

## Sally Munk

---

**From:** Lum Stephanie <Lums@botanybay.nsw.gov.au>  
**Sent:** Friday, 24 June 2016 2:08 PM  
**To:** Sally Munk  
**Cc:** McMahan, Cathy  
**Subject:** Botany Bay Council Comments - Proposed Detector Dog Facility, Banksmeadow - Request for Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SSD 7692)

Dear Sally,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment and nominate any issues to be addressed in the EIS for the proposed detector dog facility for the Australian Border Force, Australian Federal Police (AFP) and Department of Agriculture and Water Resources at No. 28A McPherson Street, Banksmeadow.

I apologise for the delayed response.

It is understood that the development comprises:

- 1,200sqm office;
- 49 day runs;
- 7 kennel blocks (each containing 12 kennels);
- Construction of a crossover to McPherson Street;
- Construction of 54 car spaces; and
- Construction of internal accessways and landscaping.

Council requests that the EIS address the following (at a minimum):

- Site contamination;
- Noise impacts, particularly from dogs barking;
- Management of waste, particularly from dogs and the impacts on water quality from potentially contaminated runoff;
- Impacts on flood behaviour during construction and operation (Council has information either through a flood study or through anecdotal evidence that flooding has occurred or is liable to occur on this land);
- Impacts on acid sulfate soils, including impacts of acidic runoff offsite (the site is identified as containing Class 2 Acid Sulphate Soils);
- Impacts on landscaping and vegetation, including native vegetation (in particular Swamp Sclerophyll Forest on Coastal Floodplains);
- Public safety and security to ensure the secure enclosure of dogs and prevent unauthorised access;
- Traffic impacts, including with the construction of a crossover to McPherson Street; and
- Visual impact on the built and natural environment.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

If you require further information or clarification please contact me on 9366 3564.

Regards,

**Stephanie Lum**

Senior Strategic Planner

City of Botany Bay

[p] 02 9366 3564

[e] [lums@botanybay.nsw.gov.au](mailto:lums@botanybay.nsw.gov.au)

**From:** [Sally.Munk@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:Sally.Munk@planning.nsw.gov.au) [<mailto:Sally.Munk@planning.nsw.gov.au>]

**Sent:** Thursday, 23 June 2016 9:36 AM

**To:** Incoming Internet Email; [council@randwick.nsw.gov.au](mailto:council@randwick.nsw.gov.au); [development.sydney@rms.nsw.gov.au](mailto:development.sydney@rms.nsw.gov.au); Daniela Vujic

**Subject:** RE: Proposed Detector Dog Facility, Banksmeadow - Request for Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SSD 7692)

Dear All

Please refer to my email below. Your responses to the request for SEARS for the Detector Dog Facility would be greatly appreciated as soon as possible.

Thanks

Sally

**From:** Sally Munk

**Sent:** Wednesday, 8 June 2016 11:42 AM

**To:** 'landuse.enquiries@dpi.nsw.gov.au' <[landuse.enquiries@dpi.nsw.gov.au](mailto:landuse.enquiries@dpi.nsw.gov.au)>;

'landuse.enquiries@industry.nsw.gov.au' <[landuse.enquiries@industry.nsw.gov.au](mailto:landuse.enquiries@industry.nsw.gov.au)>;

'enquiries@portauthoritynsw.com.au' <[enquiries@portauthoritynsw.com.au](mailto:enquiries@portauthoritynsw.com.au)>; Planning Matters Mailbox

<[planning.matters@environment.nsw.gov.au](mailto:planning.matters@environment.nsw.gov.au)>; 'council@botanybay.nsw.gov.au' <[council@botanybay.nsw.gov.au](mailto:council@botanybay.nsw.gov.au)>;

'council@randwick.nsw.gov.au' <[council@randwick.nsw.gov.au](mailto:council@randwick.nsw.gov.au)>; 'development.sydney@rms.nsw.gov.au'

<[development.sydney@rms.nsw.gov.au](mailto:development.sydney@rms.nsw.gov.au)>

**Subject:** Proposed Detector Dog Facility, Banksmeadow - Request for Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SSD 7692)

Dear All

The Department has received a request for Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) from Qanstract (Australia) Pty Ltd for a proposed Multi-Agency Detector Dog Facility in Banksmeadow in the City of Botany Bay local government area (SSD 7692).

