site will be:

- 337 from the north (28%)
- 818 from the south (69%)
- 35 from the east (3%)

The summaries of the directional splits outlined previously in Table 4-4 and Table 4-5 represent the AM inbound distribution for the school use of the site. For the AM outbound movements, the following has been applied:

- 50% returning in the same direction as approach and
- 50% continuing their journey as a diverted trip. For drivers travelling to work, 80% will have destinations to the south, with 20% assumed working to the north.

In the afternoon pick up period, PM inbound movements will be the reverse of the AM outbound movements and PM outbound movements will be the reverse of AM inbound movements.

Applying this split of movements to the traffic generation outlined previously in Table 4-3, will see the distribution outlined below in Table 4-6.

			AM			
	Primary School		Seconda	ary School	Total	
Origin / Destination	INBOUND	OUTBOUND	INBOUND	OUTBOUND	INBOUND	OUTBOUND
To / From the north	139	88	42	27	181	115
To / From the south	37	91	103	82	140	173
To / From the east	7	4	4	2	11	6
Total	183	183	149	111	332	294
			PM			
	Primar	y School	Seconda	ary School	Το	otal
Origin / Destination	INBOUND	OUTBOUND	INBOUND	OUTBOUND	INBOUND	OUTBOUND
To / From the north	88	139	27	42	115	181
To / From the south	91	37	82	103	173	140
To / From the east	4	7	2	4	6	11
Total	183	183	111	149	294	332

Table 4-6 – Distribution of Primary School and Secondary School traffic in AM and PM

Traffic associated with the Early Learning Centre has been allocated based on the residential development in the area, as well as the likelihood of dual purpose trips dropping off school students.

- 60% from the north
- 20% from the south
- 20% from the east

The same allowance has been made as that for the school movements regarding returning home and travelling to work. Applying this split to the traffic generation outlined previously in Table 4-3, will see the distribution outlined in Table 4-7.

АМ					
Origin / Destination	INBOUND	OUTBOUND			
To / From the north	30	20			
To / From the south	10	25			
To / From the east	10	5			
Total	50	50			
	PM				
Origin / Destination	INBOUND	OUTBOUND			
To / From the north	18	26			
To / From the south	22	9			
To / From the east	4	8			
Total	44	43			

Table 4-7 – Distribution of Early Learning Centre Traffic in AM and PM

Combining the schools and early learning centre, sees the following distribution of traffic during the AM (Figure 4-1) and PM (Figure 4-2) peak periods.



Figure 4-1 – Distribution of traffic during the AM peak period

# SECA solution >>>>



Figure 4-2 – Distribution of traffic during the PM school peak period

There will be additional movements relating to buses for the development, utilising the dedicated slip lane to enter the subject site. Bus movements for the development (29) have been distributed based on the origin of students outlined previously, with 12 with origins from the north and 17 with origins from the south. All buses will access the site from the south, with buses originating from the north travelling through the intersection of Medowie Road and South Street and turning around at the Richardson Road roundabout 1 kilometre south of the site.

The above distributions relate to the school peak flows occurring between 8:15-9:15am and 3-4pm. The AM peak modelled coincides with the road network peak giving the worst case for vehicle movements, however the road network peak in the afternoon occurs separately. As such, the road peak in the PM has also been assessed allowing for all staff to exit in this period, as well as the peak movements for the ELC (though these have also been accommodated within the modelling for the school peak).

For the purpose of this assessment the origins of staff have been assumed as 70% to the south and 30% to the north along Medowie Road. For the 160 staff, in the PM road peak this gives 48 outbound to the north and 112 outbound to the south. The ELC movements in the PM are outlined in Table 4-7, combining these with the staff flows gives the distribution outlined in Figure 4-3.

# 



Figure 4-3 – Distribution of traffic during the PM road network peak period

#### 4.3 Impact on Road Safety

The installation of traffic signals at the existing intersection of Medowie Road and South Street will increase the level of safety for turning movements over the existing give-way controlled T-intersection. Traffic signals will ensure safety is maximised for both road users and pedestrians.

The development will include a new entry only access off Medowie Road. The proposed left turn into this access will include a channelised left turn deceleration lane designed to RMS standards. The right turn into this access will also provide a sheltered turn lane, with sufficient length to cater for any potential vehicle queues. It is considered that the additional traffic flows will not have an adverse impact upon the road capacity or safety in this location, with the development flows accommodated by the proposed upgrades.

A 40 km/h school speed zone will be in place during the busy drop off and pick up periods associated with the school which will also improve road safety for all users.

#### 4.4 Impact of Generated Traffic

#### 4.4.1 Impact on Daily Traffic Flows

The overall impact upon daily traffic flows in the locality will be relatively low, as outside of the drop off and pick up periods the traffic movements associated with the school are minimal. Traffic associated with the drop off and pick up period will also include a high percentage of local traffic already on the local road, diverted into the site and then re-joining Medowie Road as part of the daily commute.

For the critical northbound PM peak which currently exceeds 900vph (3:45 – 4:45PM) the additional traffic generated by the proposed development will occur prior to 3:45PM therefore not impacting upon its current level of service. Any additional movements during the peak period will be minor and have a relatively low impact upon the existing operation of the road. Outside of this peak demand period there will be no impact on the overall flows along Medowie Road.

The additional lanes provided at the upgraded intersection will increase the capacity in this location as well as road safety and will cater for the turn movements associated with the outbound traffic movements from the school. The signals provide certainty for traffic entering or exiting South Street.

The existing peak hour traffic adjacent to the site is well within acceptable limits for the classification as local urban roads, as demonstrated in Section 3.5.1 above. The current traffic flows have been compared with the capacity of these roads and all of the road in the vicinity of the subject site currently have significant spare capacity. It is considered that as these roads have spare capacity during the critical peak periods, then there is spare capacity to cater for the additional traffic movements associated with the development for the daily impact.

#### 4.4.2 Peak Hour Impacts on Intersections

As part of the assessment for the proposed development, the intersection analysis program SIDRA has been used. The upgraded intersection of Medowie Road and South Street has been assessed along with the proposed entry access to the south of this intersection. The peak traffic flows associated with the development have been assigned as per Section 4.2.2 above.

The SIDRA analysis has reviewed the operation of the road layout with the proposed upgrades for the following scenarios during the overall AM peak period, the PM school peak period and the PM road network peak period.

- Surveyed traffic flows (2017) + Full development flows
- Future design year (2027) allowing for 2.4% growth along Medowie Road + Full development flows

The results of the SIDRA modelling follow:

Approach	Level of service (Approach)	Average Delay (seconds)	95% Back of Queue (metres)
South: Medowie Road	B / B	15.9 / 20.5	35.1 / 86.7
East: South Street	C / C	37.2 / 36.9	29.5 / 20.6
North: Medowie Road	B / B	22.9 / 28.0	132.7 / 86.3
West: South Street	C / C	38.5 / 35.4	75.7 / 72.0
All Vehicles	B / B	26.5 / 27.0	132.7 / 86.7

Table 4-8 – SIDRA results Medowie Road and South Street AM/PM peak flows, existing 2017 + development flows

Toble 1 0 SIDDA	regulta ashaal antra	(AM/DM pook flows	ovicting 2017	development flows
I dule 4-9 – SIDRA	results school entry	/ AIVI/FIVI peak IIOWS,	existing 2017 +	uevelopinent nows

Approach	Movement	Level of service	Average Delay (seconds)	95% Back of Queue (metres)
South: Medowie Road	Left Turn	A/A	8.1 / 7.8	3.5 / 4.3
North: Medowie Road	Right Turn	A/A	7.2 / 11.1	6.6 / 6.9

It can be seen that the proposed intersection upgrades are sufficient to cater for the existing flows, and full development flows. The road network will operate to an adequate standard along Medowie Road, with the signalised intersection of Medowie Road and South Street operating at LoS B and the school entry access operating at LoS A in both the AM and PM. Delays and queues on all approaches are within acceptable limits.

As per normal RMS requirements, the intersection was then assessed allowing for 2.4% annual background growth along Medowie Road to 2027. This growth rate is consistent with that assigned for the high growth scenario in the Medowie Traffic and Transport Study (URaP 2017).

