




Truth About Trees Pty. Ltd.

PO Box 594
Gymea
NSW
2227

www.truthabouttrees.com.au



Arboricultural Impact Assessment

11-19 Holdsworth Ave & 12-20 Berry Rd
St. Leonards NSW 2065

Prepared for:

Barings C/- Essence Project Management Pty Ltd

Prepared by:

Tom Hare | AQF Level 5 Consulting Arborist

Truth About Trees Pty Ltd

0414 369 660

tom@truthabouttrees.com.au

Date: 20/11/2025

Version: 3- FINAL

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Truth About Trees Pty. Ltd. have been engaged by Barings C/- Essence Project Management Pty Ltd to prepare an Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) report in accordance with the requirements of *AS4970-2009: The Protection of Trees on Development Sites* (Standards Australia, 2009) and all relevant legislation and planning guidelines. The report is to be used to supplement an SSDA submission for the proposed development of 11-19 Holdsworth Ave and 12-20 Berry Rd St. Leonards.

Assessment of the trees was undertaken on 17th September 2025 by Tom Hare using the framework of the *Visual Tree Assessment procedure* (VTA) as prescribed by Mattheck & Breloer (Claus Mattheck, 1994).

Trees within the survey area were geo-located and data collected using a TRIMBLE TDC600 data collector, with an external DA2 aerial capable of 30cm accuracy when used in optimal conditions.

Tree Protection Zones (TPZ) and Structural Root Zones (SRZ) were calculated in accordance with Australian Standard *AS4970-2009: Protection of Trees on Development Sites* (Standards Australia, 2009).

Tree Retention Values were determined using the Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists (IACA) '*Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System*' (STARS) (IACA©, 2010).

Following formal SSDA approval the properties will be subject to significant earthworks to provide multiple levels of basement parking to service the proposed units and childcare centre.

- A total of sixty (60) trees will require removal to facilitate the proposed development in its current form including five (5) council owned street trees on Berry Road and five (5) council owned street trees on Holdsworth Ave, one of which is a noxious weed-
2,3,4,5,6,7,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43,44,45,46,47,48,49,50,56,57,58,60,61,62,64,65,66,67,68.
- Trees 1 & 63 are unaffected by the proposal.
- Trees 8,51,52,53,54,55 are located in 10 Berry Rd and tree 59 is located within 9 Holdsworth Ave. The trees will be impacted by the green link walk-through, so these trees will need to be retained unless approval for their removal is provided by the tree owners and the consent authority.
- Tree pruning works are to be undertaken by a suitably qualified, experienced and insured Arboricultural contractor with a minimum AQF level 3 qualification in Arboriculture.


Tree pruning works should be undertaken in accordance with the following:

- *(AS4373 – 2007) Pruning of Amenity Trees*
- *NSW Code of Practice for the Amenity Tree Industry 1998*
- *NSW Code of Practice for Work Near Overhead Power Lines 2006*
- *NSW Work Health & Safety Act & Regulations 2011*
- *Safe Work Guide to managing Risks of Tree Trimming and Removal Work 2016*
- This report does not in any part count as consent for tree pruning. Any information, conclusions or recommendations within this report must only be acted upon following approval from the relevant consent authority.



2 CONTENTS

1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	I
2	CONTENTS	II
3	INTRODUCTION & AIM.....	1
4	METHODOLOGY	2
4.1	DOCUMENT SCHEDULE	2
5	SITE DETAILS	3
6	THE PROPOSAL.....	4
7	TREE SCHEDULE.....	7
7.1	TREE RETENTION VALUES.....	9
8	TREE LOCATIONS	10
9	TREE IMPACTS.....	11
10	DISCUSSION	16
10.1	TREE IMPACT SCHEDULE	16
11	CONCLUSION	17
12	REFERENCES	18
13	GLOSSARY OF TERMS & ABBREVIATIONS.....	19
14	DISCLAIMER	20
15	APPENDIX 1: TREE ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	21
15.1	VISUAL TREE ASSESSMENT (VTA)	21
15.2	HEALTH & VIGOUR ASSESSMENT	21
15.3	STRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT	21
15.4	TREE PROTECTION ZONE (TPZ) & STRUCTURAL ROOT (SRZ) ZONE CALCULATIONS	21
15.5	REFERENCE DIAGRAMS.....	22
16	APPENDIX 2: TREE PROTECTION SPECIFICATION	25
16.1	GENERAL.....	25
16.2	PLANNING & DESIGN.....	25
16.3	COMPLIANCE	26
16.4	THE PROJECT ARBORIST.....	26
16.5	TREE PROTECTION ZONE (TPZ)	27
16.6	VARIATIONS TO THE TPZ.....	27
16.7	PHYSICAL TREE PROTECTION MEASURES	28
16.8	CONSTRUCTION PROCESSES & TREE SENSITIVE METHODOLOGIES.....	29
16.9	TREE REMOVAL & PRUNING WORKS	30
16.10	MONITORING & CERTIFICATION	31
16.11	HOLD-POINT INSPECTION SCHEDULE	32
16.12	REFERENCE DIAGRAMS.....	33



3 INTRODUCTION & AIM

Truth About Trees Pty. Ltd. have been engaged by Barings C/- Essence Project Management Pty Ltd to prepare an Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) report in accordance with the requirements of AS4970-2009: *The Protection of Trees on Development Sites* (Standards Australia, 2009) and all relevant legislation and planning guidelines. The report is to be used to supplement an SSDA submission for the proposed development of 11-19 Holdsworth Ave and 12-20 Berry Rd St. Leonards.

The subject site comprises an area of approximately 5,015m² and is located within Areas 16 and 17 of the St Leonards South Precinct, in the Lane Cove Local Government Area. The site is zoned R4 High Density Residential under the Lane Cove Local Environmental Plan 2009 and forms part of a key urban renewal corridor identified in the St. Leonards South Masterplan. It occupies a highly strategic position approximately 400 metres south of St Leonards Train Station, with convenient access to the future Crows Nest Metro Station, Royal North Shore Hospital, and the broader St Leonards commercial and employment precinct.

The proposal responds to the site's location across Areas 16 and 17 through the delivery of a high-quality residential development supported by integrated community infrastructure. In accordance with the Masterplan requirements for Area 17, the development provides 600m² of ground floor community use comprising a 450m² childcare centre and a 150m² multipurpose community hall. The design supports active street edges, enhanced public domain, and aligns with Council's strategic vision for a connected, walkable, and socially inclusive neighbourhood.




Figure 1 – Provided survey plan.

Following formal SSDA approval the properties will be subject to significant earthworks to provide multiple levels of basement parking to service the proposed units and childcare centre. It is understood that the existing overhead electrical infrastructure is to be relocated underground as part of the proposal

4 METHODOLOGY

Assessment of the trees was undertaken on 17th September 2025 by Tom Hare using the framework of the *Visual Tree Assessment procedure* (VTA) as prescribed by Mattheck & Breloer (Claus Mattheck, 1994).

Trees within the survey area were geo-located and data collected using a TRIMBLE TDC600 data collector, with an external DA2 aerial capable of 30cm accuracy when used in optimal conditions.

Details provided for the trees are as follows:

- a) Correct botanical identification and common name
- b) Health assessment & rating
- c) Basic structural assessment & rating
- d) Dimensions: height, crown spread, DBH & DAB
- e) TPZ & SRZ calculations
- f) Landscape significance assessment & rating
- g) Estimated life expectancy
- h) Retention value in accordance with the STARS system

Tree Protection Zones (TPZ) and Structural Root Zones (SRZ) were calculated in accordance with Australian Standard AS4970-2009: *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* (Standards Australia, 2009).

Tree Retention Values were determined using the Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists (IACA) 'Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System' (STARS) (IACA©, 2010).

The STARS system was introduced by the Institute for Australian Consulting Arboriculturists (IACA) in 2010 and has the benefit of considering the significance of the tree in the landscape as well as the health and structure (estimated life expectancy E.L.E) in an easy-to-use system of assessment.

Truth About Trees Pty Ltd uses the STARS system of assessment for all development related reports due its ease of use and suitability to Australian Trees and Australian conditions.

A detailed Arboricultural assessment methodology can be found in Appendix 1 of this report.

