Name: Elisabeth McCutches-
Address: Everton'
40 Burlells Rd, Gilgandra
2827 Date 29/3/17

I give permission to publish my details.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. F. A. A. Cada

2 V

Name: Krish Coster	
Address: "Cremyll" 1542 Yourawless Rocal America 2	3£22
Date 29/3/2017	

I give permission to publish my details.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

3	
	Land

Name Andrew Mudford
Address: Somesset"
124 Stokes Road, Curban NSW282
Date 6:-5-17

Atm: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project FIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
9	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. An Mirolford

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project FIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

ø	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
o	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration. Conference ford

5	
	V

Name: Jessica Bucile
Address: 34 Bunnett St
Dubbo 2830
Date 6.5.17
Publishing of details permitted/ not permitted.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

5	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
•	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

. 6		
		,,,,,
		Name: Emma
		Address: 2.7 INC
		Cilgandra
		Date 5/6/17
		*Publishing of details permi
	Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39	
	Sydney, NSW 2001.	
	This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project E	IS.
	I object to this project and believe it should be rejected	d.

tted / not permitted.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

(CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
٠.	
•	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. E. Slow

1

Name: Daviel Volkalsku
Address: 2244 BookbavaRd
Chiqandra 2827
Date 6 ST7

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project FIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satifactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

	Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
,	

CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. D. Valley

. 8

Name: Nicela Masky
Address: 120 LWR Millers
Gilgandra 2827
Date 6 - 5 - 17

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Navrabri Gas Project FIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the

	Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
*	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

willy

٠.'	9	
	/	/

Name: flowette huch hour
Address Pull Croul
Joanawar val 281
Date 6 . 5 . 2017
*Publishing of details permitted/ not permitted.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
,	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Siened

g. E. Churchstank

10
Lander

Name: TI HISCOS	7 . ·····
Address: 8 AAZELL	wrod Jeivi
DUBGO	·
Date 6 '5.17.	

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

0	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
o	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

•

Name: Darren Jackson
Address: 33 Chelms Ford Ave
Culgardia 2827
Date. 6 5 17
*Publishing of details permitted \ not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

o	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
,	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Name: CARN MOREAST
Address: 10 NOBEAST
CO:CONTRIBLE.

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted __

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

à	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
ø	
	147474744444444444444444444444444444444

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.



Name: Mickel Mallor
Address: 44 WARRENA)
CILGANDRY 282
Date 6-3/17
*Publishing of-details permitted / not permitted.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding-from heavy rainfall-this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the
Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and
overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. My allo

ļ	4	
	A Market Market	~

Name: Shirley Maris
Address: 32 WARRIEST.
Cincanoca 282
Date. 6 - 5-17.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
g .	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. J. Morris

Name: AVNC MALON
Address: U.L. WARREN RD
GILGANDRA 282
Date 6 5 017
*Publishing of details permitted y not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

of Malloy

Name: TESS SCHUZ
Address: 9 Brennan St
Toorgweengh NSW 2817
Date 6/5/17

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
¢	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

. . . .

Name: BARRY CHIANDLER

Address: ABIERDIE IEN"

TOURISHUEENAH NSW 2817

Date 6-5-2017

*Publishing of details permitted/ not permitted.
Resource Assessments
ad Environment

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project F.IS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
2	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed B Chandler

18	
	and the same of th

Name: Mark Fryers
Address: 89 Miller St
Gilganden N40282
Date 6-5-17
nliching of details permitted I not nermitted

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on aroundwater for survival.

The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

Governments overseas whe	in to our neart main priority ere people are	. We have see getting extre	en reports from mely ill wher	om various p n they live ne	laces in Aust ear CSG proje	ralia and ects.	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Name: R & Swall
Address: Mil Wards Hill
Gravin New 682
Date (5:5-)
*Publishing of details permitted \(\) not permitted.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

Governme overseas v	ents main pr where peopl	iority. We h	ave seen re g extremely	ports from vill when ti	various pla hey live nea	ices in Aust ir CSG proje	ralia and ects.	

