

Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report – Addendum

Burrah Park Industrial Development 1953-2109 Elizabeth Drive, Badgerys Creek

Penrith Local Government Area

Report to: Richmond Bridge Burra Park 2 Pty Ltd as trustee for Burra Park Prop Trust 1
UniSuper Limited as Trustee for UniSuper and UniSuper Management Pty Limited
ISPT Pty Limited as trustee for The Industry Superannuation Property Trust No.1
HB&B Property Pty Ltd

9 December 2025



Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report - Addendum

Burrah Park Industrial Development

1953-2109 Elizabeth Drive, Badgerys Creek, NSW

Report to: Richmond Bridge Burra Park 2 Pty Ltd as trustee for Burra Park Prop Trust 1
UniSuper Limited as Trustee for UniSuper and UniSuper Management Pty Limited
ISPT Pty Limited as trustee for The Industry Superannuation Property Trust No.1
HB&B Property Pty Ltd

9 December 2025

Prepared by Neville Baker, BA Hons MAACAI
Director, Baker Archaeology

Baker Archaeology Pty Ltd
ACN 162 289 321
PO Box 8225 Glenmore Park 2745

Cover photo: Central Aboriginal Waterhole, Cosgroves Creek. photo by N.Baker March 2020

This report has been prepared in accordance with the scope of services described in the contract or agreement between Baker Archaeology and the Client. The report relies upon data, surveys, measurements and results taken at or under the particular times and conditions specified herein. Any findings, conclusions or recommendations only apply to the aforementioned circumstances and no greater reliance should be assumed or drawn by the Client. Furthermore, the report has been prepared solely for use by the Client and Baker Archaeology accepts no responsibility for its use by other parties

Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	1
2	Development impacts	2
2.1	2024 and 2025 Development Concept Plans	2
2.2	Elizabeth Drive roadworks	4
2.3	Detention basin changes.....	6
3	Amendment of Aboriginal site salvage	7
4	Aboriginal consultation	8
	Appendix A – HNSW submissions correspondence	10

List of Tables

Table 1.	"Past and present" RAPs consulted for the ACHAR including this addendum	8
----------	---	---

List of Figures

Figure 1.	Aboriginal sites identified within the study area.....	1
Figure 2.	2024 Development Concept Plan in original ACHAR.....	2
Figure 3.	2025 Development Concept Plan	3
Figure 4.	2025 roadworks plan compared to 2024 plan.....	4
Figure 5.	Sydney Water pipeline construction within Burrah Park land	5
Figure 6.	Detention basin changes 2024 to 2025 Development Concept Plans	6

List of Photographs

Photograph 1.	Elizabeth Drive verge view east.....	5
Photograph 2.	View to Oaky Creek bridge view west	5

1 Introduction

This short report forms an addendum to the Aboriginal cultural heritage assessment report (ACHAR) prepared for the Burrah Park Industrial Development in September 2024 by Neville Baker of Baker Archaeology Pty Ltd. The ACHAR formed part of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) submitted as part of a State Significant Development (SSD) application to the Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure in November 2024. The management requirements outlined in this addendum report form part of the EIS commitments and eventual Project Approval conditions.

The ACHAR assessed an area of approximately 132 hectares bounded by Elizabeth Drive to the south, Oaky and Cosgroves creeks to the west, the M12 Motorway to the north and University of Sydney lands to the east. A continuous area of archaeological deposit was identified along the western creek margin and subdivided into a series of very large “sites” for management purposes (Figure 1). This extended over approximately 1,500 metres 1.5 kilometres).

The purpose of this addendum report is to respond to points raised in a Heritage NSW (HNSW) submission on the ACHAR dated 8 July 2025 HMS ID 10870 signed by Alison Lamond and uploaded to the Major Projects Portal. The letter directs correspondence to a Mr James Cole. The format of an addendum has been adopted to provide the most effective and succinct communication to Aboriginal parties and is preferred over a 100+ page, revised ACHAR document. A draft of this report was issued to RAPs in September 2025 for review and comment.