Limitations of the report:

- No internal diagnostic testing has been completed.
- No sub surface root testing or soil analysis has been completed.
- All observations were made from ground-level only and where access was reasonably available.
- Tree height, canopy spreads and trunk diameters have been estimated.
- This report has been compiled based only on the information provided by the client as detailed in Table 1 below, and from observations made at the time of the site inspection(s) only.

Assessment of tree health and structural condition has been included to guide assessment of tree retention aspects only and is based on a basic visual assessment using elements of the VTA method. Tree structure and defects may be discussed briefly within this report; however, this report is not designed to be, nor does it satisfy the requirements of a detailed Arboricultural Risk Assessment report.


This report is written with consideration for industry standard documentation and guidelines AS4373-2007- Pruning of Amenity Trees, AS4970-2009- Protection of Trees on Development Sites and all relevant legislation.

4.1 DOCUMENT SCHEDULE

The documents listed below have been provided to Truth About Trees by the client and have been relied upon to complete the assessment.

Document title	Author	Date
Detail & Level survey at the subject sites- 1920DET (2 SHEETS)	Pinnacle Land Surveyors Pty Ltd	20.6.25
250730 Leo Arch Workshop 4- Presentation.	Turner	30.07.2025
22050_250922_Issue For Information GA Plans	Turner	22.09.2025
1.10 33-22_Barings St Leonards Landscape SSDA Planting Plans Rev. D	Distinctive Living Design	21.10.2025

Table 1 – Document Register



5 SITE DETAILS

The site which is subject to assessment for the purposes of this report is located at 11-19 Holdsworth Ave & 12-20 Berry Rd St. Leonards NSW 2065 and will be further referenced as 'the site'.

The 'site' includes the following lots.

- 11 Holdsworth Ave- 10/2/DP7259
- 13 Holdsworth Ave- 11/2/DP7259
- 15 Holdsworth Ave- 12/2/DP7259
- 17 Holdsworth Ave- 13/2/DP7259
- 19 Holdsworth Ave- 14/2/DP7259
- 12 Berry Rd- 33/2/DP7259
- 14 Berry Rd- 32/2/DP7259
- 16 Berry Rd- 31/2/DP7259
- 18 Berry Rd- A/-/DP110452
- 20 Berry Rd- B/-/DP110452

The site is detailed within the DPE eSpatial mapping system as zone R4- High Density Residential.

The site is not mapped on the NSW Department of Planning & Environment Biodiversity Values Map or Terrestrial Biodiversity map as an area of Biodiversity Value as shown in figure 2 below.

The site is not located within Bushfire Prone Lands as shown in figure 3 below.

The site is located within the Lane Cove Council area.




Figure 2— Showing the subject site highlighted by dashed yellow boundary line. Image (Environment, ePlanning Spatial Viewer)

6 THE PROPOSAL



Figure 3- Section AA Plan.




Figure 4- Section CC Plan.




Figure 5- Section DD- Plan.

7 TREE SCHEDULE

Tree No	Species	Height	Spread	DBH mm	DAB mm	TPZ (mm)	SRZ (mm)	Health	Structure	Arborist Notes	Landscape Significance	ELE	Retention Value
1	Podocarpus elatus Brown Pine	8	6	440	470	5280	2410	Good	Poor	Street tree Lopped for powerlines	Medium	Medium	Medium
2	Callistemon viminalis Weeping Bottlebrush	5	5	225	265	2700	1895	Poor	Fair	Street tree	Low	Short	Low
3	Callistemon viminalis Weeping Bottlebrush	5	5	230	275	2760	1924	Poor	Poor	Street tree Poor tree form Wound(s) Mechanical damage	Low	Short	Low
4	Podocarpus elatus Brown Pine	6	3	345	380	4140	2204	Fair	Poor	Street tree Lopped for powerlines	Low	Medium	Low
5	Eucalyptus salubris Gimlet	12	14	455	625	5460	2717	Good	Fair	Street tree Extends 6m over property	Medium	Medium	Medium
6	Photinia serratifolia Chinese Hawthorn	4	5	265	300	3180	1996	Poor	Fair	Street tree	Low	Short	Low
7	Dypsis lutescens Golden Cane Palm (clump)	7	3	220	n/a	2640	0	Good	Good		Low	Medium	Low
8	Cactus sp.	5	4	250	n/a	3000	0	Good	Good	Neighbours tree	Low	Medium	Medium
9	Plumeria acuminata Frangipani	5	7	300	350	3600	2129	Fair	Fair		Low	Medium	Medium
10	Archontophoenix cunninghamiana Bangalow Palm	8	4	200	350	2400	2129	Poor	Fair		Low	Short	Low
11	Cupressus sempervirens Italian Cypress	9	2	275	300	3300	1996	Good	Good		Low	Medium	Low
12	Cupressus sempervirens Italian Cypress	9	2	275	300	3300	1996	Good	Good		Low	Medium	Low
13	Cupressus sempervirens Italian Cypress	10	2	285	300	3420	1996	Good	Good		Low	Medium	Low
14	Cupressus sempervirens Italian Cypress	10	2	285	300	3420	1996	Good	Good		Low	Medium	Low
15	Cupressus sempervirens Italian Cypress	8	1	185	200	2220	1683	Fair	Good		Low	Short	Low
16	Cupressus sempervirens Italian Cypress	9	2	275	290	3300	1968	Good	Good		Low	Medium	Low
17	Camellia sasanqua Camellia	5	4	160	180	2000	1611	Good	Fair		Low	Medium	Low
18	Camellia sasanqua Camellia	5	4	160	180	2000	1611	Good	Fair		Low	Medium	Low
19	Camellia sasanqua Camellia	5	4	160	180	2000	1611	Good	Fair		Low	Medium	Low
20	Camellia sasanqua Camellia	5	4	160	180	2000	1611	Good	Fair		Low	Medium	Low
21	Camellia sasanqua Camellia	5	4	100	120	2000	1500	Good	Fair		Low	Medium	Low
22	Camellia sasanqua Camellia	4	3	80	125	2000	1500	Good	Fair		Low	Medium	Low
23	Yucca elephantipes Soft-tipped Yucca	7	2	275	385	3300	2216	Good	Good		Low	Medium	Low
24	Archontophoenix cunninghamiana Bangalow Palm x 3	9	4	200	n/a	2400	0	Good	Fair		Low	Medium	Low
25	Archontophoenix cunninghamiana Bangalow Palm	8	3	200	n/a	2400	0	Good	Good		Low	Medium	Low



Tree No	Species	Height	Spread	DBH mm	DAB mm	TPZ (mm)	SRZ (mm)	Health	Structure	Arborist Notes	Landscape Significance	ELE	Retention Value
26	Archontophoenix cunninghamiana Bangalow Palm	8	3	200	n/a	2400	0	Good	Good		Low	Medium	Low
27	Dyopsis lutescens Golden Cane Palm	7	5	100	n/a	2000	0	Good	Good		Low	Medium	Low
28	Syzygium australe Bush Cherry	7	6	360	400	4320	2252	Fair	Fair		Low	Medium	Low
29	Syzygium australe Bush Cherry	7	4	205	240	2460	1817	Good	Fair		Low	Medium	Low
30	Syzygium leuhmannii Small-leaved Lilly Pilly	5	4	250	270	3000	1910	Good	Fair		Low	Medium	Low
31	Liquidambar styraciflua Sweet Gum	15	15	555	625	6660	2717	Good	Fair		Medium	Medium	Medium
32	Lagerstroemia indica Crepe Myrtle	7	6	700	850	8400	3091	Fair	Fair		Low	Medium	Low
33	Pittosporum tenuifolium Kohuhu	5	3	170	200	2040	1683	Good	Fair		Low	Medium	Low
34	Pittosporum tenuifolium Kohuhu	5	3	225	245	2700	1833	Good	Fair		Low	Medium	Low
35	Camellia japonica Camellia	4	2	75	100	2000	1500	Good	Fair		Low	Medium	Low
36	Camellia japonica Camellia	4	2	145	165	2000	1553	Good	Fair		Low	Medium	Low
37	Pittosporum tenuifolium Kohuhu	5	4	270	280	3240	1939	Poor	Poor	Failed	Low	Short	Low
38	Pittosporum tenuifolium Kohuhu	5	1	125	250	2000	1849	Dead	Poor		Low	0	Very Low
39	Pittosporum tenuifolium Kohuhu	6	5	290	345	3480	2117	Good	Fair		Low	Short	Low
40	Pittosporum tenuifolium Kohuhu	5	2	120	140	2000	1500	Fair	Fair		Low	Short	Low
41	Pittosporum tenuifolium Kohuhu	5	2	120	140	2000	1500	Fair	Fair		Low	Short	Low
42	Pittosporum tenuifolium Kohuhu	5	2	120	140	2000	1500	Fair	Fair		Low	Short	Low
43	Pittosporum tenuifolium Kohuhu	5	2	120	140	2000	1500	Fair	Fair		Low	Short	Low
44	Pittosporum tenuifolium Kohuhu	5	2	120	140	2000	1500	Fair	Fair		Low	Short	Low
45	Pittosporum tenuifolium Kohuhu	5	2	120	140	2000	1500	Fair	Fair		Low	Short	Low
46	Howea forsteriana Kentia Palm	12	1	200	n/a	2400	0	Dead	Poor		Low	0	Very Low
47	Ceratopetalum gummiiferum NSW Christmas Bush	6	2	215	260	2580	1879	Poor	Poor		Low	Short	Low
48	Acer palmatum Japanese Maple	7	8	360	370	4320	2180	Poor	Poor	Dead	Low	Short	Low
49	Lagerstroemia indica Crepe Myrtle	9	5	460	475	5520	2421	Poor	Poor		Low	Short	Low
50	Lagerstroemia indica Crepe Myrtle	10	6	485	700	5820	2849	Fair	Fair		Low	Medium	Low
51	Lagerstroemia indica Crepe Myrtle	9	5	375	400	4500	2252	Fair	Fair	Neighbours tree	Low	Medium	Medium
52	Jacaranda mimosifolia Jacaranda	14	16	465	500	5580	2474	Fair	Poor	Neighbours tree Poor tree form	Medium	Medium	Medium