	4.4.4							

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed

M Ethy

\sim

Name: 816946 W	977
Address:	******
10029 WF JAH.	_k .v.s.
*Publishing of details permitted / net permit Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.	ted.
This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.	
I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.	
The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.	'n
The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluting by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, nonly to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned in able to drink from these ponds.	ted ot
The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and bury it is not satifactory in the long term.	d ing
The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive firevents in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.	re
CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.	
······································	
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••
	•••

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

21
1 manufacture and the second

Name: Mack Scridny
Address: 69 My/He St
Silgendia 2827
Date & 15/17

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

Governments ma overseas where p	in priority. We ha people are getting	ve seen reports ; extremely ill wh	from various plac en they live near	ces in Australi r CSG projects	a and

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

M . -

.22	
V	and the second

Name: MARION ScHUIZ
Address: 9 BRENWAN ST
TOORAWEENAH 2817
Date 542 1201 2017
*Publishing of details permitted) net permitted.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pend leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

JAN O



Name: Julia -	leffery
Name: Julia ~	2"
Costie	2827
Date 8-4-17	

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
•	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

Muns

Name: Ideather Thick
Address: 138 Mahue Hue
Juliandra 2827 Rd Date 8.4.2017
Date 8.4.2017
Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long tenn.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

•	Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
0	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

1d Thiele

Name: BOR ROGUES
Address: LBELNNAN PL
unopen
Date. \$104 17

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
0	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

JAR.

Name: MARCE VENIA
Address: 3377
Menichook RD GLGANAR+ 2827
Date. 6-4-17-

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. jA Vell

2	7		
		Market Walter Comment	

Name:	Jarga	ret	Sch	iec
Address:	Roser		*****	
********	hilgi	rivolt	W 2	2827
Date	1.4.		*****	

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

٥	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
0	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. MASSIEV

28	
,	

Name: Bettina Spora
Address: Mountain View
230 Hillside Hall Rd
CURBAN NSW 2827
7.4.17 Publishing of details permitted not permitted

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

	Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
6	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

Blaca.

29	
し	Market Construction of the

Name: SIMON Blackton
Address: Clandali
Tourableenah 281-
Date 7/4/17

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

Michael

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

0	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
c	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.



Name: Sandi Lincoln	
Address: Kelsey	
Galargambone	2828
Date 7-4-17	

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
•	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed Sandi Luncoli

3	
·	 ,

Name:	KEITH	HAS	SALL
Address:.	MIEWA	1	
	G129 AN	DRA	2827
Date O	7-04-	2017	••••

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
•	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. Happy Hassielr

Name:	Descul Class	les hoch
Address	: Calenels	
	Cleande	2827
Date	6-4-17	*******

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
•	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. Ry Creek

	Name: Lawrence Faires
	Departe 11 G. 19 andre 282)
	Date 10. 4-12
A44 T	*Publishing of details permitted / net-permitted

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long tenn.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
ø	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Name: COLIN JOB
Address: Coonawa RRA
TOORAWEENAH 2817
Date 5-4-2017

*Publishing of details permitted /,not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

٥	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
•	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. C & Job.



Name: Karly Hayes
Address: 130 Lower Miller
Street Cilgandra 2827
Date 6.5.17.
Publishing of details permitted I not permitted.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

er.	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
٥	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Name Tuelith Sturterant
Address: 27 Milson St
Ravensurood 2824
Date 8 4 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

D.	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
,	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

I Stunter and

•	37
:	

Name: MARK DA	1V1S
Address: 41 Wall	
GORDILAN	2263
Date 9/5/17	

*Publishing of details permitted / not-permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
•	
	.,

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

Miles



Name: PAULINE ROGERS
Address: 2-BRENNAN PL
WARREN
Date 8-4-17

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
7	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed Pauli M Rogers

Name:	shlie	Mct	rshall	
Address:	8 W	pupo	bbie St	_
			<u>J 2827</u>	
Date S	41	1	***********	

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

•	Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
0	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Name: BRUCE WALKER
Address: 4216 CAST CREASH H/W
Date 4-3-17

I give permission to publish my details.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

PCU070206

Name: Bienda Walker

Address: 4216 Casileveagh Havy

Date 4/3/17

I give permission to publish my details.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001. Department of Planning
Standard
2 3 MAR 2007
Scalining Room

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

.42



Name: Kathleen Wieczorek Address: 9 Evans 5t Greta

Date. 4/3 /17

I give permission to publish my details.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

Department of Planning
For Invoiced
2 8 MAR 2017
Securing Room

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Name: Shunuy Fra	s.er
Address: 72 Nigella	
Circuit Hamlyn	Terrace
Date 20 / 4 / 17	2259′

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

Governments i overseas wher	main priority. We e people are get	vnat price is life. e have seen repo ting extremely ill	rts from various when they live	places in Aust near CSG proje	ralia and ects.	
		••••••••		******************		••••

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

Straser

Name: MRS PATRICIA FRASER
Address: 166 MEMORIAL AVE
ETTHLONG NSW 2257
Date 20/4/17

*Publishing of details permitted /

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. C. Q. Tuast

Name: K. L. CORBETT Address: 236 WARREN 1

*Publishing of details permitted not permitted.

