



global environmental solutions



Plan Magis
seeking excellence in learning

St Aloysius' College
SSDA Noise Impact Assessment



Report Number 610.17552-R01

2 February 2018

St Aloysius' College
St Aloysius' College-Main Reception
47 Upper Pitt Street
MILSONS POINT NSW 2061

Version: v1.0

St Aloysius' College

SSDA Noise Impact Assessment

PREPARED BY:

SLR Consulting Australia Pty Ltd
ABN 29 001 584 612
2 Lincoln Street
Lane Cove NSW 2066 Australia
(PO Box 176 Lane Cove NSW 1595 Australia)
+61 2 9427 8100 +61 2 9427 8200
sydney@slrconsulting.com www.slrconsulting.com

This report has been prepared by SLR Consulting Australia Pty Ltd with all reasonable skill, care and diligence, and taking account of the timescale and resources allocated to it by agreement with the Client. Information reported herein is based on the interpretation of data collected, which has been accepted in good faith as being accurate and valid.

This report is provided for the use of and reliance upon by St Aloysius' College (ABN 46 621 313 264) as the owner and beneficiary, and that they may not be relied upon by any other party without the express permission of St Aloysius' College.

No warranties or guarantees are expressed or should be inferred by any third parties. This report may not be relied upon by other parties without written consent from SLR.

SLR disclaims any responsibility to the Client and others in respect of any matters outside the agreed scope of the work.

DOCUMENT CONTROL

Reference	Date	Prepared	Checked	Authorised
610.17552-R01-v1.0	2 February 2018	Nash Cameron Jeffs	A Campbell	Alex Campbell

Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	6
2	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	6
2.1	Site Overview and Layout	6
2.2	Proposed Works	7
2.3	Surrounding Environment	8
2.4	Potential Noise Impacts	8
2.5	Affected Residential Receivers	9
2.6	SEARs	9
3	AMBIENT NOISE ENVIRONMENT	10
3.1	Unattended Noise Monitoring	10
3.1.1	INP Rating Background Level (RBL)	12
3.2	Attended Noise Monitoring	12
4	NOISE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	13
4.1	Operational Noise – NSW Industrial Noise Policy	13
4.1.1	Intrusiveness Criterion	13
4.1.2	Amenity Criterion	14
4.1.3	Amenity Area Classification	14
4.1.4	Project Specific Noise Criteria	14
4.2	Operational Noise Criteria – OOH Events	15
4.3	Construction Noise Criteria – NSW Environment Protection Authority	15
4.3.1	Construction Noise Guidelines	15
4.3.2	Hours of Construction	15
4.3.1	Recommended Sound Levels	16
4.3.2	Construction Noise Assessment Method	16
4.4	Construction Noise Management Levels for Residential Receivers	17
4.5	Vibration Damage Criteria – Surface Structures	17
4.5.1	British Standard 7385: Part 2 - 1993	17
4.5.2	German Standard DIN 4150: Part 3-1999	19
4.6	Human Comfort Vibration Criteria	20
4.6.1	General	20
4.6.2	Human Comfort Criteria for Construction Vibration	21
4.7	Construction Vibration - Cosmetic Damage	21
5	NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT	21
5.1	Main Campus	21
5.1.1	Noise Model	21
5.1.2	Noise Sensitive Receiver Criteria	25
5.1.3	Predicted Operational Noise Levels	26

Table of Contents

6	DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	28
6.1	Main Campus	28
6.1.1	Operational Noise Levels – Student Activities (Proposed Infill Rooftop)	28
6.1.2	Operational Noise Levels – OOSH Events (Proposed Infill Rooftop)	28
6.1.3	Operational Noise Levels – Proposed Façade Openings	29
6.1.4	Construction Noise Impacts	29
6.1.5	Construction Vibration Impacts	29
6.2	Wyalla	30
6.3	Junior School	30
7	CONCLUSION	31

TABLES

Table 1	Ambient Noise Logger Overview	11
Table 2	Measured Ambient Noise Levels Corresponding to INP Assessment Time Periods	12
Table 3	Attended Noise Monitoring Results	13
Table 4	Operational Noise Criteria for Receivers Surrounding the Main Campus	14
Table 5	Operational Noise Criteria – OOH Events	15
Table 6	Recommended Standard Hours of Construction	15
Table 7	Recommended EPA General Noise Management Levels for Residences Affected by Construction Works	16
Table 8	Construction Airborne Noise Management Levels	17
Table 9	Transient Vibration Guide Values - Minimal Risk of Cosmetic Damage	18
Table 10	DIN 4150 Structural Damage - Safe Limits for Short-term Building Vibration	20
Table 11	Peak Vibration Levels and Human Perception of Motion	21
Table 12	Transient Vibration Guide Values - Minimal Risk of Cosmetic Damage	21
Table 13	Noise Sources – Sound Power Levels	22
Table 14	Existing Operational Noise Levels	23
Table 15	Operational Noise Criteria for Receivers Surrounding the Main Campus	25
Table 16	Predicted Operational Noise Levels – Student Activities (Proposed Infill Rooftop)	26
Table 17	Predicted Operational Noise Levels – OOSH Events (Proposed Infill Rooftop)	27
Table 18	Recommended safe working distances for vibration intensive plant	30

FIGURES

Figure 1	Site Layout	7
Figure 2	Main Campus – Proposed Infill Building and Façade Openings	8
Figure 3	Affected Residential Receivers surrounding the Main Campus	9
Figure 4	Noise and Vibration Extract from SEARs	10
Figure 5	Ambient Noise Logger Locations	11
Figure 6	Graph of Transient Vibration Guide Values for Cosmetic Damage	18
Figure 7	Deriving Existing Operational Noise Levels	23
Figure 8	3D Model – Main Campus – Operational Noise Impacts (Student Activities – No Acoustic Barrier)	24

Table of Contents

Figure 9	3D Model – Main Campus – Operational Noise Impacts (OOSH Events) with Acoustic Barrier	25
----------	--	----

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Acoustic Terminology
Appendix B	Ambient Noise Monitoring Results

1 INTRODUCTION

SLR Consulting Australia Pty Ltd (SLR) has been engaged by St Aloysius' College (SAC) to prepare a Noise Impact Assessment (NIA) which will be submitted to the Department of Planning and Environment as part of the State Significant Development Application (SSDA) for the proposed redevelopment works at their three campus locations at Kirribilli.

This NIA addresses relevant considerations contained in the State Significant Development Application (SSDA 8669) Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) dated 28 August 2017. The Project is seeking full SSDA approval for the proposed main campus works, concept SSDA approval for the Junior School campus, and both concept SSDA and built form approval for the Wyalla campus. As a result, this report predominantly addresses the potential noise impacts associated with the proposed works to the Main Campus in detail. High level, qualitative commentary is provided in relation to the proposed works to the Junior School and Wyalla sites.

This report presents the study methodology, assessment criteria, assessment of noise emissions and noise mitigation recommendations in relation to the following specific areas of acoustic significance:

- Operational noise emissions from regular student activities
- Operational noise emissions from out-of-school-hours events and public functions
- Operational noise emissions from onsite mechanical plant and equipment
- Potential noise and vibration emissions during the construction stage

A glossary of acoustic terminology used throughout this report is included as **Appendix A**.

2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

2.1 Site Overview and Layout

The site is located on the Milsons Point and Kirribilli peninsular on the lower North Shore in Sydney. The main site, at 47 Upper Pitt Street, accommodates the Secondary School and main administration, and comprises a multi-storey building constructed in stages in the 1960's and 70's. The buildings are built up to the street frontage boundaries on three sides and enclose a central courtyard.

Opposite the main site on Upper Pitt Street is "Wyalla", housing the Year 11 and 12 campus and Dalton Hall, which comprises an indoor pool and sports facility. "Wyalla" is a heritage item dating from the 1880's, whilst Dalton Hall was completed within the last 10 years.

The Junior School campus is at 29 Burton Street and accommodates the year 3-6 students. The site contains the former Milsons Point Primary School, which is a heritage item, and newer buildings constructed in the 1990's and 2000's. **Figure 1** shows the site layout and indicates the location of each of the three campuses relative to one another.