Tree No	Species	Height	Spread	DBH mm	DAB mm	TPZ (mm)	SRZ (mm)	Health	Structure	Arborist Notes	Landscape Significance	ELE	Retention Value
53	Jacaranda mimosifolia Jacaranda	10	3	180	220	2160	1752	Good	Fair	Neighbours tree	Low	Medium	Medium
54	Melaleuca bracteata Black Tea Tree	16	9	450	600	5400	2670	Good	Fair	Neighbours tree	Medium	Medium	Medium
55	Melaleuca bracteata Black Tea Tree	16	9	450	600	5400	2670	Good	Fair	Neighbours tree	Medium	Medium	Medium
56	Dracaena marginata Dracaena	5	4	450	485	5400	2442	Good	Good		Low	Medium	Low
57	Murraya paniculata Orange Jessamine	4	3	145	180	2000	1611	Good	Good		Low	Medium	Low
58	Ligustrum sinense Small-leaved Privet	5	4	85	100	2000	1500	Good	Fair	Weed Species	Low	Medium	Low
59	Ligustrum sinense Small-leaved Privet	6	8	300	340	3600	2104	Good	Fair	Neighbours tree Weed Species	Low	Medium	Low
60	Ligustrum sinense Small-leaved Privet	5	5	165	200	2000	1683	Good	Fair	Weed Species	Low	Medium	Low
61	Ligustrum lucidum Chinese Privet	5	3	180	225	2160	1769	Good	Fair	Weed Species	Low	Medium	Low
62	Ligustrum lucidum Chinese Privet	7	5	240	300	2880	1996	Good	Fair	Weed Species	Low	Medium	Low
63	Lophostemon confertus Queensland Box	15	12	625	680	7500	2814	Fair	Fair	Street tree	Medium	Medium	Medium
64	Lophostemon confertus Queensland Box	15	12	490	535	5880	2545	Good	Fair	Street tree	Medium	Medium	Medium
65	Lophostemon confertus Queensland Box	13	9	585	610	7020	2689	Good	Fair	Street tree	Medium	Medium	Medium
66	Lophostemon confertus Queensland Box	14	9	480	525	5760	2525	Fair	Fair	Street tree	Medium	Medium	Medium
67	Lophostemon confertus Queensland Box	11	12	575	630	6900	2726	Good	Fair	Street tree	Medium	Medium	Medium
68	Ligustrum lucidum Chinese Privet	4	4	150	200	2000	1683	Good	Fair	Street tree Weed Species	Low	Medium	Low

Table 2- Tree Schedule.

7.1 TREE RETENTION VALUES

Retention value	Tree numbers	Total
High	N/A	0
Medium	1,5,8,31,51,52,53,54,55,63,64,65,66,67.	14
Low	2,3,4,6,7,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,32,33,34,35,36,37,39,40,41,42,43,44,45,47,48,49,50,56,57,58,59,60,61,62,68.	52
Very low	38,46.	2

Table 3 - Showing tree retention values.



8 TREE LOCATIONS



Figure 6- Tree locations with TPZs (Green) and SRZs (Red).

9 TREE IMPACTS



Figure 7- Demolition Stage- Current Site conditions.




Figure 8- Tree impact plan showing a basement level.




Figure 9- Tree location and retention value plan- Ground Floor.




Figure 10- Tree location and retention plan- Upper Ground Floor.




Figure 11- Landscape plan showing the proposed layout, including a Green Link walk through to the northern extent of the development, within 11 Holdsworth Ave.

10 DISCUSSION

10.1 TREE IMPACT SCHEDULE

Tree number		Potentially Impacted by	Potential Mitigation	Practical to retain
1,63.		Unaffected by the proposal	Tree protection fencing and signage.	YES
7,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43,44,45,46,47,48,49,50,56,57,58,60,61,62.		Construction stage- Bulk earthworks etc. Major encroachment or total encroachment to the TPZs and SRZs from bulk earthworks and civil works.	Bulk earthworks and construction activity will require the removal of all trees within the site.	NO
Tree #	Encroachment %	Trees 2,3 & 64 are subject to minor encroachment of less than 10% of the TPZs and outside of the SRZ. Trees 4,5,6 are street trees on Berry Road, the trees are impacted by cut along the property boundary. Trees 8,51,52,53,54,55 are trees within the neighbouring property of 10 Berry Rd. Tree 8 will be subject to major encroachment of almost 45%, the tree is a Cactus species and may tolerate significant encroachment. The remaining trees are along the boundary with 11 Holdsworth Avenue and will be removed for the green link walkthrough pending owners & consent authority approval. Tree 59 is a weed species growing within 9 Holdsworth Ave and will be impacted upon by the green link. Trees 64,65,66,67 are street trees on Holdsworth Ave and are potentially impacted upon by cut at the boundary. These trees are proposed for removal to enable the street wires to be relocated underground. Tree 68 is a small self-sown weed species that will also be removed.	Trees 2,3 can be retained, however they are very poor specimens and should be prioritised for removal. Trees 4 & 6 are not worthy of retention and tree 5 is unsuitable for retention due to extensive cut within the SRZ, these trees will require removal. Trees 51,52,53,54,55 are located within the adjacent property at 10 Berry Road. The trees will require removal for the green link walk through pending tree owner and consent authority approval. As with the other neighbouring trees long-term retention of tree 59 is unlikely to be necessary.	YES/NO
2	8.5%			
3	6.0%			
4	19.8%			
5	32.3%			
6	11.3%			
8	44.8%			
51	50.9%			
52	47.7%			
53	26.0%			
54	32.9%			
55	16.8%			
59	38.8%			
64	3.1%			
65	11.2%			
66	12.0%			
67	14.8%			

Table 4- Tree impact schedule.




11 CONCLUSION

- A total of sixty-eight (68) trees were surveyed and assessed in the preparation of this report on 17/9/25.
- Forty-nine (49) trees were located within the subject site, seven (7) trees were located in the adjacent lots- 8,51,52,53,54,55,60 and twelve (12) trees were within the nature strips of Holdsworth Ave and Berry Road- 1,2,3,4,5,6,63,64,65,66,67,68.
- Zero (0) trees are of high retention value in accordance with the STARS system of assessment.
- Fourteen (14) trees were allocated a medium retention value in accordance with the STARS system of assessment- 1,5,8,31,51,52,53,54,55,63,64,65,66,67. These trees are predominantly neighbours trees or street trees, with only tree 31 located within the site.
- Fifty-one (51) trees were allocated low retention values in accordance with the STARS system of assessment.
- Three (3) trees were allocated very-low (priority for removal) retention values in accordance with the STARS system of assessment due to being dead- 38,46,48.
- A total of sixty (60) trees will require removal to facilitate the proposed development in its current form including five (5) council owned street trees on Berry Road and five (5) council owned street trees on Holdsworth Ave, one of which is a noxious weed- 2,3,4,5,6,7,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43,44,45,46,47,48,49,50,56,57,58,60,61,62,64,65,66,67,68.
- Trees 1 & 63 are unaffected by the proposal.
- Trees 8,51,52,53,54,55 are located in 10 Berry Rd and tree 59 is located within 9 Holdsworth Ave. The trees will be impacted by the future green link walk-through and will require removal. These trees will need to be retained until approval for their removal is provided by the tree owners and the consent authority.
- Site fencing along the property boundaries should be used as tree protection fencing with the addition of tree protection signage as shown in figure 10.
- Tree pruning works are to be undertaken by a suitably qualified, experienced and insured Arboricultural contractor with a minimum AQF level 3 qualification in Arboriculture.