Department of Planning


Rectireo

TE HAY 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.



- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
•	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

46	
	A CONTRACT

Name: Charles	Bennett
Address: Bulleri	ng
Condea 1	2d. Mendoda
المصراف المساو	00:

*Publishing of details permitted /inot permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long term.

The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

CSG is harmfu Governments overseas when	main priority. re people are (We have see getting extren	n reports froi nely ill when	m various pla they live nea	ices in Austro ir CSG projec	alia and ts.	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Chas Bennett

Name: SHANE FRAGER
Address: 79 EMU DRIVE
SANREMO 2262
Date 22nd April 2017

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

Government overseas wh	jui to our nea ts main priorit nere people ar	ty. We have so e getting extr	een reports f remely ill wh	rom various en they live r	places in Aust near CSG proje	tralia and ects.	

					*******	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

CCC in boundaries a combinately 18th at a size 1. P.C. The first and

Name: 1804 France
Address: 157 Sicaloppol DR
Blue Huen 2262
Date 22-4-17

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

CSG is narmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.



Name: PATRICIA HENRY

Address: 431 TOWNS ENDS LANG

Date 4 - 3 - 17

I give permission to publish my details.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

Department of Planning
Removed
2 8 MAR 2017
Searming Room

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. P. Henry

Name: Jordan James
Address: 72 Nigella CCT
HAMLYN TERRACE
Date20/4/17
/ (

*Publishing of details permitted 4

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long term.

The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

CCC in hammaful be a combinately seek to the combinately



Name: Jane Johnson
Address 22 Buller Dive
CHORNORA 2522

I give permission to publish my details.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.



- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

PCU070194

Name: Anadal Kangarana Address: 17 Clizabeth St. St. 2827.

Date 4:3:207

I give permission to publish my details.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.



- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

and Ken



Name: Silkikl	<u>L</u>	
Address: 15 15 15		
Gilgandha		
Date 1 7 117	*****	

*Publishing of details permitted / not-permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001:

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

a	Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
p	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.



Name: 205 M(6) 2 8

Address: 27 Farrar 81

G1150 Arc 2827

Date 4 3 17

I give permission to publish my details.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.



- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.



Name: [ACG VI MOVIS]

Address: [8 [ing/kis]00.d Cl

Linguis Heights 2270

Date 22417

*Publishing of details permitted / aut permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments
Department of Planning and Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Name: MEGAN C'CONNOR
Address: "A R A MIN R T"
ECLLIE NEW 2827
Date. 30-03-2017
and the state of t

*Publishing of details permitted)/ not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
•	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed A/C Course Commone



Name: Cassanda Pale

Address: 8 Hunter Ave Gulgorda 2827

Date 4-3 - 2017

I give permission to publish my details.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.



- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. C. Ponter



Name: Gina Schembru

Address: Bona Vista!

Bulgadva 2827

Date 4 3 2017

I give permission to publish my details.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

Department of Planning
Secretary
Reserving Room

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed

Gualthembri

(\ Name:	vonnec	Storo	
Address:	19 Con	104 ON	S
	Karion	\ 1	
Date	~	J 4-2017	

*Publishing of details permitted / not-permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.

The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.

The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.

The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. 1/5tore

Name: amanda Stubbs

Address: 32 MYRTLE ST Gilgandra 2827

Date 4 - 3-17

I give permission to publish my details.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.



