



Arboricultural Impact Assessment Report

Site location:

Hurlstone Agricultural High School (Hawkesbury Campus) Londonderry Road Richmond NSW

Prepared for: Conrad Gargett Ancher

Mortlock Woolley

Revision: A

Prepared by: Bryce Claassens

Urban Arbor Pty Ltd

Date Prepared: 11 April 2018

Ref: 18/04/11/WSU



Table of Contents

1.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
2.	Introduction	4
3.	SCOPE OF THE REPORT	4
	LIMITATIONS	
5.	METHODOLOGY	6
	SITE LOCATION AND BRIEF DESCRIPTION	
7.	GENERAL INFORMATION IN RELATION TO PROTECTING TREES ON DEVELOPMENT SITES	7
8.	OBSERVATIONS	g
9.	ASSESSEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS	10
	CONCLUSIONS	
11.	RECOMMENDATIONS	23
	TREE PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS	
	CONSTRUCTION HOLD POINTS FOR TREE PROTECTION	
14.	BIBLIOGRAPHY/REFERENCES	37
	LIST OF APPENDICES.	

COPYRIGHT

©Urban Arbor Pty Ltd 2017

The use of any or all sections of this report in any documentation relating to the site is permissible so long as the copyright is noted at the completion of all sections.

Any other use of this report, or any part thereof for any other purpose or in documentation for any other site is strictly prohibited. No part of this report may be reproduced, transmitted, stored in a retrieval system or updated in any form or by any means (electronic, photocopying, recording or otherwise) without written permission of Urban Arbor Pty Ltd.



1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 This report assesses the impact of a proposed development at the subject site to ninety-four (94) trees located either inside or adjoining the site, in accordance with AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009).
- 1.2 Site plans have been included in Appendix 1, where the indicative TPZ/SRZ of the trees assessed have been overlaid onto the received proposed plans provided by the client to assist with understanding tree constraints at the site and how the impact to trees has been assessed. Appendix 1 also includes site-specific tree protection.
- 1.3 Twenty-eight (28) trees will have to be removed to accommodate the development. Fifteen of these are higher value category A retention value trees including 11, 2, 18, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 35. Twelve trees are lower value category Z retention value trees including tree 3, 7, 16, 17, 19, 20, 23, 29, 74, 75, 78, 79 and 91, which are not worthy of being a constraint to the development, due to poor existing condition, undesirable species or small/young trees that could be replaced with higher value trees within a ten-year time period.
- 1.4 One (1) tree will be subject to a TPZ encroachment greater than 10%, tree 52. Tree 52 will remain viable for retention providing the area lost to this encroachment is compensated for.
- 1.5 The remaining sixty-five (65) trees will be subject to minor and acceptable TPZ encroachments of 10% or less and can be retained in a viable condition, including category A retention value trees 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 34, 36, 38, 41, 44, 48, 49, 51, 53, 54, 55, 57, 58, 92, 93 and 94, and category Z retention value trees 5, 11, 15, 37, 39, 40, 42, 43, 45, 46, 47, 50, 56, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 76, 77, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89 and 90.
- 1.6 All trees to be retained must be protected for the duration of development, (including demolition and landscaping, in accordance with AS4970-2009). See section 12 for more information.
- 1.7 Where possible underground services must be located outside the TPZ of trees to be retained. All underground services located inside the TPZ of any tree to be retained must be installed via tree sensitive techniques. This should include either directional drilling methods or manual excavations to minimise the impact to trees identified for retention. Section 4.5.5 of AS4970-2009 says that 'The directional drilling bore should be at least 600 mm deep. The project Arborist should assess the likely impacts of boring and bore pits on retained trees. For manual excavation of trenches the project Arborist should advise on roots to be retained and should monitor the works'.1
- 1.8 This report does not provide approval for tree removal or pruning works. All recommendations in this report are subject to approval by the relevant authorities and/or tree owners. This report should be submitted as supporting evidence with any tree removal/pruning or development application.

Report on trees at: Hurlstone Agricultural High School - Hawkesbury Campus, Londonderry Road, Richmond, NSW. Prepared for: Conrad Gargett Ancher Mortlock Woolley

Prepared by: Bryce Claassens, Urban Arbor Pty Ltd, sales@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.

¹ Council Of Standards Australia, AS 4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009) page 18.



2. INTRODUCTION

- 2.1 Urban Arbor have been instructed by Conrad Gargett Ancher Mortlock Woolley to provide an Arboricultural Impact Assessment Report in relation to trees located on the site and adjoining neighbouring sites in relation to a proposed development.
- 2.2 Below is a list of all documents and information provided to Urban Arbor by the client to assist in preparing this report.
 - A) Site Plan REF Early Works, Conrad Gargett Ancher Mortlock Woolley, School ID: HASH, Project No: 16052, Drawing No: 1036, Rev: H, 28 March 2018.
 - B) Site Plan REF Early Works Service Path Set Out, Conrad Gargett Ancher Mortlock Woolley, School ID: HASH, Project No: 16052, Drawing No: 1032, Rev: A, 28 March 2018.
 - C) Master Plan Site, Conrad Gargett Ancher Mortlock Woolley, School ID: HASH, Project No: 16052, Drawing No: 1001, Rev: R, 6 April 2018.
 - D) Trees to be Retained and Removed, Conrad Gargett Ancher Mortlock Woolley, School ID: HASH, Project No: 16052, Drawing No: 0006, Rev: R, 9 April 2018.
 - E) Landscape Master Plan, Conrad Gargett Ancher Mortlock Woolley, School ID: HASH, Project No: 16052, Drawing No: 0005, Rev: F, 9 April 2018.
 - F) Landscape Master Plan, Conrad Gargett Ancher Mortlock Woolley, School ID: HASH, Project No: 16052, Drawing No: 0001, Rev: F, 9 April 2018.
- 2.3 Urban Arbor carried out two tree inspections on 7 September 2017 and 15 March 2018. Access was available to the subject site and adjoining public areas only. All tree data contained in this report was collected during this site inspection.

3. SCOPE OF THE REPORT

- 3.1 This report has been undertaken to meet the following objectives.
 - 2.1.1 Conduct a visual assessment from ground level of all significant trees located inside or adjoining the site, of any tree within 5 metres of proposed development works. For the purpose of this report a tree with a height greater than 4m is a significant tree.
 - 2.1.2 Determine the trees estimated contribution years and remaining, useful life expectancy and award the trees a retention value.
 - 2.1.3 Provide an assessment of the potential impact the proposed development is likely to cause to the condition of the subject trees in accordance with AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009).



4. LIMITATIONS

- 4.1 The observations and recommendations are based on the site inspections identified in the introduction (section 1) and the access available at the time of inspection. Findings of this report are based on the observations and site conditions at the time inspection.
- 4.2 All of the observations were carried out from ground level and none of the surrounding surfaces were lifted or removed during the inspection. No tests were carried out to the subject trees or surrounding area during the inspection.
- 4.1 Root decay can sometimes be present with no visual indication above ground. It is also impossible to know the extent of any root damage caused by mechanical damage such as underground root cutting during the installation of services without undertaking detailed root investigation. Any form of tree failure due to these activities is beyond the scope of this assessment.
- 4.2 The report reflects the subject tree(s) as found on the day of inspection. Any changes to the growing environment of the subject tree, or tree management works beyond those recommended in this report may alter the findings of the report. There is no warranty, expressed or implied, that problems or deficiencies relating to the subject tree, or subject site may not arise in the future.
- 4.3 Tree identification is based on accessible visual characteristics at the time of inspection. As key identifying features are not always available the accuracy of identification is not guaranteed. Where tree species is unknown, it is indicated with an *spp*.
- 4.4 All diagrams, plans and photographs included in this report are visual aids only, and are not to scale unless otherwise indicated.
- 4.5 Urban Arbor neither guarantees, nor is it responsible for, the accuracy of information provided by others that is contained within this report.
- 4.6 While an assessment of the subject trees estimated useful life expectancy is included in this report, no specific tree risk assessment has been undertaken for any of trees at the site.
- 4.7 The ultimate safety of any tree cannot be categorically guaranteed. Even trees apparently free of defects can collapse or partially collapse in extreme weather conditions. Trees are dynamic, biological entities subject to changes in their environment, the presence of pathogens and the effects of ageing. These factors reinforce the need for regular inspections. It is generally accepted that hazards can only be identified from distinct defects or from other failure-prone characteristics of a tree or its locality.
- 4.8 Alteration of this report invalidates the entire report.



5. METHODOLOGY

- 5.1 The following information was collected during the assessment of the subject tree(s).
 - 5.1.1 Tree common name
 - 5.1.2 Tree botanical name
 - 5.1.3 Tree age class
 - 5.1.4 DBH (Trunk/Stem diameter at breast height/1.4m above ground level) millimetres.
 - 5.1.5 Estimated height metres
 - 5.1.6 Estimated crown spread (diameter of crown) metres
 - 5.1.7 Health
 - 5.1.8 Structural condition
 - 5.1.9 Amenity value
 - 5.1.10 Estimated remaining contribution years (SULE)²
 - 5.1.11 Retention value (Tree AZ)³
 - 5.1.12 Notes/comments
- 5.2 An assessment of the trees condition was made using the visual tree assessment (VTA) model (Mattheck & Breloer, 1994).⁴
- 5.3 Tree diameter was measured using a DBH tape or in some cases estimated. Tree height and tree canopy spread was measured with a clinometer or in some cases estimated. All other measurements were estimations unless otherwise stated. The other tool used during the assessment was a digital camera.
- 5.4 All DBH measurements, tree protection zones, and structural root zones were calculated in accordance with methods set out in AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009) ⁵ and in some cases estimated. See appendices for information.
- 5.5 Details of how the observations in this report have been assessed are listed in the appendices.

Report on trees at: Hurlstone Agricultural High School - Hawkesbury Campus, Londonderry Road, Richmond, NSW. Prepared for: Conrad Gargett Ancher Mortlock Woolley

Prepared by: Bryce Claassens, Urban Arbor Pty Ltd, sales@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.

² Barrell Tree Consultancy, SULE: Its use and status into the New Millennium, TreeAZ/03/2001, http://www.treeaz.com/.

³ Barrell Tree Consultancy, *Tree AZ version 10.04-ANZ*, http://www.treeaz.com/.

⁴ Mattheck, C. & Breloer, H., *The body language of trees - A handbook for failure analysis*, The Stationary Office, London, England (1994).

⁵ Council Of Standards Australia, AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009).



6. SITE LOCATION AND BRIEF DESCRIPTION

- 6.1 The site is located in the suburb of Richmond, New South Wales, which is located within the Hawkesbury Local Government Area (LGA) and all trees at the site are subject to protection under the Hawkesbury Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2012⁶ and Development Control Plan (DCP) 2002. The site is not located inside a Heritage Conservation Area or forms part of a heritage item or listed as environmental heritage in the LEP heritage maps. 8 The Southern strip of trees within the site/neighbouring site has been identified as 'Significant Vegetation' in the LEP biodiversity maps⁹. The Biodiversity Assessment Report prepared by Narla Environmental Pty Ltd has identified this section of vegetation as an Endangered Ecological Community (EEC) -River Flat Eucalypt Forest.
- 6.2 Proposed works include the construction of the new Hurlstone Agricultural High School (Hawkesbury Campus), including proposed buildings/classrooms, hard surfacing, landscaping, drainage swales, detention basins, service trenching and temporary construction roads.

7. GENERAL INFORMATION IN RELATION TO PROTECTING TREES ON **DEVELOPMENT SITES**

7.1 **Tree protection zone (TPZ):** The TPZ is the principle means of protecting trees on development sites and is an area required to maintain the viability of trees during development. It is commonly observed that tree roots will extend significant further than the indicative TPZ, however the TPZ is an area identified AS4970-2009 to be extent where root loss or disturbance will generally not impact the viability of the tree. The TPZ is identified as a restricted area to prevent damage to trees either above or below ground during a development. Where trees are intended to be retained proposed developments must provide an adequate TPZ around trees. The TPZ is set aside for the tree's root zone, trunk and crown and it is essential for the stability and longevity of the tree. The tree protection also incorporates the SRZ (see below for more information about the SRZ). The TPZ of palms, other monocots, cycads and tree ferns has been calculated at one metre outside the crown projection. In the appendices, additional information has been included about the TPZ including information about calculating the TPZ and examples of TPZ encroachment.

Report on trees at: Hurlstone Agricultural High School - Hawkesbury Campus, Londonderry Road, Richmond, NSW. Prepared for: Conrad Gargett Ancher Mortlock Woolley

Prepared by: Bryce Claassens, Urban Arbor Pty Ltd, sales@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.

⁶ Hawkesbury Local Environmental Plan 2012, http://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/, accessed 11 April 2018.


⁷ Hawkesbury Development Control Plan 2002, https://www.hawkesbury.nsw.gov.au/development/development- information/development-control-plan, accessed 11 April 2018.

⁸ Hawkesbury LEP Heritage map - Sheet HER_008BA, https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/maps/b489858a-beaf-67e3-9fd6f9c1ec7ed0e6/3800 COM HER 008BA 020 20120606.pdf, accessed 11 April 2018.

⁹ Hawkesbury LEP Biodiversity map - Sheet BIO_008BA, https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/maps/67824f0d-f040-65b6-fe6ccb07a22b2ee0/3800 COM BIO 008BA 020 20120314.pdf, accessed 11 April 2018.