Table 4-10 – SIDRA results Medowie Road and South Street AM/PM peak flows, future growth (2027) + development flows

Approach	Level of service (Approach)	Average Delay (seconds)	95% Back of Queue (metres)
South: Medowie Road	B / B	16.1 / 19.4	46.0 / 100.2
East: South Street	C / C	37.1 / 37.3	29.5 / 20.6
North: Medowie Road	C / B	33.0 / 26.5	224.7 / 106.8
West: South Street	C / C	37.3 / 37.5	73.9 / 74.5
All Vehicles	C / B	30.5 / 26.1	224.7 / 106.8

Table 4-11 – SIDRA results school entry AM/PM peak flows, future growth (2027) + development flows

Approach	Movement	Level of service	Average Delay (seconds)	95% Back of Queue (metres)
South: Medowie Road	Left Turn	A/A	8.1 / 8.0	3.5 / 4.3
North: Medowie Road	Right Turn	A / B	7.8 / 16.4	7.3 / 10.7

The above results show that accounting for future growth, the intersection will operate within acceptable limits.

The intersection was also assessed during the recorded PM peak period, including development flows associated with school staff and ELC movements.

Approach	Level of service (Approach)	Average Delay (seconds)	95% Back of Queue (metres)
South: Medowie Road	В	18.6	100.1
East: South Street	С	33.1	23.6
North: Medowie Road	С	30.6	53.6
West: South Street	С	35.9	33.8
All Vehicles	В	24.7	100.1

Table 4-12 – SIDRA results Medowie Road and South Street PM road peak flows, existing 2017 + development flows

Table 4-13 – SIDRA results school entry PM road peak flows, existing 2017 + development flows

Approach	Movement	Level of service	Average Delay (seconds)	95% Back of Queue (metres)
South: Medowie Road	Left Turn	А	7.4	0.4
North: Medowie Road	Right Turn	А	12.6	1.2

It can be seen that the proposed intersection upgrades remain sufficient to cater for the existing flows, and full development flows during the PM road peak. Delays and queues on all approaches are within acceptable limits.

The intersection was then assessed allowing for 2.4% annual background growth along Medowie Road to 2027.

Table 4-14 – SIDRA results Medowie Road and South Street PM ROAD peak flows, future growth (2027) + development flows
---

Approach	Level of service (Approach)	Average Delay (seconds)	95% Back of Queue (metres)
South: Medowie Road	В	20.1	138.3
East: South Street	С	33.8	23.6
North: Medowie Road	С	29.6	66.2
West: South Street	С	34.9	33.3
All Vehicles	В	24.9	138.3

Table 4-15 – SIDRA results school entry PM road peak flows, future growth (2027) + development flows

Approach	Movement	Level of service	Average Delay (seconds)	95% Back of Queue (metres)
South: Medowie Road	Left Turn	A	7.4	0.4
North: Medowie Road	Right Turn	В	19.8	2.1

The above results show that accounting for future growth, the intersection will continue to operate at an acceptable LoS during the regular road network peak.

### SECA solution

#### 4.4.3 Impact of Queuing

A key consideration for this development will be associated with the queuing provision on-site in the PM peak. Typical school operation sees the majority of vehicles arriving in the 15 minutes prior to school finishing and therefore being required to hold/park on site until school finishes, as opposed to the morning drop off period which occurs more efficiently with parents dropping off and leaving with minimal delay. Sufficient queuing is required to ensure that traffic generated by the development does not impact upon the operation of the proposed school entry access on Medowie Road.

The traffic generation outlined in Section 4.1 shows PM pick up will see peak demands for 338 inbound vehicles over the full development, with 294 relating to school pick up and the remainder (44) for child care which will be dispersed over a wider time period. Staggered finish times will also allow for school related demands to occur at separate intervals, reducing the queuing demand on site. Allowing for each school pick up to operate autonomously, the primary school will have the highest demand for pickups (183 vehicles) given the higher reliance on private vehicle travel.

Allowing for 90% of these 183 vehicles to arrive in the 15 minutes prior to school finishing (with the remaining 10% arriving later) could see potential demand for up to 165 vehicles on site in this period. Based on this breakdown of vehicle trips in the PM pick up, the development would require provision for 165 vehicles to queue between the site access and the drop zone or alternatively park on site. The proposed layout provides 546 metres of queuing (91 vehicles) and 66 parking spaces to accommodate these demands.

#### 4.4.4 Impact of Construction Traffic

Staged construction shall limit the impact of construction traffic on the local road network. A construction traffic management plan has been prepared by de Witt Consulting for the project and information is provided below. A formal CTMP will be completed as part of the detailed design phase and within the requirements of a WAD for the construction work along Medowie Road.

The construction work will require a number of trucks, to deliver materials including concrete to the site. This will occur over a number of weeks and months as the site is developed, thereby reducing the impact of this traffic during the peak periods. An important factor for the construction will be the impact of construction workers and their vehicles. All construction vehicles related to the site works will be able to park on site. This can be determined during the detailed design stage of the project.

All works on site will be governed by the relevant EP&A rules and as stipulated within any development consent granted. This will include hours of work.

#### **Construction Management**

The construction programme including demolition and preliminary works is proposed to be conducted over 12 stages however, subject to construction and delivery programming it is possible that various stages will overlap during the construction period. Construction staging surrounding the various aspects of the project will enable logical construction processes as well as limit the impact of construction traffic on the local road network through the staging process. Construction would take place during normal construction times being 7am-6pm Monday Friday 8am-1pm Saturday with no work occurring on Sunday or public holidays.

It is anticipated delivery of the completed school will ultimately span across a number of years due not only to size, but operational requirements. It is important to recognise however that construction will not likely be continuous over this period. Construction is envisaged to commence in late 2018, subject to approval. It is anticipated some students will begin school in January 2020 with the staging and construction required accommodate operations and minimise potential adverse impacts. Detailed construction programming will be required prior to establishing on site.

The construction programme would likely be separated into the following stages, however as indicated it is important to note that through the detailed design phase and construction planning a detailed Construction Management Plan will be required. In addition, the very nature of the site results in all works and associated traffic, parking and the like associated with construction the ability to be located on site even through the later stages of the project. The construction staging will likely occur as follows.

#### Site preparation

This will likely include more detailed investigations to assist with detailed design including survey and or geotechnical works. This initial site preparation stage will also include site establishment including temporary office set up, site services, logistics (including traffic management) and perimeter fencing where relevant to construction staging.

Some preliminary works may overlap with early works however, this is to include the demolition of the existing buildings on site (single storey brick dwelling and a shed of steel construction), this will involve the use of excavator/s, trucks and other plant and equipment associated with demolition works. All works will be strictly managed to ensure that vehicle movement and dust is controlled and kept to a minimum any demolition will be in accordance with the relevant Australian Standards and best practice.

It is anticipated that a traditional top down demolition approach employing good building practices will be used.

Further geotechnical works could be required if conditions encountered during construction are different to those contained in the Geotechnical report and site reassessment should be undertaken.

#### Early works:

The early works will include the initial civil construction works including that of the RMS work for Medowie Road, with site bulk earthworks, establishment site and external lead in works along with associated landscaping.

#### Stages 2 - 12

These stages are essentially the development of the school and associated infrastructure including Classrooms, School Hall, Car Parking, Offices/Administration building, Library and Amenities. These stages will form the main construction, however within each stage there will be various components of construction including earthworks/civil construction, landscaping and internal works.

#### **Construction intent**

Bulk Civil and excavation works where appropriate will be untaken across multiple stages or infrastructure routes, will generally be undertaken and confined to the relevant staging. A temporary perimeter retention system will be provided. Excavation techniques will be chosen to minimise vibration (and noise) in order to minimise damage and disturbance to surrounding properties and to reduce impact on the local community and school activities. Excavation will be undertaken in accordance with the Geotechnical Investigation

Spoil arising will be checked for contamination (such as acid sulphate soils) and will be classified for either removal off-site or stockpiled on-site within an allocated bunded area in order to eliminate off-site contamination. Excavation machinery will be used for construction of building foundations and substructure.

Scaffolding will be erected once required as construction reaches multiple levels.

