**NARRABRI GAS PROJECT EIS SUBMISSION TO NSW PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT**

- I strongly object to this proposal. It would be environmentally, financially and socially irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The proposal cannot meet the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirement that the proposal "show efficient resource recovery within environmental constraints".

- The key environmental constraint we face with regards to gas resource use is the concentration in parts per million of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. The Narrabri Gas Project will contribute to greenhouse gas levels in the atmosphere. Directly, through burning of gas, and indirectly through fugitive emissions from wellheads. It would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- Greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere have already exceeded 400 parts per million, when experts generally agree a safe level would be between 280-350. When we reach concentrations of 450 ppm, we will have likely locked in at least 2 degrees warming of the climate relative to preindustrial levels, a level experts generally agree constitutes dangerous human interference with the climate system, far beyond what we have thus far witnessed. To avoid reaching that level we need to bring our annual emissions to a peak in less than five years and reduce them by nearly 80 percent (relative to 1990 levels) by mid-century.

- Numerous gas wells exist already; in fact, the amount of gas, coal and oil reserves currently approved for human use would already lead to annual emissions vastly exceeding safe levels for a stable, liveable world. We need to keep 80% of existing reserves in the ground. Again, it would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The decades of delay in reducing carbon emissions have already incurred costs to humanity and the environment. Each year that emissions reductions are delayed, it becomes increasingly difficult to stabilise greenhouse gas concentrations below safe levels. Simply from our emissions so far, there is likely at least an additional 0.6 degrees warming of the Earth's surface.

- Fortunately, Australia's energy demand can be met by rapid expansion of conventional wind and solar power, combined with energy storage such as pumped hydro. Engineers at the ANU (Blakers et al) have developed models that indicate that wind and solar will replace retiring coal and gas plant at lower cost than replacement with new gas and coal.

- We do not need gas as a stepping stone to renewables. It would be an abominable waste of Australian financial resources, not to mention environmentally irresponsible, to sink 21st century money into gas rather than into renewables.

**Name and address withheld?**
Name: Dawn ~~Anita~~ Anita
Signature: [signature]
Date: 8/5/2017
Address: 175 [illegible] st Katoomba NSW 2780

2

**NARRABRI GAS PROJECT EIS SUBMISSION TO NSW PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT**

- I strongly object to this proposal. It would be environmentally, financially and socially irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The proposal cannot meet the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirement that the proposal "show efficient resource recovery within environmental constraints".

- The key environmental constraint we face with regards to gas resource use is the concentration in parts per million of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. The Narrabri Gas Project will contribute to greenhouse gas levels in the atmosphere. Directly, through burning of gas, and indirectly through fugitive emissions from wellheads. It would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- Greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere have already exceeded 400 parts per million, when experts generally agree a safe level would be between 280-350. When we reach concentrations of 450 ppm, we will have likely locked in at least 2 degrees warming of the climate relative to preindustrial levels, a level experts generally agree constitutes dangerous human interference with the climate system, far beyond what we have thus far witnessed. To avoid reaching that level we need to bring our annual emissions to a peak in less than five years and reduce them by nearly 80 percent (relative to 1990 levels) by mid-century.

- Numerous gas wells exist already; in fact, the amount of gas, coal and oil reserves currently approved for human use would already lead to annual emissions vastly exceeding safe levels for a stable, liveable world. We need to keep 80% of existing reserves in the ground. Again, it would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The decades of delay in reducing carbon emissions have already incurred costs to humanity and the environment. Each year that emissions reductions are delayed, it becomes increasingly difficult to stabilise greenhouse gas concentrations below safe levels. Simply from our emissions so far, there is likely at least an additional 0.6 degrees warming of the Earth's surface.

- Fortunately, Australia's energy demand can be met by rapid expansion of conventional wind and solar power, combined with energy storage such as pumped hydro. Engineers at the ANU (Blakers et al) have developed models that indicate that wind and solar will replace retiring coal and gas plant at lower cost than replacement with new gas and coal.

