

SSD 16_7894: CHAU CHAK WING MUSEUM UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY, CAMPERDOWN CAMPUS

UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY RESPONSE TO SUBMISSIONS - SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

22 JANUARY 2018



SSD 16_7894: CHAU CHAK WING MUSEUM

JANUARY 2018



The University of Sydney has reviewed all submissions received as part of the SSD 16_7894 – Chau Chak Wing Museum, located on the eastern edge of the University's Camperdown campus and bounded by University Avenue to the east and south, University Place to the west, and Parramatta Road to the north.

The University of Sydney's Response to Submissions, Supplementary Information has been structured into the following categories in order to differentiate between sources of submissions, relevant disciplines, relevant issues, and changes to design.

- 1. Response to Office of the Government Architect (OGA)
- 2. Response to The Department of Planning & Environment

Page

- 3
- 7



Appendices	
Appendix A – Updated DA Drawings	
Appendix B – External Sample Board	
Appendix C – JPW Sketches	
Appendix D – SEPP 64 Assessment	
Appendix E – Proposed External Finishes	



1. UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY RESPONSE TO GOVERNMENT ARCHITECT'S OFFICE RECEIVED 17 JANUARY 2018

SSD 16_7894 – CHAU CHAK WING MUSEUM	
OGA KEY ISSUE	UNIVERSITY PROJECT RESPONSE
1. No changes have been made to the southern interface of the building, therefore it cannot be said that the applicant has considered or improved the public domain as recommended. It may be that small terraces, landscaping or outdoor 'rooms' that do not have physical connections into the building can be provided, which utilise the southern elevation as one 'wall' to those spaces and which would provide some interest to pedestrians walking from the gates to the University Lawn	 USyd: The University has considered the additional comments provided by the on 17 Jan 2018), and provides our response to the issues addressed below. JPW is also contacting the GA office to provide further clarity and answers to an The University disagrees with this position and draws the DPE and GA attent revision 2 (Appendix A). The Public Domain landscape area, juxtaposed to has been expanded to incorporate a variety of hard and soft landscape terra forms part of the proposal's expansion of the principal Museum entrance forece the north-west of the entrance forecourt, provision of informal outdoor educations secondary pedestrian connection running alongside University Avenue towar west. See the public domain comparisons on page 4 showing the change elements all contribute to the variety, visual interest and activation of the southern elevation. DPE is reminded that no physical penetrations are intended through the south climate control requirements of the Museum displays within the building (including as security reasons in protecting valuable artefacts contained within the building however offer the passer-by a glimpse of the Museum collections within, and preserve the University's Grounds Conservation Management Plan) lining the University Avertee University and the Heritage Council to preserve the lawn curtilage and view

the GA office (received by the University v. Furthermore, the University's architect any questions in this matter.

ention to the revised plan JPW-DA-L0500 to the southern Museum building façade, rraces at various levels. This expansion recourt, provision of a gathering space to ation areas, and provision of the physical vards the University Place lawns further nges since the last submission. These re public domain fronting the Museum's

uthern Museum façade due to the careful uding artefact vulnerability to light) as well ling. Carefully positioned slot windows do provide a degree of activation.

and landscaping is confined in width due ance heritage trees (as identified by the Avenue approach, and the desire of both ew axis up to the Quadrangle Building.



SSD 16_7894 – CHAU CHAK WING MUSEUM UNIVERSITY PROJECT RESPONSE OGA KEY ISSUE 8 288 ELIX OR 33 34 35 25 JPW DA- L-0500 Rev 1 CONCRETE PAVERS, COLOUR TO 30 MATCH WALLS 29 man 34 32 31 35 JPW DA- L-0500 Rev 2

SSD 16_7894: CHAU CHAK WING MUSEUM





SSD 16_7894 – CHAU CHAK WING MUSEUM

	OGA KEY ISSUE	UNIVERSITY PROJECT RESPONSE
2.	The summary states that an additional 'gathering space' has been provided to the northwest, however, developed drawings to demonstrate this have not been provided.	USyd: The University highlights the revised Ground Plan L-0500 version 2 wh Submissions document. The Plan (refer to page 4) highlights the new 'Gat domain outside the building and the comparison with the original SSD Plan Re area. This space is designed and located specifically adjoining the intersect entrance in consideration of the University's <i>Wingara Mura-Bunga Barrabug</i> ceremonial activities and informal gatherings. The new gathering space is app
3.	The intent to provide open public space associated with the entry to the west and the northern façade and landscape is supported.	USyd: Noted
4.	The justification that the multipurpose space cannot have any doors towards this gathering space seems limiting, as the café at the lower level does this, and therefore issues of climate control are suitably dealt with in that case.	USyd: We understand the GA's views on this. However the Multi-Purpose R the external campus domain due to specific climate control containment aga artefacts through security. The University also specifically intends this Ro emanating from Parramatta Road. By contrast, the Café has no such climate and therefore can benefit from a secured external and accessible Terrace are Access from the Museum to the Café is provided via an air lock, again to ensu the building. See drawing below showing the required airlock to the exhibitio climatic conditions. A similar airlock arrangement to the Multi- Purpose Roo Room will contain and display Museum artefacts.

which was submitted with our Response to athering Space' at the northwest campus Revision 1 illustrates the extent of the new action of the University lawn and Museum ugu Strategy and will provide an area for pproximately 100m2.