The internal fit out works will generally be labour intensive and include a mix of wet and dry trades to form the internal floors, ceilings, finishes and mechanical, electrical and hydraulic services works.

A detailed construction management plan will be prepared prior to the commencement of works on site. The detailed Construction Management Plan is to consider the matters identified by the relevant specialist consultant reports including but not limited to acoustic and traffic.

The construction traffic ingress and egress will be carefully located to minimise any impact on surrounding roads and local road users. Construction access will be from Medowie Road, including construction zone, and egress for construction vehicles will vary according to the particular stage of construction. All construction traffic entering and leaving the site will be closely controlled. Notice regarding planned closures and diversions of roads and footpaths adjacent to the site (if and when necessary) will be given by the construction manager to Port Stephens Council, NSW Police, the fire brigade and other emergency services sufficiently in advance of the required closure or diversion dates.

The anticipated traffic construction impacts and the measures to be employed to manage these impacts will be provided in the Traffic Management Plan as part of the detailed design stage of the project. Prior to any works commencing, a detailed Construction Traffic Management Plan will be prepared and implemented to manage all environmental aspects of the construction of the development. This will be prepared in consultation with Council and the RMS.

All construction will be required to adhere to the parameters set within the acoustic report including but not limited to hours of work, operating techniques, noise limiting machinery and plant.

The detailed CMP that will be required prior to construction and will identify construction measures and practices to be established for the purposes of minimising potential construction impacts such as dust emission, erosion and sediment transfer, stormwater drainage, waste disposal, construction traffic and parking, noise, vibration and worker and pedestrian safety.

#### 4.4.5 Background traffic and other developments

There are no other significant developments underway in the locality that will be affected by this proposal.

#### 4.5 Public Transport

#### 4.5.1 Options for improving services

Limited options. The site is not a major generator / attractor for public transport. The school will be well serviced by dedicated school bus services, with routes to service the extended catchment area proposed for the school. It is not expected that the school services would impact on the public bus services within the vicinity of the site.

#### 4.5.2 Pedestrian Access to Bus Stops

The inclusion of pedestrian phases on the signalised intersection at South Street shall improve access to bus stops in this area.

School bus services shall be contained within the site with access along the internal pathways.

#### 4.6 Pedestrian and Cyclists

The site will provide internal connections to the dedicated bus zone and to the signalised intersection.

A cycle lane shall be incorporated into the intersection design of Medowie Road and South Street.
# 

### 5. Improvement Analysis

#### 5.1 Improvements to Accommodate Existing Traffic

Observations on site indicate the intersection of Medowie Road and South Street operates with delays for turning movements out of South Street and the right turn into South Street. It is noted that traffic flows northbound along Medowie Road in the PM peak period slightly exceed the capacity of 900 vehicles per hour.

The upgrades proposed along Medowie Road to cater for the development, including the signalised intersection of Medowie Road and South Street with dual lanes through this intersection, will ensure that existing and future traffic flows can be accommodated in this location. This upgrade will also improve the safety of turning movements into and out of South Street

#### 5.2 Improvements to Accommodate Background Traffic

The Sidra analysis allowed for 2.4% background growth, which was consistent with the value determined in the Medowie Traffic and Transport Study (URaP 2017) for the high growth scenario. The proposed upgrades along Medowie Road are sufficient to cater for this growth over the next 10 years.

#### 5.3 Additional Improvements to Accommodate Development Traffic

The proposed upgrades along Medowie Road outlined previously are considered sufficient to accommodate the future flows, as shown in the Sidra analysis.

#### 5.4 Alternative Improvements

No alternatives are put forward for consideration.

## SECA solution >>>>

### 6. Summary and Recommendations

#### 6.1 Summary

The site access is located on Medowie Road, with the existing intersection of Medowie Road and South Street to be upgraded to traffic signals, with a new leg to the west allowing for vehicle egress from the subject site. The operation of these traffic signals has been assessed with SIDRA, based upon the current traffic numbers surveyed as part of this project and confirm that the installation of traffic signals can accommodate the future development flows and background growth, with acceptable delays and congestion.

The further site access will allow for safe and appropriate access to the subject site, with good visibility available for drivers entering the subject site from both directions. Channelised turn lanes will minimise the impact of these turning movements on through traffic along Medowie Road. The bus slip lane off Medowie Road and the internal road layout will allow for the efficient movements of buses into the site and safe movements for buses travelling back onto the external road network, controlled by the proposed traffic signals.

The layout of the access points and internal roads has been designed to allow for two-way traffic movements in accordance with AS2890. Allowing for the application of the Council DCP the overall parking demand for the educational component is 228 parking spaces. Port Stephens DCP allows for a merit-based assessment of parking requirements for chapels. Peak parking demand for this use has been assessed based on Newcastle City Council and Lake Macquarie Council DCP rates, which would require 167 spaces for this development. The peak parking demands for the chapel will primarily be of a weekend when school parking is available for use. As such, parking for the chapel has been provided to cater for mid-week demands.

The project proposes a total supply of 294 parking spaces, including 228 spaces designated for the schools and early learning centre (satisfying the DCP requirements) and a further 66 spaces to cater for school pick up demands. This parking has been provided to ensure no impact upon the operation of the classified road network (Medowie Road) during the school pick up period, with all vehicles to be contained on site.

The additional traffic movements generated by the development will have a manageable impact on the surrounding road network, with the use of bus services limiting the volume of traffic for this development. The typical parking demands associated with the development can be accommodated on site, with parking provided consistent with the Port Stephens Council DCP and the observed demand for similar schools in the Hunter region.

It is considered that the development is therefore consistent with the intention of the Development Control Plan in relation to traffic, parking and access.

#### 6.2 Recommendations

From the site work undertaken and the review of the development proposal and associated plans against the requirements of the RMS Guide to Traffic Generating Developments, Austroads Guide to Traffic Management, and the Port Stephens DCP, it is considered that the proposed development application should be approved on traffic and access grounds.

### Appendix A

Plans









## Appendix B Student Travel Data

	St Paul's Booragul	St Pius Adamstown		St Clemente Mayfield	St Joseph's Kilaben Bay		St Patrick's Cessnock	St Brigid's Raymond Terrace
Sample Schools	Secondary	Secondary	Secondary	Secondary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Primary
	7 – 11	7 – 10	7 – 12	7 – 10	K – 6	K – 6	K – 6	K – 6
	857 students	1019 students	908 students	742 students	253 students	472 students	390 students	403 students
% of students who arrive at school by car	27-35%	8%	25%	11%	40%	58%	45%	58%
% of students who arrive by bus	63-71%	67%	70%	84%	50%	37%	45%	36%
% of students who walk or arrive by bicycle	2%	25%	5%	5%	0%	5%	10%	6%
% of students who use Out of School Hours (OOSH) Service					10%			

## Appendix C Site Photos



Photo 1- Existing intersection of South Street and Medowie Road



Photo 2 - Cross-section of South Street to the east



Photo 3 - Cross-section of South Street to the west, showing median at intersection