Figure 1. Aboriginal sites identified within the study area

2 Development impacts

2.1 2024 and 2025 Development Concept Plans

This addendum reviews the most recent development concept plan (Concept Masterplan 14020_DA002(11) May 2025) prepared after submission of the EIS. The question of whether additional impacts to Aboriginal heritage is addressed and submitted to Aboriginal parties registered for consultation both on the present project, and on precursor industrial development projects on the same land.

The Development Concept Plan which formed the basis of Aboriginal cultural heritage impact assessment in the 2024 ACHAR is illustrated in Figure 2. The most recent Development Concept Plan is illustrated in Figure 3.

The 2025 plan includes road improvement works within the Elizabeth Drive road easement along the southern boundary of the development area (Figure 4). These works extend the length of the Oaky and Cosgrove creeks proximate area from 1,500 metres to 1,530 metres. It is the assessment of archaeological sensitivity within the additional road reserve area that is the subject of this addendum impact assessment.



Figure 2. 2024 Development Concept Plan in original ACHAR



Figure 3. 2025 Development Concept Plan



Figure 4. 2025 roadworks plan compared to 2024 plan

2.2 Elizabeth Drive roadworks

The 2025 plan illustrated in Figure 4 above adds Elizabeth Drive roadworks both extending into the Elizabeth Drive road reserve (30 metres width) and approximately 30 metres just inside the southern boundary of Lot 1 DP1306448.

The area within which the Elizabeth Drive roadworks is proposed falls within the area modelled as part of the Oakly Creek (OKC) site 45-5-4641 as illustrated in Figure 1 above.

The area of proposed roadworks falling within Lot 1 land has been compromised by the construction of Sydney Water infrastructure after preparation of the ACHAR as evident in an air photo from that period (Figure 5).



Figure 5. Sydney Water pipeline construction within Burrah Park land

The Elizabeth Drive roadworks extend beyond the Lot 1 southern boundary over a width of approximately 30 metres. Of these 30 metres a 10 metre wide area next to the old Lot 1 chain link fence is relatively undisturbed and the remaining 20 metres comprised modified land surface including road tarmac and road verge. It follows that the Aboriginal heritage impact assessment must consider a 10 metre wide strip. Following the model of archaeological distribution presented in Figure 1 and confirmed through test excavation documented in the ACHAR, a 200 metre length of the 10 metre wide strip falls within site 45-5-4641 (site OKC). Observation of this strip in early September 2025 found this strip to be heavily grassed and includes patches of dumped spoil.

Notwithstanding this patchy disturbance, the impact assessment expands the area of development impact by 0.2 hectares. This additional impact is readily mitigated by the proposed management measures in the ACHAR.



Photograph 1. Elizabeth Drive verge view east



Photograph 2. View to Oaky Creek bridge view west

2.3 Detention basin changes

A comparison of 2024 and 2025 Development Concept Plans reveals small changes in the placement of detention basins including limiting detention basin size near the Central Aboriginal Waterhole (Figure 6). The net result is an improvement in outcomes for the protection of Aboriginal heritage whereby the 2025 plan identifies the location of the waterhole for protection purposes.



Figure 6. Detention basin changes 2024 to 2025 Development Concept Plans

3 Amendment of Aboriginal site salvage

HNSW expressed a desire for salvage to be extended north of the Central Aboriginal Waterhole,

Noting that the proposed salvage location for CCE SW (AHIMS#45-5-5642) corresponds with the location of the northernmost test pit excavated in this area, please provide comment on whether it is proposed to stage salvage excavations to allow for additional investigation of the extent of artefact deposits to the north of the waterhole.

The salvage will investigate the extent of artefact deposits to the north of the waterhole through an extension of the transect of one metre square pits at 40 metre intervals for a reasonable distance of approximately 200 metres proximate to areas of development impact. The presence of artefacts along the entire eastern side of Cosgroves Creek is assumed in the ACHAR mapping. The focus of the salvage will be on the areas identified as having higher archaeological research value which on current evidence are the test pits with higher artefact density just south of the waterhole.