The proposal is State Significant Development as it is development for the purpose of port facilities or wharf or boating facilities (not including marinas) that has a capital investment value of more than \$30 million. The Minister for Planning is the consent authority.

The Applicant's Preliminary Environmental Assessment and other supporting documentation can be viewed on the project page on the Department's website.

It would be greatly appreciated if you can provide comments and nominate any issues to be addressed in the EIS for the proposal by **COB Tuesday 21 June 2016**

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards

Sally

**Sally Munk**

Senior Environmental Planner (Part-time: Wed, Thurs & Friday)

Industry Assessments

Department of Planning & Environment

Level 3, Room 311 | 23-33 Bridge Street | GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001

T 02 9228 6431 E [sally.munk@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:sally.munk@planning.nsw.gov.au)

Subscribe to our e-news at [www.planning.nsw.gov.au/enews](http://www.planning.nsw.gov.au/enews)

You'll also find us on [Facebook](#), [Twitter](#) and [Linked In](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this email.



.....  
The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from any computer.  
.....

Please consider the environment before printing this email.





29 June 2016

Sally Munk  
Senior Environmental Planner  
Industry Assessments  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001  
[sally.munk@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:sally.munk@planning.nsw.gov.au)

Dear Ms Munk, *Sally*

**RE: SECRETARY'S ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR A  
PROPOSED MULTI-AGENCY DETECTOR DOG FACILITY IN BANKSMEADOW  
(SSD 7692).**

Thank you for your email received on 8 June 2016 regarding Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) from Qanstruct (Australia) Pty Ltd for a proposed Multi-Agency Detector Dog Facility in Banksmeadow in the City of Botany Bay local government area (SSD 7692).

Council appreciates the opportunity to provide comment and nominate issues to be addressed in the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIS) for this proposed development.

In providing the following comments Council has reviewed the Preliminary Environmental Assessment (PEA) and supporting documentation.

**Operation Waste Management**

In Section 6.14 of the PEA on operation waste, Council notes that no reference is made to the management and odour control of dog faeces. Council anticipates that with up to 50 dogs being kept on-site at any one time, this could generate a significant waste stream for the facility. Details of storage and disposal during operation to ensure this does not become an odour or health issue should be addressed in the EIS.

**Use of Detention Basin for Stormwater Discharge.**

Council notes that the PEA states no on-site stormwater detention is required for this development and that the adjacent flood detention basin will be used for the discharges of stormwater from the site. Council request consideration that this proposed use of the detention basin for stormwater management will not compromise capacity for flood control in the region as Council understands that the flood detention basin was designed only for compensatory flood storage area for the approved Stage 1 Southlands development.

Council notes that Condition No. 13 of the approved Modification Proposal for the Stage 1 Southland Development (Ref: Mod 1 06\_0191) requires that the Proponent provide flood storage in accordance with ORICA Southlands Remediation and Development Project Hydraulic Modelling Report and Response to Exhibition Submissions/Comments Report Ref: 204617, 29th November 2010, Revision 3 by Aurecon.

This report makes reference to the future Stage 3 of the Southland Development and provides the following comments in section 5.5 of the report:

*Both Stage 2 and Stage 3 plans include areas for detention basins to manage site stormwater runoff. WSUD measures will seek to further minimise these post-development flows. While the Stage 3 area is undeveloped, storage will still occur on this site. Some portion of this storage may be able to be maintained in detention basins in the Stage 3 development, the details of which are not the subject of this Application.*

Council requests confirmation that Condition No. 13 has been complied with, and if so, whether on-site detention requirements in accordance with Aurecon plan are still required for the subject site. In this regard, consideration should be given to the proposed 3m wide drainage easement, marked as area 'K' on the finalised subdivision plan for DP1205673, be utilised for stormwater drainage from this development.

Please note that flooding in the local area was a major concern for the local community during the Southlands Development consultation and exhibition process, and hence adequate consideration of flooding impacts should be included in the EIS for this proposal especially as the subject site is one of the last areas to be developed in the catchment.