Photo 4 - Cross-section of Medowie Road to the north



Photo 5 - Existing site access off Medowie Road



Photo 6 - Bus stop on southern side of South Street looking west



Photo 7 - Bus stop on northern side of South Street looking west



						De	tailed	Crash	Repo	ort -	501	rted				KOVERMENT   f	Centers for Road Safety			
Crash No. Data Source Date	Day of Week	Time	Distance ID Feature	Loc Type		Alignment	Weather	Surface Condition	Speed Limit No. of Tue	50 I IO .04	n i ype/onj	Age/Sex	Street Travelling	Speed Travelling	Manoeuvre	Degree of Crash	Killed	Injured	Factors	
			Natural Lighting																SF	
Hunter Region 786319 P 10/02/2012 E47404868	Fri		Stephens LGA 1 km N RICHARDSON Daylight	RD 2WY RUM:	30	Medor STR Rear	Fine	Dry	80 3		١G	F44	Medowie Rd N in MEDOWIE RD N in MEDOWIE RD N in MEDOWIE RD	60 Procee 0 Statior 0 Statior		Ν	0	0		
Hunter Region 786841 P 20/02/2012 E48971380	Mon		Stephens LGA 50 m N RICHARDSON Daylight	RD 2WY RUM:	42	Medo STR Leav	wie Fine ing parkin	Dry	80 2				Medowie Rd N in MEDOWIE RD N in MEDOWIE RD	10 Perfor 40 Proces	m U-turn eding in lane	1	0	3		
Hunter Region 796977 P 03/03/2012 E163206996	Sat		Stephens LGA at MEDOWIE RD Darkness	RDB RUM:	72		vale Raining bad to righ	Wet	80 1	1 M/	С	M23	Richardson Rd E in RICHARDSON RD	Unk Procee	eding in lane	1	0	1		
Hunter Region 812177 P 29/09/2012 E49017932	Sat		Stephens LGA 5 m W MEDOWIE RD Daylight	RDB RUM:	30	Camp STR Rear	Fine	Dry	80 2	2 4V 4V			Richardson Rd E in RICHARDSON RD E in RICHARDSON RD		eding in lane eding in lane	1	0	2		
Hunter Region 1005757 P 09/12/2013 E52991824	Mon		Stephens LGA at MEDOWIE RD Daylight	RDB RUM:	10	Camp STR Cros	vale Fine s traffic	Dry	80 2	2 4V CA			Richardson Rd N in MEDOWIE RD W in RICHARDSON RD		eding in lane eding in lane	Ν	0	0		
Hunter Region 1021039 P 27/02/2014 E54274427	Thu		Stephens LGA 400 m N RICHARDSON Daylight	RD 2WY RUM:	71	Medo STR Off re	wie Fine d left => o	Dry	80 1		AG lity p		Medowie Rd N in MEDOWIE RD	50 Procee	eding in lane	1	0	1		
Hunter Region 1019603 P 17/03/2014 E54288507	Mon		Stephens LGA at MEDOWIE RD Daylight	RDB RUM:	10	Camp STR Cros	vale Fine s traffic	Dry	80 2	2 CA P/0			Richardson Rd W in RICHARDSON RD S in MEDOWIE RD		eding in lane eding in lane	1	0	1		
Hunter Region 1034198 P 03/07/2014 E106470801	Thu		Stephens LGA at SOUTH ST Dusk	TJN RUM:		Medor STR Righ	Fine	Dry	50 2	2 TR TR			Medowie Rd W in SOUTH ST N in MEDOWIE RD	15 Tumin 75 Procee	g right eding in lane	Ν	0	0	s	
Hunter Region 1047437 P 05/10/2014 E55862145	Sun		Stephens LGA 200 m S SOUTH ST Daylight	2WY RUM:	73	Medor STR Office	wie Fine d rght => (	Dry	80 1		R e/bu		Medowie Rd N in MEDOWIE RD	80 Procee	eding in lane	1	0	1	F	
Hunter Region 1054263 S 25/10/2014 E57100178	Sat		Stephens LGA at RICHARDSON Darkness	RD RDB RUM:	39	Camp STR Othe	vale Fine r same di	Dry	80 2				Medowie Rd N in MEDOWIE RD N in MEDOWIE RD	Unk Other Unk Other		Ν	0	0		



## Appendix E Traffic Data

AM

	Turn Count Summary
Location: GPS Coordinate	Medowie Road at South Street, Medowie es:
Date:	2017-08-02
Day of week: Weather:	Wednesday
Analyst:	TN
Total vehic	le traffic

Interval starts	So	outhBou	ind	We	estboun	d	No	rthbour	nd	E	astbour	d	Total
interval starts	Left	Thru	Right	IUlai									
07:29	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
07:30	17	168	0	62	0	10	0	46	14	0	0	0	317
07:45	9	136	0	39	0	1	0	65	11	0	0	0	261
08:00	4	128	0	34	0	8	0	59	22	0	0	0	255
08:15	12	142	0	34	0	19	0	78	4	0	0	0	289
08:30	22	132	0	36	0	26	0	71	7	0	0	0	294
08:45	25	117	0	20	0	25	0	75	15	0	0	0	277
09:00	20	112	1	27	0	13	0	77	14	0	0	0	264
09:15	14	118	0	13	0	13	0	70	15	0	0	0	243
09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3

AM Peak

## Intersection Peak Hour

## 08:15 - 09:15

	So	SouthBound			estbour	d	Northbound			Ea	astbourn	d	Total
	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	IOtal
Vehicle Total	79	503	0	117	0	83	0	301	40	0	0	0	1124
Factor	0.79	0.89	0.00	0.81	0.00	0.80	0.00	0.96	0.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.96
Approach Factor		0.95			0.81			0.94			0.00		

## Peak Hour Vehicle Summary

Vehicle	SouthBound		W	estbour	d	No	orthbour	nd	E	astbour	id	Total	
venicie	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Total
Car	77	483	0	115	0	82	0	281	36	0	0	0	1075
Truck	2	20	0	2	0	1	0	20	4	0	0	0	49

## Peak Hour Pedestrians

		NE			NW			SW			SE		Total
	Left	Right	Total	Total									
Pedestrians	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## Intersection Peak Hour

Location: Medowie Road at South Street, Medowie GPS Coordinates: Date: 2017-08-02 Day of week: Wednesday Weather: Analyst: TN



Quality Traffic Advice

Location: GPS Coordinate	Medowie Road at South Street, Medowie
Date:	2017-08-02
Day of week:	Wednesday
Weather:	
Analyst:	TN

### **Total vehicle traffic**

Interval starts	So	outhBou	ind	We	estbour	d	No	orthbour	nd	Ea	astbour	d	Total
interval starts	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	iotai
14:45	15	83	0	6	0	18	0	114	20	0	0	0	256
15:00	23	68	0	11	0	12	0	144	21	0	0	0	279
15:15	26	82	0	10	0	15	0	149	29	0	0	0	311
15:30	22	100	0	7	0	15	0	152	39	0	0	0	335
15:45	28	85	0	20	0	17	0	175	44	0	0	0	369
16:00	19	63	1	17	0	20	0	194	39	0	0	0	353
16:15	23	70	0	29	0	17	0	202	42	0	0	0	383
16:30	21	68	0	23	0	14	0	206	44	0	0	0	376
16:45	13	74	0	17	0	16	0	178	32	0	0	0	330
17:00	21	108	0	24	0	14	0	168	38	0	0	0	373
17:15	22	100	0	17	0	12	0	166	34	0	0	0	351
17:30	15	71	0	14	0	12	0	138	42	0	0	0	292
17:45	12	62	0	17	0	9	0	125	43	0	0	0	268
18:00	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	6

**PM Peak** 

## Intersection Peak Hour

## 15:45 - 16:45

	SouthBound			Westbound			Northbound			Ea	stboun	d	Total
	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Total
Vehicle Total	91	286	0	89	0	68	0	777	169	0	0	0	1481
Factor	0.81	0.84	0.00	0.77	0.00	0.85	0.00	0.94	0.96	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.97
Approach Factor		0.84			0.85			0.95			0.00		

## Peak Hour Vehicle Summary

Vehicle	SouthBound			W	Westbound			rthbour	hd	E	astboun	d	Total
venicie	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Total
Car	91	274	0	83	0	67	0	757	166	0	0	0	1439
Truck	0	12	0	6	0	1	0	20	3	0	0	0	42

### **Peak Hour Pedestrians**

		NE			NW			SW			SE		Total
	Left	Right	Total	Total									
Pedestrians	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

### Intersection Peak Hour

Location: Medowie Road at South Street, Medowie GPS Coordinates: Date: 2017-08-02 Day of week: Wednesday Weather: Analyst: TN





## Appendix F SIDRA Analysis

#### **Interpreting SIDRA Results:**

#### 1-Level of Service (LoS)

LoS	Traffic Signals and Roundabouts	Give Way and Stop Signs
А	Good	Good
В	Good, with acceptable delays and spare capacity	Acceptable delays and spare capacity
С	Satisfactory	Satisfactory, but requires accident
		study
D	Operating near capacity	Near capacity and requires accident
		study
Е	At capacity, excessive delay: roundabout requires	At capacity, requires other control
	other control method	mode
F	Unsatisfactory, requires other control mode or	Unsatisfactory, requires other
	additional capacity	control mode

### 2-Average Vehicle Delay (AVD)

The AVD is a measure of operational performance of an intersection relating to its LoS. The average delay should be taken as a guide only for an average intersection. Longer delays may be tolerated at some intersections where delays are expected by motorists (e.g. those in inner city areas or major arterial roads).