Name: GREG STUBBS

Address: 32 MYTREL ST GILGANDRA 2827

Date 4 - 3 - 17

I give permission to publish my details.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

Department of Planning
Research 2007
Searching Room

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. GMStells

6D

Name: ARMYA MOORE
Address: 4L Beeman Rd
Dubbo NSW 2850
Date 29.3.2017

I give permission to publish my details.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. Huriosa

Name: WES MERRIS

Address: 129 WARREN RS

Date 27.3.17

I give permission to publish my details.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

I give permission to publish my details.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds. bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed D.a. Ridley

65	
١.,	

5		
1		Name Rebecca J- Let
		Address: 12 TANDECKA DR
		Dubbo New 2830
		Date 6/5/17
Attn: Execur Department GPO Box 39 Sydney, NS		*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.
This is a sul	bmission to the Narrabri Gas Project E	IS.
	is project and believe it should be rejected	
a	Oreal Allesian Dasin. This draw down	water needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the will effect water necessary for our extremely important by inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for
٥	by pond leakage and flooding from hea only to the immediate areas but much fi	by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding rways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted vy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not arther down stream. This leakage and pollution has Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if
9	The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt and this also can cause major issues to sis not satisfactory in the long term.	generated from this project will need to be disposed of surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
ţ	prone areas. We have very strong laws of	continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire s practice is still happening risking the lives and
٠	Governments main priority. We have see	e is life. The health of the community should be the en reports from various places in Australia and nely ill when they live near CSG projects.
ø		***************************************

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won's, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

.....

and the second s	Name: AN LESLIE CRUICKS AGO
parameter .	Address PINA GROVE
	TEORIALIZED OL 2831
	Date 6-5-2017
	*Publishing of details/permitted / not permitted.
	ve Director, Resource Assessments of Planning and Environment
Sydney, NSV	•
This is a sub	mission to the Narrabri-Gas Project FIS.
I object to thi	s project and believe it should be rejected.
٠	The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
a	The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
o	The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long term.
o	The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
o	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

.....

67	

Name: I omny Apinoll
Address: 92 Warren Rd
Gilganda 2827
Date 6-5-72

*Publishing of details permitted / unt permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

G	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
9	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

Tammy Agrinall

		Name: NEIL MARDIE
		Address: 92 WARREN RO
		GILGANDRO 2827
		Date 6-5-17
Attn: Executive Department of P. GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 20	Director, Resource Assessments lanning and Environment	*Publishing of details permitted/ not permitted.
	sion to the Narrabri Gas Project I	ric .
	oject and believe it should be rejecte	
		•
ag	out ratestan Dasni. This graw gown	water needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the will effect water necessary for our extremely important ny inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for
by on air	pond leakage and flooding from hea by to the immediate areas but much f	by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding brways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted any rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not further down stream. This leakage and pollution has Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if
and	e tens of thousands of tonnes of salt I this also can cause major issues to tot satifactory in the long term.	generated from this project will need to be disposed of surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
eve	ne areas. We have very strong laws of	continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire s practice is still happening risking the lives and
UO	ernments main priority. We have see	e is life. The health of the community should be the en reports from various places in Australia and mely ill when they live near CSG projects.
••••		

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed NR Markie.

69	
)	

Name: Manee Raglus
Address: 30 Wampon &
Culgandia 282Date 6/5/17

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001. *Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satifactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

a	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
6	······································

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Name To Stewart
Address: Bucha Vista!
Collie NSW 2827
Date 6-5-17

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

٥	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
*	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

Steward

	Name: Leanne Cilmour
	Address: "Diamantina"
	Cilgordra 2827
	Cilgondra 2827 Date 6-5-2017
Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.	*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.
This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project E	IS.
I object to this project and believe it should be rejected	
 The huge amounts of extracted ground Great Artesian Basin. This draw down 	water needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the will effect water necessary for our extremely important ny inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for
by pond leakage and flooding from hear only to the immediate areas but much fi	by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding aways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not wither down stream. This leakage and pollution has Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if
 The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt g and this also can cause major issues to s is not satifactory in the long term. 	generated from this project will need to be disposed of surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
profite areas. We have very strong laws o	continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire practice is still happening risking the lives and
Governments main priority. We have see	e is life. The health of the community should be the en reports from various places in Australia and nely ill when they live near CSG projects.
g	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed L. atnow

 7,)	
ı	ALEX TOTAL	and the same of th	a service and

Name: Cras Boden
Address: 2482 Ody ITWI
algorda N.SW 282
Date 6/5/17

*Publishing of details permitted *pot permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
······································

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

[3
	Name: MARK TEMPLE
	Name: MARK TEMPLE Address: Y Waighy st
	Cilyinda 2827
	Date 6-5-17
	*Publishing of details permitted /, not permitted.
	Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.
	This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project FIS.
	I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.
	 The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
	• The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
	 The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long term.

livelihoods of many people.

in soll

Signed.