4 Aboriginal consultation

HNSW identified an error in consultation whereby the Nattai Aboriginal Culture & Heritage Consultation Service (Alan Medhurst) was left out of consultation due to a typographical error in the Baker Archaeology email address used for correspondence. To correct this error, copies of all relevant documentation were forwarded to Mr Medhurst by correct email on 1 August 2025. An invitation was afforded to Mr Medhurst to have a site meeting. The emails were followed up by telephone calls which were not answered. Voicemails were left. An SMS was then sent to follow up. No responses were received by early September 2025. These measures constitute reasonable efforts to correct the earlier omission.

HNSW (James Cole) requested that Aboriginal parties identified in earlier iterations of industrial development planning be consulted on the ACHAR including this addendum.

Given that all archaeological assessment including survey and test excavation for the project was completed between 2020 and 2023 under earlier phases of consultation, we would recommend that RAPs involved in consultation relating to that process who are not currently registered for consultation on the project are provided with a copy of the updated assessment for their information, and that any comments provided are noted.

The 2024 ACHAR and this addendum was provided to the following expanded list of “past and present” RAPs (Table 1) with an invitation to review and comment on 12 September 2025.

Two emailed comments were received in response.

Muragadi stated their agreement with the report in an email dated 21 September 2025: “I have read the project information and ACHA for the above project, I agree with the recommendations.”

KYWG stated their agreement with the report in an email date 13 October 2025: “We would like to express our agreement and support for your ACHAR.”.

The invitation to comment email and the two responses are appended to this report.

Table 1. "Past and present" RAPs consulted for the ACHAR including this addendum

Aboriginal party	Contact name	Date registered
A1 Indigenous Services	Carolyn Hickey	11-Jan-20
Amanda Hickey	Amanda Hickey	22-Jan-20
Aragung Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Site Assessments	James Eastwood	21-Jan-20
Barking Owl Aboriginal Corporation	Jody Kulakowski	20-Jan-20
Barraby Cultural Services	Lee Field	28-Apr-21
Butucarbin Aboriginal Corporation	Lowanna Gibson	11-May-21
Corroboree Aboriginal Corporation	Marilyn Carroll-Johnson	12-Jan-20
Deerubbin LALC	Steve Randall	13-Jan-20
Dharug Custodian Aboriginal Corporation	Justine Coplin	06-Jan-20
Didge Ngunawal Aboriginal Corporation	Paul Boyd	07-Jan-20
Gilay Consultants	Carolyn Slater	02-May-21
Goodradigbee Cultural & Heritage Aboriginal Corporation	Caine Carroll	06-Jan-20
Gulaga Pty Ltd	Wendy Smith	26-Apr-21
Gunjeewong Cultural Heritage Aboriginal Corporation	Shayne Dickson	20-Apr-21

Aboriginal party	Contact name	Date registered
Kamilaroi Yankuntjatjara Working Group	Phil Khan	16-Jan-20
Muragadi Aboriginal Corporation	Anthony Johnson	07-Jan-20
Murra Bidgee Mullangari	Ryan Johnson	14-Jan-20
Ngambaa Cultural Connections	Kaarina Slater	29-Apr-21
Ngunawal Heritage Aboriginal Corporation	Dean Delphonte	26-Apr-21
Shaun Carroll	Shaun Carroll	14-Jan-20
Scott Franks on the behalf of the Wonnarua PBC Yarrowalk Pty Ltd (ex Tocomwall)	Scott Franks	13-Jan-20
Waawaar Awaab Aboriginal Corporation	Rodney Gunther	19-Jan-20
Wailwan Aboriginal Group" Philip Boney	Phil Boney	27-Apr-21
Widescope	Steve Hickey	06-Jan-20
Wori Woilywa	Daniel Chalker	28-Apr-21
Yulay Cultural Service	Arika Jalomaki	07-May-21
"Yerrowah" Teyarah Henry	Teyarah Henry	01-Apr-24
Nattai Aboriginal Culture & Heritage Consultation Service	Alan Medhurst	28-Mar-24
Thomas Dahlstrom	Thomas Dahlstrom	01-Apr-24
Bariyan Cultural Connections	Kayelene Terry	10-Apr-24

Appendix A – Correspondence

Heritage NSW correspondence

An initial submission by HNSW dated 12 December 2024 referenced only the redacted Public Exhibition version of the ACHAR, included comments relating primarily to redactions and is not appended here.