Room is not designed to be opened up to gainst light, air, humidity and protection of Room to be buffered from external noise te and environmental control requirements rea from within the Museum development. sure protection of Museum artefacts within tion space from the café to maintain strict bom is not feasible as the Multi- Purpose





SSD 16_7894 – CHAU CHAK WING MUSEUM

	OGA KEY ISSUE	University Project Response
Į	 Similarly no changes or development has been proposed in response to the GA's recommendation that the façade materials be further considered. 	USyd: The University has provided DPE with a physical sample board of all r our original Response to Submissions document, the building materials and c compliment the setting and establish relationships between neighbouring building
		The proposed Envisia low-carbon 'white' concrete of the upper level is actual which responds appropriately to the position of the upper storey within the tree. The concrete is non-reflective, which will help the upper level remain recessive the upper level surfaces to be a suitable background for the projection of artwork
		The neutral light grey colour also references the lightness of the Fisher Library these buildings as a gateway to University Place. We attach at Appendi materiality for ease of reference.
		Please refer to the sample board submitted to DPE. The photo is at Appendix

Il materials and colours. As described in I colours have been carefully selected to dings.

ually light grey. This is a neutral colour, tree canopies that surround the building. sive within the landscape, whilst allowing ork or displays in the future.

ry to the south, reinforcing the legibility of **ndix E** a marked-up rendering showing

ix B for reference.



UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY RESPONSE TO DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & ENVIRONMENT RECEIVED 12 JANUARY 2018

SS	D 16_7894 – CHAU CHAK WING MUSEUM
DPE ISSUE	University of Sydney Project Response
 Landscape Plans It is noted that the submitted landscape plan references 'New trees such as Waterhousia Floribunda' however there is no provision of Cupanipopsis anacadioides or Tristaniopsis Laurina on the site as indicated in the plant schedule. Neither the submitted landscape plan or associated schedule clearly identifies the number and species of trees to be planted on site. It is unclear how many trees are proposed to be planted as replacements for the eighteen to be removed however, from the information provided it appears to be approximately four. Please consider additional replacement planting to address the loss of vegetation from the site. 	Item a: Please refer to the updated plant schedule and landscape drawings sh per the current design at Appendix A. From ongoing design development, the replaced with the <i>Cupanipopsis anacadioides</i> . Item b: Please find the updated plant schedule and landscape drawings showin the current design at Appendix A . The number of trees and shrubs is now inclu- Item c: Please refer to the plant numbers at on drawing JPW L-501 Rev 2 sh main trees that are being replaced are 4, there is an ample amount of new pla to the development of which the plant species selection have been deliberat areas such as this area. Large scale tree planting has not been considered de shade that the existing heritage listed trees provide.
Loading Dock Information Within the Response to Submissions (RtS) document, point 1 of the project response located on page no.9 references amended plans following further development of the loading dock design. It is noted that Appendix A included revised photomontages, and a conceptual site plan and section, however revised architectural plans have not been received. Please provide the revised architectural plans as referenced in the RtS document and please clearly identify the changes.	 Please refer to the revised plans at A showing the updated DA Drawings. The only changes that have been made to the loading dock design is soft lands Domain drawing JPW-DA-L-0500 Rev 2 (Appendix A) which now shows addition dock to help soften the entry. Essentially there has been no change to the entry to the loading dock. Following with the Heritage Council NSW in December 2017 (who concluded by endorsing including the loading dock design solution) and going through various options as submission, the University concluded to keep the loading dock in its current por alternatives. The revised and upgraded photomontages were presented to the to demonstrate and justify that the loading dock does not look as out-of-place as
Signage The submitted documentation does not include consideration of the proposed signage against the provisions of SEPP No 64 – Advertising and Signage. Please provide an assessment of the proposed signage against the relevant sections, including Schedule 1.	Please refer to Appendix D for the letter from MG Planning showing their asses No 64 – Advertising and Signage. Please also note that the proposed building identification signage qualifies as Exprovisions of Clause 38 and Schedule 1 of the <i>SEPP (Educational Establishmen</i> planning instrument. Notwithstanding, SEPP 64 is addressed as requested by I
Bicycle Parking Please confirm how many bicycle spaces are to be provided on site.	There will be the following bicycle parking spaces available: - 8 public spaces (4 hoops) in entry courtyard

SE
showing the correct plant schedule as the <i>Waterhousia Floribunda</i> has been
wing the correct plant schedule as per cluded in the updated plant schedule
showing all plant numbers. While the planting and vegetation being provided rately chosen to grow well in shaded due to the constraints of site and the
dscaping. Please refer to the Public litional planters around the loading
ring our most recent and final meeting sing our Museum design and proposal s as outlined in the original RtS position after reviewing all the he HCNSW December 2017 meeting as they originally thought.
sessment and compliance with SEPP
Exempt Development under the nents & Child Care Facilities) 2017 y DPE.



SSD 16_7894 – CHAU CHAK WING MUSEUM

DPE ISSUE	UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY PROJECT RESPONSE
	 - 4 staff spaces (2 hoops) in the loading dock Please refer to Appendix C for the JPW plans detailing the positions of the spaces.
Pedestrian Access Please clarify if pedestrian access will be available into the museum from the eastern side of the site via the lower level courtyard, or if this area is to be secured.	For security and visitor management reasons, all public access will be through the lower level courtyard is secured and accessible from within the museum. Al Museum itself. Refer to Appendix C JPW Sketches showing access points.

E

gh a single point of access (entry foyer) . All access to the Café is via the