- We do not need gas as a stepping stone to renewables. It would be an abominable waste of Australian financial resources, not to mention environmentally irresponsible, to sink 21st century money into gas rather than into renewables.

**Name and address withheld?**

Name: Sam O'Driscoll
Signature:
Date: 7/5/17
Address: 173 Lawson St Redfern 2016

3

**NARRABRI GAS PROJECT EIS SUBMISSION TO NSW PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT**

- I strongly object to this proposal. It would be environmentally, financially and socially irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The proposal cannot meet the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirement that the proposal "show efficient resource recovery within environmental constraints".

- The key environmental constraint we face with regards to gas resource use is the concentration in parts per million of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. The Narrabri Gas Project will contribute to greenhouse gas levels in the atmosphere. Directly, through burning of gas, and indirectly through fugitive emissions from wellheads. It would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- Greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere have already exceeded 400 parts per million, when experts generally agree a safe level would be between 280-350. When we reach concentrations of 450 ppm, we will have likely locked in at least 2 degrees warming of the climate relative to preindustrial levels, a level experts generally agree constitutes dangerous human interference with the climate system, far beyond what we have thus far witnessed. To avoid reaching that level we need to bring our annual emissions to a peak in less than five years and reduce them by nearly 80 percent (relative to 1990 levels) by mid-century.

- Numerous gas wells exist already; in fact, the amount of gas, coal and oil reserves currently approved for human use would already lead to annual emissions vastly exceeding safe levels for a stable, liveable world. We need to keep 80% of existing reserves in the ground. Again, it would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The decades of delay in reducing carbon emissions have already incurred costs to humanity and the environment. Each year that emissions reductions are delayed, it becomes increasingly difficult to stabilise greenhouse gas concentrations below safe levels. Simply from our emissions so far, there is likely at least an additional 0.6 degrees warming of the Earth's surface.

- Fortunately, Australia's energy demand can be met by rapid expansion of conventional wind and solar power, combined with energy storage such as pumped hydro. Engineers at the ANU (Blakers et al) have developed models that indicate that wind and solar will replace retiring coal and gas plant at lower cost than replacement with new gas and coal.

- We do not need gas as a stepping stone to renewables. It would be an abominable waste of Australian financial resources, not to mention environmentally irresponsible, to sink 21st century money into gas rather than into renewables.

**Name and address withheld?**

Name: Ginette Carrard
Signature: G Carrard
Date: 7/5/17
Address: 148 Lawson St, Redfern NSW 2016

#4.

**NARRABRI GAS PROJECT EIS SUBMISSION TO NSW PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT**

- I strongly object to this proposal. It would be environmentally, financially and socially irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The proposal cannot meet the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirement that the proposal "show efficient resource recovery within environmental constraints".

- The key environmental constraint we face with regards to gas resource use is the concentration in parts per million of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. The Narrabri Gas Project will contribute to greenhouse gas levels in the atmosphere. Directly, through burning of gas, and indirectly through fugitive emissions from wellheads. It would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- Greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere have already exceeded 400 parts per million, when experts generally agree a safe level would be between 280-350. When we reach concentrations of 450 ppm, we will have likely locked in at least 2 degrees warming of the climate relative to preindustrial levels, a level experts generally agree constitutes dangerous human interference with the climate system, far beyond what we have thus far witnessed. To avoid reaching that level we need to bring our annual emissions to a peak in less than five years and reduce them by nearly 80 percent (relative to 1990 levels) by mid-century.

- Numerous gas wells exist already; in fact, the amount of gas, coal and oil reserves currently approved for human use would already lead to annual emissions vastly exceeding safe levels for a stable, liveable world. We need to keep 80% of existing reserves in the ground. Again, it would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The decades of delay in reducing carbon emissions have already incurred costs to humanity and the environment. Each year that emissions reductions are delayed, it becomes increasingly difficult to stabilise greenhouse gas concentrations below safe levels. Simply from our emissions so far, there is likely at least an additional 0.6 degrees warming of the Earth's surface.

