Name: Chantelle CORBETT

Address: 236 WARREN RD

GILGANDRA 2827

Date 16.5.17

\*Publishing of details permitted)/ not-permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long term.

The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

PLEASE SEE ATTATCHED.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

	Name: See Previous Sheet.
	Address:
	Date16/5/17
*F Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.	Publishing of details permitted) not permitted.
This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.	
I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.	
I what will you do to er	isure our water is safe?
21 Are you prepared to p when we have no clear	
3/ Do you or your famile the future?	y intend to drink water in
4/ If farmers carif get in	nsurance against CSG
mining, be cause you	cannot guarantee
the Safety of their	- land, how is it possible d w this proposal?
Springs observatory Compensate, and fix to	I from to srism at 'siding, how are you going to
6, Do you believe in	God?
	in Karma? or cause

Baffect ?

Signed.

2

Name: Press Howard

Address: 30 Bandy St

Colganolia

Date 30 - 3 - 2017

\*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

#### This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
  and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
  is not satifactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
  prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
  events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
  livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

9									-3. 20	
									lev. 2 l. l.s.	
									400/0	
	Ale	Ça.i.zv	n men	я	llogu	Lhis		happ	-n whi	·
	they	hanr	<u></u> \$0,	:.h	5 20 19 9	<del>2</del> ~'	Lavez.	QA	forme	سبخ ,

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Mon SHancre



Name: Heather Seamons

Address: Al Metcolfe Drive

Rombey Vc 3434

Date 28th March 2017

\*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.~

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

#### This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
  and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
  is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
  prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
  events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
  livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

۰	I would like a guarantee that if given the go whead, there will never be permission for fracting.
	,

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

The or more

A

Company of the Control of the Contro

Name: Rachel Thomas

Address: 16 Rlagic Court

Dubbo NSW 2830

Date 06/05/2017

\*Publishing of details permitted / net-permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

# This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
  and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
  is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- ° CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

ð	Explain to me why the short fall for the government
	is more important than the likelyhood of destroying the
	a train busin and destroying a communities in QHD, NT,
	SA and NSW Where are we going to get our
	water from?

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

- "

Name: Nigel Obst
Address: CtyclgenDoyne
Mendovan 2842
Date 6.5.17

\*Publishing of details permitted / miles and a

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project FIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
  and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
  is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
  prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
  events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
  livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

3	CSG extraction should only of we where
	there is no visic of irreparable damage.
	to our enumerat - water, air, sou
	bidwen to a act occur where the
	It should not occur where the
	community does not want it

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

6

مسيد

Name: JON AS HBROOKE

Address: 78 NATIONAL PARK

RO GMBAN 2827

Date 4/4/2017

\*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

## This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
  prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
  events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
  livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

3	
	STAL AWAY FROM OUR WATER SUPPLY

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. M. Asixochia



Name: PRENE ASHBROOKE

Address: 78 NATIONAL

PARK RD CORBAN 282

Date. 4-4-2617

\*Publishing of details permitted / net permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
  and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
  is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the
	Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and
	overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

STRY OUT OF OUR DISTRICT

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed I - Admarke

Name: hval Plast
Address: 255 Bardens Rd
Cuban, NSW, 2827

\*Publishing of details permitted/not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

### This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

c	If Victoria can ban, why can't NSW do to
	same?

LUIC Peach

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

すどく

Name: 15 + Julia Leven.

Address: Inorndale'

Gilgondra. 145W2827.

Date 4:4:17

\*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted:

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

### This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
  and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
  is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
  prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
  events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
  livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the
  Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and
  overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

· Thinking of the future it is Not Igood for the Land NOV for the future generations of our family

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

丁ピム

of E Leven

Nama	JARYN	WURJA I	LOVICH.
A ddrag	. 3110	Stoken	Hill Pa
••••	Gilga	ndva	2827.
Date	3-4	- 201	+

\*Publishing of details permitted \not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

#### This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
  and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
  is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
  prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
  events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
  livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the
  Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and
  overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

· How does the above, affect the health of our community???

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

•	ŧ
ı	1
•	•

Name: Gillian Bollard	
Elining Spic 282	j

\*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

#### This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
  and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
  is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
  prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
  events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
  livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the
  Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and
  overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

•	. * Our area is situated over the "Great Apti	SIAN BASIN
	so it is Ludichist to allow so much mining	which may
	demadge our underground nater in an area in so important to our "Food Bowl" look what h	which is
	so important to our "food Bowl" look what h	VC OPENED
	in America	11

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Name: VIANNEY M'ALLISTER
Address: SPRING VALE"

CILCIANDIZA 2827.