- 7.2 **Structural Root Zone (SRZ):** This is the area around the base of a tree required for the trees stability in the ground. An area larger than the SRZ always needs to be maintained to preserve a viable tree. There are several factors that can vary the SRZ which include height, crown area, soil type and soil moisture. It can also be influenced by other factors such as natural or built structures. Generally work within the SRZ should be avoided. Soil level changes should also generally be avoided inside the SRZ of trees to be retained. Palms, other monocots, cycads and tree ferns do not have an SRZ. See appendices for more information about the SRZ.
- 7.3 **Minor encroachment into TPZ:** Sometimes encroachment into the TPZ is unavoidable. Encroachment includes but is not limited to activities such as excavation, compacted fill and machine trenching. Minor encroachment of up to 10% of the overall TPZ area is normally considered acceptable, providing there is space adjacent to the TPZ for the tree to compensate and the tree is displaying adequate vigour/health to tolerate changes to its growing environment.





7.4 Major encroachment into TPZ: Where encroachment of more than 10% of the overall TPZ area is proposed the project Arborist must investigate and demonstrate that the tree will remain in a viable condition. In some cases, tree sensitive construction methods such as pier and beam footings, suspended slabs, or cantilevered sections, can be utilised to allow additional encroachment into the TPZ by bridging over roots and minimising root disturbance. Major encroachment is only possible if it can be undertaken without severing significant size roots, or if it can be demonstrated that significant roots will not be impacted. Root investigations may be required to identify roots that will be impacted during major TPZ encroachment.

8. OBSERVATIONS

- 8.1 **Tree information:** Details of each individual tree assessed, including the observations taken during the site inspection can be found in the tree inspection schedule in appendix 2, where the indicative tree protection zone (TPZ) for the subject trees has been calculated. The TPZ and SRZ should be measured in radius from the centre of the trunk. The subject trees have been awarded a retention value based on the observations during the site inspection. The system used to award the retention value is Tree AZ. Tree AZ is used to identify higher value trees worthy of being a constraint to development and lower value trees that should generally not be a constraint to the development. The Tree AZ categories sheet (Barrell Tree Consultancy) has been included in the appendices to assist with understanding the retention values. The retention value that has been allocated to the subject trees in this report is not definitive and should only be used as a guideline. This information has been summarised below.
- 8.2 **Site plan:** Site plans have been included in Appendix 1, where the indicative TPZ/SRZ of the trees assessed have been overlaid onto the received proposed plans provided by the client to assist with understanding tree constraints at the site and how the impact to trees has been assessed. Appendix 1 also includes site-specific tree protection.

Prepared by: Bryce Claassens, Urban Arbor Pty Ltd, sales@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.



9. ASSESSEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS

9.1 Table 1: In the table below I have summarised the impact of proposed development impact to all trees included in the report. As some trees have not been recorded on the received site survey, the TPZ encroachment percentage has been estimated based on the available information. The assessment is based on the footprint of proposed structures identified in architectural drawing. If any additional works are required outside this footprint, such as level changes or piling to batter new walls, the impact to the trees will need to be re assessed. This information must be determined by the project specialist and architect for the final impact to trees to be assessed.

Tree ID	Botanical Name	Retention Value	TPZ radius (m)	TPZ area (m²)	SRZ radius (m)	TPZ encroachment	Discussion/ Conclusion	Recommendation
1	Pyrus calleryana	A1	3.9	47.8	2.7	Major	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located directly adjacent to the proposed new access road.	Remove
2	Pyrus calleryana	A1	2.0	12.6	1.7	Major	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located directly adjacent to the proposed new access road.	Remove
3	Populus nigra var betulifolia	Z3	5.4	91.6	3.2	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located within the footprint of the proposed development. Tree is an exempt species and should not be a constraint to the development.	Remove.
4	Angophora floribunda	AA1	15.0	706.9	4.9	Minor (LS Only)	Works in the TPZ include landscaping to create a new turfed area, which will have minimal impact to the tree providing existing soil grades are not significantly altered. All landscaping inside the TPZ must be undertaken in accordance with section 9.3.	Retain and Protect.
5	Cinnamomum camphora	Z3	6.9	149.6	3.3	Minor	The poultry shed will encroach into the TPZ by less than 5%. This is considered a minor and acceptable TPZ encroachment under AS4970-2009, and the proposed works will not significantly impact the tree as a single element. Remaining works in the TPZ include landscaping to create a new turfed area, which will have minimal impact to the tree providing existing soil grades are not significantly altered. All landscaping inside the TPZ must be undertaken in accordance with section 9.3	Retain and Protect.



6	Angophora floribunda	A1	8.2	211.2	3.2	Minor (LS Only)	Works in the TPZ include landscaping to create a new turfed area, which will have minimal impact to the tree providing existing soil grades are not significantly altered. All landscaping inside the TPZ must be undertaken in accordance with section 9.3.	Retain and Protect.
7	Angophora floribunda	Z4	10.8	366.4	3.6	Minor (LS Only)	Works in the TPZ include landscaping to create a new turfed area, which will have minimal impact to the tree providing existing soil grades are not significantly altered. All landscaping inside the TPZ must be undertaken in accordance with section 9.3. Tree is dead and is recommended for removal, however tree may be worthy of protection for ecological reasons.	Remove.
8	Angophora floribunda	A2	4.2	55.4	2.3	Minor (LS Only)	Works in the TPZ include landscaping to create a new turfed area, which will have minimal impact to the tree providing existing soil grades are not significantly altered. All landscaping inside the TPZ must be undertaken in accordance with section 9.3.	Retain and Protect.
9	Angophora floribunda	A1	7.6	181.5	3.2	Minor (LS Only)	Works in the TPZ include landscaping to create a new turfed area, which will have minimal impact to the tree providing existing soil grades are not significantly altered. All landscaping inside the TPZ must be undertaken in accordance with section 9.3.	Retain and Protect.
10	Angophora floribunda	A1	10.8	366.4	3.6	Minor	The proposed OSD will encroach into the TPZ by 8% (28.7m²), but not into the SRZ. This is considered to be a minor and acceptable TPZ encroachment under AS4970-2009, and the proposed works will not significantly impact the tree.	Retain and Protect.
11	Eucalyptus melliodora	Z5	10.8	366.4	3.6	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and protect.
12	Eucalyptus melliodora	A1	11.5	415.5	3.7	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and protect.
13	Eucalyptus melliodora	A1	3.7	43.0	2.4	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and protect.
14	Eucalyptus melliodora	A1	3.8	45.4	3.0	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and protect.
15	Pinus radiata	Z3	6.7	141.0	3.2	Minor	Works in the TPZ include landscaping to create a new turfed area, which will have minimal impact to the tree providing existing soil grades are not significantly altered. All landscaping inside the TPZ must be undertaken in accordance with section 9.3. Remaining works inside the TPZ include a temporary construction road, which will not significantly impact the tree providing the road is installed in accordance with section 9.3.5 of this report.	Retain and Protect.



16	Populus nigra var betulifolia	Z3	8.4	221.7	3.1	Major	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located directly adjacent to the proposed development. Tree is an exempt species and should not be a constraint to the development.	Remove.
17	Populus nigra var betulifolia	Z3	4.8	72.4	2.7	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located within the footprint of the proposed development. Tree is an exempt species and should not be a constraint to the development.	Remove.
18	Pyrus calleryana	A1	4.2	55.4	2.3	Major	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located directly adjacent to the proposed development.	Remove.
19	Cinnamomum camphora	Z3	4.2	55.4	2.8	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located within the footprint of the proposed development. Tree is an exempt species and should not be a constraint to the development.	Remove.
20	Cinnamomum camphora	Z3	6.0	113.1	2.7	Footprint	Not marked on received survey. Trunk is located within the footprint of the proposed food forest. Tree is an exempt species and should not be a constraint to the development.	Remove.
21	Casuarina glauca	A1	7.0	153.9	3.2	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located within the footprint of proposed development works. Native tree located within land zoned SP1. Removal subject to approval by Hawkesbury council.	Remove.
22	Casuarina glauca	A1	5.3	88.3	2.6	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located within the footprint of proposed development works. Native tree located within land zoned SP1. Removal subject to approval by Hawkesbury council.	Remove.
23	Cinnamomum camphora	Z3	4.8	72.4	2.7	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located within the footprint of proposed development works. Tree is an exempt species and should not be a constraint to the development.	Remove.
24	Casuarina glauca	A1	5.3	88.3	2.6	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located within the footprint of proposed development works. Native tree located within land zoned SP1. Removal subject to approval by Hawkesbury council.	Remove.
25	Casuarina glauca	A1	4.9	75.4	2.5	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located within the footprint of proposed development works. Native tree located within land zoned SP1. Removal subject to approval by Hawkesbury council.	Remove.
26	Casuarina glauca	A1	4.7	69.4	2.5	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located within the footprint of proposed development works. Native tree located within land zoned SP1. Removal subject to approval by Hawkesbury council.	Remove.
27	Casuarina glauca	A1	4.9	75.4	2.5	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located within the footprint of proposed development works. Native tree located within land zoned SP1. Removal subject to approval by Hawkesbury council.	Remove.
28	Casuarina glauca	A1	4.3	58.1	2.4	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located within the footprint of proposed development works. Native tree located within land zoned SP1. Removal subject to approval by Hawkesbury council.	Remove.



29	Casuarina glauca	Z9	4.6	66.5	2.5	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located within the footprint of proposed development works. Native tree located within land zoned SP1. Removal subject to approval by Hawkesbury council.	Remove.
30	Casuarina glauca	A1	3.8	45.4	2.3	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located within the footprint of proposed development works. Native tree located within land zoned SP1. Removal subject to approval by Hawkesbury council.	Remove.
31	Casuarina glauca	A1	3.4	36.3	2.2	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located within the footprint of proposed development works. Native tree located within land zoned SP1. Removal subject to approval by Hawkesbury council.	Remove.
32	Casuarina glauca	A1	6.0	113.1	2.6	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located within the footprint of proposed development works. Native tree located within land zoned SP1. Removal subject to approval by Hawkesbury council.	Remove.
33	Casuarina glauca	A1	4.7	69.4	2.5	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located within the footprint of proposed development works. Native tree located within land zoned SP1. Removal subject to approval by Hawkesbury council.	Remove.
34	Pyrus calleryana	A1	9.6	289.5	3.3	Minor (LS Only)	Works in the TPZ include landscaping to create a new turfed area and amenity planting, which will have minimal impact to the tree providing existing soil grades are not significantly altered. All landscaping inside the TPZ must be undertaken in accordance with section 9.3.	Retain and Protect.
35	Pyrus calleryana	A1	5.5	95.0	2.8	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Trunk is located within the footprint of the new access road.	Remove.
36	Eucalyptus haemastoma	A1	6.7	141.0	2.7	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
37	Eucalyptus saligna	Z4	5.2	85.0	2.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment. Low foliage density for species, indicators of decline. Mechanical damage to top of large surface root. If tree is to be retained, remediating tree health is recommended.	Retain and Protect.
38	Platanus x hispanica	A1	2.8	24.6	1.9	Minor	The proposed service trenching will encroach into the TPZ by 9% (2.2m²), but not into the SRZ. This is considered to be a minor and acceptable TPZ encroachment under AS4970-2009, and the proposed works will not significantly impact the tree as a single element. Service trenching must be done in accordance with section 9.3.4 of this report. Remaining works inside the TPZ include a temporary construction road, which will not significantly impact the tree providing the road is installed in accordance with section 9.3.5 of this report. Pruning of two low first order branches on North East side of tree will be required for vehicle access along the temporary road. Pruning must be done in accordance with AS4373-2007.	Retain and Protect.



39	Platanus x hispanica	Z10	2.3	16.6	1.8	Minor	The proposed service trenching will encroach into the TPZ by less than 1%. This is considered to be a minor and acceptable TPZ encroachment under AS4970-2009, and the proposed trenching will not significantly impact the tree as a single element. Service trenching must be done in accordance with section 9.3.4 of this report. Remaining works inside the TPZ include a temporary construction road, which will not significantly impact the tree providing the road is installed in accordance with section 9.3.5 of this report. Minor tip pruning on South West side of tree will be required for vehicle access along the temporary road. Pruning must be done in accordance with AS4373-2007.	Retain and Protect.
40	Platanus x hispanica	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
41	Platanus x hispanica	A1	2.0	12.6	1.8	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
42	Platanus x hispanica	Z5	2.5	19.6	1.8	Minor	The proposed service trenching will encroach into the TPZ by less than 5%. This is considered to be a minor and acceptable TPZ encroachment under AS4970-2009, and the proposed trenching will not significantly impact the tree as a single element. Service trenching must be done in accordance with section 9.3.4 of this report. Remaining works inside the TPZ include a temporary construction road, which will not significantly impact the tree providing the road is installed in accordance with section 9.3.5 of this report.	Retain and Protect.
43	Platanus x hispanica	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.8	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
44	Platanus x hispanica	A1	2.5	19.6	1.8	Minor	The proposed service trenching will encroach into the TPZ by less than 1%. This is considered to be a minor and acceptable TPZ encroachment under AS4970-2009, and the proposed trenching will not significantly impact the tree.	Retain and Protect.
45	Platanus x hispanica	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
46	Platanus x hispanica	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
47	Platanus x hispanica	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.