Figure 1 Site Layout



Note 1: The Junior Campus is indicated in orange, the Wyalla Campus in red and the Main Campus is indicated in blue.

2.2 Proposed Works

The project involves the redevelopment and refurbishment across the three SAC campuses (shown in Figure 1). The relevant works include:

- Staged works to the Main Campus including a new infill building to the courtyard with rooftop landscaping, multi-storey façade openings on the Jeffreys Street and Kirribilli Avenue sides of the building, and the refurbishment of other internal areas.
- Staged refurbishment of “Wyalla” to create more relevant teaching and learning facilities, including a small single storey addition to the heritage building.
- Further internal refurbishment staged works to the balance of the Main Campus is planned as well as a new subterranean sports facility and additional level of teaching and learning to the Junior School and final refurbishment works to the Wyalla building.

2.3 Surrounding Environment


The surrounding environment at each of the three campus locations is comprised predominately of residential developments in all directions which are a mixture of single and double-storey terraces as well as multi-storey apartment buildings. Each of the three campus locations are in close proximity to the Cahill Expressway to the west. Furthermore, the Main Campus is located approximately 100 m north of Sydney Harbour and approximately 200 m northeast of the northern end of the Sydney Harbour Bridge.

2.4 Potential Noise Impacts

The primary aim of this NIA is to identify and assess the operational noise impacts that may affect the surrounding environment and noise-sensitive receivers as a result of the proposed works outlined in **Section 2.2**. The potential noise and vibration impacts which may arise as a result of the proposed works include:

- Noise impacts from regular student activities on the rooftop area of the proposed infill building on the Main Campus (shown in **Figure 2**).
- Noise impacts from out-of-school-hours events and public functions on the rooftop area of the proposed infill building on the Main Campus (shown in **Figure 2**).
- Noise impacts from regular student activities emanating from the proposed façade openings on the Jeffreys Street and Kirribilli Avenue side of the Main Campus (shown in **Figure 2**).
- Noise impacts from building services equipment and mechanical plant located on the roof of the Main Campus.
- Potential noise and vibration impacts from during the construction stage.

Figure 2 Main Campus – Proposed Infill Building and Façade Openings




Note 1: The rooftop area of the proposed Main Campus infill building is shaded in blue.

Note 2: The proposed Main Campus facade openings are indicated in red on the eastern (Jeffreys Street) and southern (Kirribilli Avenue) sides of the building.

2.5 Affected Residential Receivers

The residential receivers that may be affected as a result of the proposed works to the Main Campus are presented in **Figure 3**.

Figure 3 Affected Residential Receivers surrounding the Main Campus



Note 1: Affected residential receivers shaded in green have been assigned more stringent criteria (Residential 1) while those shaded in red have been assigned less stringent criteria (Residential 2). This is based upon the existing noise exposure of these receivers from the noise survey undertaken. Project specific noise criteria are discussed in detail in **Section 4.1.4**.

2.6 SEARs

This NIA addresses relevant considerations contained in the State Significant Development Application (SSDA 8669) Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) dated 28 August 2017 (shown in **Figure 4**).

Figure 4 Noise and Vibration Extract from SEARs

7. Noise and Vibration

Identify and provide a quantitative assessment of the main noise and vibration generating sources during construction and operation, including consideration of any public address system, school bell and use of any school hall for concerts etc. (both during and outside school hours). Outline measures to minimise and mitigate the potential noise impacts on surrounding occupiers of land.

→ Relevant Policies and Guidelines:

- *NSW Industrial Noise Policy (EPA)*
- *Interim Construction Noise Guideline (DECC)*
- *Assessing Vibration: A Technical Guideline 2006*
- *Development Near Rail Corridors and Busy Roads – Interim Guideline (Department of Planning 2008)*

3 AMBIENT NOISE ENVIRONMENT

3.1 Unattended Noise Monitoring

In order to characterise the existing acoustical environment at the nearest sensitive receivers, unattended noise monitoring was conducted between Tuesday 10 October and Wednesday 18 October 2017 at the locations shown in **Figure 5** and outlined in **Table 1**.

Figure 5 Ambient Noise Logger Locations



Note 1: An additional noise logger was initially planned for deployment at Wyalla near Dalton Hall however construction works adjacent to the building made the proposed location unsuitable for noise monitoring.

Table 1 Ambient Noise Logger Overview

ID	Location	Monitoring Period	Model	Serial Number
L01	Junior Campus (adjacent to Crescent Place)	10/10/2017 – 18/10/2017	ARL EL-316	16-207-021
L02	Main Campus GF Courtyard	10/10/2017 – 18/10/2017	ARL EL-316	16-306-039
L03	Main Campus Juana Mateo Roof Terrace	10/10/2017 – 18/10/2017	Svantek 957	27580

Instrumentation for the survey comprised of two ARL EL-316 environmental noise loggers (serial numbers 16-207-021 and 16-306-039) and one Svantek 957 (serial number 27580), both fitted with microphone windshields. Calibration of the loggers was checked prior to and following measurements. Drift in calibration did not exceed ± 0.5 dBA. All equipment carried appropriate and current NATA (or manufacturer) calibration certificates.

Charts presenting summaries of the measured daily noise data are attached in **Appendix B1-B3**. The charts present each 24 hour period by incorporating the LA_{max}, LA_{eq} and LA₉₀ noise levels for the corresponding 15 minute periods.

The measured data has been filtered to remove periods affected during adverse weather conditions following consultation of weather reports recorded at the Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) Observatory Hill weather station. The filtered data is shown in **Appendix B1-B3**.

3.1.1 INP Rating Background Level (RBL)

The data obtained from the ambient noise monitoring was processed in accordance with the procedures contained in the NSW *"Industrial Noise Policy"* (INP, January 2000) to establish Rating Background Level (RBL, background noise level) at the nearest sensitive receivers. The results of this analysis are presented in **Table 2**.

Table 2 Measured Ambient Noise Levels Corresponding to INP Assessment Time Periods

Location	Daytime ¹	Evening ¹	Night-time ¹
	RBL ²	RBL	RBL
Junior Campus (adjacent to Crescent Place)	49	47	38
Main Campus GF Courtyard	45	43	37
Main Campus Juana Mateo Roof Terrace	58	56	47

Note 1: For Monday to Saturday, Daytime 7:00 am - 6:00 pm; Evening 6:00 pm - 10:00 pm; Night-time 10:00 pm - 7:00 am. On Sundays and Public Holidays, Daytime 8:00 am - 6:00 pm; Evening 6:00 pm - 10:00 pm; Night-time 10:00 pm - 8:00 am.

Note 2: The RBL noise level is representative of the "average minimum background sound level" (in the absence of the source under consideration), or simply the background level.

3.2 Attended Noise Monitoring

In order to identify noise sources contributing to the ambient noise environment at the nearest sensitive receivers, operator attended spot measurements were conducted adjacent to the Main Campus on Jeffreys Street and Kirribilli Avenue.

The attended spot measurements were also used to quantify the level of operational noise emitted from the Main Campus at the residential receivers adjacent to the proposed façade openings on both the Jeffreys Street and Kirribilli Avenue side of the Main Campus. Both measurements were conducted during designated school break periods to ensure the measurements were representative of operational noise emissions from the Main Campus.

A Brüel and Kjær 2260i sound level meter (serial number 2414604) fitted with a microphone windshield was used for the measurements. Calibration of the sound level meter was checked prior to and following measurements. Drift in calibration did not exceed ±0.5 dBA. All equipment carried appropriate and current NATA (or manufacturer) calibration certificates.

Measurements were conducted in accordance with AS 1055.1-1997: *"Acoustics - Description and measurement of environmental noise – General procedures"*.

The results of the operator attended noise measurements are presented in **Table 3** alongside a description of the contributing sources and corresponding noise levels at the time of the measurement.