#### **Lot 11 restrictions from the proposed development**

Council requests consideration be given to Lot 11, which contains residue waste from a previous soil stockpile of Hexachlorobenzene (HCB) and Hexachlorobutadiene (HCBd) impacted soils.

The EIS should investigate whether this development and lot configuration impacts on potential future remediation of this site would be advisable given the restricted access from McPherson Street this proposal would create. Details on finalised easements and covenants for the final subdivision plan for DP 1205673 may assist with this.

#### **Complaint Management**

Given the type of development and potential for impacts on surrounding land uses a proposed complaint management procedure for handling odour/noise complaint from surrounding affected land users should be included in the EIS.

#### **Existing Australian Border Force facility, Hillsdale**

Clarification is sought as to whether this facility would be run in addition to the existing Australian Border Force facility at 96A Denison Street Hillsdale or a replacement.

Should you have any further questions or wish to discuss any of the comments, please contact David Ongkili Co-ordinator Strategic Planning on 9399 0793.

Yours faithfully,



Alan Bright  
**Manager – Strategic Planning**



## Department of Primary Industries

OUT16/23777

Ms Sally Munk  
Industry Assessments  
NSW Department of Planning and Environment  
GPO Box 39  
SYDNEY NSW 2001

Sally.munk@planning.nsw.gov.au

Dear Ms Munk

### **Detector Dog Facility, Banksmeadow (SSD 7692) Request for Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements**

I refer to your email dated 8 June 2016 to the Department of Primary Industries in respect to the above matter. Comment has been sought from relevant divisions of DPI. Any further referrals to DPI can be sent by email to [landuse.enquiries@dpi.nsw.gov.au](mailto:landuse.enquiries@dpi.nsw.gov.au).

The Department has reviewed the supporting documentation accompanying the request for Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) and provides the following comments below, and further detail in **Attachment A**.

It is recommended that the EIS be required to include:

- Annual volumes of surface water and groundwater proposed to be taken by the activity (including through inflow and seepage) from each surface and groundwater source as defined by the relevant water sharing plan.
- Assessment of any volumetric water licensing requirements (including those for ongoing water take following completion of the project).
- The identification of an adequate and secure water supply for the life of the project. Confirmation that water can be sourced from an appropriately authorised and reliable supply. This is to include an assessment of the current market depth where water entitlement is required to be purchased.
- A detailed and consolidated site water balance.
- Assessment of impacts on surface and ground water sources (both quality and quantity), related infrastructure, adjacent licensed water users, basic landholder rights, watercourses, riparian land, and groundwater dependent ecosystems, and measures proposed to reduce and mitigate these impacts.
- Full technical details and data of all surface and groundwater modelling.
- Proposed surface and groundwater monitoring activities and methodologies.
- Assessment of any potential cumulative impacts on water resources, and any proposed options to manage the cumulative impacts.
- Consideration of relevant policies and guidelines.

- A statement of where each element of the SEARs is addressed in the EIS (i.e. in the form of a table).

Yours sincerely



**Mitchell Isaacs**  
**Director, Planning Policy & Assessment Advice**  
20/6/2016

## Attachment A

### Detector Dog Facility, Banksmeadow (SSD 7692) Request for Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements Detailed comments – DPI Water

---

#### DPI Water General Assessment Requirements for general projects

The following detailed assessment requirements are provided to assist in adequately addressing the assessment requirements for this proposal.

For further information visit the DPI Water website, [www.water.nsw.gov.au](http://www.water.nsw.gov.au)

#### Key Relevant Legislative Instruments

This section provides a basic summary to aid proponents in the development of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and should not be considered a complete list or comprehensive summary of relevant legislative instruments that may apply to the regulation of water resources for a project.

The EIS should take into account the objects and regulatory requirements of the *Water Act 1912* (WA 1912) and *Water Management Act 2000* (WMA 2000), and associated regulations and instruments, as applicable.