48	Platanus x hispanica	A1	2.0	12.6	1.8	Minor	The proposed service trenching will encroach into the TPZ by less than 1%. This is considered to be a minor and acceptable TPZ encroachment under AS4970-2009, and the proposed trenching will not significantly impact the tree as a single element. Service trenching must be done in accordance with section 9.3.4 of this report. Remaining works inside the TPZ include a temporary construction road, which will not significantly impact the tree providing the road is installed in accordance with section 9.3.5 of this report.	Retain and Protect.
49	Platanus x hispanica	A1	2.5	19.6	1.9	Minor	The proposed service trenching will encroach into the TPZ by less than 5%. This is considered to be a minor and acceptable TPZ encroachment under AS4970-2009, and the proposed trenching will not significantly impact the tree as a single element. Service trenching must be done in accordance with section 9.3.4 of this report. Remaining works inside the TPZ include a temporary construction road, which will not significantly impact the tree providing the road is installed in accordance with section 9.3.5 of this report. Minor tip pruning on North East side of tree will be required for vehicle access along the temporary road. Pruning must be done in accordance with AS4373-2007.	Retain and Protect.
50	Platanus x hispanica	Z5	2.2	15.2	1.7	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
51	Platanus x hispanica	A1	2.3	16.6	1.8	Minor	The proposed service trenching will encroach into the TPZ by less than 5%. This is considered to be a minor and acceptable TPZ encroachment under AS4970-2009, and the proposed trenching will not significantly impact the tree as a single element. Service trenching must be done in accordance with section 9.3.4 of this report. Remaining works inside the TPZ include a temporary construction road, which will not significantly impact the tree providing the road is installed in accordance with section 9.3.5 of this report. Minor tip pruning on South West side of tree will be required for vehicle access along the temporary road. Pruning must be done in accordance with AS4373-2007.	Retain and Protect.



52	Platanus x hispanica	A1	3.4	36.3	2.1	Major	The proposed service trenching will encroach into the TPZ by 11% (3.9m²), but not into the SRZ. This is considered to be a major TPZ encroachment. However, this is only just over the threshold of minor encroachment in AS4970-2009. At the time of the inspection, the tree was displaying good health and vigour for the species and there is space contiguous with the TPZ for the area of encroachment to be compensated. Therefore, the impact to the tree will be minor and acceptable and the tree should remain viable for retention providing the area lost to this encroachment is compensated for. Remaining works inside the TPZ include a temporary construction road, which will not significantly impact the tree providing the road is installed in accordance with section 9.3.5 of this report. Pruning of second lowest first order branch on North East side of tree is required for vehicle access along the temporary road. Pruning must be done in accordance with AS4373-2007.	Retain and Protect.
53	Platanus x hispanica	A1	2.0	12.6	1.6	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
54	Platanus x hispanica	A1	2.0	12.6	1.7	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
55	Platanus x hispanica	A1	2.3	16.6	2.0	None	Works inside the TPZ include a temporary construction road, which will not significantly impact the tree providing the road is installed in accordance with section 9.3.5 of this report.	Retain and Protect.
56	Platanus x hispanica	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
57	Platanus x hispanica	A1	3.1	30.2	2.1	Minor	The proposed service trenching will encroach into the TPZ by 9% (2.7m²), but not into the SRZ. This is considered to be a minor and acceptable TPZ encroachment under AS4970-2009, and the proposed works will not significantly impact the tree as a single element. Remaining works inside the TPZ include a temporary construction road, which will encroach significantly into the TPZ. Providing the road is installed in accordance with section 9.3.5 of this report the impact will be minor and acceptable and the tree will remain viable for retention. Pruning of lowest first order branch on North side of tree is required for vehicle access along the temporary road. Pruning must be done in accordance with AS4373-2007.	Retain and Protect.



58	Platanus x hispanica	A1	3.5	38.5	2.2	Minor	The proposed service trenching will encroach into the TPZ by less than 5%. This is considered to be a minor and acceptable TPZ encroachment under AS4970-2009, and the proposed trenching will not significantly impact the tree as a single element. Service trenching must be done in accordance with section 9.3.4 of this report.	Retain and Protect.
59	Pyrus calleryana	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
60	Pyrus calleryana	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
61	Pyrus calleryana	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
62	Pyrus calleryana	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
63	Pyrus calleryana	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
64	Pyrus calleryana	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
65	Pyrus calleryana	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
66	Pyrus calleryana	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
67	Pyrus calleryana	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
68	Pyrus calleryana	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
69	Pyrus calleryana	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
70	Pyrus calleryana	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
71	Pyrus calleryana	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
72	Pyrus calleryana	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
73	Pyrus calleryana	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
74	Pyrus calleryana	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Located within footprint of proposed service trenching.	Remove.



75	Pyrus	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Located within	Remove.
'	calleryana	'	2.0	12.0	1.0	1 ootpillt	footprint of proposed temporary construction road.	Tomovo.
76	Pyrus calleryana	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. No proposed TPZ encroachment. Can be retained in a viable condition with no design	Retain and Protect.
	Galleryaria						modification.	1 Totoot.
77	Pyrus	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. No proposed TPZ	Retain and
	calleryana						encroachment. Can be retained in a viable condition with no design modification.	Protect.
78	Pyrus	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Located within	Remove.
	calleryana						footprint of proposed temporary construction road.	
79	Pyrus	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Located within	Remove.
	calleryana				L		footprint of proposed service trenching.	
80	Pyrus calleryana	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
81	Pyrus	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and
00	calleryana	74	0.0	40.0	4.5	Nicos	No service de TD7 es esse de service	Protect.
82	Pyrus calleryana	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
83	Pyrus	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and
03	calleryana	-	2.0	12.0	1.5	None	The proposed Tr 2 encreachment.	Protect.
84	Pyrus	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and
	calleryana							Protect.
85	Pyrus	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and
	calleryana							Protect.
86	Pyrus	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and
	calleryana							Protect.
87	Pyrus	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and
	calleryana							Protect.
88	Pyrus	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and
89	calleryana	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	No proposed TDZ engrouphment	Protect.
09	Pyrus calleryana	<u> </u>	2.0	12.0	1.5	inone	No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
90	Pyrus	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	None	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. No proposed TPZ	Retain and
	calleryana						encroachment. Can be retained in a viable condition with no design modification.	Protect.
91	Pyrus	Z1	2.0	12.6	1.5	Footprint	Tree identified for removal on received tree retention plan. Located within	Remove.
	calleryana						footprint of proposed temporary construction road.	



92	Corymbia	A1	5.8	105.7	2.6	Minor	Works inside the TPZ include a temporary construction road, which will not	Retain and
	torelliana						significantly impact the tree providing the road is installed in accordance with section 9.3.5 of this report. Minor tip pruning on South East side of tree will be required for vehicle access along the temporary road. The pruning will result in the removal of less than 5% of the overall live foliage area, and the proposed pruning will not significantly impact the tree. Pruning must be done in accordance with	Protect.
							AS4373-2007.	
93	Corymbia torelliana	A1	3.8	45.4	2.2	None	Not marked on received plans. No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.
94	Corymbia torelliana	A1	3.4	36.3	2.0	None	Not marked on received plans. No proposed TPZ encroachment.	Retain and Protect.

Notes

TPZ Encroachment Percentage: TPZ encroachment percentages are based on new structures (i.e. buildings, retaining walls) and hard surfaces only. New soft landscaping, such as turf or amenity planting areas have not been included in the calculation for TPZ encroachment.

Minor (LS only): Only soft landscaping works proposed in TPZ.



9.2 **General Landscaping Requirements**

New landscaping is proposed inside the TPZ of the majority of the trees at the site. If the trees are to be retained in a viable condition, the landscaping must be undertaken in a tree sensitive method to ensure trees are adversely impacted by the landscape construction.

- 9.2.1 Structural Root Zone: This is the area of structural woody roots around the base of trunk required for tree stability. No roots greater than 30mm inside the SRZ should be severed or damaged, unless the impact of the root pruning has been assessed and approved by the project Arborist.
- 9.2.2 Soil Grades: Existing soil grades inside the TPZ of trees to should not be significantly altered, to minimise impact to the trees root system. The existing soil grades inside the TPZ must be lowered by more than 50mm, as this could result in removing significant fibrous root mass, impacting the trees health and vigour. Where soil grades must be lowered by more than 50mm, consultation is required from the project Arborist to assess the impact to the individual tree(s). Soil level increases should also be minimised, as this can restrict the availability of water, nutrients and air to root system below. Soil level must not be increased by more than 100mmm inside the TPZ, unless assessed and approved by the project Arborist.
- 9.2.3 Soil compaction: As described in AS4970-2009, apart from the actual removal of roots during excavation or trenching, soil compaction is one of the major causes of root damage on development sites. Compaction is defined as the loss of large pore spaces (macropores) within the soil with a net loss of total pore space. Macropores are essential for the exchange of gases between the soil air and the atmosphere (aeration) and the removal of excess water from the soil (drainage). Soil compaction must be minimised during the development works.
- 9.2.4 Demolition/Removal of existing hard surfaces/structures: The demolition of all existing structures inside or directly adjacent to the TPZ of trees to be retained must be undertaken in consultation with the project Arborist. Any machinery is to work from inside the footprint of the existing structures/hard surface or outside the TPZ, reaching in to minimise soil disturbance and compaction. If it is not feasible to locate demolition machinery outside the TPZ of trees to be retained, ground protection will be required. The lowest layers of sub base of are to be carefully removed in maximum 50mm to minimise potential damage to the underlying root system. Existing turf must be removed manually inside the TPZ.
- 9.2.5 Soil preparation: Any cultivation of soil inside the TPZ of trees to be retained in preparation for laying turf/grass must be undertaken manually. The soil should be carefully forked through, and approximately 20% organic matter introduced to improve soil condition. Roots greater than 30mm in diameter should be retained. After any existing hard surfaces are and sub based are removed, good quality top soil free of contaminants should be used to fill in the excavated area and bring flush with surrounding levels within new landscape areas. Soil must be imported and spread manually, when the underlying soil conditions are dry to avoid compaction of the soil profile.
- 9.2.6 Sub base Materials: Where sub base is used inside the TPZ of trees to be retained, sub base material should be a coarse aggregate/granular material that does not



restrict the flow of water and air to the root system below. A layer of permeable geotextile fabric should be placed over the soil surface, between the soil and lowest level of sub base. Where hard surfaces are being replaced with new hard surfacing, the lowest layers of sub base should be retained and re used to avoid unnecessary root disturbance.

9.3 Site Specific Landscaping Specifications

- 9.3.1 New Paving/Decorative Gravel/Hard Surfaces: To ensure that tree root systems are not significantly impacted, all new hard surfaces should be constructed on or above existing soil grades. If the new hard surface is replacing and existing hard surface, the existing sub base should be retained where possible to minimise root disturbance. Compaction of lowest sub base materials must be minimised, as this can cause soil compaction and impact the health of trees. Sub base must be in accordance with section 9.2.6.
- 9.3.2 Turf Cells/Amenity Grass: Soil preparation must be in accordance with section 9.2.5. Roots greater than 30mm in diameter should be retained. Water saving crystals must not be used in the TPZ of trees to be retained, as these can draw moisture from tree roots and damage the trees health.
- 9.3.3 Amenity Planting Areas: The location of new plantings inside the TPZ of trees to be retained should be flexible to avoid unnecessary damage to tree roots greater than 30mm in diameter. Any replacement trees must be selected in accordance with AS2303-2015 Tree stock for landscape use. Soil preparation in accordance with section 9.2.5.
- 9.3.4 Excavations for Service Trenching: Where service trenching is proposed within the TPZ of trees to be retained, the outside edge of trenching excavations adjacent to the trees must be done by hand to identify roots which will require pruning. All pruning of roots greater than 10mm in diameter must be carried out by a qualified Arborist/Horticulturalist with a minimum AQF level 3. Root pruning is to be a clean cut with a sharp tool in accordance with AS4373 Pruning of amenity trees (2007), making a clean cut and leaving as small a wound as possible. Once the roots have been pruned, all remaining internal excavations of the trench may be completed by machine excavation, ensuring the machine is working from outside the TPZ of trees to be retained.
- 9.3.5 Temporary Construction Access Road: Where the temporary construction access road is to be installed on top of the service trenching within the TPZ of trees to be retained, the road must be installed within the line of the replaced fill of the trenching. If the construction road encroaches further into the TPZ of trees to be retained, ground protection will be required. Ground protection must be installed in accordance with section 12.6.5
- 9.3.6 Drainage pipes/Underground services: Where possible underground services and drainage pipes should be located outside the TPZ of trees to be retained. Where this is not possible, they must be installed via tree sensitive techniques. This should include either directional drilling methods or manual excavations to minimise the impact to trees identified for retention. Section 4.5.5 of AS4970-2009 says that 'The directional drilling bore should be at least 600 mm deep. The project Arborist should

Report on trees at: Hurlstone Agricultural High School - Hawkesbury Campus, Londonderry Road, Richmond, NSW. Prepared for: Conrad Gargett Ancher Mortlock Woolley

Prepared by: Bryce Claassens, Urban Arbor Pty Ltd, sales@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.



assess the likely impacts of boring and bore pits on retained trees. For manual excavation of trenches the project Arborist should advise on roots to be retained and should monitor the works'. 10 Roots greater than 30mm should be retained and the pipe threaded above/below the roots to allow for their retention.