Date 31 | 3 | 17

\*Publishing of details permitted /-net-permitted:

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

#### This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
  and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
  is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
  prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
  events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
  livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

0	There are	much better	ways to util	iil our alrea	iclij
	adequale	Just reserve	ions hookur	after clonestic	
	needs beh	Fre selling	eleciply to	duerseas mo	vicets
	Lo a start		/ /		
		4 .			-4

This is Greating major custices to community
of dismist in the governing backes who referre to her.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my

opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed, Millitte

13

Name: Jean Staggs
Address: Lynden
Cailgandra 282

\*Publishing of details permitted / not sermitted

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

#### This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
  and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
  is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
  prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
  events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
  livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the
  Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and
  overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

My family have been in Alis district for our 112 years and muise to couring divide safe

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

Joan Staggs

Name: BARBARA LYNDON
Address: "BREELONG"
DENMIRE ROAD GILCHWARD 282

Date 29/3/17

\*Publishing of details permitted / not-permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

#### This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
  prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
  events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
  livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the
  Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and
  overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

How con you againsta over water being props the fristing Carthereof Reservate which my props backs) given the history of the other negotives that have already taken place in Queensland?

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

Barbara Lyndon

15

Name: Vicki Mils
Address: "Waston"
GILGANDRA 2827
Date 29.3.17

\*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

#### This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
  and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
  is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
  prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
  events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
  livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the
  Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and
  overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

•	Why is it	when	vac Ale	ernment.		alot
	ed mone	1 from	millia	elc is	silve.	
	Quantain					-
	i benonei	~~	,		J U	
	Ġ ·	•				
	- ·			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	****************	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. With Ehb

Name: Pauline Murphy.

Address: Sunnydale"

Maiala od Euningerie

Date 29/3/17.

\*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

#### This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
  and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
  is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
  prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
  events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
  livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

•	What	does	11 do	, 10	Ruhure	CIBPS.	<del></del>
	stock					-	
		***************************************	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	***********		
		***************************************	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	*********		

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

ed. Reich Mary

1	7		
		/	

Name: Dakotah Gilmonk. Address: 7 Dwilky St Gilyandra 2827
Date 6/5/17
*Publishing of details permitted)/ not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project FIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The luge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
  - The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

	(-0 \_0)(	
	THIS IS HARMFUL TO GENERATIONS	
ø	E OUR LANDS FUTURE I OBJECT	
-	THE TOTAL OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONT	
		•
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	STRONGLY	- •
		• •

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. D. C. MOW

Name: Leesa Gilmour
Address: 7 Dudley St

Steed Gilgandra 2827
Date 6/5/17

\*Publishing of details permitted anot permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project FIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
  and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
  is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
  prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
  events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
  livelihoods of many people.

CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

. I object as this distroys of farming land.
Ask yourself this question would you want to distriby our land a water for your family a would you have it on your land distroying your animals

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed &M Golin Exel

Name: Michher Barden
Address: 661 Beloge
Address: 661 Belog 9  Red Bolds, 1862
Date 6-5-2017

\*Publishing of aetails permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

MINTERS OF HEALTH PRINTS IS FORMANDED TO SALLE AND SALLE

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. A. A. Some

)0

( )	
Name: BYONWAIN TYOISE	٧:
Address: 79 Euny ol	
Address: 79 Emy old	•
Date 22.4.1798.	•

\*Publishing of details permitted /--

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the

Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

OMMON Jence Dhand Weval

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

100/21

Name: ETER STAGGS

Address: PO Box 320, Linden,

GILGANDRA, 2827

Date 31/3/17

\*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

#### This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
  prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
  events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
  livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

0	Fracturing	o <del>f</del>	underlying	geo loga	can	cause
	naturally	occuri	ra sits	and o'l	toxic	chemistriés
	to GeJ	transpur	into	0 691	rifers.	
		γ	**********			******

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Name: ROBERT WALLER

Address: WALT-A-WHILE

BIDDON NSW 2827

Date 24-4-17

\*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attu: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

## This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
  and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
  is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
  prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
  events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
  livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
- · PROTIVIHAT IVE HEARD ON TYNRADIO AND SEENO NATIONAL PROGRESS AND HAVE ONE SON WHO HAS HAD TO CLEAR UP SOINE OF THEIR MESSES COLE PRACTURING IS TOO DANGELLOUS HUTH AMOUNT OF CHERNCALS THEY USE THE POINT BEING YOU HAVE NO CONTRAL

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. My Weller