- Fortunately, Australia's energy demand can be met by rapid expansion of conventional wind and solar power, combined with energy storage such as pumped hydro. Engineers at the ANU (Blakers et al) have developed models that indicate that wind and solar will replace retiring coal and gas plant at lower cost than replacement with new gas and coal.

- We do not need gas as a stepping stone to renewables. It would be an abominable waste of Australian financial resources, not to mention environmentally irresponsible, to sink 21st century money into gas rather than into renewables.

**Name and address withheld?**
Name: [redacted]
Signature: [redacted]
Date: 7/5/17
Address: [redacted] 2016

5

**NARRABRI GAS PROJECT EIS SUBMISSION TO NSW PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT**

- I strongly object to this proposal. It would be environmentally, financially and socially irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The proposal cannot meet the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirement that the proposal "show efficient resource recovery within environmental constraints".

- The key environmental constraint we face with regards to gas resource use is the concentration in parts per million of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. The Narrabri Gas Project will contribute to greenhouse gas levels in the atmosphere. Directly, through burning of gas, and indirectly through fugitive emissions from wellheads. It would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- Greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere have already exceeded 400 parts per million, when experts generally agree a safe level would be between 280-350. When we reach concentrations of 450 ppm, we will have likely locked in at least 2 degrees warming of the climate relative to preindustrial levels, a level experts generally agree constitutes dangerous human interference with the climate system, far beyond what we have thus far witnessed. To avoid reaching that level we need to bring our annual emissions to a peak in less than five years and reduce them by nearly 80 percent (relative to 1990 levels) by mid-century.

- Numerous gas wells exist already; in fact, the amount of gas, coal and oil reserves currently approved for human use would already lead to annual emissions vastly exceeding safe levels for a stable, liveable world. We need to keep 80% of existing reserves in the ground. Again, it would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The decades of delay in reducing carbon emissions have already incurred costs to humanity and the environment. Each year that emissions reductions are delayed, it becomes increasingly difficult to stabilise greenhouse gas concentrations below safe levels. Simply from our emissions so far, there is likely at least an additional 0.6 degrees warming of the Earth's surface.

- Fortunately, Australia's energy demand can be met by rapid expansion of conventional wind and solar power, combined with energy storage such as pumped hydro. Engineers at the ANU (Blakers et al) have developed models that indicate that wind and solar will replace retiring coal and gas plant at lower cost than replacement with new gas and coal.

- We do not need gas as a stepping stone to renewables. It would be an abominable waste of Australian financial resources, not to mention environmentally irresponsible, to sink 21st century money into gas rather than into renewables.

**Name and address withheld?**

Name: Robin Wiltt

Signature:

Date: 5/5/17

Address: 141 LAWSON ST Redfern 2016

6

**NARRABRI GAS PROJECT EIS SUBMISSION TO NSW PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT**

- I strongly object to this proposal. It would be environmentally, financially and socially irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The proposal cannot meet the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirement that the proposal "show efficient resource recovery within environmental constraints".

- The key environmental constraint we face with regards to gas resource use is the concentration in parts per million of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. The Narrabri Gas Project will contribute to greenhouse gas levels in the atmosphere. Directly, through burning of gas, and indirectly through fugitive emissions from wellheads. It would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- Greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere have already exceeded 400 parts per million, when experts generally agree a safe level would be between 280-350. When we reach concentrations of 450 ppm, we will have likely locked in at least 2 degrees warming of the climate relative to preindustrial levels, a level experts generally agree constitutes dangerous human interference with the climate system, far beyond what we have thus far witnessed. To avoid reaching that level we need to bring our annual emissions to a peak in less than five years and reduce them by nearly 80 percent (relative to 1990 levels) by mid-century.