10. CONCLUSIONS

10.1 **Table 2:** Summary of the impact to trees during the development;

Impact	Reason	Categ	jory A	Category Z
		AA	Α	Z
Trees to be removed	Building construction, new surfacing and/or proximity, or trees in poor condition.	None	1, 2, 18, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33, 35 (Fifteen trees)	3, 7, 16, 17, 19, 20, 23, 29, 74, 75, 78, 79, 91 (Thirteen trees)
Retained trees subject to TPZ encroachment greater than 10%	Removal of existing surfacing/structures and/or installation of new surfacing/structures	None	52 (One tree)	None
Retained trees subject to TPZ encroachment of 10% or less	Removal of existing surfacing/structures and/or installation of new surfacing/structures	4 (One tree)	6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 34, 36, 38, 41, 44, 48, 49, 51, 53, 54, 55, 57, 58, 92, 93, 94 (Twenty-three trees)	5, 11, 15, 37, 39, 40, 42, 43, 45, 46, 47, 50, 56, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 76, 77, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90 (Forty-one trees)

Report on trees at: Hurlstone Agricultural High School - Hawkesbury Campus, Londonderry Road, Richmond, NSW. Prepared for: Conrad Gargett Ancher Mortlock Woolley

Prepared by: Bryce Claassens, Urban Arbor Pty Ltd, sales@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.

¹⁰ Council Of Standards Australia, AS 4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009) page 18.



11. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 11.1 This report assesses the impact of a proposed development at the subject site to ninety-four (94) trees located either inside or adjoining the site, in accordance with AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009).
- 11.2 Site plans have been included in Appendix 1, where the indicative TPZ/SRZ of the trees assessed have been overlaid onto the received proposed plans provided by the client to assist with understanding tree constraints at the site and how the impact to trees has been assessed. Appendix 1 also includes site-specific tree protection.
- 11.3 Twenty-eight (28) trees will have to be removed to accommodate the development. Fifteen of these are higher value category A retention value trees including 11, 2, 18, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 35. Twelve trees are lower value category Z retention value trees including tree 3, 7, 16, 17, 19, 20, 23, 29, 74, 75, 78, 79 and 91, which are not worthy of being a constraint to the development, due to poor existing condition, undesirable species or small/young trees that could be replaced with higher value trees within a ten-year time period.
- 11.4 One (1) tree will be subject to a TPZ encroachment greater than 10%, tree 52. Tree 52 will remain viable for retention providing the area lost to this encroachment is compensated for.
- 11.5 The remaining sixty-five (65) trees will be subject to minor and acceptable TPZ encroachments of 10% or less and can be retained in a viable condition, including category A retention value trees 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 34, 36, 38, 41, 44, 48, 49, 51, 53, 54, 55, 57, 58, 92, 93 and 94, and category Z retention value trees 5, 11, 15, 37, 39, 40, 42, 43, 45, 46, 47, 50, 56, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 76, 77, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89 and 90.
- 11.6 All trees to be retained must be protected for the duration of development, (including demolition and landscaping, in accordance with AS4970-2009). See section 12 for more information.
- 11.7 Where possible underground services must be located outside the TPZ of trees to be retained. All underground services located inside the TPZ of any tree to be retained must be installed via tree sensitive techniques. This should include either directional drilling methods or manual excavations to minimise the impact to trees identified for retention. Section 4.5.5 of AS4970-2009 says that 'The directional drilling bore should be at least 600 mm deep. The project Arborist should assess the likely impacts of boring and bore pits on retained trees. For manual excavation of trenches the project Arborist should advise on roots to be retained and should monitor the works'.¹¹
- 11.8 This report does not provide approval for tree removal or pruning works. All recommendations in this report are subject to approval by the relevant authorities and/or tree owners. This report should be submitted as supporting evidence with any tree removal/pruning or development application.

Report on trees at: Hurlstone Agricultural High School - Hawkesbury Campus, Londonderry Road, Richmond, NSW. Prepared for: Conrad Gargett Ancher Mortlock Woolley

Prepared by: Bryce Claassens, Urban Arbor Pty Ltd, sales@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.

¹¹ Council Of Standards Australia, AS 4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009) page 18.



12. TREE PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS

- 12.1 **Use of this report:** All contractors must be made aware of the tree protection requirements prior to commencing works at the site. This report and a copy of the proposed site plan drawing (Appendix 1) must also be made available to any contractor prior to works commencing and during any on site operations.
- 12.2 **Project Arborist:** Prior to any works commencing at the site a project Arborist should be appointed. The project Arborist should be qualified to a minimum AQF level 5 and/or equivalent qualifications and experience, and should assist with any development issues relating to trees that may arise. If at any time it is not feasible to carryout works in accordance with this, an alternative must be agreed in writing with the project Arborist.
 - 12.3 **Tree work:** All tree work must be carried out by a qualified and experienced Arborist with a minimum of AQF level 2 in arboriculture, in accordance with NSW Work Cover Code of Practice for the Amenity Tree Industry (1998) and AS4373 Pruning of amenity trees (2007).
 - 12.4 Initial site meeting/on-going regular inspections: The project Arborist is to hold a pre-construction site meeting with principle contractor to discuss methods and importance of tree protection measures and resolve any issues in relation to tree protection that may arise. In accordance with AS4970-2009, the project Arborist should carryout regular site inspections to ensure works are carried out in accordance with this document throughout the development process. I recommend site inspections on a one-month frequency.
 - 12.5 **Site Specific Tree Protection Recommendations:** The table below provides recommendations for each tree, including site specific tree protection requirements. All trees to be retained must be protected in accordance with general requirements of AS4970-2009 for the duration of the development, details of which are discussed in further details in this section of the report.

Tree ID	Botanical Name	TPZ Radius (m)	SRZ Radius (m)	Recommendations	
1	Pyrus calleryana	3.9	2.7	Remove and replace.	
2	Pyrus calleryana	2.0	1.7	Remove and replace.	
3	Populus nigra var betulifolia	5.4	3.2	Remove and replace.	
4	Angophora floribunda	15.0	4.9	Retain and Protect. Tree protection fencing required. Fencing to encompass TPZ perimeter (15m radius from centre of trunk) within site only. Fencing only to be moved for installation of hard surfacing and landscaping under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.	

Report on trees at: Hurlstone Agricultural High School - Hawkesbury Campus, Londonderry Road, Richmond, NSW. Prepared for: Conrad Gargett Ancher Mortlock Woolley

Prepared by: Bryce Claassens, Urban Arbor Pty Ltd, sales@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.



THE	Trusted Name in Tree Management	KS			
5	Cinnamomum camphora	6.9	3.3	Retain and Protect. Tree protection fencing required. Fencing to encompass tree 5, 6, 8 and 9. Fencing to run along the TPZ perimeter of these trees, within site only. Fencing adjacent to tree 5 to be set back from the proposed chicken coop by 2m. Fencing only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.	
6	Angophora floribunda	8.2	3.2	Retain and Protect. See tree protection for tree 5.	
7	Angophora floribunda	10.8	3.6	Remove and replace.	
8	Angophora floribunda	4.2	2.3	Retain and Protect. See tree protection for tree 5.	
9	Angophora floribunda	7.6	3.2	Retain and Protect. See tree protection for tree 5.	
10	Angophora floribunda	10.8	3.6	Retain and protect. Fencing to encompass TPZ perimeter where practical, within site only. Fencing to be set back by 1m from the proposed excavations for the detention basin. Fencing only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.	
11	Eucalyptus melliodora	10.8	3.6	Retain and protect. Fencing to encompass TPZ perimeter 10.8m radius from centre of trunk, within site only. Fencing only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.	
12	Eucalyptus melliodora	11.5	3.7	Retain. Set back significantly from proposed works. No protection required.	
13	Eucalyptus melliodora	3.7	2.4	Retain. Set back significantly from proposed works. No protection required.	
14	Eucalyptus melliodora	3.8	3.0	Retain. Set back significantly from proposed works. No protection required.	
15	Pinus radiata	6.7	3.2	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass TPZ perimeter (6.7m radius from centre of trunk) and be set back from the temporary construction road by 1m. Fencing only to be moved for installation of hard surfacing and landscaping under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.	
16	Populus nigra var betulifolia	8.4	3.1	Remove and replace.	
17	Populus nigra var betulifolia	4.8	2.7	Remove and replace.	
18	Pyrus calleryana	4.2	2.3	Remove and replace.	
19	Cinnamomum camphora	4.2	2.8	Remove and replace.	
20	Cinnamomum camphora	6.0	2.7	Remove and replace.	
21	Casuarina glauca	7.0	3.2	Remove and replace.	
22	Casuarina glauca	5.3	2.6	Remove and replace.	



23	Cinnamomum camphora	4.8	2.7	Remove and replace.	
24	Casuarina glauca	5.3	2.6	Remove and replace.	
25	Casuarina glauca	4.9	2.5	Remove and replace.	
26	Casuarina glauca	4.7	2.5	Remove and replace.	
27	Casuarina glauca	4.9	2.5	Remove and replace.	
28	Casuarina glauca	4.3	2.4	Remove and replace.	
29	Casuarina glauca	4.6	2.5	Remove and replace.	
30	Casuarina glauca	3.8	2.3	Remove and replace.	
31	Casuarina glauca	3.4	2.2	Remove and replace.	
32	Casuarina glauca	6.0	2.6	Remove and replace.	
33	Casuarina glauca	4.7	2.5	Remove and replace.	
34	Pyrus calleryana	9.6	3.3	Retain and Protect. Tree protection fencing required. Fencing to encompass TPZ perimeter (9.6m radius from centre of trunk) within site only. Fencing only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.	
35	Pyrus calleryana	5.5	2.8	Remove and replace.	
36	Eucalyptus haemastoma	6.7	2.7	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass tree 36 and 37. Fencing is to run along the TPZ perimeter of these trees, within site only. Fencing only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.	
37	Eucalyptus saligna	5.2	2.5	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass tree 36 and 37. Fencing is to run along the TPZ perimeter of these trees, within site only. Fencing only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.	
38	Platanus x hispanica	2.8	1.9	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass trees grouped 38, 42, 43, 46, 49, 52, 55 and 57 (Southern row of plane trees adjacent to the Anglicare Residential). Fencing is to run along the perimeter of the proposed temporary construction road to the North of the trees. Fencing only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.	



39	Platanus x	2.3	1.8	Potain and Protect Foncing to encompass trace grouped
39		2.3	1.0	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass trees grouped
	hispanica			39 and 41 (central row of trees). Fencing is to run along the
				TPZ perimeter of these trees. Fencing only to be moved for
				demolition and landscaping under the supervision and
40	Diotomico	2.0	4.5	approval of the project Arborist.
40	Platanus x	2.0	1.5	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass TPZ perimeter
	hispanica			(2m radius from centre of trunk). Fencing only to be moved
				for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and
				approval of the project Arborist.
41	Platanus x	2.0	1.8	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass trees grouped
	hispanica			39 and 41 (central row of trees). Fencing is to run along the
				TPZ perimeter of these trees. Fencing only to be moved for
				demolition and landscaping under the supervision and
				approval of the project Arborist.
42	Platanus x	2.5	1.8	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass trees grouped
	hispanica			38, 42, 43, 46, 49, 52, 55 and 57 (Southern row of plane
				trees adjacent to the Anglicare Residential). Fencing is to
				run along the perimeter of the proposed temporary
				construction road to the North of the trees. Fencing only to
				be moved for demolition and landscaping under the
				supervision and approval of the project Arborist.
43	Platanus x	2.0	1.8	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass trees grouped
	hispanica			38, 42, 43, 46, 49, 52, 55 and 57 (Southern row of plane
	•			trees adjacent to the Anglicare Residential). Fencing is to
				run along the perimeter of the proposed temporary
				construction road to the North of the trees. Fencing only to
				be moved for demolition and landscaping under the
				supervision and approval of the project Arborist.
44	Platanus x	2.5	1.8	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass trees grouped
	hispanica			44, 47, 50, 53 and 54 (Northern row of plane trees).
	•			Fencing is to run along the perimeter of the proposed
				service trenching to the South of the trees. Fencing only to
				be moved for demolition and landscaping under the
				supervision and approval of the project Arborist.
45	Platanus x	2.0	1.5	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass trees grouped
	hispanica	2.0	1.0	45, 48 and 51 (central row of plane trees). Fencing is to run
	opaoa			along the perimeter of the proposed temporary construction
				road to the South of the trees and the perimeter of the
				proposed service trenching to the North of the trees.
				Fencing only to be moved for demolition and landscaping
				under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.
46	Platanus x	2.0	1.5	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass trees grouped
'	hispanica	2.0	1.0	38, 42, 43, 46, 49, 52, 55 and 57 (Southern row of plane
	· ··opai ··oa			trees adjacent to the Anglicare Residential). Fencing is to
				run along the perimeter of the proposed temporary
				construction road to the North of the trees. Fencing only to
				be moved for demolition and landscaping under the
				supervision and approval of the project Arborist.
47	Platanus x	2.0	1.5	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass trees grouped
4/	hispanica	2.0	1.5	44, 47, 50, 53 and 54 (Northern row of plane trees).
	піврапіса			
				Fencing is to run along the perimeter of the proposed
				service trenching to the South of the trees. Fencing only to
				be moved for demolition and landscaping under the
				supervision and approval of the project Arborist.