#### *Water Management Act 2000 (WMA 2000)*

Key points:

- Volumetric licensing in areas covered by water sharing plans
- Works within 40m of waterfront land
- SSD & SSI projects are exempt from requiring water supply work approvals and controlled activity approvals as a result of the *Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act)*.
- No exemptions for volumetric licensing apply as a result of the *EP&A Act*.
- Basic landholder rights, including harvestable rights dams
- Aquifer interference activity approval and flood management work approval provisions have not yet commenced and are regulated by the *Water Act 1912*
- Maximum penalties of \$2.2 million plus \$264,000 for each day an offence continues apply under the *WMA 2000*

#### *Water Act 1912 (WA 1912)*

Key points:

- Volumetric licensing in areas where no water sharing plan applies
- Monitoring bores
- Aquifer interference activities that are not regulated as a water supply work under the *WMA 2000*.
- Flood management works
- No exemptions apply to licences or permits under the *WA 1912* as a result of the *EP&A Act*.
- Regulation of water bore driller licensing.

#### *Water Management (General) Regulation 2011*

Key points:

- Provides various exemptions for volumetric licensing and activity approvals
- Provides further detail on requirements for dealings and applications.

*Water Sharing Plans* – these are considered regulations under the *WMA 2000*

---

### **Water Sharing Plans**

It is important that the proponent understands and describes the ground and surface water sharing plans, water sources, and management zones that apply to the project. The relevant water sharing plans can be determined spatially at [www.ourwater.nsw.gov.au](http://www.ourwater.nsw.gov.au). Multiple water sharing plans may apply and these must all be described.

The *Water Act 1912* applies to all water sources not yet covered by a commenced water sharing plan.

The EIS is required to:

- Demonstrate how the proposal is consistent with the relevant rules of the Water Sharing Plan including rules for access licences, distance restrictions for water supply works and rules for the management of local impacts in respect of surface water and groundwater sources, ecosystem protection (including groundwater dependent ecosystems), water quality and surface-groundwater connectivity.
- Provide a description of any site water use (amount of water to be taken from each water source) and management including all sediment dams, clear water diversion structures with detail on the location, design specifications and storage capacities for all the existing and proposed water management structures.
- Provide an analysis of the proposed water supply arrangements against the rules for access licences and other applicable requirements of any relevant WSP, including:
  - Sufficient market depth to acquire the necessary entitlements for each water source.
  - Ability to carry out a “dealing” to transfer the water to relevant location under the rules of the WSP.
  - Daily and long-term access rules.
  - Account management and carryover provisions.
- Provide a detailed and consolidated site water balance.
- Further detail on licensing requirements is provided below.

### **Relevant Policies and Guidelines**

The EIS should take into account the following policies (as applicable):

- NSW Guidelines for Controlled Activities on Waterfront Land (NOW, 2012)
- NSW Aquifer Interference Policy (NOW, 2012)
- Risk Assessment Guidelines for Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems (NOW, 2012)
- Australian Groundwater Modelling Guidelines (NWC, 2012)
- NSW State Rivers and Estuary Policy (1993)
- NSW Wetlands Policy (2010)
- NSW State Groundwater Policy Framework Document (1997)
- NSW State Groundwater Quality Protection Policy (1998)
- NSW State Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems Policy (2002)
- NSW Water Extraction Monitoring Policy (2007)

DPI Water policies can be accessed at the following links:

<http://www.water.nsw.gov.au/Water-management/Law-and-policy/Key-policies/default.aspx>

<http://www.water.nsw.gov.au/Water-licensing/Approvals/Controlled-activities/default.aspx>

An assessment framework for the NSW Aquifer Interference Policy can be found online at: <http://www.water.nsw.gov.au/Water-management/Law-and-policy/Key-policies/Aquifer-interference>.

### **Licensing Considerations**

The EIS is required to provide:

- Identification of water requirements for the life of the project in terms of both volume and timing (including predictions of potential ongoing groundwater take following the cessation of operations at the site – such as evaporative loss from open voids or inflows).
- Details of the water supply source(s) for the proposal including any proposed surface water and groundwater extraction from each water source as defined in the relevant Water Sharing Plan/s and all water supply works to take water.
- Explanation of how the required water entitlements will be obtained (i.e. through a new or existing licence/s, trading on the water market, controlled allocations etc.).
- Information on the purpose, location, construction and expected annual extraction volumes including details on all existing and proposed water supply works which take surface water, (pumps, dams, diversions, etc).
- Details on all bores and excavations for the purpose of investigation, extraction, dewatering, testing and monitoring. All predicted groundwater take must be accounted for through adequate licensing.
- Details on existing dams/storages (including the date of construction, location, purpose, size and capacity) and any proposal to change the purpose of existing dams/storages
- Details on the location, purpose, size and capacity of any new proposed dams/storages.
- Applicability of any exemptions under the *Water Management (General) Regulation 2011* to the project.