- Numerous gas wells exist already; in fact, the amount of gas, coal and oil reserves currently approved for human use would already lead to annual emissions vastly exceeding safe levels for a stable, liveable world. We need to keep 80% of existing reserves in the ground. Again, it would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The decades of delay in reducing carbon emissions have already incurred costs to humanity and the environment. Each year that emissions reductions are delayed, it becomes increasingly difficult to stabilise greenhouse gas concentrations below safe levels. Simply from our emissions so far, there is likely at least an additional 0.6 degrees warming of the Earth's surface.

- Fortunately, Australia's energy demand can be met by rapid expansion of conventional wind and solar power, combined with energy storage such as pumped hydro. Engineers at the ANU (Blakers et al) have developed models that indicate that wind and solar will replace retiring coal and gas plant at lower cost than replacement with new gas and coal.

- We do not need gas as a stepping stone to renewables. It would be an abominable waste of Australian financial resources, not to mention environmentally irresponsible, to sink 21st century money into gas rather than into renewables.

**Name and address withheld?**
Name: ANDREW THYER
Signature:
Date: 4/5/2017
Address: 15/17-19 HENLEY RD, HOMEBUSH

7

**NARRABRI GAS PROJECT EIS SUBMISSION TO NSW PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT**

- I strongly object to this proposal. It would be environmentally, financially and socially irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The proposal cannot meet the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirement that the proposal "show efficient resource recovery within environmental constraints".

- The key environmental constraint we face with regards to gas resource use is the concentration in parts per million of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. The Narrabri Gas Project will contribute to greenhouse gas levels in the atmosphere. Directly, through burning of gas, and indirectly through fugitive emissions from wellheads. It would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- Greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere have already exceeded 400 parts per million, when experts generally agree a safe level would be between 280-350. When we reach concentrations of 450 ppm, we will have likely locked in at least 2 degrees warming of the climate relative to preindustrial levels, a level experts generally agree constitutes dangerous human interference with the climate system, far beyond what we have thus far witnessed. To avoid reaching that level we need to bring our annual emissions to a peak in less than five years and reduce them by nearly 80 percent (relative to 1990 levels) by mid-century.

- Numerous gas wells exist already; in fact, the amount of gas, coal and oil reserves currently approved for human use would already lead to annual emissions vastly exceeding safe levels for a stable, liveable world. We need to keep 80% of existing reserves in the ground. Again, it would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The decades of delay in reducing carbon emissions have already incurred costs to humanity and the environment. Each year that emissions reductions are delayed, it becomes increasingly difficult to stabilise greenhouse gas concentrations below safe levels. Simply from our emissions so far, there is likely at least an additional 0.6 degrees warming of the Earth's surface.

- Fortunately, Australia's energy demand can be met by rapid expansion of conventional wind and solar power, combined with energy storage such as pumped hydro. Engineers at the ANU (Blakers et al) have developed models that indicate that wind and solar will replace retiring coal and gas plant at lower cost than replacement with new gas and coal.

- We do not need gas as a stepping stone to renewables. It would be an abominable waste of Australian financial resources, not to mention environmentally irresponsible, to sink 21st century money into gas rather than into renewables.

**Name and address withheld?**

Name: Greg Abercrombie

Signature:

Date: 3/5/17

Address: 287 ABERCROMBIE ST
DARLINGTON.

8

## NARRABRI GAS PROJECT EIS SUBMISSION TO NSW PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

- I strongly object to this proposal. It would be environmentally, financially and socially irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The proposal cannot meet the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirement that the proposal "show efficient resource recovery within environmental constraints".

- The key environmental constraint we face with regards to gas resource use is the concentration in parts per million of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. The Narrabri Gas Project will contribute to greenhouse gas levels in the atmosphere. Directly, through burning of gas, and indirectly through fugitive emissions from wellheads. It would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- Greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere have already exceeded 400 parts per million, when experts generally agree a safe level would be between 280-350. When we reach concentrations of 450 ppm, we will have likely locked in at least 2 degrees warming of the climate relative to preindustrial levels, a level experts generally agree constitutes dangerous human interference with the climate system, far beyond what we have thus far witnessed. To avoid reaching that level we need to bring our annual emissions to a peak in less than five years and reduce them by nearly 80 percent (relative to 1990 levels) by mid-century.