40	Diotorico	2.0	1.0	Datain and Dratagt Fancing to anaemness trace grows and
48	Platanus x hispanica	2.0	1.8	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass trees grouped 45, 48 and 51 (central row of plane trees). Fencing is to run along the perimeter of the proposed temporary construction road to the South of the trees and the perimeter of the proposed service trenching to the North of the trees. Fencing only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.
49	Platanus x hispanica	2.5	1.9	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass trees grouped 38, 42, 43, 46, 49, 52, 55 and 57 (Southern row of plane trees adjacent to the Anglicare Residential). Fencing is to run along the perimeter of the proposed temporary construction road to the North of the trees. Fencing only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.
50	Platanus x hispanica	2.2	1.7	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass trees grouped 44, 47, 50, 53 and 54 (Northern row of plane trees). Fencing is to run along the perimeter of the proposed service trenching to the South of the trees. Fencing only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.
51	Platanus x hispanica	2.3	1.8	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass trees grouped 45, 48 and 51 (central row of plane trees). Fencing is to run along the perimeter of the proposed temporary construction road to the South of the trees and the perimeter of the proposed service trenching to the North of the trees. Fencing only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.
52	Platanus x hispanica	3.4	2.1	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass trees grouped 38, 42, 43, 46, 49, 52, 55 and 57 (Southern row of plane trees adjacent to the Anglicare Residential). Fencing is to run along the perimeter of the proposed temporary construction road to the North of the trees. Fencing only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.
53	Platanus x hispanica	2.0	1.6	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass trees grouped 44, 47, 50, 53 and 54 (Northern row of plane trees). Fencing is to run along the perimeter of the proposed service trenching to the South of the trees. Fencing only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.
54	Platanus x hispanica	2.0	1.7	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass trees grouped 44, 47, 50, 53 and 54 (Northern row of plane trees). Fencing is to run along the perimeter of the proposed service trenching to the South of the trees. Fencing only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.
55	Platanus x hispanica	2.3	2.0	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass trees grouped 38, 42, 43, 46, 49, 52, 55 and 57 (Southern row of plane trees adjacent to the Anglicare Residential). Fencing is to run along the perimeter of the proposed temporary construction road to the North of the trees. Fencing only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.



56	Platanus x hispanica	2.0	1.5	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass TPZ perimeter (2m radius from centre of trunk). Fencing only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.	
57	Platanus x hispanica	3.1	2.1	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass trees grouped 38, 42, 43, 46, 49, 52, 55 and 57 (Southern row of plane trees adjacent to the Anglicare Residential). Fencing is to run along the perimeter of the proposed temporary construction road to the North of the trees. Fencing only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.	
58	Platanus x hispanica	3.5	2.2	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass TPZ perimeter where practiacal (3.5m radius from centre of trunk), and run along the perimeter of the proposed service trenching where it encroaches into the TPZ. Fencing only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and approval of the project Arborist.	
59	Pyrus calleryana	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding sufficient fro tree protection.	
60	Pyrus calleryana	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding sufficient fro tree protection.	
61	Pyrus calleryana	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding sufficient fro tree protection.	
62	Pyrus calleryana	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding sufficient fro tree protection.	
63	Pyrus calleryana	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding sufficient fro tree protection.	
64	Pyrus calleryana	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding sufficient fro tree protection.	
65	Pyrus calleryana	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding sufficient fro tree protection.	
66	Pyrus calleryana	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding sufficient fro tree protection.	
67	Pyrus calleryana	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding sufficient fro tree protection.	
68	Pyrus calleryana	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding sufficient fro tree protection.	
69	Pyrus calleryana	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding sufficient fro tree protection.	
70	Pyrus calleryana	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding sufficient fro tree protection.	
71	Pyrus calleryana	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding sufficient fro tree protection.	
72	Pyrus calleryana	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding sufficient fro tree protection.	
73	Pyrus calleryana	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding sufficient fro tree protection.	
74	Pyrus calleryana	2.0	1.5	Remove and replace.	
75	Pyrus calleryana	2.0	1.5	Remove and replace.	



76	Pyrus	2.0	1.5	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass TPZ perimeter	
	calleryana			(2m radius from centre of trunk). Fencing only to be moved	
				for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and	
				approval of the project Arborist.	
77	Pyrus	2.0	1.5	Retain and Protect. Fencing to encompass TPZ perimeter	
	calleryana			(2m radius from centre of trunk). Fencing only to be moved	
				for demolition and landscaping under the supervision and	
				approval of the project Arborist.	
78	Pyrus	2.0	1.5	Remove and replace.	
	calleryana			'	
79	Pyrus	2.0	1.5	Remove and replace.	
	calleryana				
80	Pyrus	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding	
	calleryana			sufficient fro tree protection.	
81	Pyrus	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding	
•	calleryana	2.0		sufficient fro tree protection.	
82	Pyrus	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding	
02	calleryana	2.0	1.0	sufficient fro tree protection.	
83	Pyrus	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding	
00	calleryana	2.0	1.0	sufficient fro tree protection.	
84	Pyrus	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding	
04	calleryana	2.0	1.5	sufficient fro tree protection.	
85	Pyrus	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding	
03	calleryana	2.0	1.5	sufficient fro tree protection.	
86	Pyrus	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding	
00	•	2.0	1.5		
87	calleryana Pyrus	2.0	1.5	sufficient fro tree protection. Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding	
01	calleryana	2.0	1.5	sufficient fro tree protection.	
00	•	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding	
88	Pyrus	2.0	1.5		
89	calleryana	2.0	1.5	sufficient fro tree protection.	
09	Pyrus	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding	
00	calleryana	2.0	4.5	sufficient fro tree protection.	
90	Pyrus	2.0	1.5	Retain. Proposed 2.1m high temporary builders hoarding	
04	calleryana	0.0	4.5	sufficient fro tree protection.	
91	Pyrus	2.0	1.5	Remove and replace.	
00	calleryana	5.0	0.0	Datain and Dretest Familian to an agreement transport	
92	Corymbia	5.8	2.6	Retain and Protect Fencing to encompass trees grouped	
	torelliana			92, 93 and 94. Fencing to run along the TPZ perimeter of	
				these trees. Fencing to the East of tree 92 is to run along	
				the perimeter of the temporary construction road. Fencing	
				only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the	
0.5	0 11			supervision and approval of the project Arborist.	
93	Corymbia	3.8	2.2	Retain and Protect Fencing to encompass trees grouped	
	torelliana			92, 93 and 94. Fencing to run along the TPZ perimeter of	
				these trees. Fencing to the East of tree 92 is to run along	
				the perimeter of the temporary construction road. Fencing	
				only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the	
				supervision and approval of the project Arborist.	
94	Corymbia	3.4	2.0	Retain and Protect Fencing to encompass trees grouped	
	torelliana			92, 93 and 94. Fencing to run along the TPZ perimeter of	
				these trees. Fencing to the East of tree 92 is to run along	
				the perimeter of the temporary construction road. Fencing	
				only to be moved for demolition and landscaping under the	
				supervision and approval of the project Arborist.	



- 12.6 Tree protection Specifications: It is the responsibility of the principle contractor to install tree protection prior to works commencing at the site (prior to demolition works) and to ensure that the tree protection remains in adequate condition for the duration of the development. The tree protection must not be moved without prior agreement of the project Arborist. The project Arborist must inspect that the tree protection has been installed in accordance with this document and AS4970-2009 prior to works commencing.
- 12.6.1 Protective fencing: Site specific tree protection requirements are discussed in table section 12.5. Where it is not feasible to install fencing at the specified location due to factors such restricting access to areas of the site or for constructing new structures, an alternative location and protection specification must be agreed with the project Arborist. Where the installation of fencing in unfeasible due to restrictions on space, trunk and branch protection will be required (see below). The protective fencing must be constructed of 1.8 metre 'cyclone chainmesh fence'. The fencing must only be removed for the landscaping phase and must be authorised by the project Arborist. Any modifications to the fencing locations must be approved by the project Arborist.
- 12.6.2 TPZ signage: Tree protection signage is to be attached to the protective fencing, displayed in a prominent position and the sign repeated at 10 metres intervals or closer where the fence changes direction. Each sign shall contain in a clearly legible form, the following information:
 - Tree protection zone/No access.
 - This fence has been installed to prevent damage to the tree/s and their growing environment both above and below ground. Do not move fencing or enter TPZ without the agreement of the project Arborist.
 - The name, address, and telephone number of the developer/builder and project Arborist
- 12.6.3 Trunk and Branch Protection: The trunk must be protected by wrapped hessian or similar material to limit damage. Timber planks (50mm x 100mm or similar) should then be placed around tree trunk. The timber planks should be spaced at 100mm intervals, and must be fixed against the trunk with tie wire, or strapping and connections finished or covered to protect pedestrians from injury. The hessian and timber planks must not be fixed to the tree in any instance. The trunk and branch protection shall be installed prior to any work commencing on site and shall be maintained in good condition for the entire development period.
- 12.6.4 Mulch: Any areas of the TPZ located inside the subject site (only trees to be retained directly adjacent to site works must be mulched to a depth of 75mm with good quality composted wood chip/leaf mulch.
- 12.6.5 Ground Protection: Ground protection is required to protect the underlying soil structure and root system in areas where it is not practical to restrict access to whole TPZ, while allowing space for construction. Ground protection will be needed for vehicle use and pedestrian use:


Report on trees at: Hurlstone Agricultural High School - Hawkesbury Campus, Londonderry Road, Richmond, NSW. Prepared for: Conrad Gargett Ancher Mortlock Woolley

Prepared by: Bryce Claassens, Urban Arbor Pty Ltd, sales@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.



Vehicle Use: A layer coarse crushed aggregate to a depth of 100mm overlaid onto geo textile fabric. Any vehicles used to distribute the crushed rock must work from outside the TPZ of trees to be retained, or within the footprint of the ground protection to avoid soil compaction.


Pedestrian Use Only: Ground protection must consist of good quality composted wood chip/leaf mulch to a depth of between 150-300mm, laid on top of geo textile fabric. Next, support such rumble boards/scaffold boards or track mats to spread the weight and avoid load points. All ground protection 2 located in the TPZ of trees to be retained must be installed/distributed manually. Ground protection is to be specified by the project Arborist as required.



Prepared by: Bryce Claassens, Urban Arbor Pty Ltd, sales@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.

¹² Council Of Standards Australia, AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009), page 16. Report on trees at: Hurlstone Agricultural High School - Hawkesbury Campus, Londonderry Road, Richmond, NSW. Prepared for: Conrad Gargett Ancher Mortlock Woolley





- 12.7 **Restricted activities inside TPZ:** The following activities must be avoided inside the TPZ of all trees to be retained unless approved by the project Arborist. If at any time these activities cannot be avoided an alternative must be agreed in writing with the project Arborist to minimise the impact to the tree.
 - A) Machine excavation.
 - B) Ripping or cultivation of soil.
 - C) Storage of spoil, soil or any such materials
 - D) Preparation of chemicals, including preparation of cement products.
 - E) Refueling.
 - F) Dumping of waste.
 - G) Wash down and cleaning of equipment.
 - H) Placement of fill.
 - I) Lighting of fires.
 - J) Soil level changes.
 - K) Any physical damage to the crown, trunk, or root system.
 - L) Parking of vehicles.

Prepared by: Bryce Claassens, Urban Arbor Pty Ltd, sales@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.

Council Of Standards Australia, *AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites* (2009), page 17.

Report on trees at: Hurlstone Agricultural High School - Hawkesbury Campus, Londonderry Road, Richmond, NSW. Prepared for: Conrad Gargett Ancher Mortlock Woolley



- 12.8 **Demolition:** The demolition of all existing structures inside or directly adjacent to the TPZ of trees to be retained must be undertaken in consultation with the project Arborist. Any machinery is to work from inside the footprint of the existing structures or outside the TPZ, reaching in to minimise soil disturbance and compaction. If it is not feasible to locate demolition machinery outside the TPZ of trees to be retained, ground protection will be required. The demolition should be undertaken inwards into the footprint of the existing structures, sometimes referred to as the 'top down, pull back' method.
- 12.9 Excavations: The project Arborist must supervise and certify that all excavations and root pruning are in accordance with AS4373-2007 and AS4970-2009. For continuous strip footings, first manual excavation is required along the edge of the structures closest to the subject trees. Manual excavation should be a depth of 1 metre (or to unfavourable root growth conditions such as bed rock or heavy clay, if agreed by project Arborist). Next roots must be pruned back in accordance with AS4373-2007. After all root pruning is completed, machine excavation is permitted within the footprint of the structure. For tree sensitive footings, such as pier and beam, all excavations inside the TPZ must be manual. Manual excavation may include the use of pneumatic and hydraulic tools, high-pressure air or a combination of high-pressure water and a vacuum device. No pruning of roots greater 30mm in diameter is to be carried out without approval of the project arborist. All pruning of roots greater than 10mm in diameter must be carried out by a qualified Arborist/Horticulturalist with a minimum AQF level 3. Root pruning is to be a clean cut with a sharp tool in accordance with AS4373 Pruning of amenity trees (2007).14 The tree root is to be pruned back to a branch root if possible. Make a clean cut and leave as small a wound as possible.
- 12.10 Landscaping: All landscaping works within the TPZ of trees to be retained are to be undertaken in consultation with a consulting Arborist to minimize the impact to trees. General guidance is provided below to minimise the impact of new landscaping to trees to be retained.
 - Level changes should be minimised. The existing ground levels within the landscape areas should not be lowered by more than 50mm or increased by more 100mm without assessment by a consulting Arborist.
 - New retaining walls should be avoided. Where new retaining walls are proposed inside the TPZ of trees to be retained, they should be constructed from tree sensitive material, such as timber sleepers, that require minimal footings/excavations. If brick retaining walls are proposed inside the TPZ, considerer pier and beam type footings to bridge significant roots that are critical to the trees condition. Retaining walls must be located outside the SRZ and sleepers/beams located above existing soil grades.
 - New footpaths and hard surfaces should be minimised, as they can limit the
 availability of water, nutrients and air to the trees root system. Where they are
 proposed, they should be constructed on or above existing soil grades to minimise

Prepared by: Bryce Claassens, Urban Arbor Pty Ltd, sales@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.

