

## New Ultimo Pyrmont Public School - Consultation Summary

25<sup>th</sup> October 2017 – Revision E

Discipline / Initiated by	Stakeholder/ Authority	Attendees	Date	Type of Consultation	Discussion	Actions/Response
Who required or initiated the consultation? Which discipline and which person?  i.e. planner, PM, architect, traffic, Electrical, School Infrastructure NSW etc.	Who was consulted? If applicable, which department?  i.e. City of Sydney, DPE, RMS, Ausgrid, Jemena, neighbours, other School Infrastructure NSW departments such as early childcare?	Who was consulted or present in meetings. Were there any apologies noted?	Date of consultation including attempted consultation if necessary	How was contact made?  i.e. phone call, email, meeting, workshop	What was discussed?  What were the key topics, agenda items of concern (positive and negative)	What key actions / issues were noted for the designers or stakeholder?  Were there any high risk items?  Significant lead times? Interdependencies with other actions, approvals or disciplines?
Hydraulic – Alex Bartley	Sydney Water (Greg Houston Plumbing)	Sandy Ortega	27/04/2017	Email	Submission of Feasibility Application	Informed that the application could and would likely take up to 60 days
Hydraulic – Alex Bartley	Sydney Water (Greg Houston Plumbing)	Sandy Ortega	28/06/2017	Email	Receipt of Feasibility Study advice letter	No objections to our proposed locations was the advice from the letter
Hydraulic – Alex Bartley	Jemena		18/05/2017	Web lodgement	Submission of Gas Connection Application	
Hydraulic – Alex Bartley	Jemena		15/07/2017 (approximate)	Phone call	Stage of Gas Connection Application	Jemena informed us that they had up to 65 days to make the application
Hydraulic – Alex Bartley	Jemena		13/09/2017	Phone call	Stage of Gas Connection Application	They noted the application response was overdue and said that an offer would be coming within the next week
Electrical – Daniel Hellems	Ausgrid		01/03/2017	Email	Connection Application	Ausgrid has provided response (DIP) which indicates that a chamber substation is required (1900072060 - 20170309 - 800154495 - Ultimo Public School, Quarry St, Ultimo - assessment response)
TTW William Webb Civil Engineer	City of Sydney	Council Officer; Dee Mardon	07/08/2017	Phone call	Minimum freeboard requirements for services under Council's interim floodplain management policy.	Critical services may be required for emergency operations during a flood and as such are required to provide minimum 500mm freeboard above the 1% AEP flood level.
Architect – Belinda Dawes	City of Sydney	Council Officers; Morris Bellamy & Deidre Coffey	9/6/2017 28/6/2017 24/7/2017 25/7/2017	Phone call + Email	Pedestrian overbridge air rights	Design investigation and discussion with School Infrastructure NSW and Project Managers re opportunities and constraints regarding competition design of Hall cantilever over pedestrian bridge
Planner – Oliver Klein	City of Sydney	Michael Soo + property division + traffic	11/10/17	Meeting	Public Domain Works: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Trees - Removal &amp; Pruning</li><li>Pedestrian Bridge Maintenance clearances for bridge during and after construction + climbability</li><li>Driveway on Wattle Street – usage for garbage truck, delivery &amp; disabled parking access.</li><li>Street Parking - Reduced car parking on Wattle Street re safe egress from Loading Dock as suggested by RMS, parking loss will be a big issue on Wattle St.</li><li>Traffic Committee approval – required for street parking changes &amp; driveway relocation.</li></ul>	Actions for Design Team: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Pedestrian bridge – confirm ownership &amp; easements.</li><li>Driveway – City will consult with RMS on location. City asks designers to minimise driveway crossover &amp; ensure materiality communicates pedestrian priority.</li><li>Bike Parking – provide class 2 end of trip facility in carpark.</li><li>Traffic generally – review if there is a better way to manage traffic to reduce vehicles and encourage active &amp; public transport.</li><li>Street Parking – changes will require Traffic Committee approval.</li></ul>

## New Ultimo Pyrmont Public School - Consultation Summary

25<sup>th</sup> October 2017 – Revision E

Discipline / Initiated by	Stakeholder/ Authority	Attendees	Date	Type of Consultation	Discussion	Actions/Response
Who required or initiated the consultation? Which discipline and which person?  i.e. planner, PM, architect, traffic, Electrical, School Infrastructure NSW etc.	Who was consulted? If applicable, which department?  i.e. City of Sydney, DPE, RMS, Ausgrid, Jemena, neighbours, other School Infrastructure NSW departments such as early childcare?	Who was consulted or present in meetings. Were there any apologies noted?	Date of consultation including attempted consultation if necessary	How was contact made?  i.e. phone call, email, meeting, workshop	What was discussed?  What were the key topics, agenda items of concern (positive and negative)	What key actions / issues were noted for the designers or stakeholder?  Were there any high risk items?  Significant lead times? Interdependencies with other actions, approvals or disciplines?
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bike Parking – provide class 2 facility in carpark for greater separation between car &amp; bike.</li> <li>Architecture – façade materiality, building setbacks from residential adjacent, proposed building heights, Wattle St street frontage pedestrian experience, shadow impacts on neighbours, COLA netting height, confirm lift number calculations.</li> <li>Childcare – will be built as a shell for future fit-out.</li> <li>Community Hall – accessible to community, requires plan of management with operating hours etc.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Architecture – more dimensioning of heights &amp; setbacks on plans, review pedestrian experience on Wattle St frontage, shadow impacts on neighbours – quantify increased impact, provide lift calculation details.</li> <li>Community Hall – plan of management for hours of use etc.</li> </ul>
Planner – Oliver Klein	City of Sydney	Michael Soo + property division	TBC	meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Relocation of existing light pole that will conflict with new loading dock driveway</li> <li>Physical model for insertion into CoS model _ physical sample board?</li> <li>Off site OOSH 0 student bus drop off / pick up requirements: current and post construction</li> <li>Hoarding requirements</li> </ul>	TBC
Surveyor – Mathew Cleary	RMS – Registered Surveyor	RMS: Kit Panya + James T Wards	04.10.17	email	Ascertain ownership and who conducts maintenance of pedestrian bridge over Wattle Street	RMS has not conducted recent maintenance. Project Mgt by CoS DesignInc to Contact Stephen Rixon of Manager of Road Corridors, RMS Property Section
Traffic – Andrew Hulse	RMS + Transport for NSW	RMS, Transport for NSW, Andrew Hulse, Katie Fairbrother, Thierry Lacoste, Belinda Dawes, Sandeep Amin	21.06.17	meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Construction traffic access</li> <li>Confirmation of traffic surveys for SSDA submission</li> <li>Discussion on proposed school drop-off/pick-up zones</li> <li>Discussion on proposed loading dock/ car park driveway access on Wattle Street</li> </ul>	Refer below

