

Consultation with Statutory Authorities/Other Agencies/Community

Consultation Body	Method of Consultation & By Whom	When Consulted	Consultation Comments	EIS Response
Carrathool Shire Council	E-mail from Director Development Services Carrathool Shire Council	E-mail dated 8 November, 2016	Council advised that it had no specific requirements for inclusion in the EIS.	Not Applicable at this time
	Phone call from Tattersall Lander	December, 2016	Council advised that it had no specific requirements for inclusion in the EIS but that it would formally respond to the Department's request for comments once the DA was lodged.	Not Applicable at this time
	Agency Meeting and Site Visit Organised by Tattersall Lander	18 January, 2017	A copy of the powerpoint presentation to the agency meeting is appended to the EIS as a component of Appendix A. A summary of the questions asked by the agencies and answers provided as well as other poignant notes are also contained at Appendix A.	Details provided in EIS Appendix A
	E-mail from Director Development Services Carrathool Shire Council	March 2017	Confirmation that the site was not flood prone. Advised to lift project min 500mm above existing landform.	Noted and designed into layout
Department of Planning & Environment	Letter from Tattersall Lander requesting SEARs	Letter dated 18 February, 2016	Request for SEARs.	All SEARs addressed
	Letter from Department of Planning & Environment providing SEARs	Letter dated 18 November, 2016	Provision of SEARs.	All SEARs addressed
	Agency Meeting and Site Visit Organised by Tattersall Lander	18 January, 2017	A copy of the powerpoint presentation to the agency meeting is appended to the EIS as a component of Appendix A. A summary of the questions asked by the agencies and answers provided as well as other poignant notes are also contained at Appendix A.	Details provided in EIS Appendix A
	Email from Department of Planning & Environment	September November 2017 Jan 2018	Draft technical report review and general comments by DoPE.	Amended as required

Consultation Body	Method of Consultation & By Whom	When Consulted	Consultation Comments	EIS Response
Environment Protection Authority	Letter from Darren Wallett (Head Griffith Unit)	Letter dated 4 May, 2016	Requested consideration of the potential impacts of the proposed development with regard to: (1) Air quality; (2) Noise; (3) Water; and (4) Land. Consideration must also be given to waste management and to the use of chemicals at the site. Stipulates that the assessment of air quality impact (odour and dust), including cumulative air quality impacts is the primary consideration for the EPA.	Addressed in EIS Chapters 5, 6, 7, 8, 14 and 17
	Phone call from Tattersall Lander	December, 2016	EPA advised that response already provided to the Department of Planning & Environment in its request for input to SEARs and to address same. Also advised that EPA would formally respond to the Department's request for comments once the DA was lodged.	Addressed in EIS Chapters 5, 6, 7, 8, 14 and 17
	Agency Meeting and Site Visit Organised by Tattersall Lander	18 January, 2017	A copy of the powerpoint presentation to the agency meeting is appended to the EIS as a component of Appendix A. A summary of the questions asked by the agencies and answers provided as well as other poignant notes are also contained at Appendix A.	Details provided in EIS Appendix A
Office of Environment & Heritage	Letter from Peter Ewin (Senior Team Leader Planning, South West Region)	Letter dated 3 May, 2016	Requested consideration of (1) Biodiversity (specific matters prescribed); (2) Aboriginal cultural heritage; (3) Historic heritage; (4) Relevant water and soil considerations; and (5) Relevant flooding and coastal erosion considerations.	Addressed in EIS Chapters 5, 6, 10, and 11
	Phone call from Tattersall Lander	December, 2016	OEH advised that response already provided to the Department of Planning & Environment in its request for input to SEARs and to address same. Also advised that OEH would formally respond to the Department's request for comments once the DA was lodged.	Addressed in EIS Chapters 5, 6, 10, and 11
	Agency Meeting and Site Visit Organised by Tattersall Lander	18 January, 2017	A copy of the powerpoint presentation to the agency meeting is appended to the EIS as a component of Appendix A. A summary of the questions asked by the agencies and answers provided as well as other poignant notes are also contained at Appendix A.	Details provided in EIS Appendix A
Department of Primary Industries (Agriculture)	Phone call from Tattersall Lander	December, 2016	Advised that DPI (Agriculture) would formally respond to any relevant Departmental request for comments once the DA was lodged.	Not Applicable