¹⁴ Council Of Standards Australia, AS 4373 Pruning of amenity trees (2007) page 18



- root disturbance and consider using a permeable surface. Footpath should be located outside the SRZ.
- Where fill/sub base is used inside the TPZ, fill material should be a coarse granular material that does not restrict the flow of water and air to the root system below. This type of material will also reduce the impact of soil compaction during construction.
- The location of new plantings inside the TPZ of trees to be retained should be flexible to avoid unnecessary damage to tree roots greater than 30mm in diameter.
- 12.11 **Sediment and Contamination:** All contamination run off from the development such as but not limited to concrete, sediment and toxic wastes must be prevented from entering the TPZ at all times.
- 12.12 **Tree Wounding/Injury:** Any wounding or injury that occurs to a tree during the construction process will require the project Arborist to be contacted for an assessment of the injury and provide mitigation/remediation advice. It is generally accepted that trees may take many years to decline and eventually die from root damage. All repair work is to be carried out by the project Arborist, at the contractor's expense.
- 12.13 **Completion of Development Works:** After all construction works are complete the project Arborist should assess that the subject trees have been retained in the same condition and vigour. If changes to condition are identified the project Arborist should provide recommendations for remediation.

Prepared by: Bryce Claassens, Urban Arbor Pty Ltd, sales@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.



13. CONSTRUCTION HOLD POINTS FOR TREE PROTECTION

13.1 Hold Points: Below is a sequence of hold points requiring project Arborist certification throughout the development process. It provides a list of hold points that must be checked and certified. All certification must be provided in written format upon completion of the development. The final certification must include details of any instructions for remediation undertaken during the development. The principle contractor should be responsible for implemented all tree protection requirements.

Hold Point	Stage	Date Completed and Signature of Project Arborist Responsible
Project Arborist to hold pre construction site meeting with	Prior to development	
principle contractor to discuss methods and importance of	work commencing	
tree protection measures and resolve any issues in	_	
relation to feasibility of tree protection requirements that		
may arise. Project Arborist to mark all trees approved for		
removal under DA consent.		
Project Arborist to assess and certify that tree protection	Prior to development	
has been installed in accordance with AS4970-2009 prior	work commencing.	
to works commencing at site.		
In accordance with AS4970-2009 the project arborist	On-going throughout	
should carryout regular site inspections to ensure works	the development	
are carried out in accordance with the recommendations.	_	
I recommend site inspections on a two-month frequency.		
The removal of existing structures inside the TPZ of any	Demolition	
tree to be retained, such as the existing buildings and		
hard surfaces must be supervised by the project Arborist.		
Project Arborist to supervise all manual excavations and	Construction	
root pruning inside the TPZ of any tree to be retained.		
Project Arborist to approve all pruning of roots greater		
than 30mm inside TPZ. All root pruning of roots greater		
than 10mm in diameter must be carried out by a qualified		
Arborist/Horticulturalist with a minimum AQF level 3.		
Project Arborist to certify that all underground services	Construction	
including storm water inside TPZ of any tree to be		
retained have been installed in accordance with AS4970-		
2009.		
All landscaping works within the TPZ of trees to be	Construction/	
retained are to be undertaken in consultation with the	Landscape	
project Arborist to minimise the impact to trees.		
After all demolition, construction and landscaping works	Upon completion of	
are complete the project Arborist should assess that the	development	
subject trees have been retained in the same condition		
and vigour. If changes to condition are identified the		
project Arborist should provide recommendations for		
remediation.		

Prepared by: Bryce Claassens, Urban Arbor Pty Ltd, sales@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.



BIBLIOGRAPHY/REFERENCES

- Council Of Standards Australia, AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009).
- Council Of Standards Australia, AS4373 Pruning of amenity trees (2007).
- Mattheck, C. & Breloer, H., The body language of trees A handbook for failure analysis, 5th Edition, The Stationary Office, London, England (2015).
- Lonsdale, D., Principles of tree hazard assessment and management, The Stationary Office, London, England (1999).
- Matheny, N. & Clark, J. R, A technical guide to preservation of trees during land development, International Society of Arboriculture, P.O Box 3029, Champaign, IL, USA (1998).
- Barrell, J. (2001), 'SULE: Its use and status in the new millennium' in Management of Mature Trees proceedings of the 4th NAAA Workshop, Sydney, 2001.
- Barrell Tree Consultancy, Tree AZ version 10.10-ANZ, http://www.treeaz.com/.
- Hawkesbury Local Environmental Plan 2012, http://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/, accessed 11 April 2018.
- Hawkesbury Development Control Plan 2002, https://www.hawkesbury.nsw.gov.au/development/developmentinformation/development-control-plan, accessed 11 April 2018.

15. LIST OF APPENDICES

The following are included in the appendices:

Appendix 1A - Early Works Plan

Appendix 1B - Early Works Plan - Service Path Set Out

Appendix 1C - Landscape Master Plan

Appendix 2 - Tree Inspection Schedule


Appendix 3 - Further information of methodology

(harry


Bryce Claassens Diploma of Arboriculture (AQF5) Cert III Landscape Construction Member Arboriculture Australia

Prepared by: Bryce Claassens, Urban Arbor Pty Ltd, sales@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.

Date of prepared: 11 April 2018. Revision: A







Appendix 2 - Tree Inspection Schedule

Tree ID	Common Name	Botanical Name	Age Class	Height (m)	Canopy Spread Radius (m)	Stem 1	Stem 2	Stem 3	Stem 4	DBH (mm)	DAB (mm)	Health	Structure	Amenity Value	SULE	Retention Value	TPZ Radius (m)	SRZ Radius (m)	Notes
1	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Mature	6	3	180	170	150	150	326	600	Good	Good	Medium	1. Long	A1	3.9	2.7	None.
2	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Semi-mature	5	2.5	110	110			156	200	Good	Fair	Medium	2. Medium	A1	2.0	1.7	Co-dominant stems with relatively good form to union. Not marked on survey
3	Black Poplar	Populus nigra var betulifolia	Mature	12	1.5	200	400			447	900	Good	Good	Low	1. Long	Z3	5.4	3.2	Exempt species.
4	Rough Barked Apple	Angophora floribunda	Over Mature	16	8	1600				1600	2500	Good	Fair	Very High	1. Long	AA1	19.2	4.9	Possible remnant tree. Large cavity at 2m with good response growth. Minor deadwood through crown.
5	Camphor Laurel	Cinnamomum camphora	Mature	9	6	420	260	290		573	1000	Good	Fair	Medium	1. Long	Z3	6.9	3.3	Exempt species. Co-dominant stems with included bark.
6	Rough Barked Apple	Angophora floribunda	Mature	14	7	680				680	900	Good	Good	High	1. Long	A1	8.2	3.2	Minor apical die back.
7	Rough Barked Apple	Angophora floribunda	Dead	14	5	900				900	1200	Dead	Poor	Low	4. Remove	Z4	10.8	3.6	Dead tree.
8	Rough Barked Apple	Angophora floribunda	Mature	9	4	350				350	420	Good	Fair	Medium	2. Medium	A2	4.2	2.3	Sucker of adjacent dead tree. Do not stump grind dead tree.
9	Rough Barked Apple	Angophora floribunda	Mature	14	7	630				630	900	Good	Good	High	1. Long	A1	7.6	3.2	Growing adjacent to dead tree. Do not stump grind dead tree.
10	Rough Barked Apple	Angophora floribunda	Mature	14	7	900				900	1200	Good	Good	High	1. Long	A1	10.8	3.6	Not marked on survey.
11	Yellow Box	Eucalyptus melliodora	Mature	12	7	900				900	1200	Good	Poor	High	3. Short	Z 5	10.8	3.6	Extensive cambium damage. Evidence of termite activity. Hollow sounding trunk.
12	Yellow Box	Eucalyptus melliodora	Mature	15	8	960				960	1300		Good	High	1. Long	A1	11.5	3.7	None.
13	Yellow Box	Eucalyptus melliodora	Semi-mature	6	3	150	270			309	460	Good	Good	Medium	2. Medium	A1	3.7	2.4	Pest and disease attack on foliage.
14	Yellow Box	Eucalyptus melliodora	Semi-mature	6	2	130	140	170	190	319	800	Good	Good	Medium	1. Long	A1	3.8	3.0	Multi stemmed tree.
15	Monterey Pine	Pinus radiata	Mature	9	5	560				560	900	Good	Good	Medium	1. Long	Z3	6.7	3.2	Exempt species.
16	Black Poplar	Populus nigra var betulifolia	Mature	12	2	700				700	850	Good	Good	Low	1. Long	Z3	8.4	3.1	Exempt species. Red Belly Black Snake living in base of trunk.
17	Black Poplar	Populus nigra var betulifolia	Mature	13	1.5	400				400	600	Good	Good	Low	1. Long	Z3	4.8	2.7	Exempt species.
18	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Mature	6	3	350				350	400	Good	Good	Medium	1. Long	A1	4.2	2.3	Multi stem tree.
19	Camphor Laurel	Cinnamomum camphora	Semi-mature	6	3	250	250			354	700	Good	Good	Low	1. Long	Z3	4.2	2.8	Exempt species.
20	Camphor Laurel	Cinnamomum camphora	Semi-mature	7	3	500				500	600	Good	Good	Low	1. Long	Z3	6.0	2.7	Exempt species. Not marked on survey.
21	Swamp Oak	Casuarina glauca	Mature	12	5	580				580	900	Good	Good	High	1. Long	A1	7.0	3.2	Surrounded by smaller casuarinas.
22	Swamp Oak	Casuarina glauca	Mature	10	5	440				440	560	Good	Good	High	1. Long	A1	5.3	2.6	Surrounded by smaller casuarinas.
23	Camphor Laurel	Cinnamomum camphora	Semi-mature	7	4	240	180	270		404	600	Good	Good	Low	1. Long	Z3	4.8	2.7	Exempt species.
24	Swamp Oak	Casuarina glauca	Mature	10	4	440				440	580	Good	Good	High	1. Long	A1	5.3	2.6	Not marked on survey.
25 26	Swamp Oak	Casuarina glauca	Mature	11	4 5	410 390				410 390	530 510	Good	Good	High	1. Long	A1 A1	4.9 4.7	2.5	None.
27	Swamp Oak Swamp Oak	Casuarina glauca Casuarina glauca	Mature Mature	12	5	410				410	530	Good	Fair Good	Medium High	2. Medium 1. Long	A1	4.7	2.5	Cambium die back. Snap out of stem at 8m. None.
28	Swamp Oak	Casuarina glauca	Mature	10	4	360				360	470	Good	Good	High	1. Long	A1	4.3	2.4	None.
29	Swamp Oak	Casuarina glauca	Mature	10	4	380				380	520	Fair	Good	Medium	2. Medium	Z9	4.6	2.5	Die back through crown. Low foliage density for species.
30	Swamp Oak	Casuarina glauca	Mature	9	3	320				320	400	Good	Good	High	1. Long	A1	3.8	2.3	None.
31	Swamp Oak	Casuarina glauca	Mature	10	3	280				280	370	Good	Good	High	1. Long	A1	3.4	2.2	None.
32	Swamp Oak	Casuarina glauca	Mature	11	5	400	300			500	560	Good	Good	High	1. Long	A1	6.0	2.6	None.
33	Swamp Oak	Casuarina glauca	Mature	10	3	390				390	500	Good	Good	High	1. Long	A1	4.7	2.5	None.
34	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Mature	5	3	800				800	1000	Good	Good	Medium	1. Long	A1	9.6	3.3	Crossing branches.
35	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Mature	7	5	230	230	230	230	460	650	Good	Good	Medium	1. Long	A1	5.5	2.8	None.
36	Broad Leaved Scribbly Gum	Eucalyptus haemastoma	Mature	11	5	560				560	620	Good	Good	High	1. Long	A1	6.7	2.7	Minor cambium damage at 8m to main stem.
37	Sydney Blue Gum	Eucalyptus saligna	Mature	10	6	430				430	500	Fair	Fair	Medium	3. Short	Z4	5.2	2.5	Low foliage density for species, indicators of decline. Mechanical damage to top of large surface root. If tree is to be retained increasing tree health recommended.
38	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Semi-mature	7	3	230				230	280	Good	Good	Very High	1. Long	A1	2.8	1.9	Pruning of two low first order branches on North side of tree required for access road.

