

Deana Burn

From: Greg Hoy <greg hoy@bigpond.com>
Sent: Wednesday, 22 June 2016 6:28 AM
To: Deana Burn; Greg Hoy
Subject: Response to submissions fails to address issues/comments raised. Waste expansion Lucas Heights Suez

Follow Up Flag: Flag for follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Dear Deana,

I refer to my submissions and the recent response provided by Suez. (Submission and applicant response provided below)

I do not believe that the applicant has properly addressed the issues/concerns raised by the community in regards to the loss of land that was to become available from 2016 onwards. Yes the Council in a council meeting resolved to reverse an area for this activity in 2040, as part of Council business, however, there are no assurances that the area in 2040 will be suitable or that ANSTO will permit such to proceed.

The concerns raised were regarding what is being done in the interim to offset the delay in available land areas. The community has provided suitable alternatives to both SCC and Suez both of which were ignored. We proposed opening up the old night soil area creating an access road or suitable fire trail.

This issue for this community group has been ongoing since the first Ministerial approvals in 1997. The proposed final contours will severely restrict any capability for active recreational activity and not usable for much. \$100m to Council does not detract from the problems that arise both during and at the end of the proposal and is a drop in the ocean compared with the billions this private multinational will make essentially creating a monopoly in Sydney for waste disposal.

If the State Government does not ensure this is properly addressed, then a small community group is merely being pushed aside. With an ageing population we need to accommodate such groups who cater for both able and disabled persons from age 8 to 88.

I urge the Department to seek and demand interim solutions/alternatives such as opening up the night soil area for aeromodelling and consider the size of the end contours.

Lastly, you would be interested to know in the recent minutes of the CRG the GM of the Suez project directed his coordinator of the CRG not to take comprehensive minutes as has been the case for many years. This perhaps demonstrates how Suez treat the community members of the CRG.

Thank you for your attention in the matter.

Kind regards

Greg Hoy,

Cronulla Model Aero Club

Resident and CRG member.

Original submitted objection.

-----Original Message-----

From: no-reply@planning.nsw.gov.au [<mailto:no-reply@planning.nsw.gov.au>] Sent: Wednesday, 2 March 2016 7:34 PM
To: _DPE-PSVC Online Lodgements
Subject: Request for Security Key

Applicant Details

Name: Mr Greg Hoy
Phone: 95253693
Mobile: 0417284615
Email: Greghoy@bigpond.com

Company Details

Name: Cronulla Model Aero Club ABN:

Job: SSD #6835 Landfill and Resource Recovery expansion - General Solid Waste (putrescible)
https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=frameset&frameset_action=view_job&id=6835 Site: Lucas Heights (Suez) https://majorprojects.affinitylive.com/?action=frameset&frameset_action=view_site&id=3028

Security Key: 83597247

Reason for requesting key:

I had previously made a submission via email to the department. This was followed up with a conversation with the Department. The issue of available land for local community groups namely the Cronulla Model Aero Club being, deferred until 2040 has not been properly addressed. This is despite other crown land being available in the same area. Such groups now have to wait an additional 25 years with no guarantee the the proposed areas will be suitable. The mega tip will result in a mound that is not suitable groups previously identified. Why has Sita/suez not addressed this shortfall in making land available to previously promised groups. It is a snub to these NFP community organisations and the Department should make the applicant address this issue.

Response by Suez to submitted objection.

Lucas Heights Resource Recovery Park Project - Response to Submissions and Preferred Project Report

12.3 Community submission 3 – Greg Hoy

Key issues raised in this submission included:

Available land for local community groups namely the Cronulla Model Aero Club being, deferred until 2040

Response

This issue is addressed through Sutherland Shire Council report number BDS129-15 issued on 11/5/2015. Point 4 stated:

4. That the EIS and DA identify an area of the site for potential use for model aeroplane flying as part of the project.

As per the Council Resolution, an area of the site was identified on the landscape plans. This concern is also addressed in Appendix R of the EIS, section 4.1.1 (p26) which states:

A model aeroplane flying area will be located in a section on the northern boundary of the site in accordance with a Council resolution on the matter.

Sent from my iPad