Tree pruning works should be undertaken in accordance with the following:

- *(AS4373 – 2007) Pruning of Amenity Trees*
- *NSW Code of Practice for the Amenity Tree Industry 1998*
- *NSW Code of Practice for Work Near Overhead Power Lines 2006*
- *NSW Work Health & Safety Act & Regulations 2011*
- *Safe Work Guide to managing Risks of Tree Trimming and Removal Work 2016*
- This report does not in any part count as consent for tree pruning. Any information, conclusions or recommendations within this report must only be acted upon following approval from the relevant consent authority.



12 REFERENCES

Australia, M. M. *Aerial Imagery MetroMaps*: <https://web.metromap.com.au/map#>

Claus Mattheck, H. B. (1994). *The Body Language of Trees* (Ninth ed.). London: The Stationary Office.

Environment, N. D.. *Biodiversity Values Map*. Retrieved from
<https://www.lmbc.nsw.gov.au/Maps/index.html?viewer=BOSETMap>

IACA©. (2010). *Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)©*. Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists IACA©.

Lonsdale, D. (1999). *Principles of Tree Hazard Assessment and Management* (2007 5th ed.). Norwich: The Stationary Office.

Nelda P Matheny, J. R. (2009). *A Photographic guide to the Evaluation of Hazard Trees in Urban Areas*. Champaign: International Society of Arboriculture.

Standards Australia. (2007). *Australian Standard AS4373-2007 Pruning of amenity trees*. Sydney: SAI Global.

Standards Australia. (2009). *AS4970-2009 - Protection of trees on development sites*. Sydney: SAI Global.



13 GLOSSARY OF TERMS & ABBREVIATIONS

Age class – Described as Juvenile, Semi-Mature, Mature, Over-Mature. These definitions are variable subject to species and growing environment.

- **Juvenile** - Trees that are generally a sapling, or a new planting, usually within the first two (2) years of their life.
- **Semi-mature** - Tree aged less than <20% of life expectancy, *in situ*
- **Mature** - Tree aged 20-80% of life expectancy, *in situ*.
- **Senescent/ Over Mature** - Tree aged greater than >80% of life expectancy, *in situ*, or *senescent* with or without reduced *vigour*, and declining gradually or rapidly but irreversibly to death

Arboriculture - The science and culture of the growth, planning, management, care and maintenance of trees primarily for amenity and utility purposes.

Arborist - An individual with competence to cultivate, care and maintain trees for *amenity* or utility purposes.

Australian Standard AS4970-2009 (AS4970) – Australian Standard AS4970-2009: ‘Protection of trees on development sites’ is the underpinning standard to which development-based Arboricultural works and guidance are based upon within Australia.

Australian Standard AS4373-2007 (AS4373) – Australian Standard AS4373-2007: ‘Pruning of amenity trees’ is the underpinning standard to which practical Arboricultural works are based upon within Australia.

Batter – The process of grading the land in a slope formation away from an excavation or built structure.

Benching - Relatively level strips of earth or rock in a broad step-like formation breaking the continuity of a slope usually for reasons of safety. Depending on soil and rock type a commonly used ratio for vertical to horizontal cutting is 2:1.

Building envelope - Total surface area of ground that is or will be covered by a building.

Building footprint - See *Building envelope*.

Deep soil - Soil to a depth of 1000 mm or more (Craul 1992, p. 32).

Defect - Any feature of a tree that is likely to make it less safe (in the case of a structural defect) or otherwise to reduce its health, longevity, landscape prominence or conservation value for any other reason.

Diameter at Base (DAB) – The diameter of the tree taken at ground level above the root buttress flare

Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) - Measurement of trunk width calculated at a given distance above ground from the base of the tree often measured at 1.4m.

Easement - Areas of land above or below ground, subject to statutory constraints being either public or private provided for access or the location of utilities.

Estimated Life Expectancy (ELE) - Assessed on trees of particular species in the urban environment, including health and structural conditions which may exist.

Footing - Of a building, the lowest part of a structural wall or *pier* (in any form), that rests upon or into the earth. See also *Foundation*.

Foundation - The point at the earth surface upon which a building *footing* rests. See also *Footing*.

Height over Diameter (H/D) - The height of the tree divided by the Diameter at Base (DAB) used to determine structural integrity of trees in relation to windthrow when trees have developed slender form due to competition.

Significance of a Tree Assessment Rating System (STARS) – A methodology produced by the Institute of Australian Consulting Arborists (IACA) for the use in determining tree retention value(s). (IACA©, 2010)

Structural Root Zone (SRZ) –

Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)

Vigour - Physical strength and health. A tree with good vigour has the ability to sustain life processes and is synonymous with good health.

Visual Tree Inspection (VTA) - Is a detailed visual inspection of a tree and surrounding site (Claus Mattheck, 1994)



14 DISCLAIMER

The information contained within this report is to be used solely for the purposes that were specified at the time of engagement.

All attempts have been made to ensure the legitimacy of any information which has been gathered in the process of compiling this report, however Truth About Trees Pty Ltd cannot be held liable for inaccurate or misleading information which has been provided by others.

Any tree inspections or assessments which have been carried out for the purposes of this report are valid only at the time of inspection and are based on what could reasonably be seen or diagnosed from a visual inspection carried out from ground level.

All inspections, unless otherwise stated, are based upon Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) techniques, industry best practice and applied knowledge.

No internal diagnostic testing or below ground investigation has been carried out unless otherwise stated.

Trees are a dynamic living organism and as such they have a finite lifespan the end of which cannot always be predicted or understood, even apparently healthy trees can die suddenly or fall without warning. As such there is no warranty or guarantee provided, or implied, regarding the future risks associated with any tree.


Unless specifically stated within the scope and methodology sections of this report, this report does not constitute a detailed Arboricultural Risk Assessment if relating to construction and development related report types.

Assessment of tree health and condition has been included to guide assessment of tree retention aspects only and is based on a basic visual assessment using elements of the VTA method. Tree defects may be discussed briefly within this report; however, this report does not satisfy the requirements of a detailed Arboricultural Risk Assessment report.

It is noted that upon acceptance and completion of any development, that there may be trees that impose a risk of impacting a target that was not previously present prior to the development.

It is up to the client and the tree owner/manager to determine the risk threshold that they are willing to accept and undertake a suitably detailed Arboricultural risk assessment that identifies potential tree risk(s) and provides tree management recommendations in line with this threshold.

Please feel free to contact me either via telephone or email if you have any questions regarding this report.



15 APPENDIX 1: TREE ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

15.1 VISUAL TREE ASSESSMENT (VTA)

The VTA system is based on the theory of tree biology and physiology, as well as tree architecture and structure. This method is used by arborists to identify visible signs on trees that indicate good health, or potential problems. Symptoms of decay, growth patterns and defects are identified and assessed as to their potential to cause whole-tree, part-tree and/or branch failure. This system (represented by the image below) is based around methods discussed in 'The Body Language of Trees'. (Claus Mattheck, 1994)

For the purpose of this report, elements of the VTA system will be used, along with industry standard literature, and other relevant studies that provide an insight into potential hazards in trees. This assessment is a snapshot of what could be reasonably seen or determined from a basic visual inspection. The VTA system is generally used as a means to identify hazardous trees; however, it is important to realize that for a tree to be hazardous there must be a target; a hazard poses no risk if there is no exposure to the hazard.