Water allocation account management rules, total daily extraction limits and rules governing environmental protection and access licence dealings also need to be considered.

The Harvestable Right gives landholders the right to capture and use for any purpose 10% of the average annual runoff from their property. The Harvestable Right has been defined in terms of an equivalent dam capacity called the Maximum Harvestable Right Dam Capacity (MHRDC). The MHRDC is determined by the area of the property (in hectares) and a site-specific run-off factor. The MHRDC includes the capacity of all existing dams on the property that do not have a current water licence. Storages capturing up to the harvestable right capacity are not required to be licensed but any capacity of the total of all storages/dams on the property greater than the MHRDC may require a licence.

For more information on Harvestable Right dams, including a calculator, visit:

<http://www.water.nsw.gov.au/Water-licensing/Basic-water-rights/Harvesting-runoff/Harvesting-runoff>

### **Dam Safety**

Where new or modified dams are proposed, or where new development will occur below an existing dam, the NSW Dams Safety Committee should be consulted in relation to any safety issues that may arise. Conditions of approval may be recommended to ensure safety in relation to any new or existing dams.

See [www.damsafety.nsw.gov.au](http://www.damsafety.nsw.gov.au) for further information.

### **Surface Water Assessment**

The predictive assessment of the impact of the proposed project on surface water sources should include the following:

- Identification of all surface water features including watercourses, wetlands and floodplains transected by or adjacent to the proposed project.
- Identification of all surface water sources as described by the relevant water sharing plan.
- Detailed description of dependent ecosystems and existing surface water users within the area, including basic landholder rights to water and adjacent/downstream licensed water users.
- Description of all works and surface infrastructure that will intercept, store, convey, or otherwise interact with surface water resources.
- Assessment of predicted impacts on the following:
  - flow of surface water, sediment movement, channel stability, and hydraulic regime,
  - water quality,
  - flood regime,
  - dependent ecosystems,
  - existing surface water users, and
  - planned environmental water and water sharing arrangements prescribed in the relevant water sharing plans.

### **Groundwater Assessment**

To ensure the sustainable and integrated management of groundwater sources, the EIS needs to include adequate details to assess the impact of the project on all groundwater sources.

Where it is considered unlikely that groundwater will be intercepted or impacted (for example by infiltration), a brief site assessment and justification for the minimal impacts may be sufficient, accompanied by suitable contingency measures in place in the event that groundwater is intercepted, and appropriate measures to ensure that groundwater is not contaminated.

Where groundwater is expected to be intercepted or impacted, the following requirements should be used to assist the groundwater assessment for the proposal.

- The known or predicted highest groundwater table at the site.
- Works likely to intercept, connect with or infiltrate the groundwater sources.
- Any proposed groundwater extraction, including purpose, location and construction details of all proposed bores and expected annual extraction volumes.
- Bore construction information is to be supplied to DPI Water by submitting a "Form A" template. DPI Water will supply "GW" registration numbers (and licence/approval numbers if required) which must be used as consistent and unique bore identifiers for all future reporting.
- A description of the watertable and groundwater pressure configuration, flow directions and rates and physical and chemical characteristics of the groundwater source (including connectivity with other groundwater and surface water sources).
- Sufficient baseline monitoring for groundwater quantity and quality for all aquifers and GDEs to establish a baseline incorporating typical temporal and spatial variations.
- The predicted impacts of any final landform on the groundwater regime.
- The existing groundwater users within the area (including the environment), any potential impacts on these users and safeguard measures to mitigate impacts.

- An assessment of groundwater quality, its beneficial use classification and prediction of any impacts on groundwater quality.
- An assessment of the potential for groundwater contamination (considering both the impacts of the proposal on groundwater contamination and the impacts of contamination on the proposal).
- Measures proposed to protect groundwater quality, both in the short and long term.
- Measures for preventing groundwater pollution so that remediation is not required.
- Protective measures for any groundwater dependent ecosystems (GDEs).
- Proposed methods of the disposal of waste water and approval from the relevant authority.
- The results of any models or predictive tools used.