- Numerous gas wells exist already; in fact, the amount of gas, coal and oil reserves currently approved for human use would already lead to annual emissions vastly exceeding safe levels for a stable, liveable world. We need to keep 80% of existing reserves in the ground. Again, it would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The decades of delay in reducing carbon emissions have already incurred costs to humanity and the environment. Each year that emissions reductions are delayed, it becomes increasingly difficult to stabilise greenhouse gas concentrations below safe levels. Simply from our emissions so far, there is likely at least an additional 0.6 degrees warming of the Earth's surface.

- Fortunately, Australia's energy demand can be met by rapid expansion of conventional wind and solar power, combined with energy storage such as pumped hydro. Engineers at the ANU (Blakers et al) have developed models that indicate that wind and solar will replace retiring coal and gas plant at lower cost than replacement with new gas and coal.

- We do not need gas as a stepping stone to renewables. It would be an abominable waste of Australian financial resources, not to mention environmentally irresponsible, to sink 21st century money into gas rather than into renewables.

**Name and address withheld?**

Name: Yoshi Hemza l
Signature:
Date: 03/05/19
Address: 502 Wilson St Darlington

9

**NARRABRI GAS PROJECT EIS SUBMISSION TO NSW PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT**

- I strongly object to this proposal. It would be environmentally, financially and socially irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The proposal cannot meet the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirement that the proposal "show efficient resource recovery within environmental constraints".

- The key environmental constraint we face with regards to gas resource use is the concentration in parts per million of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. The Narrabri Gas Project will contribute to greenhouse gas levels in the atmosphere. Directly, through burning of gas, and indirectly through fugitive emissions from wellheads. It would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- Greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere have already exceeded 400 parts per million, when experts generally agree a safe level would be between 280-350. When we reach concentrations of 450 ppm, we will have likely locked in at least 2 degrees warming of the climate relative to preindustrial levels, a level experts generally agree constitutes dangerous human interference with the climate system, far beyond what we have thus far witnessed. To avoid reaching that level we need to bring our annual emissions to a peak in less than five years and reduce them by nearly 80 percent (relative to 1990 levels) by mid-century.

- Numerous gas wells exist already; in fact, the amount of gas, coal and oil reserves currently approved for human use would already lead to annual emissions vastly exceeding safe levels for a stable, liveable world. We need to keep 80% of existing reserves in the ground. Again, it would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The decades of delay in reducing carbon emissions have already incurred costs to humanity and the environment. Each year that emissions reductions are delayed, it becomes increasingly difficult to stabilise greenhouse gas concentrations below safe levels. Simply from our emissions so far, there is likely at least an additional 0.6 degrees warming of the Earth's surface.

- Fortunately, Australia's energy demand can be met by rapid expansion of conventional wind and solar power, combined with energy storage such as pumped hydro. Engineers at the ANU (Blakers et al) have developed models that indicate that wind and solar will replace retiring coal and gas plant at lower cost than replacement with new gas and coal.

- We do not need gas as a stepping stone to renewables. It would be an abominable waste of Australian financial resources, not to mention environmentally irresponsible, to sink 21st century money into gas rather than into renewables.

**Name and address withheld?**
Name: Rhapsea de Rilde
Signature:
Date: 3-5-2017.
Address: 276 Abercrombie St Darlington 2008

10 QA

**NARRABRI GAS PROJECT EIS SUBMISSION TO NSW PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT**

- I strongly object to this proposal. It would be environmentally, financially and socially irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The proposal cannot meet the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirement that the proposal "show efficient resource recovery within environmental constraints".