15.2 HEALTH & VIGOUR ASSESSMENT

The health and vigour of a tree are assessed by looking at the tree canopy and how it is performing. Certain indicators provide information on which to base the assessment. Abnormally small leaves, chlorosis (yellowing), sparse crown, wilting, and die-back can be signs of ill-health or decline but may also be related to a temporary imbalance due to drought or pest infestations. Epicormic growth can be a sign of stress and low energy reserves but can also be related to increased light levels through the removal or pruning of adjacent trees. Extension growth can be a good indicator of vigour, but this can vary greatly between species and under differing climatic conditions. For these reasons, each individual symptom or observation needs to be assessed with objectivity and consideration of all available information.

15.3 STRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT

The structural assessment of trees is carried out using the basic framework of Visual Tree Assessment. Signs and symptoms of defects are assessed to gauge the likelihood of failure, because not every defect constitutes a hazard e.g., "...co-dominant stems are a structural defect. The severity of the defect is increased by included bark, large crowns and strong wind." (Nelda P Matheny, 2009)

If trees were removed purely on the basis that there were defects present without assessing the likelihood of failure or whether practical mitigation measures are available, the urban forest would cease to exist. A basic visual tree assessment is undertaken from ground level, if defects are suspected further investigation may be required and recommended.

"[When using] the Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) procedure for assessing trees, as the suspicion increases that defects are present, the examination becomes more thorough and searching." (Claus Mattheck, 1994)

"Some defects, especially some forms of decay, do not give rise to external signs and therefore tend to escape detection in a purely visual survey. If there is no reason for suspecting a hidden defect to occur within a particular part of the tree, there is no reasonable basis for carrying out a detailed internal assessment. Although in theory an unsuspected defect might be detectable by the use of specialized diagnostic devices, this would be impracticable in the absence of some external sign to indicate the place which should be probed. Also, internal examination without good reason is undesirable, as it usually causes injury to the tree and is unreasonably time consuming and costly." (Lonsdale, 1999)

15.4 TREE PROTECTION ZONE (TPZ) & STRUCTURAL ROOT (SRZ) ZONE CALCULATIONS

In accordance with Australian Standard AS4970-2009 *Protection of trees on development sites* (Standards Australia, 2009), Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) radius is calculated using the following procedure. Diameter of the trunk is measured at approximately 1.4m above ground level; this measurement is referred to as DBH (Diameter at Breast Height). $R_{TPZ} = DBH \times 12$. For multi-stemmed trees the formula used is $R_{TPZ} = \sqrt{[(DBH1)^2 + (DBH2)^2 + (DBH3)^2]}$. The TPZ is measured radially from the centre of the stem and must be protected on all sides.

The Structural Root Zone (SRZ) radius is calculated by measuring the diameter of the stem close to ground level, just above the basal flare. This measurement is taken as D and then used in the following formula: $R_{SRZ} = (D \times 50)^{0.42} \times 0.64$ and becomes the Structural Root Zone, measured radially from the centre of the stem.

It is important to realize that these calculations provide a notional figure only and tree dynamics, form and site conditions will greatly affect these zones, and it is the job of the arborist to interpret the information correctly.

For palms, cycads, tree ferns, and similar monocots, the TPZ is positioned at least 1m outside the crown projection. SRZs are not applicable to these plant types.

AS4970-2009 states "a TPZ should not be less than 2m nor greater than 15m (except where crown protection is required)" and the minimum radius for an SRZ is 1.5m.

15.5 REFERENCE DIAGRAMS




Figure 12 - VTA Procedure flowchart




Figure 13 - A representation of TPZ & SRZ calculations



15.5.1 SIGNIFICANCE OF A TREE, ASSESSMENT RATING SYSTEM (STARS)

IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)© (IACA 2010)©

In the development of this document IACA acknowledges the contribution and original concept of the Footprint Green Tree Significance & Retention Value Matrix, developed by Footprint Green Pty Ltd in June 2001.

The landscape significance of a tree is an essential criterion to establish the importance that a particular tree may have on a site. However, rating the significance of a tree becomes subjective and difficult to ascertain in a consistent and repetitive fashion due to assessor bias. It is therefore necessary to have a rating system utilising structured qualitative criteria to assist in determining the retention value for a tree. To assist this process all definitions for terms used in the *Tree Significance - Assessment Criteria and Tree Retention Value - Priority Matrix*, are taken from the IACA Dictionary for Managing Trees in Urban Environments 2009.

This rating system will assist in the planning processes for proposed works, above and below ground where trees are to be retained on or adjacent a development site. The system uses a scale of *High, Medium and Low* significance in the landscape. Once the landscape significance of an individual tree has been defined, the retention value can be determined. An example of its use in an Arboricultural report is shown as Appendix A.

Tree Significance - Assessment Criteria



1. High Significance in landscape

- The tree is in good condition and good vigour;
- The tree has a form typical for the species;
- The tree is a remnant or is a planted locally indigenous specimen and/or is rare or uncommon in the local area or of botanical interest or of substantial age;
- The tree is listed as a Heritage Item, Threatened Species or part of an Endangered ecological community or listed on Councils significant Tree Register;
- The tree is visually prominent and visible from a considerable distance when viewed from most directions within the landscape due to its size and scale and makes a positive contribution to the local amenity;
- The tree supports social and cultural sentiments or spiritual associations, reflected by the broader population or community group or has commemorative values;
- The tree's growth is unrestricted by above and below ground influences, supporting its ability to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ* - tree is appropriate to the site conditions.

2. Medium Significance in landscape

- The tree is in fair-good condition and good or low vigour;
- The tree has form typical or atypical of the species;
- The tree is a planted locally indigenous or a common species with its taxa commonly planted in the local area
- The tree is visible from surrounding properties, although not visually prominent as partially obstructed by other vegetation or buildings when viewed from the street,
- The tree provides a fair contribution to the visual character and amenity of the local area,
- The tree's growth is moderately restricted by above or below ground influences, reducing its ability to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ*.

3. Low Significance in landscape

- The tree is in fair-poor condition and good or low vigour;
- The tree has form atypical of the species;
- The tree is not visible or is partly visible from surrounding properties as obstructed by other vegetation or buildings,
- The tree provides a minor contribution or has a negative impact on the visual character and amenity of the local area,
- The tree is a young specimen which may or may not have reached dimension to be protected by local Tree Preservation orders or similar protection mechanisms and can easily be replaced with a suitable specimen,
- The tree's growth is severely restricted by above or below ground influences, unlikely to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ* - tree is inappropriate to the site conditions,
- The tree is listed as exempt under the provisions of the local Council Tree Preservation Order or similar protection mechanisms,
- The tree has a wound or defect that has potential to become structurally unsound.

Environmental Pest / Noxious Weed Species

- The tree is an Environmental Pest Species due to its invasiveness or poisonous/ allergenic properties,
- The tree is a declared noxious weed by legislation.

Hazardous/Irreversible Decline

- The tree is structurally unsound and/or unstable and is considered potentially dangerous,
- The tree is dead, or is in irreversible decline, or has the potential to fail or collapse in full or part in the immediate to short term.

The tree is to have a minimum of three (3) criteria in a category to be classified in that group.

Note: The assessment criteria are for individual trees only, however, can be applied to a monocultural stand in its entirety e.g. hedge.

IACA 2010, *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)*, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists, www.iaca.org.au



Table 1.0 Tree Retention Value - Priority Matrix.

		Significance				
		1. High	2. Medium	3. Low		
		Significance in Landscape	Significance in Landscape	Significance in Landscape	Environmental Pest / Noxious Weed Species	Hazardous / Irreversible Decline
Estimated Life Expectancy	1. Long >40 years					
	2. Medium 15-40 Years					
	3. Short <1-15 Years					
	Dead					

Legend for Matrix Assessment

Priority for Retention (High) - These trees are considered important for retention and should be retained and protected. Design modification or re-location of building/s should be considered to accommodate the setbacks as prescribed by the Australian Standard AS4970 *Protection of trees on development sites*. Tree sensitive construction measures must be implemented e.g. pier and beam etc if works are to proceed within the Tree Protection Zone.

Consider for Retention (Medium) - These trees may be retained and protected. These are considered less critical; however their retention should remain priority with removal considered only if adversely affecting the proposed building/works and all other alternatives have been considered and exhausted.

Consider for Removal (Low) - These trees are not considered important for retention, nor require special works or design modification to be implemented for their retention.