The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and

CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

.....

.....

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives

and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Name: Jan McCutcheon
Address: Bunda
algandra NSW 2827
Date 6 · 5 · 17

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

•	Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
ø	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

Iren Ma

Name: BILL GALO
Address/8 BUNDY ST
GILGANIBRA 2827
Date 6 - 5 - 17

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted:

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

	Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
Ġ	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

wAgalo

7	0
1	\bigcirc

L	and the second

Name: J GALE
Address: 18 BUNDY S-
GILGANDRA 2827
Date 6-5-2017

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satifactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

8	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
જ	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. Impale

....

77	
Constitution of the Consti	

Name: Mark Ferguson	
Address: Utopia 866 Tondebrine	Rd.
Tooraveerah NSW 2817.	
Date 6/5/17.	

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satifactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

3	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
,	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed ML

_ ---- -

78	
	Market

Name: Poss Quante
Address: Denvirone
Mendoomin 2842
Date. 6/5/17

*Publishing of details permitted Independented.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

۵	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
O	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

d.

Name Ron Quenty
Address 240 Warran Rd.

Gilgardro 2827
Date 6/5/12

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project FIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.


- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
e	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

Riqualiz



Name: OAVE GONNINGTON
Address: BOINNAYR
0430AV NSW 2827
Date 6 5 70 7
Publishing of details permitted / not permitted

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrahri Gas Project FIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the

	Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
53	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. O 3 G

_		
	and the second second	
	- American	
	and the second	
١.		
_	market .	

Name:	Chew	(Ba	Hen
Address	21		- St
		forder	2827
Date	6/5/	7	

*Publishing of details permitted,/ not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.


٥	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
7	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

by Sate





Name: BRIDGITTE MOES

Address: 14 NEHTINGTHE ROAD

HEANWELL, ENGLAND.

Date: 31 MARGH FPRIL 1 2017.

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
•	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.



*Publishing of details permitted / net

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

6	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
6	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Name: William Smith
Address: 14 ZURIGIE ST
COONTRATECE
Date. 3-4-17

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
•	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.



Name: Robert Hurri Address: 6 VEV9 St Call GowdV9 282 Date: 3.4.17

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
ı	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. A. J. Hundy



Name Steph Baker
Address: 35 Strickland St
Gilgandia 2827
Date 3-4-17

*Publishing of details permitted / net-permitted.-

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

۰	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
œ	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Name COSETTE HAMILTON
Address: 26 BUTLER DRIVE
SILSAWARA 2827
Date 320 17921 701)
/

*Publishing of details permitted / not-permitted-

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

٥	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
a	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. Com. Hon, CAR.

30	
	and the second of the second o
	And the second second

Name: PAUL	OWER
Address: 26 Mu	H H ELL ST
aula76A1	MBOLE, HSW 2828

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
0	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

P. S. Our

Name: To M	Riches	2
Address: "MYA	LL" 1778	NARWONAL
		821 RD
Date 3 4-113	7 :	

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted:

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
0	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. Here



	mark Harden and an a
.s ^{tr}	Market Company of the

Name: Alexandra Kurjakovich
Address: 340 Mahers Hill Ed
Gilgandra 2827
Date 3/4/17

*Publishing of details permitted/ not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
0	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. Wkiyakovch

Name: JUDITH ANN NICKSON
Address: 26 COONAMBLE ST
GULARGIAMBONE·N.S.W. 2828
Date 3RD APRIL 2017

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
0	
	,
	,

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. A. Nichow

Name: Callee-Ower

Address: 26 Munnell St

Gula-gambone 2828

Date 3.4.17

*Publishing of details permitted not permitted., ssments

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
•	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

activities.
Market Market Profession of the Control of the Cont
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH

Name: JAMES COM Address: 18 SHEKOW A	
Date 3-4-201)	
Publishing of details permitted / not-permi	tted

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

.	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
o	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Names Jan 55 GARBUTT
Address: ST ST
£167410342827
Date 7 - 4-17
Publishing of details permitted not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

c	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
•	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. James Torlet

Name:	lodo	Mi	54 r.c.5	
	s: 67A			
_	CC AV			
	3.4.			,

*Publishing of details permitted \not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
3	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

*Publishing of details permitted / not-permitted

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possibility of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satifactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.

CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. D. Markley.