- The key environmental constraint we face with regards to gas resource use is the concentration in parts per million of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. The Narrabri Gas Project will contribute to greenhouse gas levels in the atmosphere. Directly, through burning of gas, and indirectly through fugitive emissions from wellheads. It would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- Greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere have already exceeded 400 parts per million, when experts generally agree a safe level would be between 280-350. When we reach concentrations of 450 ppm, we will have likely locked in at least 2 degrees warming of the climate relative to preindustrial levels, a level experts generally agree constitutes dangerous human interference with the climate system, far beyond what we have thus far witnessed. To avoid reaching that level we need to bring our annual emissions to a peak in less than five years and reduce them by nearly 80 percent (relative to 1990 levels) by mid-century.

- Numerous gas wells exist already; in fact, the amount of gas, coal and oil reserves currently approved for human use would already lead to annual emissions vastly exceeding safe levels for a stable, liveable world. We need to keep 80% of existing reserves in the ground. Again, it would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The decades of delay in reducing carbon emissions have already incurred costs to humanity and the environment. Each year that emissions reductions are delayed, it becomes increasingly difficult to stabilise greenhouse gas concentrations below safe levels. Simply from our emissions so far, there is likely at least an additional 0.6 degrees warming of the Earth's surface.

- Fortunately, Australia's energy demand can be met by rapid expansion of conventional wind and solar power, combined with energy storage such as pumped hydro. Engineers at the ANU (Blakers et al) have developed models that indicate that wind and solar will replace retiring coal and gas plant at lower cost than replacement with new gas and coal.

- We do not need gas as a stepping stone to renewables. It would be an abominable waste of Australian financial resources, not to mention environmentally irresponsible, to sink 21st century money into gas rather than into renewables.

**Name and address withheld?**

Name: Lilly Lehmann

Signature: [signature]

Date: 4.5.17

Address: 5 Arcadia Rd
Glebe NSW 2037

11.

**NARRABRI GAS PROJECT EIS SUBMISSION TO NSW PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT**

- I strongly object to this proposal. It would be environmentally, financially and socially irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The proposal cannot meet the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirement that the proposal "show efficient resource recovery within environmental constraints".

- The key environmental constraint we face with regards to gas resource use is the concentration in parts per million of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. The Narrabri Gas Project will contribute to greenhouse gas levels in the atmosphere. Directly, through burning of gas, and indirectly through fugitive emissions from wellheads. It would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- Greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere have already exceeded 400 parts per million, when experts generally agree a safe level would be between 280-350. When we reach concentrations of 450 ppm, we will have likely locked in at least 2 degrees warming of the climate relative to preindustrial levels, a level experts generally agree constitutes dangerous human interference with the climate system, far beyond what we have thus far witnessed. To avoid reaching that level we need to bring our annual emissions to a peak in less than five years and reduce them by nearly 80 percent (relative to 1990 levels) by mid-century.

- Numerous gas wells exist already; in fact, the amount of gas, coal and oil reserves currently approved for human use would already lead to annual emissions vastly exceeding safe levels for a stable, liveable world. We need to keep 80% of existing reserves in the ground. Again, it would be irresponsible to open up a new gas field to production.

- The decades of delay in reducing carbon emissions have already incurred costs to humanity and the environment. Each year that emissions reductions are delayed, it becomes increasingly difficult to stabilise greenhouse gas concentrations below safe levels. Simply from our emissions so far, there is likely at least an additional 0.6 degrees warming of the Earth's surface.

- Fortunately, Australia's energy demand can be met by rapid expansion of conventional wind and solar power, combined with energy storage such as pumped hydro. Engineers at the ANU (Blakers et al) have developed models that indicate that wind and solar will replace retiring coal and gas plant at lower cost than replacement with new gas and coal.

- We do not need gas as a stepping stone to renewables. It would be an abominable waste of Australian financial resources, not to mention environmentally irresponsible, to sink 21st century money into gas rather than into renewables.

**Name and address withheld?**

Name: Georgia Greenwood

Signature: [signature]

Date: 17/5/2017

Address:

44/54 Knox Street
Chippendale
Sydney 2008