Priority for Removal - These trees are considered hazardous, or in irreversible decline, or weeds and should be removed irrespective of development.

USE OF THIS DOCUMENT AND REFERENCING

The IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS) is free to use, but only in its entirety and must be cited as follows:

IACA, 2010, *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)*, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists, Australia, www.iaca.org.au

REFERENCES


Australia ICOMOS Inc. 1999, *The Burra Charter – The Australian ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance*, International Council of Monuments and Sites, www.icomos.org/australia

Draper BD and Richards PA 2009, *Dictionary for Managing Trees in Urban Environments*, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists (IACA), CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.

Footprint Green Pty Ltd 2001, *Footprint Green Tree Significance & Retention Value Matrix*, Avalon, NSW Australia, www.footprintgreen.com.au

IACA 2010, *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)*, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists, www.iaca.org.au

Figure 14- Significance of a Tree Assessment Rating System (STARS) – IACA



16 APPENDIX 2: TREE PROTECTION SPECIFICATION

16.1 GENERAL

16.1.1 INTRODUCTION

- Early identification & protection of important trees on development sites is essential from the outset & will minimise problems associated with retaining inappropriate trees, & in turn focus time, resources & budget on the retention & protection of the most valuable trees on site (Standards Australia, 2009).
- Tree protection will form an essential part of the success of the development & should be prioritised at the earliest stage of the project. Where trees are proposed for retention on site, proper tree protection & management procedures will be crucial in ensuring that the trees remain valuable assets over the long-term.
- The following specification has been developed to provide detailed guidance for tree protection measures & processes associated with the development project.

16.1.2 APPLICABLE STANDARDS

16.1.2.1 *Australian Standard AS4970-2009: Protection of trees on development sites (Standards Australia, 2009)*

16.1.2.2 *Australian Standard AS4373-2007: Pruning of amenity trees (Standards Australia, 2007)*

- Australian Standards AS4373-2007 & AS4970-2009 are the underpinning documents that Arboricultural practice within Australia is based upon.
- The preparation of this specification has been prepared in accordance with & has been closely aligned with the foundations & principles of these standards.
- As such, this specification & any associated report(s) should be read in conjunction with AS4970 & AS4373.

16.1.3 APPROVAL FROM CONSENT AUTHORITY


- It is important to note that this specification & any associated report(s), do not count as approval for the recommendations contained within. It is vital that approval is obtained from the consent authority prior to following any recommendations provided by Truth About Trees as part of this specification or report.
- Upon approval from the consent authority, it is important that any variation between this specification & the Conditions of Consent (CoC) are identified, discussed & addressed with the Project Arborist, Project Manager & the consent authority to resolve any discrepancies.
- Unless otherwise advised by the consent authority, the CoC shall prevail.

16.2 PLANNING & DESIGN

- In addition to the commonly identified physical tree protection measures, tree protection is most effective when addressed through early-stage planning & design. Ideally this would mitigate the need to encroach on the tree(s) TPZ entirely resulting in only basic physical tree protection measures being required.
- The undertaking of a Preliminary Tree Assessment report is a key step in the process of tree protection. As through consultation between planners, the design team, project managers, the principal contractor & the project arborist; redesign, planning & detailed site management can achieve an outcome that both mitigates impacts to significant trees on site, & maintains the desired outcomes of the development.
- However, this may not always be feasible or have been considered early on in the development. Therefore, tree protection measures become paramount for the development to proceed successfully. At this point, the use of tree sensitive construction methods, combined with physical tree protection measures should be utilised for any part of the development that encroaches the TPZ of a tree proposed for retention.

16.2.1 TREE SENSITIVE CONSTRUCTION METHODS

- Tree sensitive construction methods are methods of construction that minimise the impact(s) to the tree(s) on site. Typically, in the form of minimising impacts associated with the below ground parts of the tree(s) root system.
- Examples of tree sensitive construction methods include, but are not limited to:
 - Pier & Beam style footings
 - Cantilevered Building Sections
 - Contiguous Piling
 - Suspended Slabs
 - Screw Piles
 - Directional under-boring



16.2.2 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT PLAN

- A Construction Management Plan (CMP) should be compiled by the principal contractor in consultation with the Project Arborist in order to address any issues related to aspects such as the access & egress of vehicles and machinery & the storage of site materials.

16.2.3 ORDER OF WORKS

- It is important that works are undertaken with a methodical approach to mitigate conflict during the different stages of construction. When works are undertaken out of sequence, it can result in additional impacts to the tree(s) proposed for retention. **(See Section 16.11)**
- One of the most effective ways to ensure that tree protection measures remain successful, is by ensuring compliance with AS4970 and this specification. **(See Sections 16.3 & 16.4)**

16.3 COMPLIANCE


- Compliance with this specification is best managed through the appointment of a Project Arborist to ensure that works are undertaken in accordance with the recommendations & hold-points detailed within this specification. **(See Section 16.11)**
- This specification should be clearly communicated with the Principal Contractor & Project Manager to ensure that all works are undertaken in accordance with this specification.
- Tree protection measures should form part of the site-specific induction process to ensure that all workers on site are familiar with the requirements set out within the specification.
- The Project Arborist & Project Manager are to be responsible for the monitoring & enforcement of all tree protection measures on site.

16.4 THE PROJECT ARBORIST**16.4.1 PROJECT ARBORIST APPOINTMENT & QUALIFICATIONS**

- A Project Arborist (PA) with a minimum Australian Qualification Framework (AQF) Level-5 qualification in arboriculture should be appointed as the Consulting Arborist for the project.
- The PA is to have sufficient experience in managing trees on development sites & must be familiar with the required legislation & standards, & up to date on industry best practice methodologies.
- The PA is to be appointed prior to the start of any works on site, inclusive of tree pruning & removal works & prior to site establishment & occupation.

16.4.2 RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PROJECT ARBORIST & RECORD KEEPING

- The PA is to be consulted at the design stage to ensure that impacts to valuable trees on site is either mitigated, or effectively managed throughout the project.
- The PA is to attend an on-site meeting with the Project Manager & Principal Contractor to discuss the requirements for tree protection measures for the project. This is to be conducted prior to any works on site & prior to site establishment & occupation.
- The PA is to be responsible for the compliance with the specification, AS4970 & any CoC placed upon the development by the consent authority.
- The PA is to guide all tree pruning & removal works in accordance with AS4970 & AS4373.
- Certification of the installation of tree protection measures and any additional relevant hold-points as detailed within **Sections 16.10 & 16.11** of this specification is to be undertaken by the PA in accordance with the relevant industry standards and reporting requirements.
- The PA is responsible for supervision of any & all works within the TPZ of any tree proposed for retention.
- Record keeping of all supervision works by the PA is to be completed via a statement of attendance, detailing what works were undertaken and certifying that they were undertaken in accordance with the relevant standards e.g., AS4970 & AS4373. **(See Sections 16.10 & 16.11)**
- Whilst the above noted responsibilities are primarily that of the PA, it is the responsibility of the project manager/site manager to contact the PA prior to any works that require Arborist involvement and to assist with direct enforcement of all tree protection measures with all contractors.



16.5 TREE PROTECTION ZONE (TPZ)

16.5.1 TREE PROTECTION ZONE: DEFINITION & PURPOSE

- The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) is defined within AS4970 as:
"A specified area above and below ground and at a given distance from the trunk set aside for the protection of a tree's roots and crown to provide for the viability and stability of a tree to be retained where it is potentially subject to damage by development." (Standards Australia, 2009)
- The TPZ is calculated in accordance with AS4970 by taking the Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) of the subject tree and multiplying it by twelve (12).
- It is important to note that this calculation provides a notional TPZ only, which is indicative of a generalised area that a tree may require to maintain tree health & structure. There are many aspects that contribute to the TPZ, and it is up to the PA to ensure that all aspects have been considered when determining the TPZ as this may differ from the notional TPZ calculation. **(See section 16.6)**

16.5.2 STRUCTURAL ROOT ZONE: DEFINITION & PURPOSE

- The Structural Root Zone (SRZ) is defined within AS4970 as:
'The area around the base of a tree required for the tree's stability in the ground. The woody root growth and soil cohesion in this area are necessary to hold the tree upright. The SRZ is nominally circular with the trunk at its centre and is expressed by its radius in metres. This zone considers a tree's structural stability only, not the root zone required for a tree's vigour and long-term viability, which will usually be a much larger area.' (Standards Australia, 2009)

16.5.3 RESTRICTED ACTIVITIES

- It is important to restrict certain activities within the TPZ in order to mitigate any detrimental impacts to the tree(s) health and condition. Some activities may not appear to be of concern, however even indirect impacts can have a long-term and lasting effect on tree health and condition.