Where potential impact/s are identified the assessment will need to identify limits to the level of impact and contingency measures that would remediate, reduce or manage potential impacts to the existing groundwater resource and any dependent groundwater environment or water users, including information on:

- Any proposed monitoring programs, including water levels and quality data.
- Reporting procedures for any monitoring program including mechanism for transfer of information.
- An assessment of any groundwater source/aquifer that may be sterilised from future use as a water supply as a consequence of the proposal.
- Identification of any nominal thresholds as to the level of impact beyond which remedial measures or contingency plans would be initiated (this may entail water level triggers or a beneficial use category).
- Description of the remedial measures or contingency plans proposed.
- Any funding assurances covering the anticipated post development maintenance cost, for example on-going groundwater monitoring for the nominated period.

### **Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems**

The EIS must consider the potential impacts on any Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems (GDEs) at the site and in the vicinity of the site and:

- Identify any potential impacts on GDEs as a result of the proposal including:
  - the effect of the proposal on the recharge to groundwater systems;
  - the potential to adversely affect the water quality of the underlying groundwater system and adjoining groundwater systems in hydraulic connections; and
  - the effect on the function of GDEs (habitat, groundwater levels, connectivity).
- Provide safeguard measures for any GDEs.

### **Watercourses, Wetlands and Riparian Land**

The EIS should address the potential impacts of the project on all watercourses likely to be affected by the project, existing riparian vegetation and the rehabilitation of riparian land. It is recommended the EIS provides details on all watercourses potentially affected by the proposal, including:

- Scaled plans showing the location of:
  - wetlands/swamps, watercourses and top of bank;
  - riparian corridor widths to be established along the creeks;

- existing riparian vegetation surrounding the watercourses (identify any areas to be protected and any riparian vegetation proposed to be removed);
- the site boundary, the footprint of the proposal in relation to the watercourses and riparian areas; and
- proposed location of any asset protection zones.
- Photographs of the watercourses/wetlands and a map showing the point from which the photos were taken.
- A detailed description of all potential impacts on the watercourses/riparian land.
- A detailed description of all potential impacts on the wetlands, including potential impacts to the wetlands hydrologic regime; groundwater recharge; habitat and any species that depend on the wetlands.
- A description of the design features and measures to be incorporated to mitigate potential impacts.
- Geomorphic and hydrological assessment of water courses including details of stream order (Strahler System), river style and energy regimes both in channel and on adjacent floodplains.

### **Landform rehabilitation**

Where significant modification to landform is proposed, the EIS must include:

- Justification of the proposed final landform with regard to its impact on local and regional surface and groundwater systems;
- A detailed description of how the site would be progressively rehabilitated and integrated into the surrounding landscape;
- Outline of proposed construction and restoration of topography and surface drainage features if affected by the project; and
- An outline of the measures to be put in place to ensure that sufficient resources are available to implement the proposed rehabilitation.

### **Consultation and general enquiries**

General licensing enquiries can be made to Advisory Services: [water.enquiries@dpi.nsw.gov.au](mailto:water.enquiries@dpi.nsw.gov.au), 1800 353 104.

Assessment or state significant development enquiries, or requests for review or consultation should be directed to the Strategic Stakeholder Liaison Unit, [water.referrals@dpi.nsw.gov.au](mailto:water.referrals@dpi.nsw.gov.au).

A consultation guideline and further information is available online at: [www.water.nsw.gov.au/water-management/law-and-policy/planning-and-assessment](http://www.water.nsw.gov.au/water-management/law-and-policy/planning-and-assessment)

**End Attachment A**

## Sally Munk

---

**From:** Daniela Vujic <Daniela.Vujic@nswports.com.au>  
**Sent:** Thursday, 23 June 2016 11:27 AM  
**To:** Sally Munk  
**Subject:** RE: Proposed Detector Dog Facility, Banksmeadow - Request for Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SSD 7692)

Hi Sally,

We have no specific requirements for the SEARs. We assume the Department will include the standard assessment requirements regarding noise and traffic impacts.

