

## Appendix D – Community Consultation Table

### SSD-78287462 – 79-81 Queens Road and 2-8 Spencer Street, Five Dock

Category	Feedback	Stakeholder/s	Location in EIS	Technical Study
Traffic and Parking	<p>The community raised various concerns regarding traffic and parking. A summary of these concerns is provided below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Queens Road is not wide enough for the expected traffic generation;</li> <li>Expected traffic generation will cause greater impacts during school terms;</li> <li>Traffic and parking in the area is already poor without the projected increase the development will bring.</li> </ul> <p>Transport for NSW also provided some feedback as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A Green Travel Plan should be prepared and provided as a separate document.</li> </ul>	<p>Community</p> <p>Transport for NSW</p>	<p><b>Section 5.11</b></p> <p><b>Section 8.8</b></p>	<p><b>Appendix V</b></p>
Building Height	<p>The community raised concerns over the building height being too tall, recommending it to be significantly reduced.</p>	<p>Community</p>	<p><b>Section 5.8</b></p> <p><b>Section 8.2.1</b></p>	<p><b>Appendix E</b></p> <p><b>Appendix K</b></p> <p><b>Appendix L</b></p>
Construction Impacts	<p>The community raised concerns over potential disruptions arising during the construction period and how these will be properly managed.</p> <p>Similarly Transport for NSW, was concerned about pedestrian permeability, recommending a Construction Pedestrian Traffic Management Plan to detail necessary access arrangements and traffic control.</p> <p>Sydney Water raised concerns over unsustainable water usage during construction and recommended recycled water be used on site and at respective construction depot facilities.</p>	<p>Community</p> <p>Sydney Water</p> <p>Transport for NSW</p>	<p><b>Section 5.14</b></p> <p><b>Section 8.8.2</b></p> <p><b>Section 8.9.1</b></p> <p><b>Section 8.14.1</b></p>	<p><b>Appendix V</b></p> <p><b>Appendix W</b></p> <p><b>Appendix JJ</b></p>

Category	Feedback	Stakeholder/s	Location in EIS	Technical Study
Neighbourhood Character	The community recommended that the design must retain the neighbourhood character of the local area. This included the retention of Akasha Brewing Company at 10-12 Spencer Street, a much loved local business.	Community	<b>Section 8.4</b>	<b>Appendix L</b>
Flood Risk	The Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water brought forth that no existing flood study was done to the east of the site, recommending a more comprehensive flood impact and risk assessment of the area be carried out.	Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water	<b>Section 8.16</b>	<b>Appendix KK</b>
Water-related Infrastructure requirements	Sydney Water recommended that specific water-related infrastructure requirements be integrated into the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs). This is to ensure that satisfactory arrangements for drinking water, wastewater and recycled water services have been made.	Sydney Water	<b>Section 5.5</b> <b>Section 5.12</b> <b>Section 8.10</b> <b>Section 8.20</b>	<b>Appendix X</b> <b>Appendix Y</b> <b>Appendix PP</b>
Aboriginal Cultural Heritage	Heritage NSW wanted assurance that adequate evidence and continuous consultation with Aboriginal parties would occur. Additionally, they wanted the identification of appropriate mitigation measures if the removal of Aboriginal Cultural Heritage could not be avoided.	Heritage NSW	<b>Section 8.17.1</b>	<b>Appendix LL</b> <b>Appendix MM</b>
Housing Supply and Affordability	The community was very supportive of the supply of well-connected housing that included the affordable housing GFA. The community identified the Five Dock area needing significant affordable housing supply, especially for essential workers.  However, some concerns mentioned that the affordable housing should not be limited to essential workers only.	Community	<b>Section 4.1</b> <b>Section 9.0</b>	<b>Appendix H</b>
Public Transport	The proposal's proximity to public transport such as local bus routes, and future connection to the Burwood North metro, was something that the community found very favourable.	Community	<b>Section 3.0</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Ground-level Retail	The community found the inclusion of ground-floor retail very beneficial. They supported the activation of the ground floor and its contribution of amenities and to the vibrancy of Five Dock.  The delivery of a retail precinct will ensure future residents can access convenient amenities without increasing pressure on surrounding neighbourhoods.	Community	<b>Section 5.7.1</b> <b>Section 9.0</b>	<b>Appendix L</b>

Category	Feedback	Stakeholder/s	Location in EIS	Technical Study
Design Quality	<p>The community provided various supportive comments on the design of the development, and its overall look and feel. The community mentioned liking the renewal and redevelopment of outdated areas into more modernised precincts.</p> <p>The Design Integrity Panel was satisfied that the project improved the design qualities exhibited in the competition-winning submission and retains its potential to achieve design excellence.</p>	<p>Community</p> <p>Design Integrity Panel</p>	<p><b>Section 2.3</b></p> <p><b>Section 5.2</b></p> <p><b>Section 8.1</b></p>	<p><b>Appendix K</b></p> <p><b>Appendix L</b></p> <p><b>Appendix N</b></p> <p><b>Appendix O</b></p> <p><b>Appendix P</b></p> <p><b>Appendix Q</b></p> <p><b>Appendix R</b></p>
Infrastructure and Utilities Requirement	<p>Ausgrid was satisfied that the proposed development would fulfill necessary infrastructure and utilities requirements.</p>	<p>Ausgrid</p>	<p><b>Section 8.20</b></p>	<p><b>Appendix PP</b></p>