Consultation Body	Method of Consultation & By Whom	When Consulted	Consultation Comments	EIS Response
Department of Primary Industries (Water)	Letter from Tracie Scarfone (Senior Water Regulation Officer)	Letter dated 2 May, 2016	Requested consideration of: (1) Access to surface and groundwater resources;(2) Associated impact on surface and groundwater resources;(3)Any impact of any proposed development on the movement of floodwaters; (4) Assessment of the impact of any works and activities on waterfront land; (5)Assessment of any potential cumulative impacts on water resources and any proposed options to manage;(6) Details of the final landform of the site and rehabilitation measures; and (7) Relevant policies and guidelines.	Addressed in EIS Chapters 5 and 6
	Phone call from Tattersall Lander	December, 2016	DPI (Water) advised that response already provided to the Department of Planning & Environment in its request for input to SEARs and to address same. Also advised that DPI would formally respond to the Department's request for comments once the DA was lodged.	Addressed in EIS Chapters 5 and 6
Roads & Maritime Services	Letter from Lindsay Tanner (Regional Manager, South West Region)	Letter dated 3 May, 2016	Requested provision of a Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) with the DA, incorporating: (1) Current standard requirements for inclusion in a TIA; (2) Cumulative traffic impacts and implications for the wider locality; and (3) Reasoning and justification for proposed direct traffic access to the MidWestern Highway (rather than via McRaes Road. RMS also requested the preparation of a Traffic Management Plan with the need to address the movement of vehicles, particularly heavy vehicles during the various stages of birdgrowing process for each poultry production units.	Addressed in EIS Chapter 9
	Email	October 2017	General comments and requirements for additional reporting and redesign to a higher design speed and larger vehicles	Addressed in EIS Chapter 9
	Phone call from Tattersall Lander	December, 2016	RMS advised that response already provided to the Department of Planning & Environment in its request for input to SEARs and to address same. Also advised that RMS would formally respond to the Department's request for comments once the DA was lodged.	Addressed in EIS Chapter 9
Murrumbidgee Irrigation	Phone call from Tattersall Lander	December, 2016	Advised that MI would formally respond to any relevant Departmental request for comments once the DA was lodged.	Not Applicable
Riverina Local Land Services	Phone call from Tattersall Lander	December, 2016	Advised that Riverina Local Land Services would formally respond to any relevant Departmental request for comments once the DA was lodged.	Not Applicable

Consultation Body	Method of Consultation & By Whom	When Consulted	Consultation Comments	EIS Response
Origin Energy	Phone call from Tattersall Lander	December, 2016	Advised that Origin Energy would formally respond to any relevant Departmental request for comments once the DA was lodged.	Not Applicable
	Agency Meeting and Site Visit Organised by Tattersall Lander	18 January, 2017	A copy of the powerpoint presentation to the agency meeting is appended to the EIS as a component of Appendix A. A summary of the questions asked by the agencies and answers provided as well as other poignant notes are also contained at Appendix A.	Details provided in EIS Appendix A
	Phone calls from Tattersall Lander	Ongoing	Power Line Design Pty Ltd has been engaged by the client to undertake the necessary negotiations and design tasks for the electrical supply needs of the development.	Not Applicable
Essential Energy	Phone call from Tattersall Lander	December, 2016	Advised that Essential Energy would formally respond to any relevant Departmental request for comments once the DA was lodged.	Not Applicable
SafeWork	Phone call from Tattersall Lander	December, 2016	Advised that SafeWork would formally respond to any relevant Departmental request for comments once the Application was lodged.	Not Applicable
Aboriginal Community	Letters, e-mails and personal discussions between Advitech Pty. Ltd. (subconsultant to Tattersall Lander) and seven (7) local Aboriginal groups	January to June, 2016	See details in EIS Chapter 11 and Appendix E.	Addressed in EIS Chapter 11 and Appendix E
Local Community	Community Meeting Goolgowi Ex-Serviceman's Memorial Club Organised by Tattersall Lander	18 January, 2017	A copy of the powerpoint presentation to the community meeting is appended to the EIS as a component of Appendix A. A summary of the questions asked by the community and answers provided as well as other poignant notes are also contained at Appendix A.	Details provided in EIS Appendix A