Table 3 Attended Noise Monitoring Results

Receiver Location	Date / Start Time Duration	Primary Noise Descriptor			Comments
		LAeq	LAmx	LA90	
51 Kirribilli Avenue	02/11/2017 / 12:50pm 15 mins	61	83	57	Ambient environment a mixture of consistent road traffic noise from the northern end of the Sydney Harbour Bridge, local wildlife (insects and birds), intermittent construction noise and infrequent vehicle pass-bys on Kirribilli Avenue
22 Jeffreys Street	02/11/2017 / 13:15pm 15 mins	59	76	55	Ambient environment a mixture of noise from student activities, consistent road traffic noise from the northern end of the Sydney Harbour Bridge, distant construction noise and infrequent vehicle and aircraft pass-bys

4 NOISE ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

4.1 Operational Noise – NSW Industrial Noise Policy

Responsibility for the control of noise emissions in New South Wales is vested in Local Government and the NSW Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

The EPA oversees the Industrial Noise Policy (INP), 2000, which provides a framework and process for deriving noise criteria. The INP criteria for industrial noise sources have two components:

- Controlling the intrusive noise impacts for residents and other sensitive receivers in the short term; and
- Maintaining noise level amenity for particular land uses for residents and sensitive receivers in other land uses.

Note that there is a 2017 update to the INP released by the EPA in late October 2017 (the “Noise Policy for Industry”). However, as the SEAR’s for this project pre-dates the recently released updated Policy, it is SLR’s understanding that the year 2000 version of the Policy applies to this development.

4.1.1 Intrusiveness Criterion

For assessing intrusiveness, the background noise generally needs to be measured. The intrusiveness criterion essentially means that the equivalent continuous noise level (LAeq) of the source should not be more than 5 dBA above the measured Rated Background Level (RBL), over any 15 minute period.

4.1.2 Amenity Criterion

The amenity criterion is based on land use and associated activities (and their sensitivity to noise emission). The cumulative effect of noise from industrial sources needs to be considered in assessing the impact. The criteria relate only to other industrial-type noise sources and do not include road, rail or community noise. The existing noise level from industry is measured. If it approaches the criterion value, then noise levels from new industrial-type noise sources, (including air-conditioning mechanical plant) need to be designed so that the cumulative effect does not produce total noise levels that would significantly exceed the criterion.

4.1.3 Amenity Area Classification

The INP, for the purposes of determining the appropriate noise amenity criteria, characterises an “Urban” noise environment as an acoustical environment that:

- Is dominated by “urban hum” or industrial source noise.
- Has through traffic with characteristically heavy and continuous traffic flows during peak periods.
- Is near commercial districts or industrial districts.
- Has any combination of the above.

Where “urban hum” means the aggregate sound of many unidentifiable, mostly traffic-related sound sources.

For the purposes of this assessment, the area surrounding the nearest sensitive receivers falls under the “Urban” area classification.

4.1.4 Project Specific Noise Criteria

Having defined the area type, the processed results of the unattended noise monitoring have been used to determine the project specific noise criteria. The intrusive and amenity criteria for nearby residential premises and industrial boundaries are presented in **Table 4**. These criteria are nominated for the purpose of assessing potential noise impacts from the proposed works to the Main Campus.

In this case, the ambient noise environment is not dominated by industrial noise sources (but rather, by road traffic noise from the west) and therefore the amenity criteria becomes the Acceptable Noise Level (ANL). For each assessment period, the more stringent of the amenity or intrusive criteria are adopted as marked in bold in **Table 4**.

Table 4 Operational Noise Criteria for Receivers Surrounding the Main Campus

Receiver	Time of Day	ANL ¹ LAeq(period)	Measured RBL ² LA90(15minute)	Measured LAeq(period) Noise Level)	Criteria for New Sources	
					Intrusive LAeq(15minute)	Amenity ³ LAeq(period)
Residential 1	Day	60	45	55	50	58
	Evening	50	43	48	48	46
	Night	45	37	44	42	37
Residential 2	Day	60	58	62	63	52
	Evening	50	56	60	61	50
	Night	45	47	57	52	47

Note 1: ANL = “Acceptable Noise Level” for residences in Urban areas, and acceptable and maximum noise level for industrial receivers in accordance with INP.

Note 2: RBL = “Rating Background Level”.

Note 3: Assuming existing noise levels are unlikely to decrease in the future.

Two sets of project specific criteria (Residential 1 and Residential 2) were derived individually from noise loggers L02 and L03 (shown in **Figure 5**) to ensure that each affected residential receiver's existing ambient level was represented accurately.

The different project specific criteria have been assigned to each affected residential receiver on the basis of the receiver's location in terms of distance, height and direct line of sight to the northern end of the Sydney Harbour Bridge. The Main Campus and other larger buildings in the area offer significant shielding to residential receivers from road traffic noise originating from the northern end of the Sydney Harbour Bridge. Therefore, those particular receivers have been assigned more stringent and equitable project specific criteria due to their lower existing ambient background noise level.

4.2 Operational Noise Criteria – OOH Events

After a comprehensive review of criteria historically used to characterise and assess the potential noise impacts that may occur as a result of infrequent out-of-school-hours events on the rooftop area of the proposed Main Campus infill building, we recommend the following criteria be used:

Table 5 Operational Noise Criteria – OOH Events

Day	Time Periods	Relevant Criteria	Residential 1 Criteria	Residential 2 Criteria
Monday – Sunday	07:00 – 23:00	LAeq(15min) must not exceed RBL + 5 dB	48	61
	23:00 – 07:00	LAeq(15min) must not exceed RBL	37	47

This has been based upon the EPA *Noise Guide for Local Government* and other known similar assessments that have been approved within the Sydney Metropolitan area. It is considered that the above criteria will not apply on New Year's Eve as the cumulative noise from other large scale harbour based events in the area will be significantly higher than any event on SAC grounds.

4.3 Construction Noise Criteria – NSW Environment Protection Authority

4.3.1 Construction Noise Guidelines

The noise guidelines for construction works are based on the publications managed by the NSW Environment Protection Authority¹ (EPA). The EPA guidelines applicable to this assessment include:

- Construction Noise - *Interim Construction Noise Guideline* (DECC 2009).

4.3.2 Hours of Construction

The EPA's Guideline recommends confining permissible work times as outlined in **Table 6**.

Table 6 Recommended Standard Hours of Construction

Day	Recommended Construction Hours
Monday to Friday	7.00 am to 6.00 pm
Saturdays	8.00 am to 1.00 pm
Sundays or Public Holidays	No construction

¹ Noise and Vibration guidelines managed by EPA are available at the following web address http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/noise/noise_legislation.htm.

4.3.1 Recommended Sound Levels

4.3.1.1 Residential

The EPA's Interim Construction Noise Guideline (ICNG) recommends that the LAeq(15minute) noise levels arising from a construction project, measured within the curtilage of an occupied noise-sensitive premises (ie at boundary or within 30 m of the residence, whichever is the lesser) should not exceed the levels indicated in **Table 7**.

Table 7 Recommended EPA General Noise Management Levels for Residences Affected by Construction Works

Period of Noise Exposure	LAeq(15minute) Construction Noise Management Level
Recommended Standard Hours	Noise affected ¹ RBL ² + 10 dBA
	Highly Noise affected ³ 75 dBA
Outside Recommended Standard Hours	Noise affected ¹ RBL + 5 dBA

Note 1: The noise affected level represents the point above which there may be some community reaction to noise.

Note 2: The RBL noise level is representative of the "average minimum background sound level" (in the absence of the source under consideration), or simply the background level.

Note 3: The highly noise affected level represents the point above which there may be strong community reaction to noise.

4.3.2 Construction Noise Assessment Method

The ICNG recognises that people are usually annoyed more by noise from longer-term works than by the same type of works occurring for only a few days. For this reason the ICNG identifies two methods of assessing noise from construction:

- The quantitative assessment method which applies to long-term duration work; and
- The qualitative assessment method which applies to short-term duration work.

Quantitative Assessment Method

The EPA's Guideline recommends using the following quantitative assessment for residential receivers when the noise affected level is not met:

Recommended Standard Hours: Noise affected RBL + 10 dBA

- Where the predicted or measured LAeq(15minutes) is greater than the noise affected level, the proponent should apply all feasible and reasonable work practices in order to meet the noise affected level.
- The proponent should also inform all potentially impacted residents of the nature of works to be carried out, the expected noise levels and duration, as well as contact details.

