



Response to Submissions Table

February 2017

RMS

Recommendation/issue	Comment
<p>No objection raised given the relatively minor traffic impact of the proposal on the classified road network:</p> <p>However the following comments for consideration in assessment of the proposal are raised:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The requirement to upgrade the signalised intersection of Sarah Durack Avenue/Olympic Boulevard as outlined in Roads and Maritime's previous correspondence dated 1 June 2016 (attached) should preferably be considered as part of a master plan where the cost could be apportioned to all development (including the subject development) that cumulatively impact on the intersection. The requirement to upgrade Sarah Durack Avenue/Olympic Boulevard intersection including appropriate funding mechanism/developer contribution is likely to be included as part of a Transport Cluster submission to the exhibition of the draft Sydney Olympic Park 2030 Master Plan (2016 Review).2. The access strategy should be reviewed to minimise the potential impact of vehicles exiting the development onto Sarah Durack Avenue then turning right onto Olympic Boulevard blocking through westbound traffic along Sarah Durack Avenue. In this regard, the access road on Sarah Durack Avenue could be restricted to 'Entry Only' ('One Way' southbound). It is noted that this traffic management measure or other alternative measure should be to the satisfaction of Sydney Olympic Park Authority.	<p>PTC in its letter dated 1 February 2017 (refer to Appendix D) has responded as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. PTC have undertaken some initial modelling on the intersection of Olympic Boulevard and Sarah Durack Avenue, incorporating the right turn bays and diamond turning facilities. At this early stage, it would appear that the introduction of these facilities would not significantly improve the proposed Level of Service of the intersection, (LOS C in the PM peak) post the completion of the development. It is also noted that the installation of the right turn bays (assumed at 75m in length) would result in the requirement to remove up to 20 existing trees within the central median of Olympic Boulevard.2. To alleviate the possible weaving issues which maybe caused as a result of vehicles exiting the development site onto Sarah Durack Avenue and wishing to turn right into Olympic Boulevard, it is recommended that the access roadway be restricted one way (southbound). A swept path analysis and traffic signage details are shown on PTC drawing T21578/PTC010 (ref to Attachment 2).

Sydney Water (NB: Sydney Water reissued its original submission dated 26 May 2016)

Recommendation/issue	Comment
<p>Water</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The drinking water main available for connection is the 150mm main in Olympic Park Boulevard. Amplifications of this main may be required. • The proposed drinking water infrastructure for this development will be sized & configured according to the Water Supply Code of Australia WSA 03-2011-3.1 (Sydney Water Edition - 2012). • Detailed water requirements will be provided at the Section 73 application phase. 	<p>Noted.</p>
<p>Recycled Water</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The recycled water main available for connection is the 250mm main in Olympic Park Boulevard. • Detailed recycled water requirements will be provided at the Section 73 application phase. 	<p>Noted.</p>
<p>Wastewater</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The wastewater main available for connection is the 150 mm main constructed within the proposed development site. • The proposed development site is traversed by a number of wastewater mains. Where proposed works are in close proximity to a Sydney Water asset, the developer may be required to carry out additional works to facilitate there development and protect the wastewater main. Subject to the scope of development, servicing options may involve adjustment/deviation and or compliance with the Guidelines for building over/adjacent to Sydney Water assets. • Detailed wastewater requirements will be provided at the Section 73 application phase. 	<p>Noted.</p>

Transport for NSW

Recommendation/issue	Comment
<p>Transport for NSW has reviewed the revised Transport Impact Assessment and supporting documentation presented in the Re-Exhibition of the Development Application Site 9 Sydney Olympic Park (SSD 7445) and has no further comments.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
<p>TfNSW suggests Council impose the following condition of consent to the Development Application:</p>	<p>Noted and accepted.</p>

• The proponent should be conditioned to prepare a Construction Pedestrian and Traffic Management Plan (CPTMP) in consultation with the Sydney Olympic Park Authority (SOPA) prior to the commencement of construction. The CPTMP needs to specify any potential impacts to general traffic, cyclists, pedestrians and bus services within the vicinity of the site from construction vehicles during the construction of the proposed works. Should any impacts be identified, the duration of the impacts and measures proposed to mitigate these should be clearly identified and included in the CPTMP.

EPA

Recommendation/issue	Comment
The EPA in its letter dated 8 November 2016 refers to its previous advice dated 3 June 2016 and has not further comment.	Noted. All matters raised in the EPA submission dated 3 June 2016 has been addressed. Refer to RTS Response Table prepared for the first submission.

Sydney Olympic Park Authority (SOPA)

Recommendation/issue	Comment
<p>1. Contamination and Remediation Action Plan</p> <p>SOPA's letter dated 5 December 2016 requesting various conditions of consent to be imposed on the determination relating to site contamination and remediation.</p> <p>was issued prior to the proponent issuing the following doc</p>	<p>SOPA's letter dated 5 December 2016 requesting various conditions of consent to be imposed on the determination relating to site contamination and remediation was issued prior to the proponent issuing the following documentation to DPE and SOPA (refer to Appendix F of this submission):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Section B Site Audit Statement - Site Audit Report - Remediation Action Plan - Hazardous Ground Gas Assessment and Management Plan <p>DPE and SOPA are currently reviewing the above documentation and it is expected SOPA will write to DPE following its review.</p>
<p>2. Stormwater</p> <p>Condition of consent requiring the following:</p> <p><i>"Prior to issuing of a construction certificate for any works, the proponent must provide a detailed stormwater management strategy that is consistent with SOPA's Stormwater Management & WSUD Policy 2016 to the satisfaction of SOPA's Directors, Operations."</i></p>	<p>Noted and accepted.</p>