LoS	Average Delay / Vehicle (secs)	Traffic Signals and Roundabouts	Give Way and Stop Signs
А	Less than 15	Good operation	Good operation
В	15 to 28	Good with acceptable delays and spare capacity	Acceptable delays and spare capacity
C	28 to 42	Satisfactory	Satisfactory but accident study required
D	42 to 56	Operating near capacity	Near capacity, accident study required
E	56 to 70	At capacity, excessive delays: roundabout requires other control mode	At capacity; requires other control mode
F	Exceeding 70	Unsatisfactory, requires additional capacity	Unsatisfactory, requires other control mode

#### **3-Degree of Saturation (D/S)**

The D/S of an intersection is usually taken as the highest ratio of traffic volumes on an approach to an intersection compared with the theoretical capacity, and is a measure of the utilisation of available green time. For intersections controlled by traffic signals, both queues and delays increase rapidly as DS approaches 1.0. An intersection operates satisfactorily when its D/S is kept below 0.75. When D/S exceeds 0.9, queues are expected.

## Site: 101 [2017AM - School Egress / South Street]

Medowie Road / South Street No allowance for cross-use, staggered start/finish times. Medowie Road duplicated for 100 metres each way. Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 90 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles											
Mov	Turn	Demand	Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turn	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	: Medo	wie Road										
1	L2	31	100.0	0.028	6.2	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.57	0.00	38.9
2	T1	317	6.6	0.209	12.0	LOS A	4.7	35.1	0.56	0.46	0.56	56.1
3	R2	42	10.0	0.364	52.4	LOS D	1.9	14.5	0.99	0.73	0.99	14.9
Appro	ach	389	14.3	0.364	15.9	LOS B	4.7	35.1	0.56	0.50	0.56	47.9
East:	South S	Street										
4	L2	145	1.4	0.593	27.2	LOS B	4.0	28.2	0.99	0.79	1.00	21.5
6	R2	87	1.2	0.712	53.9	LOS D	4.2	29.5	1.00	0.85	1.20	26.5
Appro	ach	233	1.4	0.712	37.2	LOS C	4.2	29.5	0.99	0.81	1.07	24.2
North:	Medov	wie Road										
7	L2	83	2.5	0.087	18.4	LOS B	1.8	12.9	0.53	0.72	0.53	45.2
8	T1	764	4.4	0.677	23.4	LOS B	18.3	132.7	0.84	0.73	0.84	43.7
Appro	ach	847	4.2	0.677	22.9	LOS B	18.3	132.7	0.81	0.73	0.81	43.9
West:	Schoo	Access										
10	L2	145	2.2	0.404	37.1	LOS C	6.1	43.2	0.91	0.78	0.91	29.7
11	T1	12	0.0	0.404	33.7	LOS C	6.1	43.2	0.91	0.78	0.91	15.9
12	R2	236	11.6	0.651	39.7	LOS C	9.8	75.7	0.97	0.84	0.99	11.8
Appro	ach	393	7.8	0.651	38.5	LOS C	9.8	75.7	0.94	0.81	0.96	19.5
All Ve	hicles	1862	6.7	0.712	26.5	LOS B	18.3	132.7	0.81	0.71	0.82	35.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	ement Performance -	Pedestrians						
Mov		Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back o	f Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate
		ped/h	sec		ped	m		
P1	South Full Crossing	5	39.2	LOS D	0.0	0.0	0.93	0.93
P2	East Full Crossing	48	25.0	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.75	0.75
P3	North Full Crossing	97	39.4	LOS D	0.2	0.2	0.94	0.94
P4	West Full Crossing	5	16.8	LOS B	0.0	0.0	0.61	0.61
All Pe	destrians	156	34.1	LOS D			0.87	0.87

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

## ▽Site: 101 [2017AM - School Access]

School Access No allowance for cross-use, staggered start/finish times. Medowie Road duplicated for 100 metres each way. Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

#### Movement Performance - Vehicles

111010													
Mov	Turn	Demand	Flows		Average		95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average	
ID	Turr	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed	
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h	
South:	Medo	wie Road											
1	L2	158	0.0	0.120	8.1	LOS A	0.5	3.5	0.34	0.62	0.34	56.1	
2	T1	386	14.4	0.217	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	79.9	
Approa	ach	544	10.3	0.217	2.4	LOS A	0.5	3.5	0.10	0.18	0.10	71.1	
North:	Medov	vie Road											
8	T1	901	7.0	0.486	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	79.7	
9	R2	244	0.0	0.204	7.2	LOS A	0.9	6.6	0.50	0.73	0.50	35.6	
Approa	ach	1145	5.5	0.486	1.6	NA	0.9	6.6	0.11	0.15	0.11	71.9	
All Vel	nicles	1689	7.0	0.486	1.8	NA	0.9	6.6	0.10	0.16	0.10	71.6	

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

#### SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2018 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: SECA SOLUTION | Processed: Wednesday, 10 October 2018 10:30:40 AM

## Site: 101 [2017PM (School Peak) - School Egress / South Street]

Medowie Road / South Street

No allowance for cross-use, staggered start/finish times.

Medowie Road duplicated for 100 metres each way.

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 90 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

Move	ment	Performa	ince - '	Vehicl	es							
Mov	Turn	Demand	Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turri	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	: Medo	wie Road										
1	L2	31	100.0	0.028	6.2	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.57	0.00	38.9
2	T1	653	3.5	0.450	15.5	LOS B	12.0	86.7	0.67	0.58	0.67	51.6
3	R2	140	6.8	0.593	47.2	LOS D	6.1	45.0	0.99	0.80	1.00	16.1
Appro	ach	823	7.7	0.593	20.5	LOS B	12.0	86.7	0.70	0.62	0.70	42.9
East:	South S	Street										
4	L2	61	3.4	0.168	21.7	LOS B	1.3	9.1	0.86	0.72	0.86	24.1
6	R2	62	3.4	0.514	51.9	LOS D	2.9	20.6	1.00	0.76	1.01	26.9
Appro	ach	123	3.4	0.514	36.9	LOS C	2.9	20.6	0.93	0.74	0.94	26.0
North:	Medov	vie Road										
7	L2	104	1.0	0.134	24.0	LOS B	2.8	19.6	0.64	0.74	0.64	41.0
8	T1	496	2.3	0.574	28.8	LOS C	12.1	86.3	0.88	0.74	0.88	39.6
Appro	ach	600	2.1	0.574	28.0	LOS B	12.1	86.3	0.84	0.74	0.84	39.8
West:	School	Access										
10	L2	231	5.5	0.570	36.2	LOS C	9.9	72.0	0.93	0.81	0.93	30.1
11	T1	20	0.0	0.570	32.8	LOS C	9.9	72.0	0.93	0.81	0.93	16.1
12	R2	175	10.2	0.413	34.7	LOS C	6.6	50.1	0.89	0.78	0.89	13.0
Appro	ach	425	7.2	0.570	35.4	LOS C	9.9	72.0	0.91	0.80	0.91	23.6
All Ve	hicles	1972	5.6	0.593	27.0	LOS B	12.1	86.7	0.80	0.70	0.80	36.0

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Iovement Performance - Pedestrians													
Mov		Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back of	of Queue	Prop.	Effective						
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate						
		ped/h	sec		ped	m								
P1	South Full Crossing	5	39.2	LOS D	0.0	0.0	0.93	0.93						
P2	East Full Crossing	48	32.2	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.85	0.85						
P3	North Full Crossing	97	38.4	LOS D	0.2	0.2	0.93	0.93						
P4	West Full Crossing	5	18.7	LOS B	0.0	0.0	0.64	0.64						
All Pe	destrians	156	35.8	LOS D			0.89	0.89						

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements. Quality Traffic Advice

## ablaSite: 101 [2017PM (School Peak) - School Access]

School Access No allowance for cross-use, staggered start/finish times. Medowie Road duplicated for 100 metres each way. Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