Recommended Standard Hours: Highly Noise affected RBL 75 dBA

- Where noise is above this level, the relevant authority (consent, determining or regulatory) may require respite periods by restricting the hours during which the very noisy activities can occur, taking into account:
 - times identified by the community when they are less sensitive to noise (such as before and after school for works near schools, or mid-morning or mid-afternoon for works near residences.
 - if the community is prepared to accept a longer period of construction in exchange for restrictions on construction times.

Qualitative Assessment Method

The qualitative method for assessing construction noise is a simplified way to identify the cause of potential noise impacts. It avoids the need to perform complex predictions by using a checklist approach to assessing and managing noise. Short-term means that the works are not likely to affect an individual or sensitive land use for more than three weeks in total.

The following checklist for work practice can be used:

- Community notification.
- Operate plant in a quiet and efficient manner.
- Involve workers in minimising noise.
- Handle complaints.

4.4 Construction Noise Management Levels for Residential Receivers

The results of the unattended noise monitoring (discussed in **Section 3.1** and summarised in **Table 8**) have been used in accordance with the ICNG's quantitative assessment procedure to determine the specific airborne noise goals (management levels) for each of the surrounding residential receivers (presented in **Table 8**). Given the nature of the development, the management levels corresponding to the recommended standard hours outlined in the ICNG have been used exclusively in the construction noise impact assessment.

Table 8 Construction Airborne Noise Management Levels

Receiver Management Level	RBL (dBA)	Noise Affected (RBL + 10 dB)	Highly Noise Affected (75 dB)
Residential 1	45	55	75
Residential 2	58	68	75

Note 1: EPA's recommended standard construction hours are 7.00 am to 6.00 pm Mon-Fri; 8.00 am to 1.00 pm Sat.

Two different receiver management levels (Residential 1 and Residential 2) were derived individually from noise loggers L02 and L03 (shown in **Figure 5**) to ensure that each receiver's existing ambient level was represented accurately.

The different receiver management levels have been allocated on the basis of the receiver's location in terms of distance, height and direct line of sight to the northern end of the Sydney Harbour Bridge. The Main Campus and other larger buildings in the area offer significant shielding to the surrounding residential receivers from road traffic noise originating from the northern end of the Sydney Harbour Bridge. Therefore, those particular receivers have been assigned more stringent and equitable management levels due to their lower existing ambient background level (RBL).

4.5 Vibration Damage Criteria – Surface Structures

Most commonly specified "safe" structural vibration limits are designed to minimise the risk of threshold or cosmetic surface cracks, and are set well below the levels that have potential to cause damage to the main structure.

4.5.1 British Standard 7385: Part 2 - 1993

In terms of the most recent relevant vibration damage criteria, Australian Standard AS 2187: Part 2-2006 "*Explosives - Storage and Use - Part 2: Use of Explosives*" recommends the frequency dependent guideline values and assessment methods given in BS 7385 Part 2-1993 "*Evaluation and measurement for vibration in buildings Part 2*" as they "are applicable to Australian conditions".

The standard sets guide values for building vibration based on the lowest vibration levels above which damage has been credibly demonstrated. These levels are judged to give a minimum risk of vibration-induced damage, where minimal risk for a named effect is usually taken as a 95% probability of no effect.

Sources of vibration that are considered in the standard include demolition, blasting (carried out during mineral extraction or construction excavation), piling, ground treatments (eg compaction), construction equipment, tunnelling, road and rail traffic and industrial machinery.

The recommended limits (guide values) for transient vibration to ensure minimal risk of cosmetic damage to residential and industrial buildings are presented numerically in **Table 9** and graphically in **Figure 6**.


Table 9 Transient Vibration Guide Values - Minimal Risk of Cosmetic Damage

Line	Type of Building	Peak Component Particle Velocity in Frequency Range of Predominant Pulse	
		4 Hz to 15 Hz	15 Hz and Above
1	Reinforced or framed structures Industrial and heavy commercial buildings	50 mm/s at 4 Hz and above	
2	Unreinforced or light framed structures Residential or light commercial type buildings	15 mm/s at 4 Hz increasing to 20 mm/s at 15 Hz	20 mm/s at 15 Hz increasing to 50 mm/s at 40 Hz and above

The standard states that the guide values in **Table 9** relate predominantly to transient vibration which does not give rise to resonant responses in structures and low-rise buildings.

Where the dynamic loading caused by continuous vibration is such as to give rise to dynamic magnification due to resonance, especially at the lower frequencies where lower guide values apply, then the guide values in **Table 9** may need to be reduced by up to 50%.

Figure 6 Graph of Transient Vibration Guide Values for Cosmetic Damage



- ◆— Line 1 : Cosmetic Damage (5% Risk) - BS 7385 Industrial
- Line 2 : Cosmetic Damage (5% Risk) - BS 7385 Residential
- - -●- - - Line 3 : Continuous Vibration Cosmetic Damage (5% Risk) - BS 7385 Residential

In the lower frequency region where strains associated with a given vibration velocity magnitude are higher, the guide values for building types corresponding to Line 2 are reduced. Below a frequency of 4 Hz where a high displacement is associated with the relatively low peak component particle velocity value, a maximum displacement of 0.6 mm (zero to peak) is recommended. This displacement is equivalent to a vibration velocity of 3.7 mm/s at 1 Hz.

The standard goes on to state that minor damage is possible at vibration magnitudes which are greater than twice those given in **Table 9**, and major damage to a building structure may occur at values greater than four (4) times the tabulated values.

Fatigue considerations are also addressed in the standard and it is concluded that unless calculation indicates that the magnitude and number of load reversals is significant (in respect of the fatigue life of building materials) then the guide values in **Table 9** should not be reduced for fatigue considerations.

In order to assess the likelihood of cosmetic damage due to vibration, AS 2187 specifies that vibration measured should be undertaken at the base of the building and the highest of the orthogonal vibration components (transverse, longitudinal and vertical directions) should be compared with the criteria curves presented in **Table 9**.

It is noteworthy that extra to the guide values nominated in **Table 9**, the standard states that:

“Some data suggests that the probability of damage tends towards zero at 12.5 mm/s peak component particle velocity. This is not inconsistent with an extensive review of the case history information available in the UK.”

Also that:

“A building of historical value should not (unless it is structurally unsound) be assumed to be more sensitive.”

4.5.2 German Standard DIN 4150: Part 3-1999

For continuous long-term vibration or repetitive vibration with the potential to cause fatigue effects, DIN 4150 provides the following Peak Particle Velocity (PPV) values as safe limits, below which even superficial cosmetic damage is not to be expected:

- 10 mm/s for commercial buildings and buildings of similar design.
- 5 mm/s for dwellings and buildings or similar design.
- 2.5 mm/s for buildings of great intrinsic value (eg heritage listed buildings).

For short-term vibration events (ie those unlikely to cause resonance or fatigue), DIN 4150 offers the criteria shown in **Table 10**. These are maximum levels measured in any direction at the foundation or in the horizontal axes in the plane of the uppermost floor.

Table 10 DIN 4150 Structural Damage - Safe Limits for Short-term Building Vibration

Group	Type of Structure	Peak Particle Velocity (mm/s)			
		At Foundation			Plane of Floor of Uppermost Storey
		1 Hz to 10 Hz	10 Hz to 50 Hz	50 Hz to 100 Hz ¹	
1	Buildings used for commercial purposes, industrial buildings and buildings of similar design	20	20 at 10 Hz increasing to 40 at 50 Hz	40 at 50 Hz increasing to 50 at 100 Hz	40
2	Dwellings and buildings of similar design and/or use	5	5 at 10 Hz increasing to 15 at 50 Hz	15 at 50 Hz increasing to 20 at 100 Hz	15
3	Structures that because of their particular sensitivity to vibration, do not correspond to those listed in Lines 1 or 2 and have intrinsic value (eg buildings that are under a preservation order)	3	3 at 10 Hz increasing to 8 at 50 Hz	8 at 50 Hz increasing to 10 at 100 Hz	8

Note 1: For frequencies above 100 Hz the upper value in this column should be used.

As opposed to the “*minimal risk of cosmetic damage*” approach adopted in BS 7385 (95% probability of no effect), the “*safe limits*” given in DIN 4150 are the levels up to which no damage due to vibration effects have been observed for the particular class of building. “*Damage*” is defined by DIN 4150 to include even minor non-structural effects such as superficial cracking in cement render, the enlargement of cracks already present, and the separation of partitions or intermediate walls from load bearing walls.