A comprehensive response to the 2024 submission was provided by Baker Archaeology to HNSW dated 12 April 2025 including the unredacted 2024 ACHAR. These documents are not included here because they provided details that are readily apparent in the unredacted ACHAR.

A second HNSW submission on the ACHAR was provided on 20 June 2025 and is included below.

Baker Archaeology responded in a letter dated 6 August 2025 addressing the points raised including the approach to address inadvertent shortcomings in the Aboriginal consultation. The letter is included below.

HNSW responded to the letter in an email dated 19 August 2025 included below.

The present ACHAR addendum document has been prepared to address this final HNSW submission.

Aboriginal consultation correspondence

Relevant Aboriginal consultation documents for this ACHAR addendum report are appended. These include the Baker Archaeology invitation to comment email to all past and present RAPs, and the two response emails received as of December 2025.

Our ref: HMS ID 10870

David Schwebel
Senior Environmental Assessment Officer
Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure
david.schwebel@planning.nsw.gov.au

Letter uploaded to the Major Projects Planning Portal

Advice on Response to Submission – State Significant Development

Proposal: Burrah Park

Major Project reference: SSD-70316465

Received: 20 June 2025

Dear Mr Schwebel,

Thank you for seeking advice from Heritage NSW regarding the above State Significant Infrastructure Response to Submissions. Thank you for the continued opportunity to comment on the project.

Following review of the Response to Submissions, Heritage NSW has identified that while many comments provided on the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) have been resolved, key queries have not been fully addressed. Heritage NSW recommends that Planning requires the proponent address the issues provided in Attachment A. Please note that until these issues are resolved, Heritage NSW cannot provide further advice on the adequacy of the assessment.

Please note that the above comments relate only to Aboriginal cultural heritage regulation matters. If you have any questions about this correspondence, please contact James Cole, Senior Assessments Officer at Heritage NSW on (02) 9873 8500 or heritagemailbox@environment.nsw.gov.au

Yours sincerely,

Alison Lamond

Alison Lamond
Strategic Manager – Major Projects
Heritage NSW
Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water
8 July 2025

Attachment A - Detailed Aboriginal cultural heritage advice

Proposed works

1. The Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (ACHAR) and Aboriginal heritage response in Appendix V of the Response to Submissions (RtS) do not appear to include an assessment of the proposed works to intersection and road upgrade works along Elizabeth Drive. Please review and update the ACHAR to provide an assessment of the entirety of the proposed works area.
2. It is noted that the Aboriginal heritage response does not appear to include design changes which have been made since exhibition as described in Section 3.2 of the RtS. Please provide comment on whether the proposed changes would alter the impact to Aboriginal cultural heritage.
Where additional impact is anticipated, please update the assessment and proposed mitigation measures as appropriate to reflect this.

Consultation

3. It is noted that consultation was carried out in three phases over the course of the project. When consultation was renewed in 2021, all Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) from the 2019 phase of consultation were automatically registered as stakeholders on the project. Please clarify why this approach was not applied when consultation was renewed in 2024.
4. As identified in the previous submission from Heritage NSW, please provide all consultation documentation from Stage 1 to Stage 4 as per the *Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents 2010*, including both outgoing and incoming emails and/or postal notifications for each stage. In relation to the 2024 phase of consultation, noted areas where additional information is required include:
 - a. Correspondence sent to all statutory agencies.
 - b. Clear evidence that all RAPs were provided with the project methodology for review, such as copies of email correspondence with all relevant email addresses shown.
 - c. Evidence that Nattai Aboriginal Culture & Heritage Consultation Service were provided a copy of the draft ACHAR for review.