## New Ultimo Pyrmont Public School - Consultation Summary

25<sup>th</sup> October 2017 – Revision E

Discipline / Initiated by	Stakeholder/ Authority	Attendees	Date	Type of Consultation	Discussion	Actions/Response
Who required or initiated the consultation? Which discipline and which person?  i.e. planner, PM, architect, traffic, Electrical, School Infrastructure NSW etc.	Who was consulted? If applicable, which department?  i.e. City of Sydney, DPE, RMS, Ausgrid, Jemena, neighbours, other School Infrastructure NSW departments such as early childcare?	Who was consulted or present in meetings. Were there any apologies noted?	Date of consultation including attempted consultation if necessary	How was contact made?  i.e. phone call, email, meeting, workshop	What was discussed?  What were the key topics, agenda items of concern (positive and negative)	What key actions / issues were noted for the designers or stakeholder?  Were there any high risk items?  Significant lead times? Interdependencies with other actions, approvals or disciplines?
Traffic – Andrew Hulse	RMS	RMS + Transport for NSW	22.08.17	Emailed memo	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Driveway location on Wattle St re proximity to existing traffic signals</li> <li>• Access control of vehicles entering site so that they did not block footpath</li> </ul>	Refer below
Traffic – Andrew Hulse	RMS	RMS development officer	15.09.17	Phone call	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Response to RMS Letter dated 14 September 2017 that RMA do not support driveway located on Wattle Street</li> </ul>	Refer below
Traffic – Andrew Hulse	RMS	RMS : Kerry Ryan + Rachel Nicholson + David Ballm + School Infrastructure NSW Charlotte Berry + ARUP Andrew Hulse + HKA Katie Fairbrother + HKA Iulia Brooks + L+S Thierry Lacoste + Belinda Dawes + DesignInc Sandeep Amin + Jacqueline Urford	29.09.17	Meeting on site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ISEPP and lower Order of road to be used + site topography does not allow loading dock on Jones st and clash with drop off and pick up zones</li> <li>• Security gate on boundary to be open to enable vehicles to enter without stopping</li> <li>• Swept path design to be in accordance with AS2890.1 + AS 2890.2</li> <li>• Pedestrian safety to be achieved by clearly marked pedestrian routes + signage + timing of deliveries during day between school start and finish times</li> <li>• Drivers ability to see traffic signals upon exiting site</li> <li>• 1<sup>st</sup> 10m of car parking to become no stopping zone</li> <li>• Lightpole to be relocated to western side of Wattle St</li> <li>• Gate opening to provide clear sight lines</li> <li>• Wattle street frontage of loading dock and car park to be open grille</li> <li>• 2 disabled + 1 standard carpark only in current design</li> <li>• Garbage collection and loading anticipated to be low at 1-2 vehicles per day</li> <li>• Low usage patterns confirmed by RMS</li> </ul>	Revised SSD DA Report by ARUP

## New Ultimo Pymont Public School - Consultation Summary

25<sup>th</sup> October 2017 – Revision E

Discipline / Initiated by	Stakeholder/ Authority	Attendees	Date	Type of Consultation	Discussion	Actions/Response
Who required or initiated the consultation? Which discipline and which person?  i.e. planner, PM, architect, traffic, Electrical, School Infrastructure NSW etc.	Who was consulted? If applicable, which department?  i.e. City of Sydney, DPE, RMS, Ausgrid, Jemena, neighbours, other School Infrastructure NSW departments such as early childcare?	Who was consulted or present in meetings. Were there any apologies noted?	Date of consultation including attempted consultation if necessary	How was contact made?  i.e. phone call, email, meeting, workshop	What was discussed?  What were the key topics, agenda items of concern (positive and negative)	What key actions / issues were noted for the designers or stakeholder?  Were there any high risk items?  Significant lead times? Interdependencies with other actions, approvals or disciplines?
Acoustic - Riduan Osman	Strata Manager of Neighbouring Residential property at 288 Wattle Street Ultimo + Neighbouring property owners / tenants TBC	Riduan Osman + ??	04 October 2017 + future TBC	Phone call + Meeting	To gain access to adjoining residential property to install acoustic loggers in accordance with Department of Planning requirements	Revised Acoustic Report
Fire Engineer – Genevieve Fick	Fire Brigade	Genevieve Fick + ??	TBC	Ph Call + Meeting	To confirm proposed Fire Engineering Solution	TBC