Appendix 2 - Tree Inspection Schedule

																		•	
Tree ID	Common Name	Botanical Name	Age Class	Height (m)	Canopy Spread Radius (m)	Stem 1	Stem 2	Stem 3	Stem 4	(шш) нва	DAB (mm)	Health	Structure	Amenity Value	SULE	Retention Value	TPZ Radius (m)	SRZ Radius (m)	Notes
39	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Semi-mature	7	2.5	190				190	240	Fair	Fair	Medium	3. Short	Z10	2.3	1.8	Minor apical die back with epicormic growth.
40	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Young	5	1	80				80	110	Good	Fair	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	Co-dominant stems at base of trunk.
41	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Semi-mature	6.5	1.5	170				170	240	Fair	Good	Medium	2. Medium	A1	2.0	1.8	Lower foliage smaller in size.
42	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Semi-mature	5	1.5	210				210	250	Good	Poor	Low	3. Short	Z 5	2.5	1.8	Previously topped, loss of central leader. Epicormic growth from this point.
43	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Young	4.5	1.5	80	70	60		122	230	Good	Fair	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.8	Curve in trunk at 500mm.
44	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Semi-mature	6	3	210				210	250	Good	Good	Medium	1. Long	A1	2.5	1.8	None.
45	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Young	3	1	70				70	100	Good	Fair	Low	3. Short	Z1	2.0	1.5	Decay at base of trunk from loss of second stem.
46	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Young	4.5	1	100				100	140	Fair	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	Minor apical die back.
47	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Young	6	1.5	120	80			144	150	Good	Fair	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	Co-dominant stems with relatively good form to union.
48	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Semi-mature	6	2	150				150	220	Good	Good	Medium	1. Long	A1	2.0	1.8	None.
49	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Semi-mature	8	3	210				210	270	Good	Good	Medium	1. Long	A1	2.5	1.9	None.
50	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Semi-mature	6.5	2	180				180	210	Good	Poor	Low	3. Short	Z 5	2.2	1.7	Cambium damage at 1.6m with loss of cambium to 2/3 of the stem circumference.
51	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Semi-mature	7	2.5	190				190	250	Good	Good	Medium	1. Long	A1	2.3	1.8	None.
52	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Semi-mature		4	250	130			282	350	Good	Fair	Medium	1. Long	A1	3.4	2.1	Co-dominant stems with minor bark inclusion. Pruning of second lowest first order branch on North side of tree required for access road.
53	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Semi-mature	6	2	130	70			148	170	Good	Fair	Medium	2. Medium	A1	2.0	1.6	Co-dominant stems with relatively good form to union.
54	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Semi-mature	6.5	2	150				150	200	Good	Fair	Medium	2. Medium	A1	2.0	1.7	Co-dominant stems with relatively good form to union.
55	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Semi-mature	8	2	190				190	290	Good	Good	Medium	1. Long	A1	2.3	2.0	None.
56	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Young	4	1.5	40	40	50		75	130	Fair	Fair	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	Low foliage density for species. Multi stemmed tree.
57	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Semi-mature	8	4	260				260	350	Good	Good	Medium	1. Long	A1	3.1	2.1	Pruning of first order branch on the North side of tree required for access road.
58	London Plane	Platanus x hispanica	Semi-mature	10	5	290				290	360	Good	Good	Medium	1. Long	A1	3.5	2.2	None.
59	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	1	70				70	110	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
60	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4.5	1.5	90				90	120	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
61	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4.5	2	100				100	130	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
62	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4.5	1	90				90	110	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
63	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	1.5	70				70	110	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
64	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4.5	1.5	100				100	110	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
65	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	5	1	70				70	120	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	Co-dominant stems with relatively good form to union.
66	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4.5	1	70				70	110	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
67	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	1	90				90	110	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
68	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	1	90				90	120	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
69	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	1	70				70	100	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	In flower.
70	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4.5	1.5	100				100	130	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	In flower.
71	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	1	80				80	120	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
72	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	1.5	80				80	100	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	In flower.
73	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	1	60				60	100	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	In flower.
74	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	1	50				50	100	Good	Fair	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	Asymetric crown shape.
75	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	1	80				80	110	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	In flower.
76	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	3.5	1	70				70	120	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	In flower.
77	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	1	70				70	120	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
78	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	3.5	1	50				50	100	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
79	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	1.5	90				90	130	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
النا	,														,				

Appendix 2 - Tree Inspection Schedule

Tree ID	Common Name	Botanical Name	Age Class	Height (m)	Canopy Spread Radius (m)	Stem 1	Stem 2	Stem 3	Stem 4	DBH (mm)	DAB (mm)	Health	Structure	Amenity Value	SULE	Retention Value	TPZ Radius (m)	SRZ Radius (m)	Notes
80	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	1.5	80				80	120	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
81	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	1	100				100	120	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
82	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	1	90				90	120	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
83	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4.5	2	130				130	150	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
84	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	2	110				110	140	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
85	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	1.5	90				90	110	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
86	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	1.5	90				90	130	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
87	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	1.5	90				90	130	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
88	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	2.5	0.5	40				40	90	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
89	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	1.5	100				100	130	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
90	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	2	60	100			117	130	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
91	Callery Pear	Pyrus calleryana	Young	4	1.5	120				120	140	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.0	1.5	None.
92	Cadaghi	Corymbia torelliana	Mature	8	4.5	480				480	540	Good	Good	High	1. Long	A1	5.8	2.6	None.
93	Cadaghi	Corymbia torelliana	Mature	9	3	320				320	360	Good	Good	High	1. Long	A1	3.8	2.2	None.
94	Cadaghi	Corymbia torelliana	Mature	7	3	280				280	300	Good	Good	Medium	1. Long	A1	3.4	2.0	None.



Appendix 2 - Tree Inspection Schedule Explanatory Notes

Explanatory Notes

Tree Common/Botanical Name: Where species is unknown it is indicated with an 'spp'.

Age Class: Veteran, Mature, Semi mature, Young, Dead.

Diameter at Breast Height (DBH): Individual stem diameters recorded in 'stem' columns. DBH is combined DBH when calculated in accordance with AS4970-2009 definition for multi stemmed trees. Measured with a DBH tape at approximately 1.4m above ground level, or in some cases estimated.

Diameter Above root Buttresses (DAB): The trunk/stem diameter above buttresses for calculating the SRZ. Measured with a diameter tape unless otherwise indicated. If the DBH is estimated, the DAB has also been estimated.

Height: Height from ground level to top of crown. All heights are estimated unless otherwise indicated.

Spread: Radius of crown at widest section. All tree spreads are estimated unless otherwise indicated.

Tree Protection Zone (TPZ): DBH x 12. Measured in radius from the centre of the trunk. Rounded to nearest 0.1m. For monocots, the TPZ is set at 1 metre outside the crown projection.

Structural Root Zone (SRZ): (DAB x 50) 0.42 x 0.64. Measured in radius from the centre of the trunk. Rounded to nearest 0.1m.

Health: Good, Fair, Poor, Dead.

Structure: Good, Fair, Poor.


Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE): 1. Long (40+years), 2. Medium (15 - 40 years), 3. Short (5 - 15 years), 4. Remove (under 5 years), 5. Small/young. See appendices for categories.

Amenity Value: Very High, High, Medium, Low, Very Low. Retention Value: Tree AZ, see appendices for categories.

Appendix 3 - Further Information of Methodology

Tree Protection Zone: The tree protection zone (TPZ) is the principle means of protecting trees on development sites. The TPZ is a combination of the root area and crown area requiring protection. It is an area isolated from construction disturbance, so that the tree remains viable. The radius of the TPZ is calculated for each tree by multiplying its DBH x 12. The derived value is measured in radius from the centre of the stem/trunk at ground level. A TPZ should not be less than 2.0 metres nor greater than 15 metres (except where crown protection is required). It is commonly observed that tree roots will extend significant further than the indicative TPZ, however the TPZ is an area identified AS4970-2009 to be extent where root loss or disturbance will generally not impact the viability of the tree. The TPZ is identified as a restricted area to prevent damage to trees either above or below ground during a development. Where trees are intended to be retained proposed developments must provide an adequate TPZ around trees. The TPZ is set aside for the tree's root zone, trunk and crown and it is essential for the stability and longevity of the tree. The tree protection also incorporates the SRZ (see below for more information about the SRZ). I have calculated the TPZ of palms, other monocots, cycads and tree ferns at one metre outside the crown projection. See appendices for additional information about the TPZ including information about calculating the TPZ and examples of TPZ encroachment.

Minor encroachment into TPZ: Sometimes encroachment into the TPZ is unavoidable. Encroachment includes but is not limited to activities such as excavation, compacted fill and machine trenching. Minor encroachment of up to 10% of the overall TPZ area is normally considered acceptable, providing there is space adjacent to the TPZ for the tree to compensate and the tree is displaying adequate vigour/health to tolerate changes to its growing environment. Major encroachment into TPZ: Where encroachment of more than 10% of the overall TPZ area is proposed the project Arborist must investigate and demonstrate that the tree will remain in a viable condition. In some cases, tree sensitive construction methods such as pier and beam footings, suspended slabs, or cantilevered sections, can be utilised to allow additional encroachment into the TPZ by bridging over roots and minimising root disturbance. Major encroachment is only possible if it can be undertaken without severing significant size roots, or if it can be demonstrated that significant roots will not be impacted.



Structural Root Zone: This is the area around the base of a tree required for the trees stability in the ground. An area larger than the SRZ always need to be maintained to preserve a viable tree as it will only have a minor effect on the trees vigour and health. There are several factors that determine the SRZ which include height, crown area, soil type and soil moisture. It can also be influenced by other factors such as natural or built structures. Generally work within the SRZ should be avoided.

An indicative SRZ radius can be determined from the diameter of the trunk measured immediately above the root buttresses. Root investigation could provide more information about the extent of the SRZ. The following formula should be used to calculate the SRZ. SRZ radius = $(D \times 50)^{0.42} \times 0.64$ (D = Diameter above root buttress).

- Tree Age Class: If can be difficult to determine the age of a tree without carrying out invasive tests that may damage the tree, so we have categorised there likely age class which is defined below;
 - Young/Newly planted: Young or recently planted tree.
 - Semi Mature: Up to 20% of the usual life expectancy for the species.
 - Early mature/Mature: Between 20%-80% of the usual life expectancy for the species.
 - Over mature: Over 80% of the usual life expectancy for the species.
 - Dead: Tree is dead or almost dead.

Health/Physiological Condition: Below are examples conditions used when assigning a category for tree health.

	siological Condition: Below are examples conditions used when assigning a	
<u>Category</u>	Example condition	Summary
Good	 Crown has good foliage density for species. Tree shows no or minimal signs of pathogens that are unlikely to have an effect on the health of the tree. Tree is displaying good vigour and reactive growth development. 	The tree is in above average health and condition and no remedial works are required.
Fair	 The tree may be starting to dieback or have over 25% deadwood. Tree may have slightly reduced crown density or thinning. There may be some discolouration of foliage. Average reactive growth development. There may be early signs of pathogens which may further deteriorate the health of the tree. There may be epicormic growth indicating increased levels of stress within the tree. 	The tree is in below average health and condition and may require remedial works to improve the trees health.
Poor	 The may be in decline, have extensive dieback or have over 30% deadwood. The canopy may be sparse or the leaves may be unusually small for species. Pathogens or pests are having a significant detrimental effect on the tree health. 	The tree is displaying low levels of health and removal or remedial works may be required.
Dead	The tree is dead or almost dead.	The tree should generally be removed.

Structural Condition: Below are examples conditions used when assigning a category for structural condition.

Category	Example condition: Below are examples conditions used when assigning a category to Example condition	Summary
	<u>=</u>	<u></u>
Good	 Branch unions appear to be strong with no sign of defects. There are no significant cavities. The tree is unlikely to fail in usual conditions. The tree has a balanced crown shape and form. 	The tree is considered structurally good with well developed form.
Fair	 The tree may have minor structural defects within the structure of the crown that could potentially develop into more significant defects. The tree may a cavity that is currently unlikely to fail but may deteriorate in the future. The tree is an unbalanced shape or leans significantly. The tree may have minor damage to its roots. The root plate may have moved in the past but the tree has now compensated for this. Branches may be rubbing or crossing. 	The identified defects are unlikely cause major failure. Some branch failure may occur in usual conditions. Remedial works can be undertaken to alleviate potential defects.
Poor	The tree has significant structural defects. Branch unions may be poor or weak. The tree may have a cavity or cavities with excessive levels of decay that could cause catastrophic failure. The tree may have root damage or is displaying signs of recent movement. The tree crown may have poor weight distribution which could cause failure.	The identified defects are likely to cause either partial or whole failure of the tree.

- Amenity Value: To determine the amenity value of a tree we assess a number of different factors, which include but are not limited to the information below.

 - The visibility of the tree to adjacent sites. The relationship between the tree and the site.
 - Whether the tree is protected by any statuary conditions.
 - The habitat value of the tree.
 - Whether the tree is considered a noxious weed species.

The amenity value is rated using one of the following values.

- Very High
- High
- Moderate
- Low
- Very Low

7. Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE), (Barrel, 2001): A trees safe useful life expectancy is determined by assessing a number of different factors including the health and vitality, estimated age in relation to expected life expectancy for the species, structural defects, and remedial works that could allow retention in the existing situation.

Category	<u>Description</u>
1. Long - Over	(a) Structurally sound trees located in positions that can accommodate future growth.
40 years	(b) Trees that could be made suitable for retention in the long term by remedial tree care.
	(c) Trees of special significance for historical, commemorative or rarity reasons that would
	warrant extraordinary efforts to secure their long term retention.
2. Medium - 15	(a) Trees that may only live between 15 and 40 more years.
to 40 years	(b) Trees that could live for more than 40 years but may be removed for safety or nuisance
	reasons.
	(c) Trees that could live for more than 40 years but may be removed to prevent interference with
	more suitable individuals or to provide space for new planting.
	(d) Trees that could be made suitable for retention in the medium term by remedial tree care.
3. Short - 5 to	(a) Trees that may only live between 5 and 15 more years.
15 years	(b) Trees that could live for more than 15 years but may be removed for safety or nuisance
	reasons.
	(c) Trees that could live for more than 15 years but may be removed to prevent interference with
	more suitable individuals or to provide space for new planting.
	(d) Trees that require substantial remedial tree care and are only suitable for retention in the short
	term.
4. Remove -	(a) Dead, dying, suppressed or declining trees because of disease or inhospitable conditions.
Under 5 years	(b) Dangerous trees because of instability or recent loss of adjacent trees.
	(c) Dangerous trees because of structural defects including cavities, decay, included bark,
	wounds or poor form.
	(d) Damaged trees that are clearly not safe to retain.
	(e) Trees that could live for more than 5 years but may be removed to prevent interference with
	more suitable individuals or to provide space for new planting.
	(f) Trees that are damaging or may cause damage to existing structures within 5 years.
	(g) Trees that will become dangerous after removal of other trees for the reasons given in (a) to
	(f).
	(h) Trees in categories (a) to (g) that have a high wildlife habitat value and, with appropriate
	treatment, could be retained subject to regular review.
5. Small/Young	(a) Small trees less than 5m in height.
	(b) Young trees less than 15 years old but over 5m in height.
	(c) Formal hedges and trees intended for regular pruning to artificially control growth.