Mitigation measures

5. Noting that the proposed salvage location for CCE SW (AHIMS# 45-5-5642) corresponds with the location of the northernmost test pit excavated in this area, please provide comment on whether it is proposed to stage salvage excavations to allow for additional investigation of the extent of artefact deposits to the north of the waterhole.

6 August 2025

Our reference: 1901708

Heritage NSW
4PSQ 12 Darcy Street
Parramatta NSW 2124

heritagemailbox@environment.nsw.au

Dear Mr Cole,

Re: Burrah Park – Response to submissions Aboriginal Heritage

I refer to the correspondence from Heritage NSW (HNSW) dated 8 July 2025 signed by Alison Lamond and uploaded to the Major Projects Portal. The letter suggests correspondence be directed to yourself.

I list the matters which you raise and provide a response either answering the matter raised or advising of actions to be taken.

Matter

1. The ACHAR and Aboriginal heritage response in Appendix V of the RtS do not appear to include an assessment of the proposed works to intersection and road upgrade works along Elizabeth Drive. Please review and update the ACHAR to provide an assessment of the entirety of the proposed works area.

Response

An addendum to the ACHAR will be prepared in consultation with the existing RAP group. The draft revised ACHAR including the addendum will be provided to all RAPs for review in accordance with the HNSW Aboriginal consultation guidelines.

Matter

2. It is noted that the Aboriginal heritage response does not appear to include design changes which have been made since exhibition as described in Section 3.2 of the RtS. Please provide comment on whether the proposed changes would alter the impact to Aboriginal heritage. Where additional impact is anticipated, please update the assessment and proposed mitigation measures as appropriate to reflect this.

Response

The ACHAR will be revised to include an impact assessment of design changes and will revisit mitigation measures in response to any additional impacts as may be identified. The revised ACHAR will be issued as a draft to all RAPs for review and input.

Matter

3. It is noted that consultation was carried out in three phases over the course of the project. When consultation was renewed in 2021, all RAPs from the 2019 phase of consultation were automatically registered as stakeholders in the project. Please clarify why this approach was not applied when consultation was renewed in 2024.

Response

The relevant Aboriginal consultation process for the Burrah Park SSDA is the most recent one which follows relevant guidelines.

Phase two of the Aboriginal consultation adopted a continuity approach because the proponent was the same, but there were discontinuities in timing due to project design changes. That proponent ultimately sold the property resulting in a cessation of works.

The latest phase of Aboriginal consultation related to a new proponent and new project design and therefore it was considered appropriate to initiate a completely new process of Aboriginal consultation. This afforded an opportunity for previously registered Aboriginal parties to re-register on equal standing with newly identified parties.

Documentation from the earlier phases of consultation was provided in the previous RtS Aboriginal heritage report in response to a submission from HNSW.

Matter

4. As identified in the previous submission from HNSW, please provide all consultation documentation from Stage 1 to Stage 4 as per the [guidelines], including both outgoing and incoming emails and/or postal notifications for each stage. In relation to the 2024 phase of consultation, noted areas where additional information is required include:
 - a. Correspondence sent to all statutory agencies.
 - b. Clear evidence that all RAPs were provided with the project methodology for review, such as copies of email correspondence with all relevant email addresses shown.
 - c. Evidence that Nattai Aboriginal Culture & Heritage Consultation Service were provided a copy of the draft ACHAR for review.

Response

Documentation of all consultation compliant with the consultation guidelines was provided in the previous RtS. The guidelines state in clause 4.4.4,

The final report must include copies of any submissions received, including submissions on the proposed methodology and on the draft report. The final report must also include the proponent's response to each submission.

The new HNSW submission 4.b seeks information beyond that required by the guidelines such as outgoing emails and listing of addressees. . Notwithstanding that the current ACHAR documentation does address and exceed the Clause 4.4.4 requirement, the revised ACHAR following the Elizabeth Drive works assessment and design change impact assessment will endeavour to include the high level of detail in consultation documentation requested by HNSW.