Examples of restricted activities as detailed within AS4970 are listed below:

- | | |
|--|--|
| ◦ machine excavation including trenching | ◦ dumping of waste |
| ◦ excavation for silt fencing | ◦ wash down and cleaning of equipment |
| ◦ cultivation | ◦ placement of fill |
| ◦ storage | ◦ lighting of fires |
| ◦ preparation of any chemicals, including cement | ◦ soil level changes |
| ◦ parking of vehicles and plant | ◦ temporary or permanent installation of utilities |
| ◦ refuelling | ◦ physical damage to the tree |

16.6 VARIATIONS TO THE TPZ

16.6.1 TPZ ENCROACHMENTS


- Encroachment to the TPZ may at times be a necessity due to site limitations and constraints & are generally decided upon during the planning & Development Application stages. It is imperative that any encroachment to the TPZ is only undertaken with prior approval from the Consent Authority & under the guidance of the PA.
- TPZ encroachments are considered to be items that have a longer-term effect on the TPZ e.g., excavation, trenching, building footings, installation of services etc.
- There are typically two (2) categories of TPZ encroachment which each have their own specific assessment & management processes as detailed below.

16.6.1.1 Minor TPZ Encroachments:

- Where an encroachment is <10% of the trees total TPZ area & provided it is outside of the SRZ, then it is considered to be a minor encroachment.
- A minor encroachment would not generally warrant further, or detailed root investigation.
- Where an encroachment occurs, the TPZ must be adapted to compensate for the loss of area due to the encroachment, the compensated area must be contiguous to the existing TPZ.

16.6.1.2 Major TPZ Encroachments:

- Where an encroachment is >10% of the trees total TPZ area, or if it encroaches the SRZ, then it is considered to be a major encroachment.
- A major encroachment will require assessment by the PA and may require exploratory root investigation works to demonstrate the viability of the tree over the long-term. **(See Sections 16.8.1 & 16.8.2)**
- As with a minor encroachment, where an encroachment occurs, the TPZ must be adapted to compensate for the loss of area due to the encroachment, the compensated area must be contiguous to the existing TPZ.



16.6.2 CANOPY PROTECTION

- As well as the below-ground parts, the above-ground parts of the tree also require protection throughout development.
- On occasion, the notional TPZ calculation may be located within the tree canopy, therefore, the TPZ will require adjustment to 1m outside of the dripline to ensure that the tree canopy is properly protected.
- This will generally require the TPZ and associated fencing to be extended to a distance of 1m outside the perimeter of the tree canopy dripline as a minimum. **(See section 16.7.1)**
- In some cases, site space & constraints may result in the requirement for tree branches to be pruned. In this instance, a pruning specification may be required & must be undertaken in accordance with the relevant standards & under the guidance of the PA. **(See sections 16.1.2.2 & 16.9.1)**

16.6.3 ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

- Variations to the TPZ may also be required where the PA has demonstrated that one of the following (or other) aspects are impacting the likely TPZ requirement for the subject tree:
 - Existing or historical structures that are likely to have impacted the location of tree roots
 - The future growth requirements of the tree, including both above & below ground parts
 - Tree health
 - Tree structure
 - The characteristics of the individual tree species & its ability to tolerate development impacts
 - Site topography & soil type

16.7 PHYSICAL TREE PROTECTION MEASURES**16.7.1 TPZ FENCING & SIGNAGE**

- TPZ fencing will be required for all trees proposed for retention, unless there is an existing structure that acts as physical barrier to access of the tree.
- Fencing must be erected in accordance with AS4970 & AS4687 & should be positioned to the perimeter of the TPZ.
- Where the notional TPZ is located within or below the tree canopy, the fencing should be extended to a minimum of 1m outside of the dripline.
- Access to, or relocation of the TPZ fencing must only be acted upon following guidance from the PA.
- Signage must be attached to the TPZ fencing in a position that is clearly visible from within the site.
- Signage must be a minimum of A4 paper size & must be weather-proofed (laminated) & securely attached to the fencing in a way that ensures it remains in place throughout the development.

(See section 16.12)


16.7.2 TRUNK & BRANCH PROTECTION

- Trunk & branch protection may be required where there is likely to be an impact to the tree from the access & egress of vehicles & machinery on site & will be advised by the PA.
- Where trunk & branch protection is required, it should comply with AS4970 & consist of:
 - Wrapping a fabric layer (hessian/geotextile) around the tree trunk/branches,
 - Placing timber battens (90mm x 45mm) spaced at 150mm & to a height of 2m above-grade around the tree.
 - Timbers are not to be attached directly to the tree. **(See section 16.12)**

16.7.3 GROUND PROTECTION

- Ground protection is to be utilised where temporary access & egress of vehicles & machinery is required into any part of the TPZ to prevent soil compaction & root damage.

Unless otherwise specified, ground protection should consist of a layer of geotextile fabric, well composted native woodchip mulch to a depth of 75mm-100mm & timber rumble boards placed on top. **(See section 16.12)**



16.8 CONSTRUCTION PROCESSES & TREE SENSITIVE METHODOLOGIES

16.8.1 ROOT INVESTIGATIONS

- Where a major encroachment occurs root investigation may be required in order to ensure that any impacts to significant roots are mitigated, and tree health & structure remains viable.
- This should be completed and documented prior to the start of any works on site.
- Root investigation can be conducted using a number of methods, each with their own benefits & constraints. Whilst all methods should be non-destructive, some methods are considered to be less invasive than others such as Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR).
- The investigation should be focused along the proposed line of construction.
- However, accuracy of the results can vary depending on characteristics of the individual site. As such, the PA will need to advise as to the most appropriate & effective method of investigation. Site constraints may impact upon the feasibility of a particular method & therefore site-specific recommendations will be required.
- Regardless of the method utilised, the following aspects should be assessed & documented as part of the investigation:
 - Method used
 - Date, time & location of works.
 - Individual tree identifying reference
 - Location, size, depth & direction of travel of all roots observed.
 - Summary of the estimated significance of the observed roots
 - Recommendations for construction processes to mitigate significant impacts to the roots (generally to be included as part of AIA reporting)

16.8.2 EXPLORATORY EXCAVATION

- Exploratory excavation is a method that can be utilised as part of a root investigation to guide design & construction. However, due to its invasive nature it is imperative that it is conducted in a way that minimises impacts to the tree.
- Exploratory excavation must be undertaken in accordance with the aspects detailed above in; **section 16.8.1.**
- Exploratory excavation must be undertaken in accordance with the aspects detailed below in; **section 16.8.3.**

16.8.3 EXCAVATION WITHIN THE TPZ

- Any excavation within the TPZ must only be undertaken following approval from the consent authority & under the supervision & guidance of the PA.
- Works must be undertaken using non-destructive measures such as hand digging, AirSpade or a Dry Vac*
*Note that a Dry Vac differs from a regular Hydro Vac. The Dry Vac uses air pressure to break up the soil profile, rather than a water jet, reducing the likelihood of tree roots being damaged by the high-pressure water jet.
- Works must be documented & certified by the PA (**See section 16.10**)

16.8.3.1 Root Protection


- Where roots are likely to be exposed for an extended period of time, it is important that they are adequately protected to prevent them from drying out.
- This can be achieved by wrapping the roots in hessian & ensuring that they remain moist throughout the works.
- The hessian wrap must be removed & the area back-filled with a suitable material as soon as practicable upon completion of the works.

16.8.4 ROOT PRUNING

- Root Pruning must only be undertaken under the guidance & supervision of the PA.
- Pruning cuts should be made using a fit-for-purpose & sharp tool to ensure that cuts are made cleanly and back to a suitable point in accordance with AS4373. (**See Section 16.1.2.2**)
- No roots greater than 25mm in diameter are to be pruned unless demonstrated viable by the PA.

16.8.5 GRADE CHANGES WITHIN THE TPZ

- Grade changes within the TPZ will require prior approval by the consent authority & the PA. They will generally consist of a raise in soil level only & not a reduction in grade.
- Where grade changes are permitted, they will generally be restricted to a maximum fill of 200mm above existing grade.
- The fill material must be a non-compacted material that is coarser than the existing soil & must be inspected & approved by the PA.