#### Movement Performance - Vehicles

Mov	Turn	Demand F	lows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turri	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South:	Medo	wie Road										
1	L2	205	0.0	0.143	7.8	LOS A	0.6	4.3	0.26	0.59	0.26	56.5
2	T1	825	7.7	0.444	0.1	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	79.8
Approa	ach	1031	6.1	0.444	1.6	LOS A	0.6	4.3	0.05	0.12	0.05	73.7
North:	Medov	wie Road										
8	T1	581	6.9	0.314	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	79.9
9	R2	151	0.0	0.238	11.1	LOS A	1.0	6.9	0.71	0.91	0.76	30.3
Approa	ach	732	5.5	0.314	2.3	NA	1.0	6.9	0.15	0.19	0.16	70.2
All Veh	nicles	1762	5.9	0.444	1.9	NA	1.0	6.9	0.09	0.15	0.10	72.3

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

#### SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2018 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: SECA SOLUTION | Processed: Wednesday, 10 October 2018 10:33:57 AM

## Site: 101 [2017PM (Road Peak) - School Egress / South Street]

Medowie Road / South Street

Staff movements and ELC movements during regular PM peak

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 90 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time)

#### Movement Performance - Vehicle

Movement Performance - venicles												
Mov	Turn	Demand	l Flows	3.	Average		95% Back	of Queue	Prop.		Aver. No.	
ID	Turri	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	: Medo	wie Road										
1	L2	1	100.0	0.001	6.2	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.57	0.00	38.9
2	T1	818	2.6	0.577	14.2	LOS A	14.0	100.1	0.66	0.58	0.66	53.2
3	R2	178	1.8	0.437	38.8	LOS C	6.9	48.8	0.91	0.80	0.91	18.5
Appro	ach	997	2.5	0.577	18.6	LOS B	14.0	100.1	0.70	0.62	0.70	45.3
East:	South S	Street										
4	L2	94	6.7	0.183	18.3	LOS B	1.7	12.9	0.79	0.73	0.79	26.0
6	R2	72	1.5	0.584	52.4	LOS D	3.3	23.6	1.00	0.79	1.07	27.0
Appro	ach	165	4.5	0.584	33.1	LOS C	3.3	23.6	0.88	0.75	0.91	26.6
North	: Medov	wie Road										
7	L2	97	1.1	0.143	27.4	LOS B	2.8	20.0	0.70	0.75	0.70	38.8
8	T1	301	4.2	0.437	31.7	LOS C	7.4	53.6	0.88	0.72	0.88	37.7
Appro	ach	398	3.4	0.437	30.6	LOS C	7.4	53.6	0.84	0.73	0.84	38.0
West:	Schoo	I Access										
10	L2	80	0.0	0.225	35.6	LOS C	3.3	22.9	0.87	0.74	0.87	30.4
11	T1	8	0.0	0.225	32.2	LOS C	3.3	22.9	0.87	0.74	0.87	16.4
12	R2	127	0.0	0.325	36.3	LOS C	4.8	33.8	0.89	0.76	0.89	12.6
Appro	ach	216	0.0	0.325	35.9	LOS C	4.8	33.8	0.88	0.75	0.88	20.5
All Ve	hicles	1776	2.6	0.584	24.7	LOS B	14.0	100.1	0.77	0.67	0.78	37.9

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians													
Mov		Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back o	f Queue	Prop.	Effective						
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate						
		ped/h	sec		ped	m								
P1	South Full Crossing	5	39.2	LOS D	0.0	0.0	0.93	0.93						
P2	East Full Crossing	48	36.5	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.90	0.90						
P3	North Full Crossing	97	39.4	LOS D	0.2	0.2	0.94	0.94						
P4	West Full Crossing	5	16.8	LOS B	0.0	0.0	0.61	0.61						
All Pe	All Pedestrians		37.7	LOS D			0.92	0.92						

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

## ablaSite: 101 [2017PM (Road Peak) - School Access]

School Access No allowance for cross-use, staggered start/finish times. Medowie Road duplicated for 100 metres each way. Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

#### Movement Performance - Vehicles

Mov	Turn	Demand F	lows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	TUITI	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South:	Medo	wie Road										
1	L2	23	0.0	0.014	7.4	LOS A	0.1	0.4	0.08	0.58	0.08	57.8
2	T1	996	2.4	0.519	0.1	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	79.7
Approa	ach	1019	2.4	0.519	0.3	LOS A	0.1	0.4	0.00	0.01	0.00	79.0
North:	Medov	wie Road										
8	T1	522	3.6	0.276	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	79.9
9	R2	23	0.0	0.050	12.6	LOS A	0.2	1.2	0.75	0.91	0.75	28.7
Approa	ach	545	3.5	0.276	0.5	NA	0.2	1.2	0.03	0.04	0.03	77.7
All Veł	nicles	1564	2.8	0.519	0.4	NA	0.2	1.2	0.01	0.02	0.01	78.6

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

#### SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2018 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: SECA SOLUTION | Processed: Wednesday, 10 October 2018 11:17:31 AM

#### 2027 Development (With Road Upgrades) 2.4% growth along Medowie Road - School peaks AM and PM

#### **MOVEMENT SUMMARY**

## Site: 101 [2027AM - School Egress / South Street]

Medowie Road / South Street Applying 2.4% pa growth on Medowie Road. Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 90 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time) Design Life Analysis (Final Year): Results for 10 years

Move	ement	Performa	ance -	Vehic	les							
Mov	Turn	Demand	Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turri	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	: Medo	wie Road										
1	L2	31	100.0	0.028	6.2	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.57	0.00	38.9
2	T1	393	6.6	0.264	12.9	LOS A	6.2	46.0	0.59	0.49	0.59	54.8
3	R2	42	10.0	0.364	52.4	LOS D 11	1.9	14.5	0.99	0.73	0.99	14.9
Appro	bach	466	13.1	0.364	16.1	LOS B	6.2	46.0	0.58	0.52	0.58	48.3
East:	South S	Street										
4	L2	145	1.4	0.593	27.0	LOS B	3.9	27.6	0.99	0.79	1.00	21.6
6	R2	87	1.2	0.712	53.9	LOS D 11	4.2	29.5	1.00	0.85	1.20	26.5
Appro	ach	233	1.4	0.712	37.1	LOS C	4.2	29.5	0.99	0.81	1.07	24.2
North	: Medov	wie Road										
7	L2	83	2.5	0.089	18.9	LOS B	1.8	13.2	0.54	0.72	0.54	44.8
8	T1	948	4.4	0.894	34.2	LOS C	30.9	224.7	0.93	0.91	1.08	36.1
Appro	ach	1031	4.3	0.894	33.0	LOS C	30.9	224.7	0.90	0.90	1.04	36.8
West	Schoo	I Access										
10	L2	145	2.2	0.384	36.1	LOS C	6.0	42.5	0.90	0.77	0.90	30.1
11	T1	12	0.0	0.384	32.7	LOS C	6.0	42.5	0.90	0.77	0.90	16.2
12	R2	236	11.6	0.619	38.2	LOS C	9.6	73.9	0.95	0.82	0.95	12.1
Appro	ach	393	7.8	0.619	37.3	LOS C	9.6	73.9	0.93	0.80	0.93	19.9
All Ve	hicles	2122	6.5	0.894	30.5	LOS C	30.9	224.7	0.84	0.79	0.92	33.9

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

11 Level of Service is worse than the Level of Service Target specified in the Parameter Settings dialog.

Move	ement Performance -	Pedestrians						
Mov		Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back of	f Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate
		ped/h	sec		ped	m		
P1	South Full Crossing	6	39.2	LOS D	0.0	0.0	0.93	0.93
P2	East Full Crossing	58	25.8	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.76	0.76
P3	North Full Crossing	116	39.4	LOS D	0.3	0.3	0.94	0.94
P4	West Full Crossing	6	17.4	LOS B	0.0	0.0	0.62	0.62
All Pe	destrians	187	34.4	LOS D			0.87	0.87

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

## ▽Site: 101 [2027AM - School Access]

School Access Applying 2.4% pa growth on Medowie Road. Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way) Design Life Analysis (Final Year): Results for 10 years