4.6 Human Comfort Vibration Criteria

4.6.1 General

Humans are far more sensitive to vibration than is commonly realised. They can detect vibration levels which are well below those causing any risk of damage to a building or its contents.

The actual perception of motion or vibration may not, in itself, be disturbing or annoying. An individual’s response to that perception, and whether the vibration is “normal” or “abnormal”, depends very strongly on previous experience and expectations, and on other connotations associated with the perceived source of the vibration. For example, the vibration that a person responds to as “normal” in a car, bus or train is considerably higher than what is perceived as “normal” in a shop, office or dwelling.

Human tactile perception of random motion, as distinct from human comfort considerations, was investigated by Diekmann and subsequently updated in German Standard DIN 4150 Part 2-1975. On this basis, the resulting degrees of perception for humans are suggested by the vibration level categories given in **Table 11**.

Table 11 Peak Vibration Levels and Human Perception of Motion

Approximate Vibration Level	Degree of Perception
0.10 mm/s	Not felt
0.15 mm/s	Threshold of perception
0.35 mm/s	Barely noticeable
1 mm/s	Noticeable
2.2 mm/s	Easily noticeable
6 mm/s	Strongly noticeable
14 mm/s	Very strongly noticeable

Note: These approximate vibration levels (in floors of building) are for vibration having a frequency content in the range of 8 Hz to 80 Hz.

Table 11 suggests that people will just be able to feel floor vibration at levels of about 0.15 mm/s and that the motion becomes “noticeable” at a level of approximately 1 mm/s.

4.6.2 Human Comfort Criteria for Construction Vibration

British Standard 6472-2008 “*Guide to evaluation of human exposure to vibration in building*” nominates criteria for various categories of disturbance, the most stringent of which are the levels of building vibration associated with a “low probability of adverse comment” from occupants. The “low probability of adverse comment” level for residential buildings is:

0.2 to 0.4 m/s^{1.75} (16 hour daytime Vibration Dose Value)

0.1 to 0.2 m/s^{1.75} (8 hour night-time Vibration Dose Value)

BS 6472-2008 provides criteria for continuous, transient and intermittent (in the case of road traffic) events that are based on a Vibration Dose Value (VDV), rather than a continuous vibration level. The vibration dose value is dependent upon the level and duration of the short-term vibration event, as well as the number of events occurring during the daytime or night-time period.

4.7 Construction Vibration - Cosmetic Damage

Table 12 Transient Vibration Guide Values - Minimal Risk of Cosmetic Damage

Type of Building	Peak Particle Velocity at Foundation		
	1 Hz to 10 Hz	10 Hz to 50 Hz	50 Hz to 100 Hz
Dwellings and buildings of similar design and/or use	5 mm/s at 1 Hz to 10 Hz	5 mm/s at 10 Hz increasing to 15 Hz at 50 Hz	15 mm/s at 50 Hz increasing to 20 mm/s at 100 Hz

5 NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

5.1 Main Campus

5.1.1 Noise Model

In order to predict noise levels associated with the proposed works to the Main Campus at the affected residential receivers, a SoundPLAN computer model was developed. SoundPLAN is a software package which enables compilation of a sophisticated computer model comprising a digitised ground map (containing ground contours and significant structures, where appropriate), the location and acoustic power levels of significant noise sources, and the location of sensitive receivers.

5.1.1.1 Operational Noise Standard

Calculations were undertaken using ISO9613 algorithms.

5.1.1.2 Model Inputs

The computer model generates noise emission levels taking into account such factors as the source sound power levels, distance attenuation, ground absorption, air absorption and shielding attenuation, as well as meteorological conditions.

Heights of buildings, screens and other structures were estimated based on site inspection, and aerial photography.

5.1.1.3 Operational Noise Sources

The major noise sources associated with the Project are shown in **Table 13** alongside their relevant LAeq sound power levels.

Table 13 Noise Sources – Sound Power Levels


Noise Source	Sound Power Level, dBA (LAeq per source)
Student Activities	109
Facade Openings	78
OOSH Events	111

Existing operational noise levels (ie student activities before school and during break times as well as an out-of-school-hours school disco event) were used to derive the sound power levels of the noise sources used in the model. The existing operational noise levels were calculated by taking the logarithmic average of the LAeq levels for defined periods (shown in **Table 14** and illustrated in **Figure 7**) of the ambient monitoring data and were used to calibrate the noise sources in the model.

Table 14 Existing Operational Noise Levels

Location	Operational Emissions
	LAeq (dBA)
Student Activities (Main Campus courtyard)	72
OOSH Event (Main Campus courtyard)	74

Figure 7 Deriving Existing Operational Noise Levels



Note 1: Operational noise levels highlighted in orange were measured during school hours throughout the entire monitoring period and are representative of regular student activities in the Main Campus courtyard. The operational noise levels highlighted in green were measured outside of school hours (school disco) and are representative of out-of-hours events and school functions. The operational noise levels in **Figure 7** were measured at logger location L02.

The noise source’s sound power levels used in the noise model are based on a “worst case scenario” to predict and compare the maximum potential noise impacts at the affected residential receivers.

5.1.1.4 Operational Noise Emission Scenarios

The following scenarios were modelled to predict and compare the noise impacts at the surrounding residential receivers:

- Existing student activities (Main Campus courtyard)
- Student activities associated with the proposed Main Campus infill building rooftop.
- The addition of the proposed facade openings on Kirribilli Avenue and Jeffreys Street.
- OOSH Events associated with the proposed Main Campus infill building rooftop

Acoustic barriers of varying heights were input into the model to predict and compare the amount of mitigation and reduction in noise impacts at the affected residential receivers.

Figure 8 3D Model – Main Campus – Operational Noise Impacts (Student Activities – No Acoustic Barrier)





Figure 9 3D Model – Main Campus – Operational Noise Impacts (OOSH Events) with Acoustic Barrier



5.1.2 Noise Sensitive Receiver Criteria

The potentially affected receivers and their corresponding project specific noise criteria are outlined in detail in **Table 15** and were used in the assessment of each predicted noise impact scenario.

Table 15 Operational Noise Criteria for Receivers Surrounding the Main Campus

Receiver ID	Address	Coordinates		Criteria for New Sources		
		Northings	Eastings	Daytime	Evening	Night
R01	49 Upper Pitt Street	334852.946	6253183.687	50	48	42
R02	88 Kirribilli Avenue	334836.122	6253153.699	50	48	42
R03	49A Upper Pitt Street	334875.856	6253182.716	63	61	52
R03	49A Upper Pitt Street	334868.942	6253171.076	63	61	52
R04	49B Upper Pitt Street	334859.902	6253143.399	63	61	52
R05	51 Kirribilli Avenue	334793.362	6253119.075	63	61	52
R06	55 Kirribilli Avenue	334818.924	6253106.109	50	48	42
R07	59 Kirribilli Avenue	334841.743	6253105.286	63	61	52

Receiver ID	Address	Coordinates		Criteria for New Sources		
		Northings	Eastings	Daytime	Evening	Night
R07	59 Kirribilli Avenue	334839.149	6253092.160	63	61	52
R08	46 Upper Pitt Street	334791.309	6253242.503	63	61	52
R09	48 Upper Pitt Street	334820.201	6253257.636	63	61	52
R10	50 Upper Pitt Street	334860.518	6253239.038	63	61	52
R11	2 Parkes Street	334894.882	6253227.166	63	61	52
R12	32 Jeffreys Street	334729.493	6253231.548	63	61	52
R13	30 Jeffreys Street	334730.145	6253227.139	63	61	52
R14	28 Jeffreys Street	334730.800	6253222.377	63	61	52
R15	26 Jeffreys Street	334731.505	6253218.187	63	61	52
R16	25 Jeffreys Street	334734.568	6253211.396	63	61	52
R17	22 Jeffreys Street	334735.500	6253206.444	63	61	52
R18	20 Jeffreys Street	334733.974	6253201.039	63	61	52
R19	18 Jeffreys Street	334736.337	6253196.534	63	61	52
R20	40-50 Kirribilli Avenue	334733.381	6253177.411	63	61	52

Note 1: Duplicate receivers R03 and R07 refer to single addresses with multiple buildings onsite.