Regards,  
Daniela

---

**Daniela Vujic**  
Planning Manager

### NSW Ports

Brotherson House, Level 2, Gate B103 Penrhyn Road | Port Botany NSW 2036

PO Box 297 Botany NSW 1455

**T:** 1300 922 524 | **D:** 02 9316 1131 | **M:** 0427 664 128

**E:** [Daniela.Vujic@nswports.com.au](mailto:Daniela.Vujic@nswports.com.au) | [www.nswports.com.au](http://www.nswports.com.au)

# NSW Ports



This message is intended for the addressee named and may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete it and notify the sender. Views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, and are not necessarily the views of NSW Ports.

**From:** Sally.Munk@planning.nsw.gov.au [mailto:Sally.Munk@planning.nsw.gov.au]  
**Sent:** Thursday, 23 June 2016 9:36 AM  
**To:** council@botanybay.nsw.gov.au; council@randwick.nsw.gov.au; development.sydney@rms.nsw.gov.au; Daniela Vujic <Daniela.Vujic@nswports.com.au>  
**Subject:** RE: Proposed Detector Dog Facility, Banksmeadow - Request for Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SSD 7692)

Dear All

Please refer to my email below. Your responses to the request for SEARS for the Detector Dog Facility would be greatly appreciated as soon as possible.

Thanks  
Sally

**From:** Sally Munk  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 8 June 2016 11:42 AM  
**To:** 'landuse.enquiries@dpi.nsw.gov.au' <[landuse.enquiries@dpi.nsw.gov.au](mailto:landuse.enquiries@dpi.nsw.gov.au)>;

'landuse.enquiries@industry.nsw.gov.au' <[landuse.enquiries@industry.nsw.gov.au](mailto:landuse.enquiries@industry.nsw.gov.au)>;  
'enquiries@portauthoritynsw.com.au' <[enquiries@portauthoritynsw.com.au](mailto:enquiries@portauthoritynsw.com.au)>; Planning Matters Mailbox  
<[planning.matters@environment.nsw.gov.au](mailto:planning.matters@environment.nsw.gov.au)>; 'council@botanybay.nsw.gov.au' <[council@botanybay.nsw.gov.au](mailto:council@botanybay.nsw.gov.au)>;  
'council@randwick.nsw.gov.au' <[council@randwick.nsw.gov.au](mailto:council@randwick.nsw.gov.au)>; 'development.sydney@rms.nsw.gov.au'  
<[development.sydney@rms.nsw.gov.au](mailto:development.sydney@rms.nsw.gov.au)>

**Subject:** Proposed Detector Dog Facility, Banksmeadow - Request for Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SSD 7692)

Dear All

The Department has received a request for Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) from Qanstrack (Australia) Pty Ltd for a proposed Multi-Agency Detector Dog Facility in Banksmeadow in the City of Botany Bay local government area (SSD 7692).

The proposal is State Significant Development as it is development for the purpose of port facilities or wharf or boating facilities (not including marinas) that has a capital investment value of more than \$30 million. The Minister for Planning is the consent authority.

The Applicant's Preliminary Environmental Assessment and other supporting documentation can be viewed on the project page on the Department's website.

It would be greatly appreciated if you can provide comments and nominate any issues to be addressed in the EIS for the proposal by **COB Tuesday 21 June 2016**

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards  
Sally

**Sally Munk**

Senior Environmental Planner (Part-time: Wed, Thurs & Friday)  
Industry Assessments  
Department of Planning & Environment  
Level 3, Room 311 | 23-33 Bridge Street | GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001  
T 02 9228 6431 E [sally.munk@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:sally.munk@planning.nsw.gov.au)

Subscribe to our e-news at [www.planning.nsw.gov.au/enews](http://www.planning.nsw.gov.au/enews)

You'll also find us on [Facebook](#), [Twitter](#) and [Linked In](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this email.



## Sally Munk

---

**From:** Marnie Stewart  
**Sent:** Monday, 20 June 2016 10:04 AM  
**To:** Sally Munk  
**Subject:** Proposed Detector Dog Facility, Banksmeadow - Request for Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SSD 7692)

Hi Sally

After reviewing the relevant documents, OEH's Greater Sydney Planning Team has concluded that the matter does not contain biodiversity, natural hazards or Aboriginal cultural heritage issues that require a formal OEH response. We have no further need to be involved in the assessment of this project.