8. Root investigations: The root investigations should identify roots greater than 30mm in diameter that are located along the edge of the structures footprint or in the location of footings. Root investigations must be carried out using non-invasive methods (manual excavations). Any excavations for the root investigations must carried out manually to avoid damaging the roots during excavations. Manual excavation may include the use of a high-pressure air/air knife, or a combination of high-pressure water and a vacuum device. When hand excavating carefully work around roots retaining as many as possible. Take care to not fray, wound, or cause damage to any roots during excavations as this may cause decay or infection from pathogens. It is essential that exposed roots are kept moist and the excavation back filled as soon as possible. The root investigations should be carried out by a qualified Arborist minimum AQF3. Once roots are exposed, a visual assessment can be carried out by a consulting Arborist to evaluate the potential impact of the proposed root loss on the health and stability of the tree. A root map/report should be prepared identifying the findings of investigations, including photographs as supporting evidence in the report.

9. Retention Value: The system I have used to award the retention value is Tree AZ. Tree AZ is used to identify higher value trees worthy of being a constraint to development and lower value trees that should generally not be a constraint to the development. The table below provides a brief description of each category.

TreeAZ Categories (Version 10.04-ANZ)

CAUTION: TreeAZ assessments <u>must</u> be carried out by a competent person qualified and experienced in arboriculture. The following category descriptions are designed to be a brief field reference and are <u>not</u> intended to be self-explanatory. They <u>must</u> be read in conjunction with the most current explanations published at <u>www.TreeAZ.com</u>.

Category Z: Unimportant trees not worthy of being a material constraint

Local policy exemptions: Trees that are unsuitable for legal protection for local policy reasons including size, proximity and species

- Z1 Young or insignificant small trees, i.e. below the local size threshold for legal protection, etc
- Z2 Too close to a building, i.e. exempt from legal protection because of proximity, etc
- Z3 Species that cannot be protected for other reasons, i.e. scheduled noxious weeds, out of character in a setting of acknowledged importance, etc

High risk of death or failure: Trees that are likely to be removed within 10 years because of acute health issues or severe structural failure

- Z4 Dead, dying, diseased or declining
- Severe damage and/or structural defects where a high risk of failure cannot be satisfactorily reduced by
- Z5 reasonable remedial care, i.e. cavities, decay, included bark, wounds, excessive imbalance, overgrown and vulnerable to adverse weather conditions, etc
- Z6 Instability, i.e. poor anchorage, increased exposure, etc
 - Excessive nulsance: Trees that are likely to be removed within 10 years because of unacceptable impact on people
- Z7 Excessive, severe and intolerable inconvenience to the extent that a locally recognized court or tribunal would be likely to authorize removal, i.e. dominance, debris, interference, etc
- Excessive, severe and intolerable damage to property to the extent that a locally recognized court or tribunal would be likely to authorize removal, i.e. severe structural damage to surfacing and buildings,

Good management: Trees that are likely to be removed within 10 years through responsible management of the tree population

- Severe damage and/or structural defects where a high risk of failure can be temporarily reduced by reasonable remedial care, i.e. cavities, decay, included bark, wounds, excessive imbalance, vulnerable to adverse weather conditions, etc
- Z10 Poor condition or location with a low potential for recovery or improvement, i.e. dominated by adjacent trees or buildings, poor architectural framework, etc
- Z11 Removal would benefit better adjacent trees, i.e. relieve physical interference, suppression, etc
- Z12 Unacceptably expensive to retain, i.e. severe defects requiring excessive levels of maintenance, etc

NOTE: Z trees with a high risk of death/failure (Z4, Z5 & Z6) or causing severe inconvenience (Z7 & Z8) at the time of assessment and need an urgent risk assessment can be designated as ZZ. ZZ trees are likely to be unsuitable for retention and at the bottom of the categorization hierarchy. In contrast, although Z trees are not worthy of influencing new designs, urgent removal is not essential and they could be retained in the short term, if appropriate.

Category A: Important trees suitable for retention for more than 10 years and worthy of being a material constraint

- A1 No significant defects and could be retained with minimal remedial care
- A2 Minor defects that could be addressed by remedial care and/or work to adjacent trees
- A3 Special significance for historical, cultural, commemorative or rarity reasons that would warrant extraordinary efforts to retain for more than 10 years
- A4 Trees that may be worthy of legal protection for ecological reasons (Advisory requiring specialist assessment)

NOTE: Category A1 trees that are already large and exceptional, or have the potential to become so with minimal maintenance, can be designated as AA at the discretion of the assessor. Although all A and AA trees are sufficiently important to be material constraints, AA trees are at the top of the categorization hierarchy and should be given the most weight in any selection process.



Glossary of Terms

Abiotic - Pertaining to non-living agents; e.g. environmental factors

Adventitious shoots - Shoots that develop other than from apical, axillary or dormant buds; see also 'epicormic'

Anchorage - The system whereby a tree is fixed within the soil, involving cohesion between roots and soil and the development of a branched system of roots which withstands wind and gravitational forces transmitted from the aerial parts of the tree

Bark - A term usually applied to all the tissues of a woody plant lying outside the vascular cambium, thus including the phloem, cortex and periderm; occasionally applied only to the periderm or the phellem

Branch:

- Primary. A first order branch arising from a stem
- Lateral. A second order branch, subordinate to a primary branch or stem and bearing sub-lateral branches
- **Sub-lateral**. A third order branch, subordinate to a lateral or primary branch, or stem and usually bearing only twigs

Branch collar - A visible swelling formed at the base of a branch whose diameter growth has been disproportionately slow compared to that of the parent stem; a term sometimes applied also to the pattern of growth of the cells of the parent stem around the branch base

Brown-rot - A type of wood decay in which cellulose is degraded, while lignin is only modified

Buckling - An irreversible deformation of a structure subjected to a bending load

Buttress zone - The region at the base of a tree where the major lateral roots join the stem, with buttress-like formations on the upper side of the junctions

Cambium - Layer of dividing cells producing xylem (woody) tissue internally and phloem (bark) tissue externally

Canker - A persistent lesion formed by the death of bark and cambium due to colonisation by fungi or bacteria

Compartmentalisation - The confinement of disease, decay or other dysfunction within an anatomically discrete region of plant tissue, due to passive and/or active defences operating at the boundaries of the affected region

Compressive loading - Mechanical loading which exerts a positive pressure; the opposite to tensile loading

Condition - An indication of the physiological condition of the tree. Where the term 'condition' is used in a report, it should not be taken as an indication of the stability of the tree

Crown/Canopy - The main foliage bearing section of the tree

Crown lifting - The removal of limbs and small branches to a specified height above ground level

Crown thinning - The removal of a proportion of secondary branch growth throughout the crown to produce an even density of foliage around a well-balanced branch structure

Crown reduction/shaping - A specified reduction in crown size whilst preserving, as far as possible, the natural tree shape

DAB (Diameter Above Buttress) - Trunk diameter measured above the root buttress

Defect - In relation to tree hazards, any feature of a tree which detracts from the uniform distribution of mechanical stress, or which makes the tree mechanically unsuited to its environment

Dieback - The death of parts of a woody plant, starting at shoot-tips or root-tips

Disease - A malfunction in or destruction of tissues within a living organism, usually excluding mechanical damage; in trees, usually caused by pathogenic micro-organisms

Dominance - In trees, the tendency for a leading shoot to grow faster or more vigorously than the lateral shoots; also the tendency of a tree to maintain a taller crown than its neighbours

Dormant bud - An axial bud which does not develop into a shoot until after the formation of two or more annual wood increments; many such buds persist through the life of a tree and develop only if stimulated to do so

Dysfunction - In woody tissues, the loss of physiological function, especially water conduction, in sapwood

DBH (Diameter at Breast Height) - Stem diameter measured at a height of 1.4 metres or the nearest measurable point. Where measurement at a height of 1.4 metres is not possible, another height may be specified

Deadwood - Branch or stem wood bearing no live tissues. Retention of deadwood provides valuable habitat for a wide range of species and seldom represents a threat to the health of the tree. Removal of deadwood can result in the ingress of decay to otherwise sound tissues and climbing operations to access deadwood can cause significant damage to a tree. Removal of deadwood is generally recommended only where it represents an unacceptable level of hazard

Epicormic shoot - A shoot having developed from a dormant or adventitious bud and not having developed from a first year shoot

Flush-cut - A pruning cut which removes part of the branch bark ridge and or branch-collar

Girdling root - A root which circles and constricts the stem or roots possibly causing death of phloem and/or cambial tissue

Habit - The overall growth characteristics, shape of the tree and branch structure

Hazard beam - An upwardly curved part of a tree in which strong internal stresses may occur without being reduced by adaptive growth; prone to longitudinal splitting



Heartwood/false-heartwood - The dead central wood that has become dysfunctional as part of the aging processes and being distinct from the sapwood

Heave - A term mainly applicable to a shrinkable clay soil which expands due to re-wetting after the felling of a tree which was previously extracting moisture from the deeper layers; also the lifting of pavements and other structures by root diameter expansion; also the lifting of one side of a wind-rocked root-plate

Included bark (ingrown bark) - Bark of adjacent parts of a tree (usually forks, acutely joined branches or basal flutes) which is in face-to-face contact

Lever arm - A mechanical term denoting the length of the lever represented by a structure that is free to move at one end, such as a tree or an individual

Lignin - The hard, cement-like constituent of wood cells; deposition of lignin within the matrix of cellulose microfibrils in the cell wall is termed Lignification

Lions tailing - A term applied to a branch of a tree that has few if any side-branches except at its end, and is thus liable to snap due to end- loading

Loading - A mechanical term describing the force acting on a structure from a particular source; e.g. the weight of the structure itself or wind pressure

Mycelium - The body of a fungus, consisting of branched filaments (hyphae)

Occlusion - The process whereby a wound is progressively closed by the formation of new wood and bark around it

Pathogen - A micro-organism which causes disease in another organism

Photosynthesis - The process whereby plants use light energy to split hydrogen from water molecules, and combine it with carbon dioxide to form the molecular building blocks for synthesizing carbohydrates and other biochemical products

Probability - A statistical measure of the likelihood that a particular event might occur

Pruning - The removal or cutting back of twigs or branches, sometimes applied to twigs or small branches only, but often used to describe most activities involving the cutting of trees or shrubs

Radial - In the plane or direction of the radius of a circular object such as a tree stem

Reactive Growth/Reaction Wood - Production of woody tissue in response to altered mechanical loading; often in response to internal defect or decay and associated strength loss (cf. adaptive growth)

Ring-barking - The removal of a ring of bark and phloem around the circumference of a stem or branch, normally resulting in an inability to transport photosynthetic assimilates below the area of damage. Almost inevitably results in the eventual death of the affected stem or branch above the damage

Root-collar - The transitional area between the stem/s and roots

Sapwood - Living xylem tissues

Soft-rot - A kind of wood decay in which a fungus degrades cellulose within the cell walls, without any general degradation of the wall as a whole

Stem/s - Principle above-ground structural component(s) of a tree that supports its branches

Stress - In plant physiology, a condition under which one or more physiological functions are not operating within their optimum range, for example due to lack of water, inadequate nutrition or extremes of temperature

SRZ (Structural Root Zone) - The area around the base of the tree required for the trees stability in the ground

Subsidence - In relation to soil or structures resting in or on soil, a sinking due to shrinkage when certain types of clay soil dry out, sometimes due to extraction of moisture by tree roots

Taper - In stems and branches, the degree of change in girth along a given length

Targets - In tree risk assessment (with slight misuse of normal meaning) persons or property or other things of value which might be harmed by mechanical failure of the tree or by objects falling from it

Topping - In arboriculture, the removal of the crown of a tree, or of a major proportion of it

Transpiration - The evaporation of moisture from the surface of a plant, especially via the stomata of leaves; it exerts a suction which draws water up from the roots and through the intervening xylem cells

TPZ (Tree Protection Zone) - A specified area above and below ground and at a given distance from the trunk set aside for the protection of a tree's roots and crown to provide for the viability and stability of a tree to be retained where it is potentially subject to damage by development

Understory - This layer consists of younger individuals of the dominant trees, together with smaller trees and shrubs which are adapted to grow under lower light conditions

Veteran tree - Tree that, by recognised criteria, shows features of biological, cultural or aesthetic value that are characteristic of, but not exclusive to, individuals surviving beyond the typical age range for the species concerned. These characteristics might typically include a large girth, signs of crown retrenchment and hollowing of the stem

Vigour - The expression of carbohydrate expenditure to growth (in trees)

White-rot - A range of kinds of wood decay in which lignin, usually together with cellulose and other wood constituents, is degraded

Wind exposure - The degree to which a tree or other object is exposed to wind, both in terms of duration and velocity

Wind pressure - The force exerted by a wind on a particular object

Windthrow - The blowing over of a tree at its roots