5.1.3 Predicted Operational Noise Levels

5.1.3.1 Predicted Operational Noise Levels – Student Activities (Proposed Infill Rooftop)

Table 16 shows the $L_{Aeq,15min}$ noise levels predicted at the affected residential receivers for the existing operational scenario (student activities in the existing ground floor courtyard area), the proposed scenario (student activities on the proposed infill building rooftop), and for the proposed scenario with two different acoustic barrier options (student activities on the proposed infill building rooftop with either a 1.8 m acoustic barrier or a 2.1 m acoustic barrier).

For all scenarios, the noise levels from the proposed facade openings located on the Kirribilli Avenue and Jeffreys Street sides were included in the calculation. Additionally, the noise levels from the proposed openings were modelled in isolation and were found to have a negligible noise impact on the residential receivers with respect to their project specific criteria.

Table 16 Predicted Operational Noise Levels – Student Activities (Proposed Infill Rooftop)

Receiver ID ¹	Criteria ²	Existing location $L_{Aeq,15min}$ (dB) ³	Rooftop, No Barrier $L_{Aeq,15min}$ (dB)	Rooftop, 1.8m Barrier $L_{Aeq,15min}$ (dB)	Rooftop, 2.1m Barrier $L_{Aeq,15min}$ (dB)
R01	50	66	68	64	63
R02	50	70	62	56	55
R03	63	58	62	61	61
R03	63	59	63	63	62
R04	63	62	66	61	61
R05	63	41	59	53	52
R06	50	41	53	49	48
R07	63	38	53	48	48
R07	63	37	52	48	47
R08	63	45	54	51	51
R09	63	57	62	62	62

Receiver ID ¹	Criteria ²	Existing location L _{Aeq,15min} (dB) ³	Rooftop, No Barrier L _{Aeq,15min} (dB)	Rooftop, 1.8m Barrier L _{Aeq,15min} (dB)	Rooftop, 2.1m Barrier L _{Aeq,15min} (dB)
R10	63	58	62	62	62
R11	63	57	60	60	60
R12	63	33	38	37	37
R13	63	34	38	38	38
R14	63	35	39	39	39
R15	63	34	40	40	40
R16	63	38	41	41	41
R17	63	40	42	42	42
R18	63	35	43	43	43
R19	63	36	43	43	43
R20	63	40	50	45	44

Note 1: Duplicate residential receivers R03 and R07 refer to single residential addresses with multiple buildings onsite.

Note 2: NSW INP daytime project specific criteria as specified in **Section 4.1.4** was used for the assessment.

Note 3: Existing LAeq levels are based on the source to receiver predictions using the measured calibrated source levels in the location of the existing ground-floor courtyard

5.1.3.2 Predicted Operational Noise Levels – OOSH Events (Proposed Infill Rooftop)

Table 17 shows the LAeq noise levels predicted at the affected residential receivers for the existing operational scenario (OOSH Events in the ground floor courtyard area), the proposed scenario (OOSH event on the proposed infill building rooftop), and for the proposed scenario with two different acoustic barrier options (OOSH Events on the proposed infill building rooftop with either a 1.8m acoustic barrier or a 2.1m acoustic barrier).

Table 17 Predicted Operational Noise Levels – OOSH Events (Proposed Infill Rooftop)

Receiver ID ¹	Criteria ²	Rooftop, No Barrier L _{Aeq,15min} (dB)	Rooftop, 1.8m Barrier L _{Aeq,15min} (dB)	Rooftop, 2.1m Barrier L _{Aeq,15min} (dB)
R01	48	70	65	65
R02	48	64	59	58
R03 ¹	61	64	63	63
R03	61	65	65	64
R04	61	67	63	62
R05	61	61	55	55
R06	48	55	51	51
R07 ¹	61	55	51	50
R07	61	54	50	49
R08	61	56	53	53
R09	61	64	64	64
R10	61	64	64	64
R11	61	62	62	62
R12	61	39	38	38
R13	61	40	40	40
R14	61	41	40	40
R15	61	41	40	40
R16	61	43	43	43

Receiver ID ¹	Criteria ²	Rooftop, No Barrier L _{Aeq,15min} (dB)	Rooftop, 1.8m Barrier L _{Aeq,15min} (dB)	Rooftop, 2.1m Barrier L _{Aeq,15min} (dB)
R17	61	44	44	44
R18	61	41	41	41
R19	61	42	41	41
R20	61	52	47	46

Note 1: Duplicate residential receivers R03 and R07 refer to single residential addresses with multiple buildings onsite.

Note 2: The project specific criteria recommended and specified in **Section 4.2** were used for the assessment.

5.1.3.3 Predicted Operational Noise Levels – Mechanical Plant Emissions

Noise emissions from mechanical plant associated with the proposed works to the Main Campus should be controlled so that the operation of such plant meets the operational noise goals specified in **Table 4** at the nearest residential receivers.

SLR has reviewed the locations and equipment selections provided by the project MEP Engineers (Umow Lai). Based upon this review, it is envisaged that the mechanical plant noise sources will be controllable by common engineering methods that may consist of:

- Selection of low-noise units
- Judicious location of plant
- Barriers/enclosures and screening devices
- Silencers
- Acoustically lined ductwork

6 DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Main Campus

6.1.1 Operational Noise Levels – Student Activities (Proposed Infill Rooftop)

The results indicate that with an acoustic barrier greater than or equal to 1.8 m in height surrounding the boundary affected zones of the proposed rooftop terrace, compliance with the established relevant criteria is achieved at all surrounding noise sensitive receivers with the exception of R01 and R02. These receivers have more onerous criteria due to being screened from the background traffic noise on the Sydney Harbour Bridge by SAC.

However, despite R01 and R02's non-compliance with the established criteria, it should be noted that with the relocation of the current courtyard social area to the proposed infill building rooftop area, in conjunction with the addition of an acoustic barrier greater than or equal to 1.8 m in height, the noise impact on these receivers is reduced. That is, R01 and R02 will receive a significant net benefit from the proposed change in terms of noise impacts with the addition of an acoustic barrier. As a result, this is considered an acceptable and positive outcome.

6.1.2 Operational Noise Levels – OOSH Events (Proposed Infill Rooftop)

The results indicate that with an acoustic barrier greater than or equal to 1.8 m in height surrounding the boundary affected zones of the proposed rooftop terrace, compliance with the recommended criteria is achieved at all but eight (8) of the surrounding noise sensitive receivers. The receivers with remaining exceedances are R01, R02, R03, R04, R06, R09, R10 and R11 (shown in **Figure 3**).

However, despite R01 and R02's non-compliance with the established criteria, it should be noted that with the move from events being held in the existing location to the proposed infill building rooftop area, in conjunction with the addition of an acoustic barrier, a reduction in the noise impact on these receivers from out of school hour events is expected. As a result, this is considered an acceptable and positive outcome.

The exceedances at the remaining six receivers (R03, R04, R06, R09, R10 and R11) are relatively minor – being up to 4 dB, but as low as 1dB with a 2.1 m high acoustic barrier. While this is likely to be considered acceptable considering the relatively infrequent nature of events on the proposed infill building rooftop, the best acoustic performance with respect to compliance is achieved through the use of an acoustic barrier with a minimum height of 2.1 m. Any increase in height above 2.1 m to an acoustic barrier will further decrease impacts. Additionally, the noise impacts may further be managed and mitigated should SAC develop an event noise management plan in the case of potentially larger events.

6.1.3 Operational Noise Levels – Proposed Façade Openings

Results from the noise model indicate that the proposed facade openings on Kirribilli Avenue and Jeffreys Street have a negligible noise impact on the residential receivers with respect to their project specific criteria.

6.1.4 Construction Noise Impacts

Based on preliminary calculations involving the operation of plant and equipment representative of the worst case likely construction methodologies, a number of close-by receivers may trigger noise affected or highly affected exceedances in accordance with the management levels stipulated in the ICNG (outlined in **Table 8**). As a result, the Construction Contractor will need to develop mitigation and management strategies in consultation with the surrounding community and local authority.