16.8.6 UNDERGROUND SERVICES

- Where feasible, all underground services should be located outside of the TPZ.
- In situations where site limitations dictate the location of service within the TPZ, then they would ideally be installed using directional under-boring to minimise disturbance to the TPZ. **(See Section 16.8.7)**
- Should under-boring not be feasible, then the services must be installed in accordance with **sections 16.8.1, 16.8.2 & 16.8.3** of this specification.

16.8.7 DIRECTIONAL UNDER-BORING

- Directional under-boring is undertaken using specialist equipment that can bore below ground to avoid conflict with trees, structures & infrastructure. Whilst it is a highly beneficial method for minimising impacts with trees, there are certain aspects that must still be considered to successfully mitigate any significant impact with tree root systems.
- Entry/exit pits – The directional drilling equipment requires an entry & exit pit in order to start the drilling process, the size may vary depending on the make/model of equipment, but 2m² should be considered as a minimum requirement. It is important to ensure that the entry/exit pit is located outside of the TPZ where possible. Where the entry or exit pit must be within the TPZ of a tree, the PA is to assess the viability of the proposal and the entry/exit pit is to be excavated using non-destructive means.
- Drilling depth – different machines are capable of drilling to different depths, and different soil types or bedrock may guide the desired depth of the bore, but generally speaking most machines are capable of drilling to a depth that avoids conflict with the tree's root system. The PA is to provide guidance on the minimum depth required based on soil type, tree species and site conditions.

16.8.8 ABOVE-GROUND SERVICES & STRUCTURES**16.8.8.1 Scaffolding**

- Should scaffolding be required within the TPZ, it should be designed to mitigate impacts with the tree canopy.
- Where there is no alternative but to place scaffolding within the TPZ, it should be constructed in a way that mitigates the requirement for tree pruning where feasible.
- Should pruning be required, it should be guided by the PA in accordance with AS4373 & the relevant DCP. Note that a pruning Specification may also be required. **(See section 16.9.2)**
- Scaffolding within the TPZ will also require adequate ground protection to minimise soil compaction & damage to the tree roots. This can be achieved by utilising the methods detailed in **section 16.7.3**.
- A layer of impervious black plastic or similar must be utilised instead of geotextile to assist in preventing chemical spills from entering the soil.
- Additionally, scaffold boards or similar can be utilised on top of the mulch.

16.8.8.2 Building Alignment


- Where feasible, building alignments should be positioned outside of the TPZ & must consider the future growth of the trees above & below ground parts. This should be considered at the design stage to avoid encroachment into the TPZ. **(See Section 16.2)**
- Where the building alignment is to be positioned within the trees TPZ, it must be done so only after consultation & assessment with the PA and following approval from the consent authority. **(See Sections 16.3 & 16.4)**
- Tree sensitive design & construction methods will need to be utilised to minimise impacts and the PA is to supervise all works within the TPZ. **(See sections 16.2.1 & 16.4)**

16.8.8.3 Above-ground services – pruning spec

- Consideration needs to be given at the design stage to the location of above-ground services to minimise conflict with the trees canopy. **(See Section 16.2)**
- Where services are to pass near to, or within the tree canopy, consultation with the PA will be required.
- A pruning specification may be required to guide the installation of the service(s) and the tree(s). **(See Section 16.9.2)**

16.9 TREE REMOVAL & PRUNING WORKS**16.9.1 GENERAL**

- No tree pruning or removal works should be undertaken without prior approval from the consent authority and without consultation with the PA.
- Tree pruning and removal works are to be undertaken by a suitably qualified, experienced and insured Arboricultural contractor with a minimum AQF level 3 qualification in Arboriculture.



Works should be undertaken in accordance with the following:


- (AS4373 – 2007) Pruning of Amenity Trees (**See Section 16.1.2.2**)
- NSW Code of Practice for the Amenity Tree Industry 1998
- NSW Code of Practice for Work Near Overhead Power Lines 2006
- NSW Work Health & Safety Act & Regulations 2011
- Safe Work Guide to managing Risks of Tree Trimming and Removal Work 2016

16.9.2 PRUNING SPECIFICATION

- A pruning specification may be required where the proposed works exceed the allowances within the applicable DCP.
- The PA will advise when this is required, & the pruning specification must be compiled in accordance with AS4373 & AS4970. (**See Section 16.1.2**)
- The pruning specification should clearly identify which branches require pruning & the suitable reduction point(s) that they should be pruned back to in order to ensure that tree health, form & condition are maintained.
- This document should be provided to the consent authority for approval as required & the document available on site during the pruning works.
- The PA should be advised by the Project Manager when pruning is to be undertaken, & consultation between the PA & the Arboricultural contractor that is undertaking the works, should occur prior to the works commencing.

16.10 MONITORING & CERTIFICATION

- Monitoring of the project is essential in order to ensure that tree protection measures remain in place & all tree protection & tree sensitive construction methods are undertaken in accordance with the specification & CoC.
- This can be achieved by ensuring compliance with the hold points detailed below in **Section 16.11**.
- All hold points will require certification by the PA.
- Certification is generally provided in a memo style certification letter, that will clearly identify & document the following items as a minimum:
 - Date, time & location of assessment/works & details of the person(s) and company(s) involved,
 - Applicable standards and or assessment methodology,
 - Detailed description of the works or assessment & detail of the involvement of the PA,
 - Description & location of trees subject to assessment,
 - Description of subject trees health & condition,
 - Photographic evidence that clearly shows examples of the works processes,
 - Non-compliance is clearly identified, documented & remediation recommendations provided.
 - Confirmation that any areas of non-compliance requiring remediation have been rectified (as required).
 - Signed acknowledgement of complying works and standards to which they have been assessed by.
- Certification will be provided to the client & will be the responsibility of the client to ensure that the certification is provided to the relevant consent authority & certifier as required.



16.11 HOLD-POINT INSPECTION SCHEDULE

Hold-Point	Description	Applicable Stage of Development	Required Actions
1	Tree Protection Certification	Following tree pruning & removal works & prior to site work activities commencing.	- Inspect and assess the installation of all tree protection measures - Confirm that have been installed in accordance with: - Conditions of Consent - Tree Protection Specification & Tree Protection Plan/Drawing - AS4970-2009 - Provide letter of certification in accordance with <i>section 16.10</i> of this specification
2	Construction & Landscaping Within the TPZ Supervision of all access, demolition, construction & landscaping works within the TPZ Periodic site inspections to provide ongoing monitoring of the subject trees and compliance with tree protection measures	Following tree protection certification Periodically throughout demolition & construction. At any time that access or works are required within the TPZ, temporary or otherwise.	- Assess tree health & condition - Ensure that tree protection measures remain in situ - Ensure that all works are complying with: - Conditions of Consent - Tree Protection Specification & Tree Protection Plan/Drawing - AS4970-2009 - Provide letter of certification in accordance with <i>section 16.10</i> of this specification
3	Post Works Certification Final inspection of the site & trees	Following project completion to assess tree health & condition post-development	- Confirm that all tree protection measures have been removed - Undertake an assessment of the health & condition of all trees and recommend remedial works if required - Provide letter of certification in accordance with <i>section 16.10</i> of this specification

Table 5 - Hold-point Inspection Schedule




16.12 REFERENCE DIAGRAMS

Figure 15-TPZ Sign Example



- LEGEND:**
- 1 Chain wire mesh panels with shade cloth (if required) attached, held in place with concrete feet.
 - 2 Alternative plywood or wooden paling fence panels. This fencing material also prevents building materials or soil entering the TPZ.
 - 3 Mulch installation across surface of TPZ (at the discretion of the project arborist). No excavation, construction activity, grade changes, surface treatment or storage of materials of any kind is permitted within the TPZ.
 - 4 Bracing is permissible within the TPZ. Installation of supports should avoid damaging roots.

Figure 16- Tree protection fencing example - Image: (Standards Australia, 2009)



- NOTES:**
- 1 For trunk and branch protection use boards and padding that will prevent damage to bark. Boards are to be strapped to trees, not nailed or screwed.
 - 2 Rumble boards should be of a suitable thickness to prevent soil compaction and root damage.

Figure 17- Trunk, branch & ground protection example - Image: (Standards Australia, 2009)

