

Engagement Table

The table provides a summary of the engagement undertaken in response to the requirements contained within the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SSD-68603709) that was issued in relation to this SSDA on 21 March 2024. Specifically, this summary table has been prepared to respond to the relevant SEARs requirement [27. Engagement] and should be read in conjunction with the Social Impact Assessment, Part 5. Engagement Findings and information as contained in this Environmental Impact Statement, Part 7.

Engagement Summary		
Identified Stakeholder [Method of Engagement]	Summary of Feedback	Project Response
Existing Residents [Letterbox drop; 2x Community Information Sessions]	Feedback received from community information sessions and submissions included the following: - Overall positive response to the design of the building, especially the transition of the height of buildings which responds to the existing surrounding low density character. - Surrounding residents expressed support for the landscaping approach and the building design, describing it as being well considered and a welcome addition to the area. - Some concern expressed from neighbours about solar access and overshadowing from the taller buildings, which may impact on the effectiveness of their solar panels. - SummitCare staff expressed a need for information about the development to be available and regularly updated including timeframes of the application process and visuals to be able to more effectively answer questions from existing residents and their families.	Proponent to provide development progress updates. Suggested that this be 3 times per year prior to the commencement of construction and once every three months throughout the construction phase.
Surrounding landowners and community, including residents of The Royce seniors housing [Letterbox drop; 2x Community Information Sessions]		Proponent will consider the establishment of a feedback register which will be monitored and maintained during the construction phase of the project with information available on the appropriate website.
Existing staff [Notification through internal communications; 2x Community Information Sessions]		Proponent to notify neighbouring residents and businesses about construction activities that may

Engagement Summary		
Identified Stakeholder [Method of Engagement]	Summary of Feedback	Project Response
	- Family members of current residents also requested that they be informed and kept up to date on the redevelopment, especially any future plans for the temporary relocation of existing residents. They especially requested that existing residents, if they are required to be temporary relocated should remain with close friends to minimise the impact and stress. - Questions about the provision of car parking on site to accommodate all residents, visitors and staff - Positive response to the extent of trees and green spaces that reflect the landscape-led approach. In particular, the retention of the existing mature trees along Jamison Road was valued. - Question regarding the project timelines and in particular the construction commencement and the phasing of the redevelopment. Concerns expressed regarding the potential negative impacts from the noise and disruption from construction activities on existing residents and for neighbouring properties.	have additional impact on the day-to-day activities, at least 5 business days prior to the commencement of the activities. The Social Impact Assessment has recommended appropriate measures to mitigate the negative impacts relating to construction activities.
Aboriginal Organisations and Individuals [Letter notification and written submissions]	The Aboriginal community consultation for this project has been carried out by GML in accordance with the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH, now Heritage NSW) guidelines. Section 3 of the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report details the findings of this engagement. Feedback received from three organisations and one individual included the following:	In their report, GML have considered the feedback which as informed the significance assessment. GML noted that the ARD will be updated to specific the machine excavator for archaeological

Engagement Summary		
Identified Stakeholder [Method of Engagement]	Summary of Feedback	Project Response
	• Support for the information, methodology and recommendations outlined in the ARD • Identified that the study area is highly significant to Aboriginal people. • Agreement with the identification of the area having high potential for the presence of artefacts and acknowledgement that the existing building pre-date the development of any policy that provides guidance on the care of Aboriginal objects and artefacts. • Ensure that any contractors/workers involved in the excavation works have appropriate Aboriginal Cultural training to reduce the risk of artefacts being damaged or destroyed. • Important that testing is complete in the area as “Penrith is very resourceful area for the Indigenous population continuing through to Emy Plains [and] there has only been a small amount of testing completed in the area”. • Identified that “the intangible aspects (being connected to land) is of important as we hold a spiritual connection to the land” and that “Aboriginal people have walked this land for tens of thousands of years and continue to do so today”. • Also identified that the site is close to waterways – Nepean River - that are utilised by Aboriginal people for food, medicines and as a fresh water source.	excavations must have appropriate Aboriginal cultural heritage training.

Engagement Summary		
Identified Stakeholder [Method of Engagement]	Summary of Feedback	Project Response
	Recommended that further investigation on this study area as in the past, cultural heritage has been lost.	
Penrith City Council [Multiple Meetings]	Ongoing informal discussions have occurred with Penrith Council planners throughout the process, and they are aware that the application will be submitted under the SSDA framework. Initial meetings held with Council included the following feedback - The proposed land uses of residential care facility (land zoned R2 low density residential) and seniors housing (land zoned R3 medium density residential) are permissible under the Penrith Local Environmental Plan 2010 (PLEP2010). - Any application to vary the height of buildings development standard would require the submission of a request to vary the standard under Clause 4.6 of the PLEP2010. - The design of the development shall need to have regard to the future desired character of the area and should consider building setback and separation in accordance with the Apartment Design Guide (ADG).	Feedback from Council has informed the design development throughout the process.
Relevant Agencies	Not required	Not Applicable