Once further details surrounding the proposed construction methodology, equipment and phasing is known, it is recommended that the managing contractor produces a comprehensive Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan in accordance with the framework for compliance established in this report.

6.1.4.1 Construction Noise Mitigation and Management

If required, the Construction Contractor will need to, where reasonable and feasible, implement best practice noise mitigation measures including:

- Judicious selection of mechanical plant and equipment (eg quieter machinery and power tools).
- Maximising the offset distance between noisy plant items and nearby noise sensitive receivers.
- Avoiding the coincidence of noisy plant working simultaneously close together and adjacent to sensitive receivers.
- Orienting equipment away from noise sensitive areas.
- Carrying out loading and unloading away from noise sensitive areas.
- Localised shielding of noisy equipment.
- Minimising consecutive works in the same locality.
- Considering periods of respite.

6.1.5 Construction Vibration Impacts

Until more specifics regarding construction equipment and methodology are known, a detailed construction vibration assessment is not possible however it is recommended to mitigate any potential impacts using the recommended safe working distances for vibration intensive plant as indicated in **Table 18** taken from Transport for NSW's *Construction Noise Strategy* (2012).

Table 18 Recommended safe working distances for vibration intensive plant

Plant Item	Rating/Description	Safe Working Distance	
		Cosmetic Damage (BS 7385)	Human Response (OH&E Vibration Guideline)
Small Hydraulic Hammer	(300 kg - 5 to 12t excavator)	2 m	7 m
Medium Hydraulic Hammer	Medium Hydraulic Hammer	Medium Hydraulic Hammer	Medium Hydraulic Hammer
(900 kg – 12 to 18t excavator) 7 m 23 m	(900 kg – 12 to 18t excavator) 7 m 23 m	(900 kg – 12 to 18t excavator) 7 m 23 m	(900 kg – 12 to 18t excavator) 7 m 23 m

Note: More stringent conditions may apply to heritage or other sensitive structures

6.2 Wyalla

The changes identified at Wyalla from a noise perspective entail the minor increase in the building envelope at ground floor level (no increase in occupancy) and a small increase in the number of Air-Conditioning external condenser units at the same location they are currently placed. As a result, the changes at Wyalla are expected to be negligible in terms of noise impacts and can easily be mitigated to satisfy the required INP criteria.

6.3 Junior School

The changes identified at the Junior School campus from a noise perspective entail:

- Rotating the existing outdoor Basketball Court to a new orientation within the school grounds in the same approximate location as it is currently placed. This may have a very minor impact on the noise emissions to the surrounding residences; however this is not expected to be significant.
- A new subterranean basketball court which will open on to a landscaped 'amphitheatre' area (to replace the existing amphitheatre space). Due to the increased capacity for sports activity and potential Saturday sports use of the new facility, this change does have the potential to create a noise impact on the surrounding sensitive receivers. However, it is also considered that through appropriate design of the building fabric (folding doors and ventilation system) along with an agreed on management policy this noise impact can be suitably controlled to satisfy the relevant emissions criteria. It is recommended that acoustic treatments be addressed in detail when the Junior School development consent is being sought.

7 CONCLUSION

SLR Consulting Australia Pty Ltd has conducted an assessment of the noise impacts associated with the proposed Masterplan at St Aloysius' College located on the Milsons Point and Kirribilli peninsular on the lower North Shore in Sydney. This assessment has been carried out in accordance with NSW regulatory requirements and will form part of the State Significant Development (SSD 8669) application to the NSW Department of Planning and Environment in support of the development.

The scope of the assessment involved a survey of the existing noise environment; derivation and establishment of project specific noise criteria; a noise impact assessment relative to appropriate criteria; and, where required, recommendations for noise control measures.

With the implementation of an acoustic barrier (with a minimum height of 2.1 m) around the perimeter of the future rooftop terrace of the infill building on the Main Campus (as discussed in **Section 6**), the proposed changes are considered to be acceptable and are not anticipated to result in adverse operational noise impacts at the surrounding residential receivers based upon the assessment presented in this report.

1 Sound Level or Noise Level

The terms 'sound' and 'noise' are almost interchangeable, except that in common usage 'noise' is often used to refer to unwanted sound.

Sound (or noise) consists of minute fluctuations in atmospheric pressure capable of evoking the sense of hearing. The human ear responds to changes in sound pressure over a very wide range. The loudest sound pressure to which the human ear responds is ten million times greater than the softest. The decibel (abbreviated as dB) scale reduces this ratio to a more manageable size by the use of logarithms.

The symbols SPL, L or LP are commonly used to represent Sound Pressure Level. The symbol LA represents A-weighted Sound Pressure Level. The standard reference unit for Sound Pressure Levels expressed in decibels is 2×10^{-5} Pa.

2 'A' Weighted Sound Pressure Level

The overall level of a sound is usually expressed in terms of dBA, which is measured using a sound level meter with an 'A-weighting' filter. This is an electronic filter having a frequency response corresponding approximately to that of human hearing.

People's hearing is most sensitive to sounds at mid frequencies (500 Hz to 4000 Hz), and less sensitive at lower and higher frequencies. Thus, the level of a sound in dBA is a good measure of the loudness of that sound. Different sources having the same dBA level generally sound about equally loud.

A change of 1 dBA or 2 dBA in the level of a sound is difficult for most people to detect, whilst a 3 dBA to 5 dBA change corresponds to a small but noticeable change in loudness. A 10 dBA change corresponds to an approximate doubling or halving in loudness. The table below lists examples of typical noise levels

Sound Pressure Level (dBA)	Typical Source	Subjective Evaluation
130	Threshold of pain	Intolerable
120	Heavy rock concert	Extremely noisy
110	Grinding on steel	
100	Loud car horn at 3 m	Very noisy
90	Construction site with pneumatic hammering	
80	Kerbside of busy street	Loud
70	Loud radio or television	
60	Department store	Moderate to quiet
50	General Office	
40	Inside private office	Quiet to very quiet
30	Inside bedroom	
20	Recording studio	Almost silent

Other weightings (eg B, C and D) are less commonly used than A-weighting. Sound Levels measured without any weighting are referred to as 'linear', and the units are expressed as dB(lin) or dB.

3 Sound Power Level


The Sound Power of a source is the rate at which it emits acoustic energy. As with Sound Pressure Levels, Sound Power Levels are expressed in decibel units (dB or dBA), but may be identified by the symbols SWL or Lw, or by the reference unit 10^{-12} W.

The relationship between Sound Power and Sound Pressure may be likened to an electric radiator, which is characterised by a power rating, but has an effect on the surrounding environment that can be measured in terms of a different parameter, temperature.

4 Statistical Noise Levels

Sounds that vary in level over time, such as road traffic noise and most community noise, are commonly described in terms of the statistical exceedance levels LAN, where LAN is the A-weighted sound pressure level exceeded for N% of a given measurement period. For example, the LA1 is the noise level exceeded for 1% of the time, LA10 the noise exceeded for 10% of the time, and so on.

The following figure presents a hypothetical 15 minute noise survey, illustrating various common statistical indices of interest.



Of particular relevance, are:

- LA1 The noise level exceeded for 1% of the 15 minute interval.
- LA10 The noise level exceeded for 10% of the 15 minute interval. This is commonly referred to as the average maximum noise level.
- LA90 The noise level exceeded for 90% of the sample period. This noise level is described as the average minimum background sound level (in the absence of the source under consideration), or simply the background level.
- LAeq The A-weighted equivalent noise level (basically the average noise level). It is defined as the steady sound level that contains the same amount of acoustical energy as the corresponding time-varying sound.

When dealing with numerous days of statistical noise data, it is sometimes necessary to define the typical noise levels at a given monitoring location for a particular time of day. A standardised method is available for determining these representative levels.

This method produces a level representing the 'repeatable minimum' LA90 noise level over the daytime and night-time measurement periods, as required by the EPA. In addition the method produces mean or 'average' levels representative of the other descriptors (LAeq, LA10, etc).

5 Tonality

Tonal noise contains one or more prominent tones (ie distinct frequency components), and is normally regarded as more offensive than 'broad band' noise.

