

Name:

Address

Collie 2827

Date 06/05/17

*Publishing of details permitted (not permitted)

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
- The profits seem to be going to a very few individuals, while the harm is done by the broader public.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

Name:

Address:

Date: *4-4-17* *W. L. G. ...* *2827*

*Publishing of ~~details permitted~~ / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

• *got to be stopped!*

.....

.....

.....

.....

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error **and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past.** Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

Name:.....

Address:..

COULARGAMBONE 2828

Date..... *31-3-2017*

*Publishing of details ~~permitted~~ / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

if lock
~~SHUT~~ THE GATE! & don't forget to
 throw away the key!
 Lock the Gate, but don't shut your mouth
 ("Gift of the G.A.B.")

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error **and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past.** Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

Name:

Address

Gooraweenah 2817

Date 7-4-17

*Publishing of details ~~permitted~~ / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
- *The environment is the biggest issue today & water is a precious resource that needs to be protected & another thing for politicians only look at your 2 year term no long term vision*

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

85

Name:

Address:

Gilgandra NSW 2827

Date: 6-4-17

*Publishing of details ~~permitted~~ / not permitted

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

Our main concern is the risk of affecting ground water supplies and any possible health hazards

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

90

6

Name:...

Address:

BIDDON VIA CUDGANDORA NSW

Date: 12.4.17.

2827

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irreparable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
- *we don't know and wont know what harm will come from CSG to our future generations. Our water is a precious commodity not to be tampered with*

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error **and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past.** Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.