Regards,

Marnie Stewart  
Senior Operations Officer, Greater Sydney Region  
Regional Operations  
Office of Environment and Heritage  
T: 02 9995 6868  
W: [www.environment.nsw.gov.au](http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au)  
Please note my work days are Tues- Fri

 Save paper, save the planet! think before you print.

**From:** Sally Munk  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 8 June 2016 11:42 AM  
**To:** 'landuse.enquiries@dpi.nsw.gov.au'; [landuse.enquiries@industry.nsw.gov.au](mailto:landuse.enquiries@industry.nsw.gov.au); [enquiries@portauthoritynsw.com.au](mailto:enquiries@portauthoritynsw.com.au); Planning Matters Mailbox; [council@botanybay.nsw.gov.au](mailto:council@botanybay.nsw.gov.au); [council@randwick.nsw.gov.au](mailto:council@randwick.nsw.gov.au); [development.sydney@rms.nsw.gov.au](mailto:development.sydney@rms.nsw.gov.au)  
**Subject:** Proposed Detector Dog Facility, Banksmeadow - Request for Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SSD 7692)

Dear All

The Department has received a request for Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) from Qanstract (Australia) Pty Ltd for a proposed Multi-Agency Detector Dog Facility in Banksmeadow in the City of Botany Bay local government area (SSD 7692).

The proposal is State Significant Development as it is development for the purpose of port facilities or wharf or boating facilities (not including marinas) that has a capital investment value of more than \$30 million. The Minister for Planning is the consent authority.

The Applicant's Preliminary Environmental Assessment and other supporting documentation can be viewed on the project page on the Department's website.

It would be greatly appreciated if you can provide comments and nominate any issues to be addressed in the EIS for the proposal by **COB Tuesday 21 June 2016**

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards  
Sally

## **Sally Munk**

**Senior Environmental Planner (Part-time: Wed, Thurs & Friday)**

**Industry Assessments**

**Department of Planning & Environment**

**Level 3, Room 311 | 23-33 Bridge Street | GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001**

**T 02 9228 6431 E [sally.munk@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:sally.munk@planning.nsw.gov.au)**

**Subscribe to our e-news at [www.planning.nsw.gov.au/enews](http://www.planning.nsw.gov.au/enews)**

**You'll also find us on [Facebook](#), [Twitter](#) and [Linked In](#)**

**Please consider the environment before printing this email.**



**Planning &  
Environment**



29 June 2016

RMS Reference: SYD16/00740(A13395280)  
DP&E Ref:

Director  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

Attention: Sally Munk

Dear Sir/Madam,

**PROPOSED DETECTOR DOG FACILITY, BANKSMEADOW – REQUEST FOR SECRETARY'S ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REQUIREMENTS SSD7692**

Reference is made to Department's letter dated 8 June 2016 requesting Roads and Maritime Services (Roads and Maritime) to provide details of key issues and assessment requirements regarding the abovementioned development for inclusion in the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs).

Roads and Maritime require the following issues to be included in the transport and traffic impact assessment of the proposed development:

1. Daily and peak traffic movements likely to be generated by the proposed development including the impact on nearby intersections and the need/associated funding for upgrading or road improvement works (if required). The key intersections to be examined / modelled include (but not limited to):
  - Botany Road/Hills Street
  - Botany Road/Exell Street
2. Details of the proposed accesses and the parking provisions associated with the proposed development including compliance with the requirements of the relevant Australian Standards (ie: turn paths, sight distance requirements, aisle widths, etc).
3. Proposed number of car parking spaces and compliance with the appropriate parking codes.

**Roads and Maritime Services**

4. Details of service vehicle movements (including vehicle type and likely arrival and departure times).
5. Roads and Maritime will require in due course the provision of a traffic management plan for all demolition/construction activities, detailing vehicle routes, number of trucks, hours of operation, access arrangements and traffic control measures.

Any inquiries in relation to this Application can be directed to Land Use Planner Xi Lin on 02 8849 2906 or [development.sydney@rms.nsw.gov.au](mailto:development.sydney@rms.nsw.gov.au).

Yours sincerely,



Angela Malloch  
**Senior Land Use Planner**  
**Network and Safety Section**