A review of my email systems confirms that, due to a typo in a Google Contacts email listing for Nattai Aboriginal Culture & Heritage Consultation Service (Alan Medhurst), the email list generated by project tags "threw out" the incorrect email address without notice. A space was typed incorrectly into the body of the email address which was quite hard to spot and correct. Consequently, Mr Medhurst did not receive the draft methodology and draft ACHAR for review in mid-2024. I have taken immediate and reasonable steps to correct this inadvertent error by sending those documents to Mr Medhurst in an email dated 1 August 2025 seeking a response by the 31 August 2025. I have invited Mr Medhurst to a meeting on site to walk through the project. Further to these emails Mr Medhurst, as part of the RAP group, will receive a review copy of the forthcoming revised draft ACHAR addressing design change impacts and an assessment of the Elizabeth Drive works. Mr Medhurst will be included in all correspondence including review of the revised draft ACHAR resulting from this new assessment.

Matter

5. Noting that the proposed salvage location for CCE SW (AHIMS#45-5-5642) corresponds with the location of the northernmost test pit excavated in this area, please provide comment on whether it is proposed to stage salvage excavations to allow for additional investigation of the extent of artefact deposits to the north of the waterhole.

Response

The salvage can certainly investigate the extent of artefact deposits to the north of the waterhole through an extension of the transect of one metre square pits at 40 metre intervals for a reasonable distance. The presence of artefacts along the entire eastern side of Cosgroves Creek is assumed in the ACHAR mapping.

Conclusion

These responses have been provided as a basis for a conversation about developing a compliant and adequate ACHAR for the Burrah Park SSD project. Corrective actions have been applied or have been planned to further develop the existing ACHAR to address matters submitted by HNSW.

I look forward to a fruitful discussion.

Yours faithfully,



Neville Baker
Director – Archaeologist
Baker Archaeology Pty Ltd



Neville Baker <neville.baker@bakerarchaeology.com.au>

Request #57485 has been updated: Burrah Park response to HNSW letter re ACHAR Attn ZMr James Cole

1 message

James Cole (Heritage NSW) <support@zendesk.environment.nsw.gov.au>

19 August 2025 at 17:00

Reply-To: Heritage NSW <support@zendesk.environment.nsw.gov.au>

To: Neville Baker <neville.baker@bakerarchaeology.com.au>

Your request has been updated. To add additional comments, reply to this email.

Hi Neville,

Thank you for sending through this response. I have outlined responses against each matter discussed in the last response by Heritage NSW (HMS ID 10870) and your letter below:

1. Heritage NSW agrees with this approach to assessing the proposed intersection and road upgrade works on Elizabeth Drive.
2. Heritage NSW agrees with this approach to assessment of design changes.
3. Thank you for the additional context regarding the registration of Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) between the different phases of consultation on the project, we note that the 2024 phase of consultation relates to a different scope of works under a different proponent when compared with earlier assessment.

Given that all archaeological assessment including survey and test excavation for the project was completed between 2020 and 2023 under earlier phases of consultation, we would recommend that RAPs involved in consultation relating to that process who are not currently registered for consultation on the project are provided with a copy of the updated assessment for their information, and that any comments provided are noted.

4. Heritage NSW considers that the information sought in item 4b of our submission is within the scope of relevant guidelines. Evidence of project documentation being provided to all stakeholders is required to enable Heritage NSW to advise DPHI on the adequacy of the assessment - this is, to demonstrate that all stakeholders have been consulted as required by the *Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents*.

In addition to this, the *Guide to investigating, assessing and reporting on Aboriginal cultural heritage in NSW* is also considered to be a relevant guideline. Section 3 of this document includes guidance for the preparation of an Aboriginal cultural heritage assessment report, which requires that information provided on the consultation process must include '*documented evidence of the efforts to contact and consult with Aboriginal people*'.

The steps outlined in your response to address consultation with Nattai Aboriginal Culture & Heritage Consultation Service are considered appropriate.

5. Heritage NSW would be supportive of this approach. We would request that reference is made to this where salvage excavation is discussed in the revised ACHA to ensure that it is captured as a part of the mitigation measures for the project.

I hope this assists, however please let me know if you have any queries. I would note that this response

should be considered preliminary, and that Heritage NSW will provide formal advice following receipt of the Response to Submissions.