Move	ment l	Performa	nce - \	Vehicl	es							
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	
South:	Medo	wie Road										
1	L2	158	0.0	0.120	8.1	LOS A	0.5	3.5	0.34	0.62	0.34	56.1
2	T1	479	14.4	0.269	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	79.9
Approa	ach	637	10.9	0.269	2.0	LOS A	0.5	3.5	0.08	0.15	0.08	72.2
North:	Medov	wie Road										
8	T1	1117	7.0	0.603	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	79.5
9	R2	244	0.0	0.230	7.8	LOS A	1.0	7.3	0.56	0.78	0.56	34.6
Approa	ach	1362	5.8	0.603	1.4	NA	1.0	7.3	0.10	0.14	0.10	72.7
All Veł	nicles	1998	7.4	0.603	1.6	NA	1.0	7.3	0.09	0.14	0.09	72.6

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2018 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: SECA SOLUTION | Processed: Wednesday, 10 October 2018 10:44:15 AM

## Site: 101 [2027PM (School Peak) - School Egress / South Street ]

Medowie Road / South Street Applying 2.4% pa growth on Medowie Road. Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 90 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time) Design Life Analysis (Final Year): Results for 10 years

Move	ment	Performa	ance -	Vehic	les —							
Mov	_	Demand			Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Turn	Total	HV	Satn		Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South:	Medo	wie Road										
1	L2	31	100.0	0.028	6.2	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.57	0.00	38.9
2	T1	809	3.5	0.599	14.8	LOS B	13.9	100.2	0.67	0.59	0.67	52.5
3	R2	140	6.8	0.647	49.0	LOS D 11	6.2	46.3	1.00	0.82	1.07	15.7
Approa	ach	980	7.0	0.647	19.4	LOS B	13.9	100.2	0.70	0.62	0.71	44.5
East: S	South S	Street										
4	L2	61	3.4	0.178	22.4	LOS B	1.4	9.9	0.87	0.73	0.87	23.7
6	R2	62	3.4	0.514	51.9	LOS D 11	2.9	20.6	1.00	0.76	1.01	26.9
Approa	ach	123	3.4	0.514	37.3	LOS C	2.9	20.6	0.94	0.74	0.94	25.9
North:	Medov	wie Road										
7	L2	104	1.0	0.124	22.0	LOS B	2.6	18.5	0.61	0.74	0.61	42.4
8	T1	615	2.3	0.639	27.2	LOS B	15.0	106.8	0.88	0.75	0.88	40.7
Approa	ach	719	2.1	0.639	26.5	LOS B	15.0	106.8	0.84	0.75	0.84	41.0
West:	Schoo	Access										
10	L2	231	5.5	0.627	38.3	LOS C	10.2	74.5	0.96	0.82	0.96	29.2
11	T1	20	0.0	0.627	34.9	LOS C	10.2	74.5	0.96	0.82	0.96	15.5
12	R2	175	10.2	0.454	36.7	LOS C	6.8	51.8	0.91	0.79	0.91	12.5
Approa	ach	425	7.2	0.627	37.5	LOS C	10.2	74.5	0.94	0.81	0.94	22.8
All Veł	nicles	2247	5.3	0.647	26.1	LOS B	15.0	106.8	0.80	0.70	0.81	37.2

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

11 Level of Service is worse than the Level of Service Target specified in the Parameter Settings dialog.

Move	ement Performance -	Pedestrians						
Mov		Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back c	of Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate
		ped/h	sec		ped	m		
P1	South Full Crossing	6	39.2	LOS D	0.0	0.0	0.93	0.93
P2	East Full Crossing	58	29.7	LOS C	0.1	0.1	0.81	0.81
P3	North Full Crossing	116	39.4	LOS D	0.3	0.3	0.94	0.94
P4	West Full Crossing	6	17.4	LOS B	0.0	0.0	0.62	0.62
All Pe	edestrians	187	35.6	LOS D			0.89	0.89

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement.

Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

## ablaSite: 101 [2027PM (School Peak) - School Access]

School Access Applying 2.4% pa growth on Medowie Road. Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way) Design Life Analysis (Final Year): Results for 10 years

Move	ment	Performa	nce - '	Vehicl	es							
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total	Flows HV	Deg. Satn	Average Delay	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles	of Queue Distance	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	Medo	wie Road										
1	L2	205	0.0	0.143	7.8	LOS A	0.6	4.3	0.26	0.59	0.26	56.5
2	T1	1023	7.7	0.551	0.1	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	79.6
Approa	ach	1229	6.4	0.551	1.4	LOS A	0.6	4.3	0.04	0.10	0.04	74.5
North:	Medov	wie Road										
8	T1	721	6.9	0.388	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	79.8
9	R2	151	0.0	0.365	16.4	LOS B	1.5	10.7	0.84	0.99	1.06	25.1
Approa	ach	871	5.7	0.388	2.9	NA	1.5	10.7	0.15	0.17	0.18	69.4
All Vel	hicles	2100	6.1	0.551	2.0	NA	1.5	10.7	0.09	0.13	0.10	72.5

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2018 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: SECA SOLUTION | Processed: Wednesday, 10 October 2018 10:53:23 AM

## Site: 101 [2027PM (Road Peak) - School Egress / South Street]

Medowie Road / South Street

Staff movements and ELC movements during regular PM peak

Site Category: (None)

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 90 seconds (Site User-Given Cycle Time) Design Life Analysis (Final Year): Results for 10 years

Move	ement	Performa	ance -	Vehic	les							
Mov	Turn	Demand	Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average
ID	Tunn	Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h
South	: Medo	wie Road										
1	L2	1	100.0	0.001	6.2	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.57	0.00	38.9
2	T1	1014	2.6	0.745	16.3	LOS B	19.3	138.3	0.73	0.65	0.73	50.7
3	R2	178	1.8	0.514	42.0	LOS C	7.2	51.3	0.95	0.81	0.95	17.5
Appro	ach	1193	2.5	0.745	20.1	LOS B	19.3	138.3	0.76	0.67	0.77	44.3
East:	South S	Street										
4	L2	94	6.7	0.207	19.7	LOS B	1.8	13.6	0.82	0.73	0.82	25.2
6	R2	72	1.5	0.584	52.4	LOS D 11	3.3	23.6	1.00	0.79	1.07	27.0
Appro	ach	165	4.5	0.584	33.8	LOS C	3.3	23.6	0.90	0.76	0.93	26.3
North	: Medov	wie Road										
7	L2	97	1.1	0.135	26.0	LOS B	2.7	19.3	0.68	0.74	0.68	39.7
8	T1	373	4.2	0.495	30.5	LOS C	9.1	66.2	0.88	0.73	0.88	38.4
Appro	ach	470	3.6	0.495	29.6	LOS C	9.1	66.2	0.84	0.73	0.84	38.7
West:	Schoo	I Access										
10	L2	80	0.0	0.213	34.6	LOS C	3.2	22.5	0.86	0.73	0.86	30.9
11	T1	8	0.0	0.213	31.2	LOS C	3.2	22.5	0.86	0.73	0.86	16.7
12	R2	127	0.0	0.309	35.3	LOS C	4.8	33.3	0.88	0.76	0.88	12.9
Appro	ach	216	0.0	0.309	34.9	LOS C	4.8	33.3	0.87	0.75	0.87	20.8
All Ve	hicles	2044	2.7	0.745	24.9	LOS B	19.3	138.3	0.80	0.70	0.81	38.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

11 Level of Service is worse than the Level of Service Target specified in the Parameter Settings dialog.

Move	ement Performance -	Pedestrians						
Mov		Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back of	f Queue	Prop.	Effective
ID	Description	Flow	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate
		ped/h	sec		ped	m		
P1	South Full Crossing	6	39.2	LOS D	0.0	0.0	0.93	0.93
P2	East Full Crossing	58	34.8	LOS D	0.1	0.1	0.88	0.88
P3	North Full Crossing	116	39.4	LOS D	0.3	0.3	0.94	0.94
P4	West Full Crossing	6	17.4	LOS B	0.0	0.0	0.62	0.62
All Pe	destrians	187	37.2	LOS D			0.91	0.91

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

## ablaSite: 101 [2027PM (Road Peak) - School Access]

School Access Applying 2.4% growth on Medowie Road. Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way) Design Life Analysis (Final Year): Results for 10 years

