



DOC18/513762-01

Pilar Aberasturi
Key Sites Assessments
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
SYDNEY NSW 2001

Dear Pilar Aberasturi

I refer to the undated letter from Brendon Roberts, Team Leader Key Sites Assessments, Department of Planning & Environment, requesting comments from the NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA) in relation to an application to amend the approved landscape masterplan and signage strategy for Australian Technology Park (SSD 7317 MOD 12) (the proposal). Mr Roberts' letter was received by the EPA on 23 July 2018.

The EPA notes that the Australian Technology Park has been subject to historical contamination of soils and groundwater, due to previous uses of the site. Based on the information provided, it is not clear to the EPA whether the proposal will impact any areas of residual contamination. Please see **Attachment A** for details.

Based on the information provided, the proposal does not constitute a Scheduled Activity under Schedule 1 of the *Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997* (POEO Act). The EPA does not consider that the proposal will require an Environment Protection Licence under the POEO Act. The EPA understands that the proposal is not being undertaken by or on behalf of a NSW public authority. The EPA is therefore not the appropriate regulatory authority for the proposal.

If you have any questions in relation to this letter please contact Mr Mark Hanemann on 9995 6845 or via email at mark.hanemann@epa.nsw.gov.au.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Erin Barker'.

ERIN BARKER
Unit Head Sydney Industry
Environment Protection Authority

30 July 2018

Attachment A – EPA comments regarding contamination for SSD 7317 MOD 12

Attachment A – EPA comments regarding contamination for SSD 7317 MOD 12

The EPA understands that a Remedial Action Plan and Site Audit Statement have previously been prepared for the site (as part of the original SSD 7317 application), and that a long term Environmental Management Plan(s) is in place to manage potential risks from the contamination. The EPA understands that due to heritage restrictions, contamination at the site cannot be practically removed, and has primarily been addressed by capping with buildings, hardstand or landscaping.

The proposal seeks to:

- realign the public domain master plan boundary to include a parcel of land adjacent to the southern edge of Innovation Plaza that is intended to become an Indigenous Garden;
- introduce a 'wellness and junior scooter park' at the south-east boundary of the ATP site (adjacent to Building 1) in place of a turfed grass area;
- relocate the brick pathway proposed to run around the northern edge of Eveleigh Green 1.2m; and
- introduce a feature lighting concept within the Public Domain area.

Based on the information provided, it is not clear to the EPA whether areas of residual contamination are still present at the site, or whether the proposal will impact any areas of residual contamination.

The Environmental Impact Statement for the application should clarify whether the proposal will impact any areas of residual contamination, and how any such impacts will be managed. Any impacts on contamination at the site should be managed in accordance with the previously submitted Remedial Action Plan, Site Audit Statement and relevant Environmental Management Plan(s).