6 Impulsiveness

An impulsive noise is characterised by one or more short sharp peaks in the time domain, such as occurs during hammering.

7 Frequency Analysis


Frequency analysis is the process used to examine the tones (or frequency components) which make up the overall noise or vibration signal. This analysis was traditionally carried out using analogue electronic filters, but is now normally carried out using Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) analysers.

The units for frequency are Hertz (Hz), which represent the number of cycles per second.

Frequency analysis can be in:

- Octave bands (where the centre frequency and width of each band is double the previous band)
- 1/3 octave bands (3 bands in each octave band)
- Narrow band (where the spectrum is divided into 400 or more bands of equal width)

The following figure shows a 1/3 octave band frequency analysis where the noise is dominated by the 200 Hz band. Note that the indicated level of each individual band is less than the overall level, which is the logarithmic sum of the bands.



8 Vibration

Vibration may be defined as cyclic or transient motion. This motion can be measured in terms of its displacement, velocity or acceleration. Most assessments of human response to vibration or the risk of damage to buildings use measurements of vibration velocity. These may be expressed in terms of 'peak' velocity or 'rms' velocity.

The former is the maximum instantaneous velocity, without any averaging, and is sometimes referred to as 'peak particle velocity', or PPV. The latter incorporates 'root mean squared' averaging over some defined time period.

Vibration measurements may be carried out in a single axis or alternatively as triaxial measurements. Where triaxial measurements are used, the axes are commonly designated vertical, longitudinal (aligned toward the source) and transverse.

The common units for velocity are millimetres per second (mm/s). As with noise, decibel units can also be used, in which case the reference level should always be stated. A vibration level V , expressed in mm/s can be converted to decibels by the formula $20 \log (V/V_0)$, where V_0 is the reference level (10^{-9} m/s). Care is required in this regard, as other reference levels may be used by some organizations.

9 Human Perception of Vibration

People are able to 'feel' vibration at levels lower than those required to cause even superficial damage to the most susceptible classes of building (even though they may not be disturbed by the motion). An individual's perception of motion or response to vibration depends very strongly on previous experience and expectations, and on other connotations associated with the perceived source of the vibration. For example, the vibration that a person responds to as 'normal' in a car, bus or train is considerably higher than what is perceived as 'normal' in a shop, office or dwelling.

10 Over-Pressure


The term 'over-pressure' is used to describe the air pressure pulse emitted during blasting or similar events. The peak level of an event is normally measured using a microphone in the same manner as linear noise (ie unweighted), at frequencies both in and below the audible range.

11 Ground-borne Noise, Structure-borne Noise and Regenerated Noise

Noise that propagates through a structure as vibration and is radiated by vibrating wall and floor surfaces is termed 'structure-borne noise', 'ground-borne noise' or 'regenerated noise'. This noise originates as vibration and propagates between the source and receiver through the ground and/or building structural elements, rather than through the air.

Typical sources of ground-borne or structure-borne noise include tunnelling works, underground railways, excavation plant (eg rockbreakers), and building services plant (eg fans, compressors and generators).

The following figure presents the various paths by which vibration and ground-borne noise may be transmitted between a source and receiver for construction activities occurring within a tunnel.



The term 'regenerated noise' is also used in other instances where energy is converted to noise away from the primary source. One example would be a fan blowing air through a discharge grill. The fan is the energy source and primary noise source. Additional noise may be created by the aerodynamic effect of the discharge grill in the airstream. This secondary noise is referred to as regenerated noise

Appendix B1

Report 610.17552-R1

Page 1 of 6


Ambient Noise Monitoring Results (L01)

L.01 – Ambient Noise Monitoring Results


Report 610.17552-R01

Page 2

Statistical Ambient Noise Levels L01 - Junior School - Tuesday, 10 October 2017



Statistical Ambient Noise Levels L01 - Junior School - Wednesday, 11 October 2017




L.01 – Ambient Noise Monitoring Results


Report 610.17552-R01

Page 3

Statistical Ambient Noise Levels L01 - Junior School - Thursday, 12 October 2017



Statistical Ambient Noise Levels L01 - Junior School - Friday, 13 October 2017




L.01 – Ambient Noise Monitoring Results


Report 610.17552-R01

Page 4

Statistical Ambient Noise Levels L01 - Junior School - Saturday, 14 October 2017



Statistical Ambient Noise Levels L01 - Junior School - Sunday, 15 October 2017




L.01 – Ambient Noise Monitoring Results


Report 610.17552-R01

Page 5

Statistical Ambient Noise Levels L01 - Junior School - Monday, 16 October 2017



Statistical Ambient Noise Levels L01 - Junior School - Tuesday, 17 October 2017




L.01 – Ambient Noise Monitoring Results

Report 610.17552-R01

Page 6

Statistical Ambient Noise Levels L01 - Junior School - Wednesday, 18 October 2017



Appendix B2

Report 610.17552-R1

Page 1 of 6

Ambient Noise Monitoring Results (L02)


L.02 – Ambient Noise Monitoring Results

Report 610.17552-R01

Page 2


Statistical Ambient Noise Levels

L02 - Main Campus - Ground Floor Courtyard - Tuesday, 10 October 2017



Statistical Ambient Noise Levels

L02 - Main Campus - Ground Floor Courtyard - Wednesday, 11 October 2017




L.02 – Ambient Noise Monitoring Results

Report 610.17552-R01

Page 3


Statistical Ambient Noise Levels

L02 - Main Campus - Ground Floor Courtyard - Thursday, 12 October 2017



Statistical Ambient Noise Levels

L02 - Main Campus - Ground Floor Courtyard - Friday, 13 October 2017




L02 – Ambient Noise Monitoring Results

Report 610.17552-R01

Page 4


Statistical Ambient Noise Levels

L02 - Main Campus - Ground Floor Courtyard - Saturday, 14 October 2017



Statistical Ambient Noise Levels

L02 - Main Campus - Ground Floor Courtyard - Sunday, 15 October 2017




L.02 – Ambient Noise Monitoring Results

Report 610.17552-R01

Page 5


Statistical Ambient Noise Levels

L02 - Main Campus - Ground Floor Courtyard - Monday, 16 October 2017



Statistical Ambient Noise Levels

L02 - Main Campus - Ground Floor Courtyard - Tuesday, 17 October 2017




L.02 – Ambient Noise Monitoring Results

Report 610.17552-R01

Page 6

Statistical Ambient Noise Levels

L02 - Main Campus - Ground Floor Courtyard - Wednesday, 18 October 2017



Appendix B3

Report 610.17552-R1

Page 1 of 6

Ambient Noise Monitoring Results (L03)


L.03 – Ambient Noise Monitoring Results

Report 610.17552-R01

Page 2


Statistical Ambient Noise Levels

L03 - Main Campus - Juana Mateo Roof Terrace - Tuesday, 10 October 2017



Statistical Ambient Noise Levels

L03 - Main Campus - Juana Mateo Roof Terrace - Wednesday, 11 October 2017




L.03 – Ambient Noise Monitoring Results

Report 610.17552-R01

Page 3


Statistical Ambient Noise Levels

L03 - Main Campus - Juana Mateo Roof Terrace - Thursday, 12 October 2017



Statistical Ambient Noise Levels

L03 - Main Campus - Juana Mateo Roof Terrace - Friday, 13 October 2017




L.03 – Ambient Noise Monitoring Results

Report 610.17552-R01

Page 4


Statistical Ambient Noise Levels

L03 - Main Campus - Juana Mateo Roof Terrace - Saturday, 14 October 2017



Statistical Ambient Noise Levels

L03 - Main Campus - Juana Mateo Roof Terrace - Sunday, 15 October 2017




L.03 – Ambient Noise Monitoring Results

Report 610.17552-R01

Page 5


Statistical Ambient Noise Levels

L03 - Main Campus - Juana Mateo Roof Terrace - Monday, 16 October 2017



Statistical Ambient Noise Levels

L03 - Main Campus - Juana Mateo Roof Terrace - Tuesday, 17 October 2017



L.03 – Ambient Noise Monitoring Results

Report 610.17552-R01

Page 6

Statistical Ambient Noise Levels

L03 - Main Campus - Juana Mateo Roof Terrace - Wednesday, 18 October 2017