Move	ment l	Performar	nce - V	Vehicl	es							
Mov ID	Turn	Demand F Total veh/h	lows <sup>-</sup> HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	0
South:	Medo	wie Road	/0									
1	L2	23	0.0	0.014	7.4	LOS A	0.1	0.4	0.08	0.58	0.08	57.8
2	T1	1235	2.4	0.643	0.2	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	79.5
Approa	ach	1258	2.4	0.643	0.3	LOS A	0.1	0.4	0.00	0.01	0.00	78.9
North:	Medov	vie Road										
8	T1	647	3.6	0.343	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	79.8
9	R2	23	0.0	0.093	19.8	LOS B	0.3	2.1	0.87	0.96	0.87	22.7
Approa	ach	671	3.5	0.343	0.7	NA	0.3	2.1	0.03	0.03	0.03	77.4
All Veł	nicles	1929	2.8	0.643	0.4	NA	0.3	2.1	0.01	0.02	0.01	78.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2018 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: SECA SOLUTION | Processed: Wednesday, 10 October 2018 11:20:15 AM

## Appendix G Council Meeting Minutes

### webber architects

commercial and residential

#### **Design Meeting Agenda**

Date Project	Monday, 16 April 2544 Cath	2018 nerine McAuley Catholic College, Medowie
Meeting Location	Port Stephens Co	ouncil, Tomaree Room, Raymond Terrace
Present	Council	Matthew Borsato (MB) Senior Strategic Planner Joe Gleeson (JG) Traffic Engineer Andrew Behrens (AB) Engineering Services Manager
	ARCHITECT (WA)	Tim Hayes (TH) Luke Keating (LK)
	Traffic Consultant	Cathy Thomas (CT) Seca Solutions Tyler Neve (TN) Seca Solutions
Apologies	Matt Snelson (MF	PC Engineers)

Distribution All present, Rohan McDonald (MN Diocese), Matt Snelson (MPC)

#### **Corrections to Previous Minutes**

Please contact our office if there are any omissions or should there be any adjustments required to these minutes.

ITEM		ACTION	DATE
01	Site Design		
01.01	Webber Architects presented Architectural site plan 2544-02-0002-CC and MPC Engineers civil roadway upgrade drawings 17-828-R01 to R08 Rev 1.		
01.02	TH briefly explained the design process to date, including two Pre-Da meetings with the Government Architect's Office and the RMS. Several design options have been explored to address the comments of both authorities to promote walk/ride/bus, safe pedestrian access, efficient vehicular drop off/pick up, DCP parking requirements and a negligible impact on the road network.		
01.03	TH noted no footpath or stormwater upgrades along the road verge was proposed by the MPC design. JG indicated this would be acceptable. MB noted a shared cycleway/pathway is proposed within the Section 94 plan along the east side of Medowie Road, from the North terminating at the South Street Intersection. There is no requirement to extend the footpath south of Medowie Road as there is no development to connect to.		
	umler St. Newcastle - PO Box 807 The Junction 2291 Directors Jon Webber (Nor 4.9.2.6.1.0.7.8 - AcN 140 652 188 - AbN 83 140 652 188 - Sandra Hinchey, berarchitects.com • www.webberarchitects.com Associate Director Tim Hayes Associate Luke Keating	ninated Architect) AIA NSW ARE Kelran Brooks	I No. 6830
File: 7.1	.07 Design Meeting Minutes Date: 12 / 11 / 2015 Approved: MN	A Page: 1/4	I.

## webberarchitects

commercial and residential

ITEM		ACTION	DATE
01.04	MB indicated that Kingfisher Close could be extended to the North to connect with future urban release areas, and that this could provide an informal path for walk/cycle to school and connection between the School development and the cul-de-sac of Kingfisher close should be explored by the proposal. TH advised this is possible in later stages, and a future residential subdivision with common concrete driveway may be proposed by the developer in the future which would facilitate this connection.		
01.05	JG queried traffic delays at the traffic light intersection, as the local residents have indicated they would be adverse to traffic lights in Medowie. TN advised during the traffic study, minor delays turning left and right were experienced at the intersection. CT advised these delays would be consistent with the traffic light phasing, if not for the first one or two cars but for the following cars queued at the intersection.		
01.06	CT notes that the traffic lights make a safer right turn out of South Street, as well as a level pedestrian crossing, and that this methodology should be noted to residents to support the traffic light intersection. CT also noted any presumed delay by residents of the Pacific Dunes development heading North to the town centre could be mitigated by travel via the Brockelsby Road intersection to avoid the lights.		
01.07	TH advised a representative of the Diocese had attended a community meeting in Medowie and the traffic lights were on item raised, along with negligent driving within unattended carparks at night. TH advised boom gate controls to carparks have been implemented based on this feedback. Residents were also advised during the meeting that a traffic engineer has been engaged to design the intersection, and that the traffic lights were the most preferred option for a safe pedestrian crossing for students. The resident group seemed responsive to this.		
01.08	CT noted that the impact of the traffic volumes generated by the School as well as the traffic lights would be limited to the peak AM & PM periods of drop off and pick up, which occurs over a 15-20 minutes period twice a day. This is typical of all schools and cannot be avoided due to the nature of the development. This is considered limited impact to the surrounding area and is negligible in comparison to a development such as a shopping centre.		
01.09	MB advised that the existing public bus stop in South street was currently acting as an interchange drop off/pick up point for up to 5 private shared school buses for local schools. TN advised that due to the draw and numbers of the proposed school, it is assumed it will be served by dedicated buses and will not impact on the South Street bus stop. In any case the traffic light pedestrian crossing will improve safety of the existing South Street bus stop for school users. SECA will review the current routes and volumes at the south street bus stop to determine if the South Street bus stop could be utilised by students attending the proposed school.	SECA Solutions	
01.10	CT advised the planning of the development is now reaching a stage where private bus companies can be consulted regarding servicing the development. Council recommend this approach.	SECA Solutions	

File: 7.1.07 Design Meeting Minutes I

### webber architects

commercial and residential

TEM		ACTION	DATE
01.11	Council query the U-turn for buses travelling south at the Richardson Road roundabout to enter the site. This could be conceived as a safety risk due to traffic flows at this roundabout and cause for objection. CT did not see a safety issue with this proposal and the Richardson Road roundabout is within proximity to the site entry point (less than 1 km) that it was not considered a major detour.		
01.12	Internal vehicular and pedestrian circulation was discussed. TH advised up to 12 site planning options were investigated, with the preferred scheme being developed into the current design. This aimed to bring bus & vehicle drop off/pick up into the front of the school. Any vehicular/pedestrian conflict was limited to the buses & pedestrian crossing, which could be manned/supervised as part of the traffic management plan for the School.		
01.13	TH advised schemes incorporating two separate site entry/exit points were considered, however distances for merge/decal lanes did not permit this to occur.		
02	Planning Controls to Consider		
02.01	MB advised that the 'URAP Medowie Traffic & Transport Study' is applicable to the development. This publication references the South Street intersection as servicing a future school.		
02.02	MB advised the current Section 94 contributions plan does not note the South Street intersection as an item that contributions are collected for. Therefore there is no instrument for the developer to seek contributions for the road upgrades.		
02.03	MB advised there is a draft Section 94 contributions plan that is anticipated for release on exhibition within the next month or two. This plan identifies \$3M for upgrade of three intersections along Medowie Road. The South Street intersection is one of those intersections identified in the plan. MB could not confirm the apportionment of the S94 funding, but it would not be as simple as splitting the contributions into three equal sums.		
02.04	MB advised the draft Section 94 contributions plan includes the entire LGA, not just Medowie. It's adoption date cannot be confirmed but MB anticipates this would occur within 12 months, subject to responses received within the exhibition period.		
02.05	MB advised the draft S94 plan indicates a future roundabout at the South Street intersection, but this is not to be taken as an indication of preference by Council. MB suggests traffic lights have not been indicated in the plan to avoid objection by local residents as there are currently no traffic light intersections in the Medowie area.		
02.06	MB suggested the State Infrastructure Contributions scheme may be an avenue for the client to explore a contribution towards the cost of roadway upgrades. MB could not comment on it's applicability to the proposal.		

File: 7.1.07 Design Meeting Minutes Date: 12 / 11 / 2015

Approved: MM

Page: 3/4

I