9	7
- Constitution of the Cons	Marked Section of the

Name: JUNE Bell
Address: 308 Barritary Lane
Edgundra 2827
Date 3/4/17

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
0	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. Bell

Name: RON HARUET	
Address: ATES	
RIVERVIEW CHEONDAR	2827
Date 3 - 4 - 2017	
Date	

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The luge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
•	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

for Horry

Language and the second second

Name: Barry Roberson
Address: Roelin Ceerbon

NSW 2827

Date 31/3/17

*Publishing of details permitted /-not-permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the

Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia a overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.		
3		

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed.

BALL

Name: D. L. Fell
Address: Gentlameng
Tagranicerch 2817
Date 3.1 - 17

*Publishing of details permitted / net permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
•	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. L.W. Gell

Name: PAMENA WARD

Address: 3 Wattle Chis.

Glandra 2827

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
•	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed. M. Wald



Name: Margarel riggot Address: 30 Bindist Gilgandra 2827

*Publishing of details permitted / net permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
٠	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Name: Jime Hayall
Address: 4820 Cistlereggh Hay
Date. 29-3-17 ·

I give permission to publish my details.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and livelihoods of many people.
- CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Signed 1514) Welleycell

104

Name: John Thoms	
Address: 10 Merri	
street Silcondra	.282
Date 29-3-17.	

*Publishing of details permitted / net wounted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
•	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

Marine	Name: Michelle Keogh
	Name: Michelle Keogh Address: 1041 Balladoras
	Railway Rol, Gilgardra, NSW
	Date 29/3/17
Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.	*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.
This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project 1	EIS.
I object to this project and believe it should be rejected	ed.
Great Artesian Basin. This draw down	dwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the will effect water necessary for our extremely important any inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for
by pond leakage and flooding from he only to the immediate areas but much:	d by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding terways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted avy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not further down stream. This leakage and pollution has . Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if
 The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt and this also can cause major issues to is not satisfactory in the long term. 	generated from this project will need to be disposed of surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
prone areas. We have very strong laws	continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire is practice is still happening risking the lives and
CSG is harmful to our health. What pri Governments main priority. We have so	ce is life. The health of the community should be the een reports from various places in Australia and

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.

overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.

.....

Signed.

Thegh



Name: Messandra Broughton
Address: TOVOLEA' Myall Plainson
Avmatvee 2828
Date 29:03:2017-

*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occuring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

•	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
•	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there is too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.



Name: SAM- BLACKMAN
Name: 377710 (CPT) CT \(\text{int} \)
Address: GUNDAIR
LOOKBUEENAH 2817
Date 7 /4 / 17
*Publishing of details permitted / not permitted.

Attn: Executive Director, Resource Assessments Department of Planning and Environment GPO Box 39 Sydney, NSW 2001.

This is a submission to the Narrabri Gas Project EIS.

I object to this project and believe it should be rejected.

- The huge amounts of extracted groundwater needed will have an impact on the aquifers in the Great Artesian Basin. This draw down will effect water necessary for our extremely important agricultural and community needs. Many inland towns and cities rely on groundwater for survival.
- The high possiblity of pollution caused by chemical use in the wells and pumped into holding ponds can effect our groundwater, waterways and our wildlife. If the waterways are polluted by pond leakage and flooding from heavy rainfall this would cause irrepairable damage, not only to the immediate areas but much further down stream. This leakage and pollution has already been an issue with this project. Birds, bees and other animals risk being poisoned if able to drink from these ponds.
- The tens of thousands of tonnes of salt generated from this project will need to be disposed of
 and this also can cause major issues to surrounding areas. Wrapping in plastic and burying it
 is not satisfactory in the long term.
- The flaring which is occurring and will continue to occur is extremely dangerous in bushfire
 prone areas. We have very strong laws on fire restrictions and have experienced massive fire
 events in bushland and farmland yet this practice is still happening risking the lives and
 livelihoods of many people.

đ	CSG is harmful to our health. What price is life. The health of the community should be the Governments main priority. We have seen reports from various places in Australia and overseas where people are getting extremely ill when they live near CSG projects.
9	

I believe the points I have put forward are valid reasons for the Government to reject this project. In my opinion there are too many ways this project can cause extremely detrimental damage. Massive damage can occur solely from human error and there are no guarantees it won't, as it has in the past. Peoples lives and livelihoods and their massive contribution to the economy should take first consideration.