Kind regards,

James

James Cole

Heritage NSW

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW)

T: 02 9873 8500 E: heritagemailbox@environment.nsw.gov.au

<https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/topics/heritage>

Locked Bag 5020 Parramatta, NSW 2124

Monday to Friday, 09:00am - 05:00pm

[Website](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

We acknowledge the traditional custodians of the lands and seas upon which we work, walk and live and pay respects to Elders past present and emerging.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This email is a service from Heritage NSW. Delivered by **Zendesk**

On 6 August 2025 at 2:28:02 am UTC, Neville Baker neville.baker@bakerarchaeology.com.au wrote:

Dear Heritage NSW,

Please see the attached letter addressed to Mr James Cole, Senior Assessments Officer regarding the Burrah Park State Significant Development SSD-70316465. The letter responds to HNSW correspondence ref HMS ID 10870 dated 8 July 2025.

kind regards

Neville

--

Neville Baker Director - Archaeologist

baker archaeology 

p 0428 378 939

e neville.baker@bakerarchaeology.com.au

a PO Box 8225 Glenmore Park NSW 2745

w www.bakerarchaeology.com.au

[7ZKMGV-0R2ZE]



Consult BakerArchaeology <consult@bakerarchaeology.com.au>

Burrah Park Industrial Development ACHAR consultation

1 message

Consult BakerArchaeology <consult@bakerarchaeology.com.au>

Fri, Sep 12, 2025 at 4:19 PM

To: Neville Baker <neville.baker@bakerarchaeology.com.au>

Bcc: Philip Boney <waarlan12@outlook.com>, Scott Franks <scott@yarrowalk.com.au>, justinecoplin@optusnet.com.au, Jennifer Beale <butuheritage@gmail.com>, corroboreecorp@outlook.com, Phil Khan <philipkhan.acn@live.com.au>, Arika Jalomaki <yulayculturalservices@gmail.com>, woriwoolywa@gmail.com, waawaar.awaa@gmail.com, Ngunawal Heritage Aboriginal Corporation <ngunawalhac@gmail.com>, ngambaaculturalconnections@hotmail.com, Darleen Johnson <murrabidgeemullangari@yahoo.com.au>, muragadi@yahoo.com.au, James Eastwood <james.eastwood@y7mail.com>, gunjeewongculturalheritage21@hotmail.com, Wendy Smith <gulagachts@gmail.com>, Lilly Carroll <didgengunawalclan@yahoo.com.au>, Carolyn Hickey <cazadirect@live.com>, cal.slater61@gmail.com, barrabyculturalservices@gmail.com, Amanda Hickey <amandahickey@live.com.au>, Steven Hickey <widescope.group@live.com>, goodradigbee1@outlook.com, barkingowlcorp@gmail.com, Shaun Carroll <merrigarn@hotmail.com>, Teyarah Henry <Yerrowah-@outlook.com>, Alan Medhurst <ngunduwaa@live.com.au>, Thomas Dahlstrom <gamila_roi@yahoo.com.au>, Kayelene Slater <bariyan.culturalconnections@gmail.com>, reception@deerubbin.org.au

Dear friend,

I am writing to you because you or your organisation registered for consultation on the Burrah Park Industrial Development Aboriginal cultural heritage assessment sometime between 2020 and 2024. This is the development opposite the new Western Sydney Airport on Elizabeth Drive.

Heritage NSW has requested consideration of changes to the Development Concept Plan made after finalisation of the ACHAR.

I include for your review and comment a short ACHAR addendum report which addresses the Heritage NSW request.

I also include the 2024 ACHAR Volumes 1 and 2 for your reference.

I welcome your comments to be received by Monday 13 October 2025.

 1901707 Burrah Park ACHAR Vol.1 26Sep24.pdf 1901707 Burrah Park ACHAR Vol.2 26Sep24.pdf

best regards

Nev Baker
Baker Archaeology

 **1901708 Burrah Park ACHAR addendum report 12Sep25.pdf**
4210K